

From: Duane Schmidt, *UMSS*
To: Scott Moore; Theodore Smith, *UMSS*
Date: Mon, Jul 23, 2001 10:10 AM
Subject: Re: Garrett's questions on the Cabot -- Revere paper.

Ted and Scott,

I talked to Garrett about his concern. I think his real concern is that "aquatic pathway" may not be a very good descriptor and may not be standard terminology. That's what we (Mark Thaggard) had used, but I agreed with him that is is not great wording, since it leaves out that we are talking about ingestion of aquatic foodstuffs (fish, crustaceans, etc.). Garrett indicated he would think about it more.

Duane.

>>> Scott Moore 7/20/2001 4:31:05 PM >>>

Please see me, together, on Monday. Garrett dropped by today, and he has some questions on the Cabot - Revere paper. I owe him an answer on Monday.

He wants a sentence on what an "exceptional value stream" is, to follow the sentence where it's used in the paper. E.g., "An exceptional value stream is" "

He would like to know what the "aquatic pathway" is, under the residential gardener scenario ----- I speculated on it, but owe him a definitive answer on Monday.

Also, he plans to make some changes to the paper (at his level) that I can discuss with you.

Scott

C/26

Mail Envelope Properties (3B5C3066.491 : 20 : 18717)

Subject: Re: Garrett's questions on the Cabot -- Revere paper.
Creation Date: Mon, Jul 23, 2001 10:10 AM
From: Duane Schmidt
Created By: DWS2@nrc.gov

Recipients

nrc.gov
twf4_po.TWFN_DO
SWM (Scott Moore)
TBS1 (Theodore Smith)

Post Office

twf4_po.TWFN_DO

Route

nrc.gov

Files

MESSAGE

Size

2261

Date & Time

Monday, July 23, 2001 10:10 AM

Options

Expiration Date: None
Priority: Standard
Reply Requested: No
Return Notification: None

Concealed Subject: No
Security: Standard