December 5, 2001

U.S. NRC Office of Public Affairs
Mail Stop T-2A13
Washington, DC 20555

Dear Sirs:

I would like to endorse the request of the people of Westchester, who have detailed the reasons for insisting that your agency close the Indian Point Nuclear Power Plant now! Your mission statement says that you are there to "ensure adequate protection of public health and safety, to promote the common defense and security, and to protect the environment". We call upon you to fulfill your mission and close the plant for the following reasons:

1) The plant is too close to a population of 20 million people. Therefore, evacuation is not possible for everyone.
2) The plant is a prime target for the terrorists who have already attacked our country. The plants were not constructed to sustain any kind of terrorist attack. Planes are not now prohibited from flying over it.
3) The life of the plant was supposed to be thirty years and it is now past the design age. There have been two leaks recently that we know about, due to the age of the plant. It is an immediate danger to our community, as well as a costly one.
4) At the time the plant was constructed, the design standards were inadequate, according to today's standards. These plants would never be built today.
5) We endorse the testimony of Riverkeeper, Inc.

We urge you to act immediately, to avert an unprecedented catastrophe.

Very truly yours,

Eda Deposito

Eiko Desposito
17. Riverside Ave.
Haverstraw N.Y. 10927

WESTCHESTER NY 105
PM
05 DEC
2001

34

U.S NRC Office of Public Affairs.
Mail Stop T-2A13
Washington, DC.
20555

December 5, 2001

U.S. NRC Office of Public Affairs
Mail Stop T-2A13
Washington, DC 20555

Dear Sirs:

I would like to endorse the request of the people of Westchester, who have detailed the reasons for insisting that your agency close the Indian Point Nuclear Power Plant now! Your mission statement says that you are there to "ensure adequate protection of public health and safety, to promote the common defense and security, and to protect the environment". We call upon you to fulfill your mission and close the plant for the following reasons:

1) The plant is too close to a population of 20 million people. Therefore, evacuation is not possible for everyone.
2) The plant is a prime target for the terrorists who have already attacked our country. The plants were not constructed to sustain any kind of terrorist attack. Planes are not now prohibited from flying over it.
3) The life of the plant was supposed to be thirty years and it is now past the design age. There have been two leaks recently that we know about, due to the age of the plant. It is an immediate danger to our community, as well as a costly one.
4) At the time the plant was constructed, the design standards were inadequate, according to today's standards. These plants would never be built today.
5) We endorse the testimony of Riverkeeper, Inc.

We urge you to act immediately, to avert an unprecedented catastrophe.

Very truly yours,

Geraldine Jones
723 Degen Manor
Senior Citizen
Nyack, NY 10960

G. Jones
72 B Depew Manor
Nyack, NY
10960

USNRC Office of Public Affairs
Mail Stop T2A13
Washington, D.C. 20555

December 5, 2001

U.S. NRC Office of Public Affairs
Mail Stop T-2A13
Washington, DC 20555

Dear Sirs:

I would like to endorse the request of the people of Westchester, who have detailed the reasons for insisting that your agency close the Indian Point Nuclear Power Plant now! Your mission statement says that you are there to "ensure adequate protection of public health and safety, to promote the common defense and security, and to protect the environment". We call upon you to fulfill your mission and close the plant for the following reasons:

1) The plant is too close to a population of 20 million people. Therefore, evacuation is not possible for everyone.
2) The plant is a prime target for the terrorists who have already attacked our country. The plants were not constructed to sustain any kind of terrorist attack. Planes are not now prohibited from flying over it.
3) The life of the plant was supposed to be thirty years and it is now past the design age. There have been two leaks recently that we know about, due to the age of the plant. It is an immediate danger to our community, as well as a costly one.
4) At the time the plant was constructed, the design standards were inadequate, according to today's standards. These plants would never be built today.
5) We endorse the testimony of Riverkeeper, Inc.

We urge you to act immediately, to avert an unprecedented catastrophe.

Very truly yours,

Alice Quillin

D Alice M. Devlin
1 Waldron Drive
Stony Point, NY 10980

DOCKETED ROOM
FEB 18 2002

IRRADIATED

WESTCHESTER, NY 10605
PM PM
NOV 2001

U. S. N.R.C. Office of Public Affairs
Mail Stop T-2A13
Washington, D.C. 20555

Advisory Council
Rockland County Office for the Aging

Dr. Robert L. Yeager Health Ctr. - Bldg. B
Pomona, NY 10970

Mike Bishansky, Acting Chair
Meyer Shopkow, Vice Chair
Brenda Greenberg, Treasurer
Mo D'Auria,, Secretary

June F. Molof, Director
Rockland County Office for the Aging

November 28, 2001

U.S. NRC Office of Public Affairs
Mail Stop T-2A13
Washington, DC 20555

I would like to endorse the request of the people of Westchester, who have detailed the reasons for insisting that your agency close the Indian Point Nuclear Power Plant now!!!

Your mission statement says that you are there to "ensure adequate protection of public health and safety, to promote the common defense and security, and to protect the environment". We call upon you fulfill your mission and close the plant for the following reasons:

1) The plant is too close to a population of 20 million people. Therefore, evacuation is not possible for everyone.

2) The plant is a prime target for the terrorists who have already attacked our country. The plants were not constructed to sustain any kind of terrorist attack. Planes are not now prohibited from flying over it.

3) The plant life was supposed to be thirty years and it is now past the design age. There have been two leaks recently that we know about, due to the age of the plant. It is an immediate danger to our community, as well as a costly one.

4) At the time the plant was constructed, the design standards were inadequate, according to today's standards. These plants would never be built today.

5) We endorse the testimony of Riverkeeper, Inc. and have enclosed applicable portions of their testimony.
We urge you to act immediately, to avert an unprecedented catastrophe.

Very truly yours,

Georgine Pomeler
One Beelock Dr
New City, NY. 10956

The Rapisarda Family
One Gerlach Drive
New City, N. Y. 10956

WESTCHESTER NY 105
PM
13 JAN
2002

U.S. NRC office of Public affairs
Mail Stop T-2A13
Washington, DC. 20555

December 5, 2001

U.S. NRC Office of Public Affairs
Mail Stop T-2A13
Washington, DC 20555

Dear Sirs:

I would like to endorse the request of the people of Westchester, who have detailed the reasons for insisting that your agency close the Indian Point Nuclear Power Plant now! Your mission statement says that you are there to "ensure adequate protection of public health and safety, to promote the common defense and security, and to protect the environment". We call upon you to fulfill your mission and close the plant for the following reasons:

1) The plant is too close to a population of 20 million people. Therefore, evacuation is not possible for everyone.
2) The plant is a prime target for the terrorists who have already attacked our country. The plants were not constructed to sustain any kind of terrorist attack. Planes are not now prohibited from flying over it.
3) The li[illegible] plant was supposed to be thirty years and it is now past the desi[illegible] have been two leaks recently that we know about, due to the [illegible] is an immediate danger to our community, as wel[illegible] costly one.
4) At the time the plant was constructed, the design standards were inadequate, according to today's standards. These plants would never be [illegible]
5) W[illegible] of Riverkeeper, Inc.

We urg[illegible] e [illegible] to avert an unprecedented [illegible]

Very truly yours,

James T. Fleming
Dorothy Fleming

MR & MRS J. T FLEMING
418 WALNUT HILL
W. HAVERSTRAW N.Y
10993

WESTCHESTER NY 105
PM
6 DEC
2001

34

U.S. NRC. OFFICE OF PUBLIC AFFAIRS
MAIL STOP T-2A13
WASHINGTON D.C. 20555

December 5, 2001

U.S. NRC Office of Public Affairs
Mail Stop T-2A13
Washington, DC 20555

Dear Sirs:

I would like to endorse the request of the people of Westchester, who have detailed the reasons for insisting that your agency close the Indian Point Nuclear Power Plant now! Your mission statement says that you are there to "ensure adequate protection of public health and safety, to promote the common defense and security, and to protect the environment". We call upon you to fulfill your mission and close the plant for the following reasons:

1) The plant is too close to a population of 20 million people. Therefore, evacuation is not possible for everyone.
2) The plant is a prime target for the terrorists who have already attacked our country. The plants were not constructed to sustain any kind of terrorist attack. Planes are not now prohibited from flying over it.
3) The life of the plant was supposed to be thirty years and it is now past the design age. There have been two leaks recently that we know about, due to the age of the plant. It is an immediate danger to our community, as well as a costly one.
4) At the time the plant was constructed, the design standards were inadequate, according to today's standards. These plants would never be built today.
5) We endorse the testimony of Riverkeeper, Inc.

We urge you to act immediately, to avert an unprecedented catastrophe.

