NRC INDIAN POINT UNIT TWO AUGMENTED INSPECTION TEAM EXIT MEETING

Inspection Report 50-247/2000-02 March 29, 2000



P. 82

9359

739

B

USNRC INDIAN POINT

98:39

3PR-04-2000

ערטע	AGENDA							
714 717	Introduction and Background - L. Doerflein, Team Manager							
	Preliminary Findings - R. Lorson, Team Leader							
	Consolidated Edison Comments - J. Groth, Chief Nuclear Officer, ConEd							
אווואו אאנט	Concluding Remarks - W. Lanning, Director, Division of of Reactor Safety, Region I							
TC:DD								
ちんひひ	2							
1								

-

.

.

•

INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND

- Establishment of the Augmented Inspection Team (AIT)
- Purpose of an AIT
- Review of Team Charter, Including Team Membership
 - Cause of the Steam Generator (SG) Tube Failure Separate NRC Review

3

USNRC INDIAN POINT TWO

P.04

914 739 9359

AIT TEAM CHARTER

- Develop Sequence of Events
- Review Operator Performance
- Review Equipment Performance
- Plant Risk
- Radiological Assessment
- Emergency Response Organization
- Review SG History
 - Cause of Tube Failure Under Separate NRC Review

4

1. SU

בכבע בכו

714

3

1 NU

ドラン

SYSTEM DIAGRAM



5

914 739 9359

P.04

USNRC INDIAN POINT TWO

APR-04-2000 08:37

OVERVIEW

- Initial Response Prompt/Appropriate
- No Offsite Radiological Impact
- Licensee Successful in Achieving Cold Shutdown
- Several Operator Performance/Procedural/Equipment Issues Identified Which Delayed Achieving Cold Shutdown Conditions

6

- Several Emergency Response Problems
 - No Impact on Public Health and Safety

USNRC INDIAN POINT TWO

P. 05

9359

739

914

AIT FINDINGS

7

- Sequence of Events
- Steam Generator Monitoring
- Operator Performance
- Procedure Quality
- Equipment Performance
- Emergency Response
- Radiological Assessment
- Safety Significance

USNRC INDIAN POINT TWO

P. 06

9359

914 739

SEQUENCE OF EVENTS

דטדמע אימי

February 15, 2000

7:17 p.m.	ten erk	Operators Identified Increased SG Leak					
7:29 p.m.	-	Declared Alert					
7:30 p.m.		Tripped Reactor					
7:41 p.m.	<u> </u>	State/County Officials Notified					
8:31 p.m.		Isolated Affected SG					
9:02 p.m.		Operators Initiated Plant Cooldown					
9:04 p.m.		Manually Initiated Safety Injection					
11:38 p.m.	-	Tube Leak Stopped					
February 16,2000							

Eebruary 16. 2000

12:39 p.m.	 Shutdown Cooling System
4:57 p.m.	 Achieved Cold Shutdown
6:50 p.m.	 Terminated Alert

ר. פֹ

STEAM GENERATOR MONITORING

9

- SG Tube Leakage Monitored During Cycle
- Pre-Event Leak Monitoring Actions Appropriate
 - Shift Monitoring of Tube Leakage
 - Operator Review of Tube Leak Procedure
- Secondary Chemistry Acceptable

P. Ø1

OPERATOR PERFORMANCE

Initial Response Prompt and Appropriate; Procedure Adherence Good Overall

10

- Some Deficiencies in the Plant Cooldown Phase
 - Initial Cooldown Excessive

P.02

739 9359

914

USNAC INDIAN POINT TWO

υυ: 5

Operator Recognition of Plant Configuration

PROCEDURE QUALITY

- Procedures (AOPs/EOPs) to Guide Initial Response were Good
 - Several Procedural Deficiencies Challenged Operators During the Plant Cooldown Phase

11

- Delayed Placing Shutdown Cooling In-Service
- System Configuration Shutdown Conditions

P.03

EQUIPMENT PERFORMANCE

Event Mitigation Systems Worked Properly

- Reactor Protection System
- Auxiliary Feedwater System
- Safety Injection System

Some Pre-existing Equipment Problems Challenged Operators

- SG Leak Rate Trend Recorder
- Automatic Condenser Vacuum Control Valve
- Condenser Mechanical Vacuum Pump
- Containment Valve Seal Water System Design Problem ----
 - Pressurizer Power Operated Relief Valve Design Problem

12

EMERGENCY RESPONSE

Emergency Response Protected Health and Safety of Public
Event Classified Properly/Good Critique of Emergency Response

Emergency Plan/Implementing Procedure Problems

- Augmented Emergency Response Facility Staffing Not Timely
- Accountability Problems
- Emergency Response Data System (ERDS) not Operable for Several Hours (Pre-Existing Problem)
- Problems in Implementation of the Media Response Plan
- Emergency Response Facility Equipment Problems
- Technical Support Timeliness and Quality Issues

13



P.06

914 739 9359

USNRC INDIAN POINT TWO

08:40

APR--04--2000

RADIOLOGICAL ASSESSMENT

15

Off-site Monitoring Good

P.07

914 739 9359

USNRC INDIAN FOINT TWO

08:41

APR-04-2000

No Radioactivity Detected Conclusion - No Radiological Impact

POTENTIAL RADIOLOGICAL EFFECT

Conservative; Bounding Calculation

Any Releases Small Fraction of Allowable Limits

	<u>Calculated</u> <u>Event</u> <u>Release</u>	<u>Background</u>	<u>Licensee</u> <u>Limit</u>	<u>% of</u> <u>Licensee</u> <u>Limit</u>
Gas	~.01 mrem	~ 300 - 400 mrem/year	10 mrem/yr (Total Body Gamma Air Dose)	0.1%
Liquid	~.0009 mrem		3 mrem/yr (Total Body)	0.03%

16

דרדמן ם מַם

SAFETY SIGNIFICANCE

Event Consequences

- No Measurable Radioactivity Offsite Above Normal Background
- There were no Consequences to Public Health and Safety
- **Risk Perspective**
 - Analyzed to Determine Necessary Licensee and NRC Response

17

Some Increase in Calculated Risk