

APPENDIX I

Operations Branch Assignment Check Sheet:

as of: 9/28/00

(Includes ES-201-1 & ES-501-1 Rev. 8 information)

Chief: *T.O. McFERNON*

Facility/Task: *FCS Retake*

Task Start Date: ~~5/22/00~~ *10/22/01*

ITEM DESCRIPTION		DUE DATE	INIT	DATE
0	Exam/Inspection Schedule Agreement	Nov 24, 1999	JLP	9/28/2000
1	NRC Staff & Fac. Contact Assigned	Nov 24, 1999	JLP	9/28/2000
2	Facility contact briefed on security & other issues	Nov 24, 1999	JLP	9/28/2000
3	Corp. Notification Letter Sent	Nov 24, 1999	<i>JOM</i>	<i>8/21/01</i>
3a	Inspection Announcement Letter Sent (PIR & LORT if req'd)	Apr 7, 2000	<i>NA</i>	—
4	Task Expectations, Issues, & Standards Discussed w/ BC	Feb 22, 2000	<i>NA</i>	—
5	[Reference Material Due]	Jan 23, 2000	<i>JOM</i>	<i>9/28/01</i>
6	Integrated Exam Outlines Due	Jan 23, 2000	<i>JOM</i>	<i>9/28/01</i>
7	Outlines reviewed by NRC & Feedback Sent	Feb 6, 2000	<i>JOM</i>	<i>9/30/01</i>
8	Preliminary Applications Due	Apr 22, 2000	<i>JOM</i>	<i>9/28/01</i>
9	Draft Exams w/ Doc./Ref. Due	Mar 23, 2000	<i>JOM</i>	<i>9/28/01</i>
10*	Independent Reviewer Initials As Reviewed All Parts	Apr 2, 2000	<i>M</i>	<i>10/3/01</i>
11*	NRC Supervisor. Initials Approving for Fac. Rev.	Apr 2, 2000	<i>AT</i>	<i>10/5/01</i>
12	Exams Reviewed w/ Fac.	Apr 2, 2000	<i>JOM</i>	<i>10/5/01</i>
13	Final Appl. Due & Assign. Sheet Prepared	May 8, 2000	<i>JOM</i>	<i>10/3/01</i>
14*	NRC Supervisor Approved Final Exams	May 15, 2000	<i>AT</i>	<i>10/5/01</i>
15	Final Appl. Rec'd & Waivers Sent	May 15, 2000	<i>JOM</i>	<i>10/12/01</i>
16	Proctor Rules Reviewed w/ Fac. & Written Authorized	May 15, 2000	<i>JOM</i>	<i>10/15/01</i>
17	Exam/Insp Material to Team	May 15, 2000	<i>JOM</i>	<i>10/24/01</i>
18	Fac. graded exam & Comments Rec'd	Jun 3, 2000	<i>JOM</i>	<i>10/24/01</i>
19	NRC Written Grading Completed	Jun 6, 2000	<i>JOM</i>	<i>10/28/01</i>
20	Examiners Finished Grading Op. Tests	Jun 6, 2000	<i>NA</i>	—
21	NRC Ch. Ex. Review Completed	Jun 16, 2000	<i>JOM</i>	<i>10/30/01</i>
22*	NRC BC Review Completed	Jun 17, 2000	<i>JOM</i>	<i>10/30/01</i>
23	RPS/IP Examinees Updated Before Report Issued	Jun 22, 2000	<i>NA</i>	—
24	License/Denials Signed & Report Issued	Jun 22, 2000	<i>JOM</i>	<i>10/30/01</i>
25	Package Closed Out	Jul 13, 2000	<i>JOM</i>	<i>12/11/01</i>
Final Inspection Report Issued, Exam Package to OLA, Facility. Contact Notified of Results				

* Note Supervisor or Independent Reviewer initials required in for lines 10, 11, 14, & 22.

[] Required NRC-auth. exams only for line 5.



444 South 16th Street Mall
Omaha NE 68102-2247

September 28, 2001
LIC-01-0094

Mr. A. T. Gody
Chief, Operations Branch, Region IV
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
611 Ryan Plaza Drive, Suite 400
Arlington, TX 76011-8064

References: 1. Docket No. 50-285
2. Letter from NRC (A. T. Gody) to OPPD (S. K. Gambhir) dated August 21, 2001 (NRC-01-082)

SUBJECT: Re-examination Outlines for Unsuccessful Reactor Operator Candidate

In Reference 2, the NRC requested that Omaha Public Power District (OPPD) furnish the examination outlines for an individual that is scheduled to retake licensing examinations at Fort Calhoun Station (FCS).

The examination outlines for this individual are enclosed. Also noted in Reference 2, the proposed date of this re-examination will be during the week of October 22, 2001.