Very truly yours,

Carmela Rodiel

WESTCH - PM NY 105
05 DEC
2001



US NRC Office of Public Affairs
Mail Stop T-2A13
Washington, D.C. 20555

Advisory Council
Rockland County Office for the Aging

Dr. Robert L. Yeager Health Ctr. - Bldg. B
Pomona, NY 10970

Mike Bishansky, Acting Chair
Meyer Shopkow, Vice Chair
Brenda Greenberg, Treasurer
Mo D'Auria,, Secretary

June F. Molof, Director
Rockland County Office for the Aging

MHR

November 20, 2001

U.S. NRC Office of Public Affairs
Mail Stop T-2A13
Washington, DC 20555

The Advisory Council to the Rockland County Office for the Aging, a council established by the Older Americans Act, endorses the request of the people of Westchester, who have detailed the reasons for insisting that your agency close the Indian Point Nuclear Power Plant now!!!

Your mission statement says that you are there to "ensure adequate protection of public health and safety, to promote the common defense and security, and to protect the environment". We call upon you fulfill your mission and close the plant for the following reasons:

1) The plant is too close to a population of 20 million people. Therefore, evacuation is not possible for everyone.

2) The plant is a prime target for the terrorists who have already attacked our country. The plants were not constructed to sustain any kind of terrorist attack. Planes are not now prohibited from flying over it.

3) The plant life was supposed to be thirty years and it is now past the design age. There have been two leaks recently that we know about, due to the age of the plant. It is an immediate danger to our community, as well as a costly one.

4) At the time the plant was constructed, the design standards were inadequate, according to today's standards. These plants would never be built today.

5) We endorse the testimony of Riverkeeper, Inc. and have enclosed applicable portions of their testimony.

We urge you to act immediately, to avert an unprecedented catastrophe.

Very truly yours,

Mike Bishansky

Mike Bishansky
Acting Chairman

MB/mrs

DRAFT

In upholding its duty to protect the public, environment, and property, the NRC has broad discretionary powers to grant Petitioners' requests. "Section 161 of the [Atomic Energy] Act empowers the Commission to issue rules, regulations, or orders to 'protect health or to minimize danger to life or property.' 42 U.S.C. § 2201(b), (i)."[56] The NRC's authority to protect the public

> ...cannot be read simply to permit the Commission to provide adequate protection; another section of the Act requires the Commission to do that much. We therefore must view section 161 as a grant of authority to the Commission to provide a measure of safety above and beyond what is "adequate." The exercise of this authority is entirely discretionary. If the Commission wishes to do so, it may order power plants already satisfying the standard of adequate protection to take additional safety precautions.[57]

In addition, the Code of Federal Regulations, in Title 10, Sections 2.200, 2.204, 2.206, and 73.55, as well as other authority, authorizes the NRC to take the specific actions requested herein.

IV. The Actions Requested Are Necessary and Appropriate to Protect the Safety of the 20 Million People Living in the Vicinity of Indian Point.

Petitioners have properly "set forth the facts to constitute the basis for [this] request" pursuant to 10 CFR § 2.206, and have properly identified "the potentially hazardous conditions" as required by 10 CFR § 2.202(a)(1), specifically the threat of a terrorist attack on the Indian Point facility. The NRC should take immediate action in response to Petitioners' request.

The threat of terrorism on a scale of the September 11th attacks, and including assaults from the air or water, has not been previously considered in the licensing and/or design basis threat of the Indian Point facility, therefore, this request is proper and demands NRC's immediate attention and action. The Atomic Energy Act "commands the NRC to ensure that any use or production of nuclear materials 'provide[s] adequate protection to the health or safety of

[56] *Union of Concerned Scientists v. NRC*, 824 F.2d 108 (D.C. Cir. 1987); *see also, Shoreham-Wading River Central School District v. NRC*, 931 F.2d 102 (D.C. Cir. 1991).
[57] 824 F.2d at 110

DRAFT

the public."[58] As of September 11, 2001, this duty has taken on a new dimension: the protection of the public from threat of a major radiological release resulting from a terrorist attack. Given that NRC's "paramount responsibility [is] protection of the public health and safety and the environment,"[59] the NRC should immediately order the actions requested herein and more fully articulated below.

A. The NRC Should Order An Immediate, Temporary Suspension of Operations at Indian Point Units Two and Three, and Conduct a Full Review of The Facilities' Vulnerabilities, Security Measures and Evacuation Plans.

Title 10, Section 2.202 of the Code of Federal Regulations authorizes the NRC to "modify, suspend, or revoke a license, or [take] any other action as may be proper." The NRC should immediately order the Indian Point licensee to suspend operations, revoke the operating license, or adopt other measures resulting in a temporary shutdown of Indian Point Unit Two and Unit Three, as per 10 CFR § 2.202, and to conduct a full review of the facility's vulnerabilities, security measures and evacuation plans for the following reasons:

First, as explained above, as an operating facility, Indian Point is unnecessarily vulnerable to risks from takeover of or damage to control rooms. As long as the facility remains operational, the control rooms are a likely and vulnerable target for terrorist attack. Seizure or disability of the control rooms would dramatically increase the potential for the intentional or accidental destruction of the reactor core.

Second, because the reactor containment walls were not designed to withstand the accidental or intentional crash of fuel laden jetliners, a breach of operating reactors creates a significantly greater danger of catastrophic contamination. The danger of a potential release of high-risk radio-nucleides would be reduced by a temporary de-powering and cold shut down of these reactors.

Third, the operating facility creates multiple vulnerable points in plant security. While shutting down the reactors will not remove the need for security, the reduction in threat outcome would allow the licensee to focus its protective efforts on the more critical areas of the facility,

[58] *Shoreham-Wading River Cent. Sch. Dist. v. U.S. NRC*, 931 F.2d 102, 106 (D.C. Cir. 1991), citing 42 U.S.C. § 2232(a).
[59] Conference Report at 47, 1982 U.S. Code Cong. & Admin.News at 3617

DRAFT

especially the spent fuel storage area, thereby concentrating resources where they are most valuable and most likely to effectively protect against the deadliest attack.

Fourth, Indian Point and NRC personnel and resources confront dual challenges when ensuring security at operational facility. Currently, employees at Indian Point must ensure both the safe and stable generation of power and create a heightened security environment. Simultaneously, NRC personnel are tasked with overseeing the ordinary operations of the plant – which is the only nuclear plant in the nation with a D rating (multiple/repetitive degraded cornerstone) from the NRC – while also ensuring protection against foreseeable threats. Resources of both the agency and the licensee are stretched thin by this double-tasking.

Finally, shutting down Indian Point's reactors creates a more secure environment. Spent fuel security has never been demonstrated at Indian Point. A provisional shut down of the plant is needed to allow the licensee and the NRC to test critical security provisions for this facility. "Business as usual" operation of Indian Point provides no incentive for the plant's owner and the NRC to remedy this long overlooked vulnerability.

For all of these reasons, an immediate, temporary shutdown of the operating reactors at Indian Point Units Two and Three is necessary and prudent.

B. The NRC Should Require the Licensee to Provide Information Documenting That Existing Security Measures Are Sufficient Against Plausible Threats of Terrorist Attacks.

Title 10, Section 2.204(a) of the Code of Federal Regulations authorizes the NRC to demand information from a licensee. The NRC should immediately demand that Entergy provide information documenting the existing and readily attainable security measures which provide the Indian Point facility with protection against land, water, and airborne terrorist attacks. Such information must provide, at a minimum, sufficient basis for the Commission to determine that physical barriers, intrusion alarms, and other measures are in place or may be easily constructed will meet plausible threats, for the following reasons:

Actual threats against nuclear power plants have been documented and the Indian Point facility is a plausible target of future terrorist actions. However, as explained above, the design-basis threat for Indian Point did not consider the possibility of an intentional terrorist attack from

DRAFT

the air or water, or a suicide attack from any front. Security forces at nuclear power plants have repeatedly failed to repel mock terrorist attackers. Moreover, Indian Point has a long history of safety problems related to the ability to respond to emergency situations. Entergy, the new owner and licensee of Indian Point, has a demonstrably poor security record and it is clear from Entergy's history of violations that its ability to protect the facility against a terrorist attack is questionable at best.

Because a terrorist attack was not considered in the plant's design basis threat, because mock attacks at nuclear plants are rarely thwarted by security forces, and because this facility and its operator have notoriously poor security histories, the NRC should immediately demand all information necessary to determine whether Indian Point is, or can be secured against a land-, air- or water-based terrorist attack.

C. The NRC Should Immediately Modify The Operating License For Units Two And Three To Mandate, At Minimum, Security Measures Sufficient To Protect The Facility as Required by 10 CFR § 73.55.

As explained above, a successful attack on either of Indian Point's reactors or spent fuel storage facilities would likely result in a massive release of radioactive materials into the surrounding towns and counties, quite possibly reaching into and contaminating New York City. Such a release would cause hundreds of immediate fatalities close to the site and 100,000 or more latent cancer deaths farther downwind of the plant. Further, a major release would probably contaminate the drinking water supply for New York City and Westchester County, devastate the area's ecology, and render portions of the New York metropolitan area uninhabitable.

