

January 2, 1992

Docket No. 50-341

DISTRIBUTION
Docket File (50-341)
NRC & Local PDRs
PDIII-3 r/f
BBoger
JZwolinski
PShuttleworth
JStang
OGC
ACRS(10)
W. Shafer, RIII
L. Marsh
Fermi P/F

Mr. William S. Orser
Senior Vice President - Nuclear
Operations
Detroit Edison Company
6400 North Dixie Highway
Newport, Michigan 48166

Dear Mr. Orser:

SUBJECT: FERMI-2 - NOTICE OF CONSIDERATION OF ISSUANCE OF AMENDMENT
(TAC NO. M82289)

The Commission has requested the Office of the Federal Register to publish the enclosed "Notice of Consideration of Issuance of Amendment to Facility Operating License, Proposed No Significant Hazards Consideration Determination, and Opportunity for Hearing." This notice relates to your application for amendment dated December 5, 1991 as supplemented December 30, 1991, which would reconcile the Technical Specification (TS) required actions for Emergency Equipment Cooling Water (EECW) and Emergency Equipment Service Water (EESW) systems and the TS required actions for certain systems which receive EECW/EESW cooling.

Sincerely,

Original signed by

John Stang, Project Manager
Project Directorate III-3
Division of Reactor Projects III/IV/V
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

CP-1

Enclosure:
Notice

090156

cc w/enclosure:

See next page

LA:PDIII-1 *MM*:PM:PDIII-1

:D:PDIII-1

MShuttleworth :JStang:sw

:LMarsh

1/2/92 :12/2/92

:1/2/92

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

Document Name: LTR ORSER M82889

9201100241 920102
PDR ADOCK 05000341
PDR

REC FILE CENTER COPY

DF01

Mr. William Orser
Detroit Edison Company

Fermi-2 Facility

cc:

John Flynn, Esq.
Senior Attorney
Detroit Edison Company
2000 Second Avenue
Detroit, Michigan 48226

Nuclear Facilities and Environmental
Monitoring Section Office
Division of Radiological Health
3423 N. Logan Street
P. O. Box 30195
Lansing, Michigan 48909

Mr. Stan Stasek
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Resident Inspector's Office
6450 W. Dixie Highway
Newport, Michigan 48166

Monroe County Office of Civil
Preparedness
963 South Raisinville
Monroe, Michigan 48161

Regional Administrator, Region III
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
799 Roosevelt Road
Glen Ellyn, Illinois 60137

Ms. Lynne Goodman
Director - Nuclear Licensing
Detroit Edison Company
Fermi Unit 2
6400 North Dixie Highway
Newport, Michigan 48166

UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSIONDETROIT EDISON COMPANYDOCKET NO. 50-341NOTICE OF CONSIDERATION OF ISSUANCE OF AMENDMENT TO
FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE, PROPOSED NO SIGNIFICANT HAZARDS
CONSIDERATION DETERMINATION, AND OPPORTUNITY FOR HEARING

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) is considering issuance of an amendment to Facility Operating License No. NPF-43 issued to Detroit Edison Company (the licensee) for operation of the Fermi-2 facility located in Monroe County, Michigan.

The proposed amendment would reconcile the Technical Specification (TS) required actions for the Emergency Equipment Cooling Water (EECW) and Emergency Equipment Service Water (EESW) systems and the TS required actions for certain systems which receive EECW/EESW cooling.

Before issuance of the proposed license amendment, the Commission will have made findings required by the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act) and the Commission's regulations.

The Commission has made a proposed determination that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration. Under the Commission's regulations in 10 CFR 50.92, this means that operation of the facility in accordance with the proposed amendment would not (1) involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated; or (2) create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any

accident previously evaluated; or (3) involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety. As required by 10 CFR 50.91(a), the licensee has provided its analysis of the issue of no significant hazards consideration, which is presented below:

- (1) Involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated. The proposed change does not create any new initiating mechanisms or affect any postulated initiating mechanisms for evaluated accidents. The proposed change provides action requirements that address the impact of EECW cooling on certain systems which receive EECW cooling. These action requirements assure that sufficient equipment remains available to safely shutdown the plant as currently evaluated in the [Updated Final Safety Analysis Report] UFSAR. The UFSAR evaluation of ECCS performance shows that equipment supported by a single EECW subsystem can meet the acceptance criteria of [10 CFR 50.46]. Therefore, the proposed change does not represent a significant increase in the probability or consequences of a previously evaluated accident.

- (2) Create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated. The proposed change does not result in any modifications to the plant design or manner of system operation and no safety-related equipment or function will be altered. As stated in (1) above, sufficient equipment will be maintained and will be available to initiate safe shutdown of the plant. The requested changes do not create any new accident mode.