If you require additional information, please contact Mr. David Weaver at (402) 533-6056.

Sincerely,

M. T. Frans
Manager
Nuclear Licensing

MTF/GRC/epm

Enclosures

c: T. O. McKernon, Operations Branch, Region IV
W. C. Walker, NRC Senior Resident Inspector (w/o Enclosures)
A. B. Wang, NRC Project Manager (w/o Enclosures)
Document Control Desk (w/o Enclosures)
Winston & Strawn (w/o Enclosures)

Facility: <u>Fort Calhoun</u>		Date of Examination: <u>10/22/01</u>		
Item	Task Description	Initials		
		a	b*	c#
1. W R I T T E N	a. Verify that the outline(s) fit(s) the appropriate model per ES-401.	JK	JKW	M
	b. Assess whether the outline was systematically and randomly prepared in accordance with Section D.1 of ES-401 and whether all K/A categories are appropriately sampled.	JK	JKW	M
	c. Assess whether the outline over-emphasizes any systems, evolutions, or generic topics.	JK	JKW	M
	d. Assess whether the justifications for deselected or rejected K/A statements are appropriate.	JK	JKW	M
2. S I M	a. Using Form ES-301-5, verify that the proposed scenario sets cover the required number of normal evolutions, instrument and component failures, and major transients.	N/A	---	---
	b. Assess whether there are enough scenario sets (and spares) to test the projected number and mix of applicants in accordance with the expected crew composition and rotation schedule without compromising exam integrity; ensure each applicant can be tested using at least one new or significantly modified scenario, that no scenarios are duplicated from the applicants' audit test(s)*, and scenarios will not be repeated over successive days.	N/A	---	---
	c. To the extent possible, assess whether the outline(s) conform(s) with the qualitative and quantitative criteria specified on Form ES-301-4 and described in Appendix D.	N/A	---	---
3. W / T	a. Verify that: (1) the outline(s) contain(s) the required number of control room and in-plant tasks, (2) no more than 30% of the test material is repeated from the last NRC examination, (3)* no tasks are duplicated from the applicants' audit test(s), and (4) no more than 80% of any operating test is taken directly from the licensee's exam banks.	N/A	---	---
	b. Verify that: (1) the tasks are distributed among the safety function groupings as specified in ES-301, (2) one task is conducted in a low-power or shutdown condition, (3) 40% of the tasks require the applicant to implement an alternate path procedure, (4) one in-plant task tests the applicant's response to an emergency or abnormal condition, and (5) the in-plant walk-through requires the applicant to enter the RCA.	N/A	---	---
	c. Verify that the required administrative topics are covered, with emphasis on performance-based activities.	N/A	---	---
	d. Determine if there are enough different outlines to test the projected number and mix of applicants and ensure that no items are duplicated on successive days.	N/A	---	---
4. G E N E R A L	a. Assess whether plant-specific priorities (including PRA and IPE insights) are covered in the appropriate exam section.	JK	JKW	M
	b. Assess whether the 10 CFR 55.41/43 and 55.45 sampling is appropriate.	JK	JKW	M
	c. Ensure that K/A importance ratings (except for plant-specific priorities) are at least 2.5.	JK	JKW	M
	d. Check for duplication and overlap among exam sections.	N/A	---	---
	e. Check the entire exam for balance of coverage.	JK	JKW	MA
	f. Assess whether the exam fits the appropriate job level (RO or SRO).	JK	JKW	M
a. Author	<u>Jerry Koske</u> Printed Name / Signature		Date	<u>9/28/01</u>
b. Facility Reviewer (*)	<u>DAVID E WEAVER</u>			<u>9/28/01</u>
c. NRC Chief Examiner (#)	<u>RYAN E LANTE</u> For Tom.			<u>10/3/01</u>
d. NRC Supervisor	<u>Anthony T. Gody</u>			<u>10/5/01</u>
Note	* Not applicable for NRC-developed examinations # independent NRC reviewer initial items in Column "c"; chief examiner concurrence required.			