Faced with this catastrophic threat, the NRC should, at a minimum, take action to obtain the following security measures

1. A permanent no-fly zone within 10 nautical miles of the Indian Point facility;
2. A defense and security system sufficient to protect and defend the no-fly zone; and

DRAFT

3. A defense and security system sufficient to protect the entire facility, including the containment and spent fuel storage buildings, control room and electricity equipment, from a land- or water-based terrorist attack.

These measures are necessary to comply with the requirements of 10 CFR § 73.55 regarding physical protection of licensed activities in nuclear power reactors against radiological sabotage.

D. The NRC Should Order the Revision of Entergy's Emergency Response Plan and Westchester County's Radiological Emergency Response Plan in Order to Account for Critical New Information and Prepare for Possible Terrorist Attacks.

The NRC should Order the revision of Entergy's Emergency Response Plan and Westchester County's Radiological Emergency Response Plan in order to account and prepare for possible terrorist attacks. These reviews must contemplate not only realistic and catastrophic effects of a terrorist attack on the Indian Point facility, but a comprehensive response to multiple attacks in the region which may impair the efficient evacuation of the area. Examples of such attacks include destruction of the Tappan Zee Bridge, loss of power to passenger railroads, and other events which deny use of necessary infrastructure.

Westchester County's Radiological Emergency Preparedness Plan (REPP) was last revised in May of 2000 and does not address the site-specific, hazardous conditions of a sabotage event or a terrorist attack at the Indian Point facility. Moreover, the REPP does not address the likelihood of class 9 event, a spent fuel storage area release, or a spent fuel assembly fire. The REPP is flawed as it is based on erroneous assumptions, therefore, REPP must be considered inadequate in providing protection to the public. The assumptions in the REPP preclude the occurrence of an intentional act of terrorism or sabotage, a class 9 event involving Units Two or Three, the radiological release from the spent fuel storage area, a spent fuel rod fire, or the possibility of an explosion at the Indian Point facility.[60]

The inadequacies of emergency response at the Indian Point facility were known and considered decades ago, however no action was taken to resolve response problems. Based on

[60] Indian Point Radiological Emergency Preparedness Plan for Westchester County, Revision May, 2000, page I-5

December 5, 2001

U.S. NRC Office of Public Affairs
Mail Stop T-2A13
Washington, DC 20555

Dear Sirs:

I would like to endorse the request of the people of Westchester, who have detailed the reasons for insisting that your agency close the Indian Point Nuclear Power Plant now! Your mission statement says that you are there to "ensure adequate protection of public health and safety, to promote the common defense and security, and to protect the environment". We call upon you to fulfill your mission and close the plant for the following reasons:

1) The plant is too close to a population of 20 million people. Therefore, evacuation is not possible for everyone.
2) The plant is a prime target for the terrorists who have already attacked our country. The plants were not constructed to sustain any kind of terrorist attack. Planes are not now prohibited from flying over it.
3) The life of the plant was supposed to be thirty years and it is now past the design age. There have been two leaks recently that we know about, due to the age of the plant. It is an immediate danger to our community, as well as a costly one.
4) At the time the plant was constructed, the design standards were inadequate, according to today's standards. These plants would never be built today.
5) We endorse the testimony of Riverkeeper, Inc.

We urge you to act immediately, to avert an unprecedented catastrophe.

Very truly yours,

Philomena Otto
405 Warren Rd.
Haw. N.Y.
10927

Philomana Otto
405 Warren Knls
Haverstraw, NY 10927

WESTCHESTER NY 105
PM
07 DEC
2001

U.S. NRC Office of Public Affairs
Mail Stop T-2A 13
Washington, D.C. 20555

December 5, 2001

U.S. NRC Office of Public Affairs
Mail Stop T-2A13
Washington, DC 20555

Dear Sirs:

I would like to endorse the request of the people of Westchester, who have detailed the reasons for insisting that your agency close the Indian Point Nuclear Power Plant now! Your mission statement says that you are there to "ensure adequate protection of public health and safety, to promote the common defense and security, and to protect the environment". We call upon you to fulfill your mission and close the plant for the following reasons:

1) The plant is too close to a population of 20 million people. Therefore, evacuation is not possible for everyone.
2) The plant is a prime target for the terrorists who have already attacked our country. The plants were not constructed to sustain any kind of terrorist attack. Planes are not now prohibited from flying over it.
3) The life of the plant was supposed to be thirty years and it is now past the design age. There have been two leaks recently that we know about, due to the age of the plant. It is an immediate danger to our community, as well as a costly one.
4) At the time the plant was constructed, the design standards were inadequate, according to today's standards. These plants would never be built today.
5) We endorse the testimony of Riverkeeper, Inc.

We urge you to act immediately, to avert an unprecedented catastrophe.

Very truly yours,

Joanne F. Mulligan
1 Overlook Dr.
Sloatsburg, N.Y.
10974
(Rockland County)

U.S.NRC Office of Public Affairs
Mail Stop T-2A13
Washington, DC 20555

We the undersigned endorse the request of the people of Westchester, who have detailed the reasons for insisting that your agency close close the Indian Point Nuclear Power Plant now!!!!

Your mission statement says that you are there to ensure adequate protection of public health and safety, to promote the common defense and security, and to protect the enviornment. We call upon you to fulfill your mission and close the plant for the following reasons:

1) The plant is too close to a population of 20 million people. Therefore, evacuation is not possible for everyone.

2) The plant is a prime target for the terrorists who have already attacked our country. The plants were not constructed to sustain any kind of terrorist attack. Planes are not now prohibited from flying over it.

3) The plant life was supposed to be thirty years and it is now past the design age. There have been two leaks recently that we know about, due to the age of the plant. It is an immediate danger to our community, as well as a costly one.

4) At the time the plant was constructed, the design standards were inadequate, according to today's standards. These plants would never be built today.

5) We endorse the testimony of Riverkeeper, Inc. and have enclosed applicable portions of their testimony.
We urge you to act immediately, to avert an unprecedented catastrophe.

NAME	ADDRESS
Rhoda Albert	323 Walnut Hill W. Haverstraw
Carolyn Ryneard	43 French St Congers NY
Rose J Pisano	5 Germonds Vlg Bardonia, NY
Josephine F. Loria	15 Phillips Rd. W. Nyack, NY 10994
Carol Manuel	80 N. Middletown Rd. Nanuet
Theresa Matarozzo	107 Walnut Ave.
Marygrace Byrnes	612 Walnut Hill W. Haverstraw NY
Esther Del Rosario	10 Wayne Ave W Haverstraw NY
Nellie Greensmeig	93 Hardenburg Dr. Suffern
Virginia Gaspare	200 Darlene Dr. Suffern N.Y.

NAME	ADDRESS
Irene Hollands	82C Depew Manor, Nyack
Robert Hollands	" " "
Flo Harris	Nyack, Playa, Nyack
Annie Daniels	164 C. Depew manor N.Y. 10960
Theresa Cuoco	10 Wisconsin Ct Congers 10920
Muffie Armano	192 Mass Ave Congers N.Y. 10920
Quinficacion V. Cabusi	6 Victoria Ct. Orangeburg, N.Y. 10962
Mae Davis	111 H.H. Dr. Spring Valley, N.Y. 10977
Melba Shore	185 H.H. Dr. Spring Valley, N.Y. 10977
Claire Reiff	21B Catamount Dr. Spring Valley N.Y. 10977
Janet Fiedler	18 Daffy Lane Stony Point N.Y. 10980
Marianne Wheaton	P.O. Box 737 Pearl River N.Y. 10965
Millie Mealie	268 Hubert Humphrey Dr. Pearl River N.Y. 10965
Madelyn Dorenguchia	134 Pilgrim Ct. Pearl River N.Y. 10965
	Anne Cance Cleri
	155 H.H. Umpby Dr. S.V. N.Y. 10977
	Edie Vuch 30 W. Lumston ave. S.V. N.Y. 10977
Beatrice Carbone 10956	31 Maiden Lane New City, N.Y. 10956
Kay Staropoli	55 Carolina Dr. New City, N.Y. 10956
Madeline Pisano A8	1 Squadron Blvd. New City, N.Y. 10956
Irene (Pat) McDonnell	73 Lake Road Congers, N.Y. 10920
May K. Marino	25 Stratford Place New City, N.Y. 10956
Felicia Pisciotti	53 Stratford Pl. New City, N.Y. 10956
Anna Meli	59 Redschool House Rd. Spring Valley

