

November 7, 1984

Docket No. 50-263

DISTRIBUTION

Docket File
NRC PDR
Local PDR
ORB#2 Rdg
DEisenhut
OELD
EJordan
JNGrace
VRooney
SNorris
ACRS (10)
Gray File
CMiles
GLainas

Mr. D. M. Musolf
Nuclear Support Services Department
Northern States Power Company
414 Nicollet Mall - 8th Floor
Minneapolis, Minnesota 55401

Dear Mr. Musolf:

Enclosed for your information is a copy of a Notice of Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact, which is being forwarded to the Office of the Federal Register for publication. This Notice relates to your request, dated September 29, 1983, as supplemented March 23, 1984, for exemption to permit the shift supervisor's office to be considered part of the control room for purposes of meeting the requirements of 10 CFR 50.54.

Sincerely,

Original signed by RAHermann for/

Domenic B. Vassallo, Chief
Operating Reactors Branch #2
Division of Licensing

Enclosure:
As stated

cc w/enclosure:
See next page

*Concurred in by
Scanto (ELD). See attached
Note.* 11/6/84 CP

~~*No
Get note to Vassallo
JES to Vassallo
8/18/84*~~

*DL:ORB#2
SNorris:jk
7/30/84*

*DL:ORB#2
VRooney
7/30/84*

*DL:ORB#2
DVassallo
7/31/84*

*AD-OR:DL
GLainas
7/17/84*

~~*OELD
M. VASSALLO
8/11/84*~~ ~~*D:DL
DEisenhut
7/1/84*~~

8411210284 841107
PDR ADOCK 05000263
PDR

Mr. D. M. Musolf
Northern States Power Company
Monticello Nuclear Generating Plant

cc:

Gerald Charnoff, Esquire
Shaw, Pittman, Potts and
Trowbridge
1800 M Street, N. W.
Washington, D. C. 20036

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Resident Inspector's Office
Box 1200
Monticello, Minnesota 55362

Plant Manager
Monticello Nuclear Generating Plant
Northern States Power Company
Monticello, Minnesota 55362

Russell J. Hatling
Minnesota Environmental Control
Citizens Association (MECCA)
Energy Task Force
144 Melbourne Avenue, S. E.
Minneapolis, Minnesota 55113

Executive Director
Minnesota Pollution Control Agency
1935 W. County Road B2
Roseville, Minnesota 55113

Mr. Steve Gadler
2120 Carter Avenue
St. Paul, Minnesota 55108

John W. Ferman, Ph.D.
Nuclear Engineer
Minnesota Pollution Control Agency
1935 W. County Road B2
Roseville, Minnesota 55113

Commissioner of Health
Minnesota Department of Health
717 Delaware Street, S. E.
Minneapolis, Minnesota 55440

Auditor
Wright County Board of
Commissioners
Buffalo, Minnesota 55313

U. S. Environmental Protection
Agency
Region V Office
Regional Radiation Representative
230 South Dearborn Street
Chicago, Illinois 60604

James G. Keppler
Regional Administrator
Region III Office
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
799 Roosevelt Road
Glen Ellyn, Illinois 60137

UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSIONNORTHERN STATES POWER COMPANYDOCKET NO. 50-263NOTICE OF ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT ANDFINDING OF NO SIGNIFICANT IMPACT

The U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) is considering issuance of an exemption from requirements of 10 CFR 50.54 to Northern States Power Company, the licensee for the Monticello Nuclear Generating Plant, located in Wright County, Minnesota.

ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT

Identification of Proposed Action: The exemption would grant an exemption to permit the shift supervisor's office to be considered part of the control room for purposes of meeting the requirements of 10 CFR 50.54. The proposed exemption is in accordance with the licensee's request for exemption dated September 29, 1983, as supplemented March 23, 1984.

The Need for the Proposed Action: On July 11, 1983, the Commission published a revised Section 10 CFR 50.54 regarding shift staffing requirements for nuclear power plants. Section 50.54(m)(2)(iii) of the revised rule requires that: "When a nuclear power unit is in an operational mode other than cold shutdown or refueling, as defined by the unit's technical specifications, each licensee shall have a person holding a senior operator license for the nuclear power unit in the control room at all times."

In a letter dated September 29, 1983, and supplemented by letter dated March 23, 1984, Northern States Power Company described its plans for

8411210287 841107
PDR ADDCK 05000263
P PDR

modifying the shift supervisor's office at the Monticello plant to make it suitable to be considered as part of the control room. Northern States Power Company requested that the Shift Supervisor's office be considered as part of the control room for the purpose of meeting the requirements of the new shift staffing rule. The proposed modifications to the office are to be accomplished during the present extended outage. The Shift Supervisor's office is a different room than the control room, and therefore operating personnel in the Shift Supervisor's office can not directly perceive the same information as is available in the control room or communicate directly with control room personnel. For this reason, we are treating this matter as an exemption request from the licensee.

Northern States Power Company stated that nine out of eleven persons holding senior operator licenses at the Monticello plant are supervisory personnel whose duties involve routine processing of work control, testing, and other documentation. Locating these persons in the control room introduces undesirable traffic into the small Monticello control room. The licensee's plans for modifying the shift supervisor's office include provision of key visual and audible information and reliable prompt access to the control room, so that use of the shift supervisor's office for senior reactor operator occupancy is considered functionally acceptable by the licensee.

Environmental Impact of the Proposed Action: The proposed exemption affects only the staffing requirements as related to the definition of the

control room and does not affect the risk of facility accidents. Thus, post-accident radiological releases will not differ from those determined previously, and the proposed relief does not otherwise affect facility radiological effluents, or any significant occupational exposures. Likewise, the relief does not affect plant non-radiological effluents and has no other environmental impact. Therefore, the Commission concludes there are no measurable radiological or non-radiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed exemption.

Since the Commission has concluded there is no measurable environmental impact associated with the proposed exemption, any alternatives either will have no environmental impact or will have a greater environmental impact. The principal alternative to the exemption would be to require literal compliance with Section 50.54(m)(2) to 10 CFR Part 50. Such an action would not enhance the protection of the environment and would result in unnecessary staffing requirements and associated cost to the licensee.

Alternative Use of Resources: This action does not involve the use of resources not considered previously in connection with the Final Environmental Statement relating to this facility, Final Environmental Statement Related to the Monticello Nuclear Generating Plant Docket No. 50-263, (November 1972).

Agencies and Persons Consulted: The NRC staff did not consult other agencies or persons.

FINDING OF NO SIGNIFICANT IMPACT

The Commission has determined not to prepare an environmental impact statement for the proposed exemption.

Based upon the environmental assessment, we conclude that the proposed action will not have a significant effect on the quality of the human environment.

For further details with respect to this action, see the request for exemption dated September 29, 1983, as supplemented March 23, 1984 which is available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street, N. W., Washington, D.C. and at the Environmental Conservation Library, Minneapolis Public Library, 300 Nicollet Mall, Minneapolis, Minnesota.

Dated at Bethesda, Maryland, this 7th day of November, 1984.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION



Gus C. Lainas, Assistant Director
for Operating Reactors
Division of Licensing