

From: 5

From: A. Randolph Blough ^{RI}
To: Bill Bateman, ^{RI} Brian Sheron, Diane Screnci, Edmu...
Date: Mon, Mar 20, 2000 2:54 PM
Subject: Re: IP2 Restart Schedule - Start-up vs. NRC actions

i'd like to hear other views, but i believe that this licensee schedule is merely a target that the licensee is using to focus their staff; it is not likely to be close to a realistic schedule, as it does not account for many factors, such as,

- 1) the wide variety of corr actions likely to evolve from their event review ("SL-1"), their EP review, our AIT, and their INPO assist, etc.
- 2) reviewing their effectiveness of corr actions, convincing their own mgt team that they're ready, and dealing with stakeholders;
- 3) anything other than very optimistic times to complete all scheduled work, S/G and other.
- 4) any scope expansions.

This is **not a reasonable schedule for us** to work from.

My recommendation: Let's lay out everything we here at NRC believe we need to do (marsha in nrr and pete e in RI should collaborate); tell the licensee what our list contains (except for any private info).

Attempt to work cooperatively with them to get the various items scheduled. If the licensee is unreasonable, next step is to send them a letter, patterned after our 10/4/99 ltr, laying it all out. if the licensee still pushes too hard, consider CAL.

In parallel, we should have good dialogue w/ sr licensee mgt.
other thoughts?

>>> Marsha Gamberoni 03/20 12:40 PM >>>

I discussed the following information with Bill Ruland, Bob Summers, Elinor Adensam, John Zwolinski, Bill Bateman and Ted Sullivan and was asked to provide this summary.

We have received information from the licensee that they plan to restart on 4/5. This information was provided to the 2.206 petition manager, Len Wiens, from John McCann and to Pete Eselgroth from John Groth.

The NRC has a number of issues that currently will not be completed before that date. These include:

- a meeting with the March 14, 2.206 petitioner to discuss new information - 4/7 in Rockville
- an acknowledgment letter with partial decision to the March 14, 2.206 - date ????
- response to and review of the SG RAI - date ????
- a meeting with the licensee to discuss SG inspection results - the week of 4/10.

In addition, the following actions may also have an impact:

- a meeting to discuss EP prior to restart - date ????
- the SG RES review and NRR response will only go public in the next day
- the AIT will exit 3/29
- the responses to three new green tickets are being developed.

Given the conflict in these schedules, should we be taking action to delay the restart and if so what would the process be? (The RROP action matrix indicates that additional regulatory oversight is warranted and one option is a CAL.)

Marsha

DD/4

CC:

hjm1