NAME	ADDRESS
Betty Mills	440 Phillipshill Rd New City N.Y. 10956
Ellen Lembergs	27 Ramapo Acres Dr. Cornwall N.Y. 12518
Marie Moore	48 Montebello Congers Mgt N.Y.
Laura Martinez	Warren Knolls Hillcrest Haverstraw N.Y.
Marian Widolo	15 Forest Glen Rd V.C.
Genevieve Smith	9-6 Oxford Drive V.C.
Dolores Rutkowsky	27 Hubert Humphrey Dr. Spring Valley N.Y.
Mae Barge	258 Hubert Humphrey D. Chestnut Ridge N.Y.
Anne Burke	163 Hubert Humphrey Dr. Chestnut Ridge N.Y.
Marge Lockwood	149 Hubert Humphrey Dr. Chestnut Ridge N.Y.
Anita Vermano	2 Sierra Rd. Monsey N.Y. 10952
Sara Misiak	200 Dacken Dr. Suffern N.Y.
Ella Jones	74 Elysian Ave. So. Nyack N.J.
Lorna Jenkins	135 Hubert Humphrey Dr
Clementine Russell	20 Sierra Rd. Apt 13. Monsey N.Y. 10952
Hattie Scott	3 Laura Pl Spring Valley N.Y. 10977
Virginia Roxas	2-5-B Palisades
Mandel H. Espina Sr	1 Cubbi Lane Monsey N.Y.
Pearl Haber	12 Samuel Rd SV NY 10977
Esther Bachner	160 N Main St New City, NY 10956
~~Leon Kaminsky~~	
Elaine Rogers	101 Hubert Humphrey Dr. SV, NY 10977

NAME	ADDRESS
Elsie Greenwood	923 Kennedy Dr., Spring Valley
Kay Ramsaur	100 Pondview Drive Suffern, N.Y.
Mireida Morales	320 Warren Frolls Haverstraw Ny 10927
Rosalia Vazquez	70 Bridge St. Garnerville N.Y. 10923
Maria Figueroa	103 Warren Frolls Haverstraw Ny 10927
Mercedes Burgos	102 Broadway Ny. 10927 Haverstraw, NY
Rosa Gonzalez	104 Warren Frolls Haverstraw, 10927
Ayelg Rivera	211 Warren Frolls Haverstraw Ny 10927
~~[illegible]~~	85 Pondview Dr. Suffern Ny 10901
Francisca C. Quilop	
Hector Amado Salvado	5 RIVER RD SUFFERN N.Y. 10901
Salome Abado	24 B. Catamount Dr Spring Valley N.Y 10977
Liz Q. Ruden	9-B Catamount Dr. Spring Valley N.Y 10977
Lupe Garcia	28, North Wayne West Haverstraw N.Y.
Aurora Bulnoan	17 A Catamount Dr. Spring Valley
ROBERT QUEEN	462 SARAH ANNE DR
Margaret Donovan	3195 Radcliffe Drive
Neal Browne	53 Highland Dr. 10524
Phyllis Talleaux	323 Chauncy Dr. Berk. Hts NJ
Maria Lindley	23 Eaton Ct. Berkeley Hts NJ 07922
Ruth Anderson	188 Timber Dr., Berkeley Hts. NJ 07922
~~Gladis Rivera~~	~~[illegible]~~

December 5, 2001

U.S. NRC Office of Public Affairs
Mail Stop T-2A13
Washington, DC 20555

Dear Sirs:

I would like to endorse the request of the people of Westchester, who have detailed the reasons for insisting that your agency close the Indian Point Nuclear Power Plant now! Your mission statement says that you are there to "ensure adequate protection of public health and safety, to promote the common defense and security, and to protect the environment". We call upon you to fulfill your mission and close the plant for the following reasons:

1) The plant is too close to a population of 20 million people. Therefore, evacuation is not possible for everyone.
2) The plant is a prime target for the terrorists who have already attacked our country. The plants were not constructed to sustain any kind of terrorist attack. Planes are not now prohibited from flying over it.
3) The life of the plant was supposed to be thirty years and it is now past the design age. There have been two leaks recently that we know about, due to the age of the plant. It is an immediate danger to our community, as well as a costly one.
4) At the time the plant was constructed, the design standards were inadequate, according to today's standards. These plants would never be built today.
5) We endorse the testimony of Riverkeeper, Inc.

We urge you to act immediately, to avert an unprecedented catastrophe.

Very truly yours,

Helene Dilberg

December 5, 2001

U.S. NRC Office of Public Affairs
Mail Stop T-2A13
Washington, DC 20555

Dear Sirs:

I would like to endorse the request of the people of Westchester, who have detailed the reasons for insisting that your agency close the Indian Point Nuclear Power Plant now! Your mission statement says that you are there to "ensure adequate protection of public health and safety, to promote the common defense and security, and to protect the environment". We call upon you to fulfill your mission and close the plant for the following reasons:

1) The plant is too close to a population of 20 million people. Therefore, evacuation is not possible for everyone.
2) The plant is a prime target for the terrorists who have already attacked our country. The plants were not constructed to sustain any kind of terrorist attack. Planes are not now prohibited from flying over it.
3) The life of the plant was supposed to be thirty years and it is now past the design age. There have been two leaks recently that we know about, due to the age of the plant. It is an immediate danger to our community, as well as a costly one.
4) At the time the plant was constructed, the design standards were inadequate, according to today's standards. These plants would never be built today.
5) We endorse the testimony of Riverkeeper, Inc.

We urge you to act immediately, to avert an unprecedented catastrophe.

Very truly yours,

also
Rockland County

Phyllis O'Kon
7 Buena Vista Road
Suffern, NY. 10901

December 5, 2001

U.S. NRC Office of Public Affairs
Mail Stop T-2A13
Washington, DC 20555

Dear Sirs:

I would like to endorse the request of the people of Westchester, who have detailed the reasons for insisting that your agency close the Indian Point Nuclear Power Plant now! Your mission statement says that you are there to "ensure adequate protection of public health and safety, to promote the common defense and security, and to protect the environment". We call upon you to fulfill your mission and close the plant for the following reasons:

1) The plant is too close to a population of 20 million people. Therefore, evacuation is not possible for everyone.
2) The plant is a prime target for the terrorists who have already attacked our country. The plants were not constructed to sustain any kind of terrorist attack. Planes are not now prohibited from flying over it.
3) The life of the plant was supposed to be thirty years and it is now past the design age. There have been two leaks recently that we know about, due to the age of the plant. It is an immediate danger to our community, as well as a costly one.
4) At the time the plant was constructed, the design standards were inadequate, according to today's standards. These plants would never be built today.
5) We endorse the testimony of Riverkeeper, Inc.

We urge you to act immediately, to avert an unprecedented catastrophe.

Very truly yours,

Carmela Abbondanza
28 Seffern Lane
Garnerville, NY 10923

Ms. Carmela Abbondanzo
28 Suffern Ln.
Garnerville, NY 10923

WESTCHESTER NY
PM
5 DEC
2001

U.S. NRC Office of Public Affairs
Mail Stop T-2A13
Washington, DC 20555

December 5, 2001

U.S. NRC Office of Public Affairs
Mail Stop T-2A13
Washington, DC 20555

Dear Sirs:

I would like to endorse the request of the people of Westchester, who have detailed the reasons for insisting that your agency close the Indian Point Nuclear Power Plant now! Your mission statement says that you are there to "ensure adequate protection of public health and safety, to promote the common defense and security, and to protect the environment". We call upon you to fulfill your mission and close the plant for the following reasons:

1) The plant is too close to a population of 20 million people. Therefore, evacuation is not possible for everyone.
2) The plant is a prime target for the terrorists who have already attacked our country. The plants were not constructed to sustain any kind of terrorist attack. Planes are not now prohibited from flying over it.
3) The life of the plant was supposed to be thirty years and it is now past the design age. There have been two leaks recently that we know about, due to the age of the plant. It is an immediate danger to our community, as well as a costly one.
4) At the time the plant was constructed, the design standards were inadequate, according to today's standards. These plants would never be built today.
5) We endorse the testimony of Riverkeeper, Inc.

We urge you to act immediately, to avert an unprecedented catastrophe.

Very truly yours,

Anthony R. Blosse / Mary R. Blosse
19 Carmen Drive
Nanuet, N.Y. 10954

Mr. Anthony Bloise
19 Carmen Dr.
Nanuet, NY 10954-1902

WESTCHESTER NY
PM1
05 DEC
2001

U.S. NRC Office of Public Affairs
Mail Stop T-2A13
Washington, D.C. 20555

December 5, 2001

U.S. NRC Office of Public Affairs
Mail Stop T-2A13
Washington, DC 20555

Dear Sirs:

I would like to endorse the request of the people of Westchester, who have detailed the reasons for insisting that your agency close the Indian Point Nuclear Power Plant now! Your mission statement says that you are there to "ensure adequate protection of public health and safety, to promote the common defense and security, and to protect the environment". We call upon you to fulfill your mission and close the plant for the following reasons:

1) The plant is too close to a population of 20 million people. Therefore, evacuation is not possible for everyone.
2) The plant is a prime target for the terrorists who have already attacked our country. The plants were not constructed to sustain any kind of terrorist attack. Planes are not now prohibited from flying over it.
3) The life of the plant was supposed to be thirty years and it is now past the design age. There have been two leaks recently that we know about, due to the age of the plant. It is an immediate danger to our community, as well as a costly one.
4) At the time the plant was constructed, the design standards were inadequate, according to today's standards. These plants would never be built today.
5) We endorse the testimony of Riverkeeper, Inc.