Facility: <u>Fort Calhoun</u>		Date of Exam: <u>10/23/01</u>		Exam Level: <u>RO/SRO</u>		
Item Description	Initial					
	a	b*	c*			
1. Questions and answers technically accurate and applicable to facility	JH	JW	M			
2. a. NRC K/As referenced for all questions b. Facility learning objectives referenced as available	JH	JW	M			
3. RO/SRO overlap is no more than 75 percent, and SRO questions are appropriate per Section D.2.d of ES-401	N/A	N/A	Y/A			
4. Question selection and duplication from the last two NRC licensing exams appears consistent with a systematic sampling process			M			
5. Question duplication from the license screening/audit exam was controlled as indicated below (check the item that applies) and appears appropriate: <input type="checkbox"/> the audit exam was systematically and randomly developed; or <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> the audit exam was completed before the license exam was started; or <input type="checkbox"/> the examinations were developed independently; or <input type="checkbox"/> the licensee certifies that there is no duplication; or <input type="checkbox"/> other (explain)	JH	JW	M			
6. Bank use meets limits (no more than 75 percent from the bank at least 10 percent new, and the rest modified); enter the actual question distribution at right	Bank	Modified	New	JH	JW	M
	51	9	40			
7. Between 50 and 60 percent of the questions on the exam (including 10 new questions) are written at the comprehension/analysis level; enter the actual question distribution at right	Memory	CIA		JH	JW	M
	43	57				
8. References/handouts provided do not give away answers	JH	JW	M			
9. Question content conforms with specific K/A statements in the previously approved examination outline and is appropriate for the Tier to which they are assigned; deviations are justified	JH	JW	M			
10. Question psychometric quality and format meet ES, Appendix B, guidelines	JH	JW	M			
11. The exam contains 100, one-point, multiple choice items; the total is correct and agrees with value on cover sheet	JH	JW	M			
a. Author	Printed Name / Signature			Date		
b. Facility Reviewer (*)	<u>Jerry Kaske / Jerry E. Kaske</u>			<u>9/28/01</u>		
c. NRC Chief Examiner (#)	<u>DAVID E. WEAVER / David E. Weaver</u>			<u>9/28/01</u>		
d. NRC Regional Supervisor	<u>RYAN E. LANTZ / Ryan E. Lantz</u>			<u>10/03/01</u>		
	<u>ANTHONY T. GODY / Anthony T. Gody</u>			<u>10/5/01</u>		
Note: * The facility reviewer's initials/signature are not applicable for NRC-developed examinations. # Independent NRC reviewer initial items in Column "c;" chief examiner concurrence required.						

Facility:		Date of Exam: 10/24/01		Exam Level: <u>RO/SRO</u>	
Item Description	Initials				
	a	b	c		
1. Answer key changes and question deletions justified and documented	N/A	N/A	MA		
2. Applicants' scores checked for addition errors (reviewers spot check > 25% of examinations)	JK	JW	M		
3. Grading for all borderline cases (80% +/- 2%) reviewed in detail	N/A	N/A	MA		
4. All other failing examinations checked to ensure that grades are justified	N/A	N/A	MA		
5. Performance on missed questions checked for training deficiencies and wording problems; evaluate validity of questions missed by half or more of the applicants	JK	JW	M		
	Printed Name / Signature		Date		
a. Grader	<u>Jerry Kostre / J S Kostre</u>		<u>10/25/01</u>		
b. Facility Reviewer(*)	<u>DEWEEDER / J Dewe</u>		<u>10/25/01</u>		
c. NRC Chief Examiner (*)	<u>LANTZ / [Signature]</u>		<u>10/30/01</u>		
d. NRC Supervisor (*)	<u>[Signature]</u>		<u>10/30/01</u>		
(*) The facility reviewer's signature is not applicable for examinations graded by the NRC; two independent NRC reviews are required.					

6. clean copy made before grading

JK | JW

1. Pre-Examination

I acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the NRC licensing examinations scheduled for the week(s) of 10/22/01 as of the date of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized by the NRC chief examiner. I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants scheduled to be administered these licensing examinations from this date until completion of examination administration, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC. Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's procedures) and understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an enforcement action against me or the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility management or the NRC chief examiner any indications or suggestions that examination security may have been compromised.

2. Post-Examination

To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered during the week(s) of 10/24/01. From the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC.

	PRINTED NAME	JOB TITLE / RESPONSIBILITY	SIGNATURE (1)	DATE	SIGNATURE (2)	DATE NOTE
1.	Jerry Koske	Training Consultant	<i>Jerry E. Koske</i>	8/20/01	<i>Jerry E. Koske</i>	10/24/01
2.	DAVE DARRON	Regul Instruction	<i>Dave Darron</i>	8/31/01	<i>Dave Darron</i>	10/25/01
3.	DAVID WEAVER	Supervisor - OPS Training	<i>David Weaver</i>	8/31/01	<i>David Weaver</i>	10/25/01
4.	Craig Longua	Licensed Operator	<i>Craig Longua</i>	9/26/01	Per Telcon	10/25/01
5.	BRANDON RIETZ	LICENSED OPERATOR	<i>Brandon Rietz</i>	9/27/01	Per Telcon	10/24/01
6.	MICHAEL SMITH	License Instructor	<i>Michael Smith</i>	10/15/01	<i>Michael Smith</i>	10/24/01
7.						
8.						
9.						
10.						
11.						
12.						
13.						
14.						
15.						

NOTES: