

**From:** Larry Camper, *NMSS*  
**To:** Scott Moore, *NMSS*  
**Date:** Mon, Jul 23, 2001 12:53 PM  
**Subject:** Re: Exceptional Value Waters

The bottom line is that PADEP concurs with our findings etc. They will do what they will do for their own regulations. Really doesn't impact on the decision for us to remove from the SDMP. This is our site for the year as committed to in the Green Book.

>>> Scott Moore 07/23/01 12:39PM >>>  
Garrett,

The definition of "exceptional value waters" comes from PA's regs, and it's lengthy and convoluted. We recommend a summary as:

"Exceptional Value Waters" are surface waters of high quality (chemical purity and low toxicity) which Pennsylvania has determined to have exceptional ecological significance.

The attached file provides the full definition, from the State's regs. It's not a clear-cut definition (e.g., exceptional value waters are this, or this, or this, or this, .....).

I understand that Duane discussed the "aquatic pathway" with you, and forwarded a recommended word change, by e-mail. We understand that you are considering that issue, and will get back to us if you need anything further.

Think this concludes what we had discussed on Friday. If you need anything further, please let me know immediately, and we will get it to you.

Scott

**CC:** Garrett Smith

*C/28*

**Mail Envelope Properties** (3B5C569F.537 : 18 : 21374)

**Subject:** Re: Exceptional Value Waters  
**Creation Date:** Mon, Jul 23, 2001 12:53 PM  
**From:** Larry Camper

**Created By:** LWC@nrc.gov

**Recipients**

nrc.gov  
owf5\_po.OWFN\_DO  
GAS CC (Garrett Smith)

nrc.gov  
twf4\_po.TWFN\_DO  
SWM (Scott Moore)

**Post Office**

owf5\_po.OWFN\_DO  
twf4\_po.TWFN\_DO

**Route**

nrc.gov  
nrc.gov

<b>Files</b>	<b>Size</b>	<b>Date &amp; Time</b>
MESSAGE	2165	Monday, July 23, 2001 12:53 PM

**Options**

**Expiration Date:** None  
**Priority:** Standard  
**Reply Requested:** No  
**Return Notification:** None

**Concealed Subject:** No  
**Security:** Standard