We urge you to act immediately, to avert an unprecedented catastrophe.

Very truly yours,

Mr. + Mrs. Larry A. Arnold
7 Apollo Court
Nanuet, N.Y.
10954

Rinaldi
7 Apollo Ct
Nanuet, NY
10954

DOI MAIL ROOM
FEB 18 2002

BALTIMORE MD 21
PM
23
2001

QUEENS NY 113
PM
2001

CHRISTMAS
34
USA

U.S. NRC Office of Public Affairs
Mail Stop T-2A13
Washington, D.C. 20555

IRRADIATED

December 5, 2001

U.S. NRC Office of Public Affairs
Mail Stop T-2A13
Washington, DC 20555

Dear Sirs:

I would like to endorse the request of the people of Westchester, who have detailed the reasons for insisting that your agency close the Indian Point Nuclear Power Plant now! Your mission statement says that you are there to "ensure adequate protection of public health and safety, to promote the common defense and security, and to protect the environment". We call upon you to fulfill your mission and close the plant for the following reasons:

1) The plant is too close to a population of 20 million people. Therefore, evacuation is not possible for everyone.
2) The plant is a prime target for the terrorists who have already attacked our country. The plants were not constructed to sustain any kind of terrorist attack. Planes are not now prohibited from flying over it.
3) The life of the plant was supposed to be thirty years and it is now past the design age. There have been two leaks recently that we know about, due to the age of the plant. It is an immediate danger to our community, as well as a costly one.
4) At the time the plant was constructed, the design standards were inadequate, according to today's standards. These plants would never be built today.
5) We endorse the testimony of Riverkeeper, Inc.

We urge you to act immediately, to avert an unprecedented catastrophe.

Very truly yours,

Marie McCluskey

Mrs. Mary Ann Reilly
2 Don Bosco Ln
Stony Point NY 10980-2646

WESTCHESTER NY 10
PM
DEC 2001



US NRC
Office of Public Affairs
Mail Stop 1 - 8A12
Washington, DC
20555

December 5, 2001

U.S. NRC Office of Public Affairs
Mail Stop T-2A13
Washington, DC 20555

Dear Sirs:

I would like to endorse the request of the people of Westchester, who have detailed the reasons for insisting that your agency close the Indian Point Nuclear Power Plant now! Your mission statement says that you are there to "ensure adequate protection of public health and safety, to promote the common defense and security, and to protect the environment". We call upon you to fulfill your mission and close the plant for the following reasons:

1) The plant is too close to a population of 20 million people. Therefore, evacuation is not possible for everyone.
2) The plant is a prime target for the terrorists who have already attacked our country. The plants were not constructed to sustain any kind of terrorist attack. Planes are not now prohibited from flying over it.
3) The life of the plant was supposed to be thirty years and it is now past the design age. There have been two leaks recently that we know about, due to the age of the plant. It is an immediate danger to our community, as well as a costly one.
4) At the time the plant was constructed, the design standards were inadequate, according to today's standards. These plants would never be built today.
5) We endorse the testimony of Riverkeeper, Inc.

We urge you to act immediately, to avert an unprecedented catastrophe.

Very truly yours,

Eugene Levin
50 Greenbush Rd
West Nyack NY
10960

December 5, 2001

U.S. NRC Office of Public Affairs
Mail Stop T-2A13
Washington, DC 20555

Dear Sirs:

I would like to endorse the request of the people of Westchester, who have detailed the reasons for insisting that your agency close the Indian Point Nuclear Power Plant now! Your mission statement says that you are there to "ensure adequate protection of public health and safety, to promote the common defense and security, and to protect the environment". We call upon you to fulfill your mission and close the plant for the following reasons:

1) The plant is too close to a population of 20 million people. Therefore, evacuation is not possible for everyone.
2) The plant is a prime target for the terrorists who have already attacked our country. The plants were not constructed to sustain any kind of terrorist attack. Planes are not now prohibited from flying over it.
3) The life of the plant was supposed to be thirty years and it is now past the design age. There have been two leaks recently that we know about, due to the age of the plant. It is an immediate danger to our community, as well as a costly one.
4) At the time the plant was constructed, the design standards were inadequate, according to today's standards. These plants would never be built today.
5) We endorse the testimony of Riverkeeper, Inc.

We urge you to act immediately, to avert an unprecedented catastrophe.

Very truly yours,

Esther Kotzker

THANK A VET

Esther Kotzker
33 Roosevelt Dr.
West Haverstraw, NY 10993-1013

U.S. NRC Office of Public Affairs
Mail Stop T-2A13
Washington DC. 20555

December 5, 2001

U.S. NRC Office of Public Affairs
Mail Stop T-2A13
Washington, DC 20555

Dear Sirs:

I would like to endorse the request of the people of Westchester, who have detailed the reasons for insisting that your agency close the Indian Point Nuclear Power Plant now! Your mission statement says that you are there to "ensure adequate protection of public health and safety, to promote the common defense and security, and to protect the environment". We call upon you to fulfill your mission and close the plant for the following reasons:

1) The plant is too close to a population of 20 million people. Therefore, evacuation is not possible for everyone.
2) The plant is a prime target for the terrorists who have already attacked our country. The plants were not constructed to sustain any kind of terrorist attack. Planes are not now prohibited from flying over it.
3) The life of the plant was supposed to be thirty years and it is now past the design age. There have been two leaks recently that we know about, due to the age of the plant. It is an immediate danger to our community, as well as a costly one.
4) At the time the plant was constructed, the design standards were inadequate, according to today's standards. These plants would never be built today.
5) We endorse the testimony of Riverkeeper, Inc.

We urge you to act immediately, to avert an unprecedented catastrophe.

Very truly yours,

Georgette Hughes

Ms. Georgette Hughes
62 Depew Ave # A
Nyack, NY 10960-3820

WESTCHESTER NY 105
PM
6 DEC
2001

U. S. NRC Office of Public Affairs
Mail Stop T - 2A13
Washington D.C.
20555

December 5, 2001

U.S. NRC Office of Public Affairs
Mail Stop T-2A13
Washington, DC 20555

Dear Sirs:

I would like to endorse the request of the people of Westchester, who have detailed the reasons for insisting that your agency close the Indian Point Nuclear Power Plant now! Your mission statement says that you are there to "ensure adequate protection of public health and safety, to promote the common defense and security, and to protect the environment". We call upon you to fulfill your mission and close the plant for the following reasons:

1) The plant is too close to a population of 20 million people. Therefore, evacuation is not possible for everyone.
2) The plant is a prime target for the terrorists who have already attacked our country. The plants were not constructed to sustain any kind of terrorist attack. Planes are not now prohibited from flying over it.
3) The life of the plant was supposed to be thirty years and it is now past the design age. There have been two leaks recently that we know about, due to the age of the plant. It is an immediate danger to our community, as well as a costly one.
4) At the time the plant was constructed, the design standards were inadequate, according to today's standards. These plants would never be built today.
5) We endorse the testimony of Riverkeeper, Inc.

We urge you to act immediately, to avert an unprecedented catastrophe.

Very truly yours,

Rita Stanley
302 FRONT ST.
NYACK, N.Y. 10960

Muriel Romer
60 Walter Dr.
Stony Point, NY 10980-1041

December 5, 2001

U.S. NRC Office of Public Affairs
Mail Stop T-2A13
Washington, DC 20555

Dear Sirs:

I would like to endorse the request of the people of Westchester, who have detailed the reasons for insisting that your agency close the Indian Point Nuclear Power Plant now! Your mission statement says that you are there to "ensure adequate protection of public health and safety, to promote the common defense and security, and to protect the environment". We call upon you to fulfill your mission and close the plant for the following reasons:

1) The plant is too close to a population of 20 million people. Therefore, evacuation is not possible for everyone.
2) The plant is a prime target for the terrorists who have already attacked our country. The plants were not constructed to sustain any kind of terrorist attack. Planes are not now prohibited from flying over it.
3) The life of the plant was supposed to be thirty years and it is now past the design age. There have been two leaks recently that we know about, due to the age of the plant. It is an immediate danger to our community, as well as a costly one.
4) At the time the plant was constructed, the design standards were inadequate, according to today's standards. These plants would never be built today.
5) We endorse the testimony of Riverkeeper, Inc.

We urge you to act immediately, to avert an unprecedented catastrophe.

