

PREDECISIONAL-NOT FOR RELEASE

Indian Point 2 SG Tube Failure Lessons Learned Task Group Summary

-Interoffice Task Group established in accordance with Charter

-Objectives/Scope

- areas of improvement in NRC and Industry SG programs
- review of restart SE(terminated)
- considered OIG report findings and RES independent review
- did not take on DPO, 2.206, non SG issues

-No public stakeholder input yet

-Safety Significance

- Event had no impact on public health and safety
- TG agrees with SDP risk assessment insights
- Issues have generic implications
- Recommend IP2 experience be used in risk communication plans

Industry

- ConEd-
- Industry-
  - Licensee actions-Should request NEI/industry to coordinate
  - NEI 97-06 Industry Initiative-Lessons should be incorporated  
Technical Specifications(requirements, leakage, reports)  
Licensee Oversight of Vendors
  - EPRI Guideline improvements

NRC issue generic communication

NRC Processes

\*\*Licensing

- Amendment review consistent with guidance
- \*Opportunities to pursue weaknesses in ConEd assessment
- \*Should have formal guidance for SG examination extensions
- \*TG believes that its not clear review results would change
- \*Event would have occurred with continuous operation w/o amend.
- Should improve guidance on information relied on in SEs

EE/7

PREDECISIONAL-NOT FOR RELEASE

-Inspection

- Recommend improved guidance for SG baseline inspections
- Training should be reviewed relative to program objective
- NRC/Licensee telephone interaction should be put into a process
- SG technical information flow to inspectors should be improved
- Should assess PIs and program relative to trends in SG leakage
- Should establish risk informed SG degradation thresholds
- \*\*Staff could not have identified the tube that failed in ConEd SG examination report provided to the NRC as stated in the OIG report

-General

- Staff expertise/capability to support program objectives
- Review guidance for independent internal reviews

RES Report Issues Addressed in the TG report

- If basis for 24 month extension adequate, 48d extension not significant
- Could not reconcile SE statements with information reviewed
- Thorough ConEd operational assessment would have predicted increased probability of rupture
  - First U bend flaw, denting, hourglassing
  - Flaw growth rates

PREDECISIONAL-NOT FOR RELEASE