Very truly yours,

Muriel S. Romer
60 Walter Drive
Stony Point, N.Y.
10980-1041

47 Furnace Ave
Haverstraw NY
10927

December 5, 2001

U.S. NRC Office of Public Affairs
Mail Stop T-2A13
Washington, DC 20555

Dear Sirs:

I would like to endorse the request of the people of Westchester, who have detailed the reasons for insisting that your agency close the Indian Point Nuclear Power Plant now! Your mission statement says that you are there to "ensure adequate protection of public health and safety, to promote the common defense and security, and to protect the environment". We call upon you to fulfill your mission and close the plant for the following reasons:

1) The plant is too close to a population of 20 million people. Therefore, evacuation is not possible for everyone.
2) The plant is a prime target for the terrorists who have already attacked our country. The plants were not constructed to sustain any kind of terrorist attack. Planes are not now prohibited from flying over it.
3) The life of the plant was supposed to be thirty years and it is now past the design age. There have been two leaks recently that we know about, due to the age of the plant. It is an immediate danger to our community, as well as a costly one.
4) At the time the plant was constructed, the design standards were inadequate, according to today's standards. These plants would never be built today.
5) We endorse the testimony of Riverkeeper, Inc.

We urge you to act immediately, to avert an unprecedented catastrophe.

Very truly yours,

Joan Beegan

Mrs. Joan Beegan
PO Box 598
Haverstraw NY 10927

WESTCHESTER NY 10
PM
11 DEC
2001

U.S. NRC Office Public Affairs
Mail Stop T 2A13
Washington DC 20555

December 5, 2001

U.S. NRC Office of Public Affairs
Mail Stop T-2A13
Washington, DC 20555

Dear Sirs:

I would like to endorse the request of the people of Westchester, who have detailed the reasons for insisting that your agency close the Indian Point Nuclear Power Plant now! Your mission statement says that you are there to "ensure adequate protection of public health and safety, to promote the common defense and security, and to protect the environment". We call upon you to fulfill your mission and close the plant for the following reasons:

1) The plant is too close to a population of 20 million people. Therefore, evacuation is not possible for everyone.
2) The plant is a prime target for the terrorists who have already attacked our country. The plants were not constructed to sustain any kind of terrorist attack. Planes are not now prohibited from flying over it.
3) The life of the plant was supposed to be thirty years and it is now past the design age. There have been two leaks recently that we know about, due to the age of the plant. It is an immediate danger to our community, as well as a costly one.
4) At the time the plant was constructed, the design standards were inadequate, according to today's standards. These plants would never be built today.
5) We endorse the testimony of Riverkeeper, Inc.

We urge you to act immediately, to avert an unprecedented catastrophe.

Very truly yours,

Mrs Jessie Martorano

MRS. JESSIE MARTORANO
20 OAKLEY BLVD.
GARNERVILLE, NY 10923

2001

U.S. N.R.C.
Office of Public Affairs
Mail Stop 1-7 A13
Washington, D.C.
20555

December 5, 2001

U.S. NRC Office of Public Affairs
Mail Stop T-2A13
Washington, DC 20555

Dear Sirs:

I would like to endorse the request of the people of Westchester, who have detailed the reasons for insisting that your agency close the Indian Point Nuclear Power Plant now! Your mission statement says that you are there to "ensure adequate protection of public health and safety, to promote the common defense and security, and to protect the environment". We call upon you to fulfill your mission and close the plant for the following reasons:

1) The plant is too close to a population of 20 million people. Therefore, evacuation is not possible for everyone.
2) The plant is a prime target for the terrorists who have already attacked our country. The plants were not constructed to sustain any kind of terrorist attack. Planes are not now prohibited from flying over it.
3) The life of the plant was supposed to be thirty years and it is now past the design age. There have been two leaks recently that we know about, due to the age of the plant. It is an immediate danger to our community, as well as a costly one.
4) At the time the plant was constructed, the design standards were inadequate, according to today's standards. These plants would never be built today.
5) We endorse the testimony of Riverkeeper, Inc.

We urge you to act immediately, to avert an unprecedented catastrophe.

Very truly yours,

Mildred Ortopee

Mildred Artopee
100 Nyack Plaza Apt. 111
Nyack, NY 10960-3851

WESTCHESTER, NY 105
PM
06 DEC
2001

U. S. NRC Office of Public Affairs
Mail Stop T-2A13
Washington D.C.
20555

December 5, 2001

U.S. NRC Office of Public Affairs
Mail Stop T-2A13
Washington, DC 20555

Dear Sirs:

I would like to endorse the request of the people of Westchester, who have detailed the reasons for insisting that your agency close the Indian Point Nuclear Power Plant now! Your mission statement says that you are there to "ensure adequate protection of public health and safety, to promote the common defense and security, and to protect the environment". We call upon you to fulfill your mission and close the plant for the following reasons:

1) The plant is too close to a population of 20 million people. Therefore, evacuation is not possible for everyone.
2) The plant is a prime target for the terrorists who have already attacked our country. The plants were not constructed to sustain any kind of terrorist attack. Planes are not now prohibited from flying over it.
3) The life of the plant was supposed to be thirty years and it is now past the design age. There have been two leaks recently that we know about, due to the age of the plant. It is an immediate danger to our community, as well as a costly one.
4) At the time the plant was constructed, the design standards were inadequate, according to today's standards. These plants would never be built today.
5) We endorse the testimony of Riverkeeper, Inc.

We urge you to act immediately, to avert an unprecedented catastrophe.

Very truly yours, Mrs Jennie Sinopoli

Jennie Sinopoli
14 Van Buren St.
Stony Point, NY 10980-1037

WESTCHESTER NY 105
PM
06 DEC
2006

U.S. NRC Office of Public Affairs
Mail Stop T-2F15
Washington, DC 20555

December 5, 2001

U.S. NRC Office of Public Affairs
Mail Stop T-2A13
Washington, DC 20555

Dear Sirs:

I would like to endorse the request of the people of Westchester, who have detailed the reasons for insisting that your agency close the Indian Point Nuclear Power Plant now! Your mission statement says that you are there to "ensure adequate protection of public health and safety, to promote the common defense and security, and to protect the environment". We call upon you to fulfill your mission and close the plant for the following reasons:

1) The plant is too close to a population of 20 million people. Therefore, evacuation is not possible for everyone.
2) The plant is a prime target for the terrorists who have already attacked our country. The plants were not constructed to sustain any kind of terrorist attack. Planes are not now prohibited from flying over it.
3) The life of the plant was supposed to be thirty years and it is now past the design age. There have been two leaks recently that we know about, due to the age of the plant. It is an immediate danger to our community, as well as a costly one.
4) At the time the plant was constructed, the design standards were inadequate, according to today's standards. These plants would never be built today.
5) We endorse the testimony of Riverkeeper, Inc.

We urge you to act immediately, to avert an unprecedented catastrophe.

Very truly yours,

Delcie Childs

Andrew Childs

Mr. & Mrs. Andrew W. Childs
168 Nyack Plaza
Nyack, NY 10960-3851

WESTCHESTER, NY 105
PM
05 DEC
2001

U.S. NRC office of Public Affairs
Stop. T 2A13
Washington, D.C.
20555

December 5, 2001

U.S. NRC Office of Public Affairs
Mail Stop T-2A13
Washington, DC 20555

Dear Sirs:

I would like to endorse the request of the people of Westchester, who have detailed the reasons for insisting that your agency close the Indian Point Nuclear Power Plant now! Your mission statement says that you are there to "ensure adequate protection of public health and safety, to promote the common defense and security, and to protect the environment". We call upon you to fulfill your mission and close the plant for the following reasons:

1) The plant is too close to a population of 20 million people. Therefore, evacuation is not possible for everyone.
2) The plant is a prime target for the terrorists who have already attacked our country. The plants were not constructed to sustain any kind of terrorist attack. Planes are not now prohibited from flying over it.
3) The life of the plant was supposed to be thirty years and it is now past the design age. There have been two leaks recently that we know about, due to the age of the plant. It is an immediate danger to our community, as well as a costly one.
4) At the time the plant was constructed, the design standards were inadequate, according to today's standards. These plants would never be built today.
5) We endorse the testimony of Riverkeeper, Inc.

We urge you to act immediately, to avert an unprecedented catastrophe.

Very truly yours,

Laura Hooghuis
77 Capt. Shankey Dr
Garnerville, N.Y, 10923

WESTCHESTER NY 105
PM
03 DEC
2001

U.S. NRC Office of Public Affairs
Mail Stop T-2A13
Washington, DC 20555

December 5, 2001

U.S. NRC Office of Public Affairs
Mail Stop T-2A13
Washington, DC 20555

Dear Sirs:

I would like to endorse the request of the people of Westchester, who have detailed the reasons for insisting that your agency close the Indian Point Nuclear Power Plant now! Your mission statement says that you are there to "ensure adequate protection of public health and safety, to promote the common defense and security, and to protect the environment". We call upon you to fulfill your mission and close the plant for the following reasons:

1) The plant is too close to a population of 20 million people. Therefore, evacuation is not possible for everyone.
2) The plant is a prime target for the terrorists who have already attacked our country. The plants were not constructed to sustain any kind of terrorist attack. Planes are not now prohibited from flying over it.
3) The life of the plant was supposed to be thirty years and it is now past the design age. There have been two leaks recently that we know about, due to the age of the plant. It is an immediate danger to our community, as well as a costly one.
4) At the time the plant was constructed, the design standards were inadequate, according to today's standards. These plants would never be built today.
5) We endorse the testimony of Riverkeeper, Inc.

We urge you to act immediately, to avert an unprecedented catastrophe.

Very truly yours,

Minna Kaufman, 58 Hempstead Rd. Spring Valley NY 10977

1 Albert Petrecca 5C Forest Dr. Haverstraw, N.Y.
2 Margaret Weirin 1 E pos Drive Monsey N.Y. 10952
3 Louis W Pfeifer 3 HARBOR TER Monsey N.Y. 10952
4 Mike Gatto 2 WEST ST, ROSELAND HILLS N.Y. 10952
5 Sylvia Oberlander 14 Mace Rd S.V. 10977
6 Irwin Fink 14 Union Rd. Apt. 1H Spring Valley, N.Y. 10977
7 Colotta Brothers 14 union Rd. Apt 2N Spring Valley, NY 10977
8 Eleanor Lenzini 6 Bayer Sp Valley 10977
9 Georgia Smith 16 Anthony Rd. S.V. 10977
10 Adriana Guerrero Suffern N.Y. 10901
11 Margaret B. Martto 2 N. Hillside Ave 10977 - Sp. Vly.
12 DORIS WRIGHT - 20 SECORA Rd, MONSEY N.Y. 10952
13 DOROTHY WILSON " " " " " "
14 Pauline Lifshutz " " " " 10952
15 BERENICE E. HOLDER - 50 SADDLE RIV Rd, N.Y.
16 LINETH THOMPSON - 13 B CATAMOUNT DR., SPRING VALLEY
17 MOLLY INGER - 20 SECORA Rd, MONSEY, N.Y. 10952
18 Helen Kushner 1313 Fountainwin Drive Monsey N.Y. 10952
19 Julia Cary
20 Eddie Cayman 868 Chestnut Ridge Rd
21 Gabrielle Jourdan Suffern N.Y.
22 Mildred Crumity Suffern N.Y. 10901
23 Irene Harrylak Suffern, NY
24 Esther Eisenberg 20 Secora RD Apt 411
25 Margaret & Ae Weiner 1 E pos Drive Monsey
26 Catherine Schwager Suffern N.Y. 10901
27 Estelle & Sam Laufer 13 Revere Ct Suffern
28 Irma Condadas 200 Dashew Dr, Suffern 10901

30 Elizabeth Cirio Suffern, ny 10901
31 Florencio Basilio Spring Valley ny 10977
32 Ophelia Taylor 23 Lakeview Way, Spring Valley, NY 10977
33 Salvatore Hana 14 Union Rd Spring Vly NY 10977
34 Lorraine Jones 19 Ninth St Hillburn ny 10931
35 Adela Torre Suffern N.Y. 10901
36 Anne Tirico Suffern, N.Y
37 Stella Manuscalco Suffern N.Y. 10901
38 Ann Paulson - 59 Dd bury Lane Suffern N.J. 10901
39 Joseph Neil Morales 27 [illegible] Suffern NJ 10901
40 Lois Marinto 300 Grant St Hackensack NJ 10701
41 Catherine Oppelt 200 Dashew Dr Suffern ny 10901
42 Millie Goldstein 200 Lafayette Ave 10901
43 Josie Bellahan 200 Dashew Dr Suffern NY 10901
44 Helen Chiaramonte 200 Dashew Dr Suffern N.J. 10901
45 Georgie Smith 50 SSADDLE RD MONSEY N Y 10952
46 Irene Hawrylus 26 Prairie ave Suffern N.Y 1090
47 Mollie Ingber 20 Secora Rd BERNICE E H.
Monsey N.Y.
48 Yeannine Vilson 14 B Catamount Spring Valley
49 Salvatore Faca 47 Greenridge Way Spring Valley NY 10977
50 Florence Kahan 40 S. Cole Ave Spring Valley N.Y. 10977
51 Rosie Lango 14 LINCOLN ROAD SPRING VALLEY N.Y 10977
52 Anita Germano 2 Secora Rd - Monsey N.Y. 10952
53 Bernice Harper 200 DASHEW DR SUFFERN NY Apt B6 10901-4233
54 Emma Glaser 200 DASHEW DR Emma Ogrenski
55 Ethel Rothstein 200 - Dash Dri
56 Rose Shapiro 20 Secora Rd Monsey NY 10952

Kaufman
58 Hempstead Rd.
Spring Valley, Ny 10977

WESTCHESTER NY 105
P.M.
15 DEC
2001

U.S. NRC Office of Public Affairs
Mail Stop T-2A13
Washington, DC 20555

December 5, 2001

U.S. NRC Office of Public Affairs
Mail Stop T-2A13
Washington, DC 20555

Dear Sirs:

I would like to endorse the request of the people of Westchester, who have detailed the reasons for insisting that your agency close the Indian Point Nuclear Power Plant now! Your mission statement says that you are there to "ensure adequate protection of public health and safety, to promote the common defense and security, and to protect the environment". We call upon you to fulfill your mission and close the plant for the following reasons:

1) The plant is too close to a population of 20 million people. Therefore, evacuation is not possible for everyone.
2) The plant is a prime target for the terrorists who have already attacked our country. The plants were not constructed to sustain any kind of terrorist attack. Planes are not now prohibited from flying over it.
3) The life of the plant was supposed to be thirty years and it is now past the design age. There have been two leaks recently that we know about, due to the age of the plant. It is an immediate danger to our community, as well as a costly one.
4) At the time the plant was constructed, the design standards were inadequate, according to today's standards. These plants would never be built today.
5) We endorse the testimony of Riverkeeper, Inc.

We urge you to act immediately, to avert an unprecedented catastrophe.

Very truly yours,

Margaret P. Deuser
Dacostra

Ms. Margaret P. Neuser
55 Fairmont Ave.
Haverstraw, NY 10927

WESTCHESTER, NY 105
PM
10 DEC
2001

U.S. NRC Office of Public Affairs
Mail Stop T 2A13
Washington, D.C. 20555

December 5, 2001

U.S. NRC Office of Public Affairs
Mail Stop T-2A13
Washington, DC 20555

Dear Sirs:

I would like to endorse the request of the people of Westchester, who have detailed the reasons for insisting that your agency close the Indian Point Nuclear Power Plant now! Your mission statement says that you are there to "ensure adequate protection of public health and safety, to promote the common defense and security, and to protect the environment". We call upon you to fulfill your mission and close the plant for the following reasons:

1) The plant is too close to a population of 20 million people. Therefore, evacuation is not possible for everyone.
2) The plant is a prime target for the terrorists who have already attacked our country. The plants were not constructed to sustain any kind of terrorist attack. Planes are not now prohibited from flying over it.
3) The life of the plant was supposed to be thirty years and it is now past the design age. There have been two leaks recently that we know about, due to the age of the plant. It is an immediate danger to our community, as well as a costly one.
4) At the time the plant was constructed, the design standards were inadequate, according to today's standards. These plants would never be built today.
5) We endorse the testimony of Riverkeeper, Inc.

We urge you to act immediately, to avert an unprecedented catastrophe.

Very truly yours,

Mrs Elizabeth Conklin

Mrs Elizabeth Conklin
108 Warren Knolls
Haverstraw N.Y. 10927

WESTCHESTER NY 105
PM
07 DEC
2001

34

U.S. NRC Office of Public Affairs
Mail Stop T-2A 13
Washington, D.C. 20555

December 5, 2001

U.S. NRC Office of Public Affairs
Mail Stop T-2A13
Washington, DC 20555

Dear Sirs:

I would like to endorse the request of the people of Westchester, who have detailed the reasons for insisting that your agency close the Indian Point Nuclear Power Plant now! Your mission statement says that you are there to "ensure adequate protection of public health and safety, to promote the common defense and security, and to protect the environment". We call upon you to fulfill your mission and close the plant for the following reasons:

1) The plant is too close to a population of 20 million people. Therefore, evacuation is not possible for everyone.
2) The plant is a prime target for the terrorists who have already attacked our country. The plants were not constructed to sustain any kind of terrorist attack. Planes are not now prohibited from flying over it.
3) The life of the plant was supposed to be thirty years and it is now past the design age. There have been two leaks recently that we know about, due to the age of the plant. It is an immediate danger to our community, as well as a costly one.
4) At the time the plant was constructed, the design standards were inadequate, according to today's standards. These plants would never be built today.
5) We endorse the testimony of Riverkeeper, Inc.

We urge you to act immediately, to avert an unprecedented catastrophe.

Very truly yours,

Rose & Sol Hecht
64 Clinton Ave.
S. Nyack, N.Y. 10960

Mrs Rose Hecht
64 Clinton Ave
Nyack, NY 10960-4602

WESTCHESTER
PM
6 DEC
2001

U.S. NRC Office of Public Affairs
MAIL STOP T2A13
Washington, D.C.
20555

December 5, 2001

U.S. NRC Office of Public Affairs
Mail Stop T-2A13
Washington, DC 20555

Dear Sirs:

I would like to endorse the request of the people of Westchester, who have detailed the reasons for insisting that your agency close the Indian Point Nuclear Power Plant now! Your mission statement says that you are there to "ensure adequate protection of public health and safety, to promote the common defense and security, and to protect the environment". We call upon you to fulfill your mission and close the plant for the following reasons:

1) The plant is too close to a population of 20 million people. Therefore, evacuation is not possible for everyone.
2) The plant is a prime target for the terrorists who have already attacked our country. The plants were not constructed to sustain any kind of terrorist attack. Planes are not now prohibited from flying over it.
3) The life of the plant was supposed to be thirty years and it is now past the design age. There have been two leaks recently that we know about, due to the age of the plant. It is an immediate danger to our community, as well as a costly one.
4) At the time the plant was constructed, the design standards were inadequate, according to today's standards. These plants would never be built today.
5) We endorse the testimony of Riverkeeper, Inc.

We urge you to act immediately, to avert an unprecedented catastrophe.

Very truly yours,

Margaret Caserta

WESTCHESTER
PM
10 DEC
2001

U. S. NRC Office of Public Affairs
Mail Stop T-2A13
Washington, D.C. 20555

December 5, 2001

U.S. NRC Office of Public Affairs
Mail Stop T-2A13
Washington, DC 20555

Dear Sirs:

I would like to endorse the request of the people of Westchester, who have detailed the reasons for insisting that your agency close the Indian Point Nuclear Power Plant now! Your mission statement says that you are there to "ensure adequate protection of public health and safety, to promote the common defense and security, and to protect the environment". We call upon you to fulfill your mission and close the plant for the following reasons:

1) The plant is too close to a population of 20 million people. Therefore, evacuation is not possible for everyone.
2) The plant is a prime target for the terrorists who have already attacked our country. The plants were not constructed to sustain any kind of terrorist attack. Planes are not now prohibited from flying over it.
3) The life of the plant was supposed to be thirty years and it is now past the design age. There have been two leaks recently that we know about, due to the age of the plant. It is an immediate danger to our community, as well as a costly one.
4) At the time the plant was constructed, the design standards were inadequate, according to today's standards. These plants would never be built today.
5) We endorse the testimony of Riverkeeper, Inc.

We urge you to act immediately, to avert an unprecedented catastrophe.

Very truly yours,

Helen Wright

Pomona, NY

Helen M. Wright
Pomona. N.Y.

WESTCHESTER NY 105
PM
07 DEC
2001

first-class · usa

US NRC Office Appeals
Mail Stop T 2 A.13
Washington DC 20555

December 5, 2001

U.S. NRC Office of Public Affairs
Mail Stop T-2A13
Washington, DC 20555

Dear Sirs:

I would like to endorse the request of the people of Westchester, who have detailed the reasons for insisting that your agency close the Indian Point Nuclear Power Plant now! Your mission statement says that you are there to "ensure adequate protection of public health and safety, to promote the common defense and security, and to protect the environment". We call upon you to fulfill your mission and close the plant for the following reasons:

1) The plant is too close to a population of 20 million people. Therefore, evacuation is not possible for everyone.
2) The plant is a prime target for the terrorists who have already attacked our country. The plants were not constructed to sustain any kind of terrorist attack. Planes are not now prohibited from flying over it.
3) The life of the plant was supposed to be thirty years and it is now past the design age. There have been two leaks recently that we know about, due to the age of the plant. It is an immediate danger to our community, as well as a costly one.
4) At the time the plant was constructed, the design standards were inadequate, according to today's standards. These plants would never be built today.
5) We endorse the testimony of Riverkeeper, Inc.

We urge you to act immediately, to avert an unprecedented catastrophe.

Very truly yours,

Georgiana Fyrnick
One Gerlach Dr.
New City, NY 10956

RAO ISARDA
ONE GERLACH DR.
NEW CITY, N.Y. 10956

WESTCHESTER NY 105
PM
6 DEC
2001

U.S. NRC office of Public Affairs
Mail Stop T-2A13
Washington, D.C. 20555

December 5, 2001

U.S. NRC Office of Public Affairs
Mail Stop T-2A13
Washington, DC 20555

Dear Sirs:

I would like to endorse the request of the people of Westchester, who have detailed the reasons for insisting that your agency close the Indian Point Nuclear Power Plant now! Your mission statement says that you are there to "ensure adequate protection of public health and safety, to promote the common defense and security, and to protect the environment". We call upon you to fulfill your mission and close the plant for the following reasons:

1) The plant is too close to a population of 20 million people. Therefore, evacuation is not possible for everyone.
2) The plant is a prime target for the terrorists who have already attacked our country. The plants were not constructed to sustain any kind of terrorist attack. Planes are not now prohibited from flying over it.
3) The life of the plant was supposed to be thirty years and it is now past the design age. There have been two leaks recently that we know about, due to the age of the plant. It is an immediate danger to our community, as well as a costly one.
4) At the time the plant was constructed, the design standards were inadequate, according to today's standards. These plants would never be built today.
5) We endorse the testimony of Riverkeeper, Inc.

We urge you to act immediately, to avert an unprecedented catastrophe.

Very truly yours,

C. Miller
289 Seakeid Rd.
Pomona, N.Y.
10970

WESTCHESTER, NY 105
PM
07 DEC
2001

U. S. NRC Office of Public Affairs
Mail Stop T-2A13
Washington, D.C
20555

December 5, 2001

U.S. NRC Office of Public Affairs
Mail Stop T-2A13
Washington, DC 20555

Dear Sirs:

I would like to endorse the request of the people of Westchester, who have detailed the reasons for insisting that your agency close the Indian Point Nuclear Power Plant now! Your mission statement says that you are there to "ensure adequate protection of public health and safety, to promote the common defense and security, and to protect the environment". We call upon you to fulfill your mission and close the plant for the following reasons:

1) The plant is too close to a population of 20 million people. Therefore, evacuation is not possible for everyone.
2) The plant is a prime target for the terrorists who have already attacked our country. The plants were not constructed to sustain any kind of terrorist attack. Planes are not now prohibited from flying over it.
3) The life of the plant was supposed to be thirty years and it is now past the design age. There have been two leaks recently that we know about, due to the age of the plant. It is an immediate danger to our community, as well as a costly one.
4) At the time the plant was constructed, the design standards were inadequate, according to today's standards. These plants would never be built today.
5) We endorse the testimony of Riverkeeper, Inc.

We urge you to act immediately, to avert an unprecedented catastrophe.

Very truly yours,

Joan T. Witkowski

MEALS ON WHEELS
PROGRAMS & SERVICES
OF ROCKLAND, INC.

121 West Nyack Road
Nanuet, NY 10954

UNITED STATES POSTAGE
128 PB8687D24
3854 00.34 DEC 05 01
6311 MAILED FROM NANUET NY 10954

U.S. NRC Office of Public Affairs
Mail Stop T-2A13
Washington, DC 20555

December 5, 2001

U.S. NRC Office of Public Affairs
Mail Stop T-2A13
Washington, DC 20555

Dear Sirs:

I would like to endorse the request of the people of Westchester, who have detailed the reasons for insisting that your agency close the Indian Point Nuclear Power Plant now! Your mission statement says that you are there to "ensure adequate protection of public health and safety, to promote the common defense and security, and to protect the environment". We call upon you to fulfill your mission and close the plant for the following reasons:

1) The plant is too close to a population of 20 million people. Therefore, evacuation is not possible for everyone.
2) The plant is a prime target for the terrorists who have already attacked our country. The plants were not constructed to sustain any kind of terrorist attack. Planes are not now prohibited from flying over it.
3) The life of the plant was supposed to be thirty years and it is now past the design age. There have been two leaks recently that we know about, due to the age of the plant. It is an immediate danger to our community, as well as a costly one.
4) At the time the plant was constructed, the design standards were inadequate, according to today's standards. These plants would never be built today.
5) We endorse the testimony of Riverkeeper, Inc.

We urge you to act immediately, to avert an unprecedented catastrophe.

Very truly yours,

Ruth Wood

Alan R. Woodward
105 Buckingham Ct.
Pomona, NY 10970-3710

WESTCHESTER NY 105
PM
10 DEC
2001

U.S. NRC
Office of Public Affairs
Mail Stop T 2A13
Washington, DC 20555