- (3) Involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety. As stated in 1) above, the proposed action requirements assure that sufficient equipment is available to safely shutdown the plant. The proposed change may also promote safe plant operation by giving a reasonable out-of-service time for corrective and preventive maintenance and testing activities on the EECW and EESW systems without requiring unnecessary reactor shutdowns.

The NRC staff has reviewed the licensee's analysis and, based on this review, it appears that the three standards of 10 CFR 50.92(c) are satisfied. Therefore, the NRC staff proposes to determine that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration.

The Commission is seeking public comments on this proposed determination. Any comments received within thirty (30) days after the date of publication of this notice will be considered in making any final determination. The Commission will not normally make a final determination unless it receives a request for a hearing.

Written comments may be submitted by mail to the Regulatory Publications Branch, Division of Freedom of Information and Publications Services, Office of Administration, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555, and should cite the publication date and page number of this FEDERAL REGISTER notice. Written comments may also be delivered to Room P-223, Phillips Building, 7920 Norfolk Avenue, Bethesda, Maryland, from 7:30 a.m. to 4:15 p.m. Copies of written comments received may be examined at the NRC Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW, Washington, DC 20555. The filing of requests for hearing and petitions for leave to intervene is discussed below.

By February 10, 1992, the licensee may file a request for a hearing with respect to issuance of the amendment to the subject facility operating license and any person whose interest may be affected by this proceeding and who wishes to participate as a party in the proceeding must file a written request for a hearing and a petition for leave to intervene. Requests for a hearing and a petition for leave to intervene shall be filed in accordance with the Commission's "Rules of Practice for Domestic Licensing Proceedings" in 10 CFR Part 2. Interested persons should consult a current copy of 10 CFR 2.714 which is available at the Commission's Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW, Washington, DC 20555 and at the local public document room located at the Monroe County Public Library System, 3700 South Custer Road, Monroe, Michigan 48161. If a request for a hearing or petition for leave to intervene is filed by the above date, the Commission or an Atomic Safety and Licensing Board, designated by the Commission or by the Chairman of the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel, will rule on the request and/or petition; and the Secretary or the designated Atomic Safety and Licensing Board will issue a notice of hearing or an appropriate order.

As required by 10 CFR 2.714, a petition for leave to intervene shall set forth with particularity the interest of the petitioner in the proceeding, and how that interest may be affected by the results of the proceeding. The petition should specifically explain the reasons why intervention should be permitted with particular reference to the following factors: (1) the nature of the petitioner's right under the Act to be made party to the proceeding; (2) the nature and extent of the petitioner's property, financial, or other interest in the proceeding; and (3) the possible effect of any order which

may be entered in the proceeding on the petitioner's interest. The petition should also identify the specific aspect(s) of the subject matter of the proceeding as to which petitioner wishes to intervene. Any person who has filed a petition for leave to intervene or who has been admitted as a party may amend the petition without requesting leave of the Board up to fifteen (15) days prior to the first prehearing conference scheduled in the proceeding, but such an amended petition must satisfy the specificity requirements described above.

Not later than fifteen (15) days prior to the first prehearing conference scheduled in the proceeding, a petitioner shall file a supplement to the petition to intervene which must include a list of the contentions which are sought to be litigated in the matter. Each contention must consist of a specific statement of the issue of law or fact to be raised or controverted. In addition, the petitioner shall provide a brief explanation of the bases of the contention and a concise statement of the alleged facts or expert opinion which support the contention and on which the petitioner intends to rely in proving the contention at the hearing. The petitioner must also provide references to those specific sources and documents of which the petitioner is aware and on which the petitioner intends to rely to establish those facts or expert opinion. Petitioner must provide sufficient information to show that a genuine dispute exists with the applicant on a material issue of law or fact. Contentions shall be limited to matters within the scope of the amendment under consideration. The contention must be one which, if proven, would entitle the petitioner to relief. A petitioner who fails to file such a supplement which satisfies these requirements with respect to at least one contention will not be permitted to participate as a party.

Those permitted to intervene become parties to the proceeding, subject to any limitations in the order granting leave to intervene, and have the opportunity to participate fully in the conduct of the hearing, including the opportunity to present evidence and cross-examine witnesses.

If a hearing is requested, the Commission will make a final determination on the issue of no significant hazards consideration. The final determination will serve to decide when the hearing is held.

If the final determination is that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration, the Commission may issue the amendment and make it immediately effective, notwithstanding the request for a hearing. Any hearing held would take place after issuance of the amendment.

If the final determination is that the amendment request involves a significant hazards consideration, any hearing held would take place before the issuance of any amendment.

Normally, the Commission will not issue the amendment until the expiration of the 30-day notice period. However, should circumstances change during the notice period such that failure to act in a timely way would result, for example, in derating or shutdown of the facility, the Commission may issue the license amendment before the expiration of the 30-day notice period, provided that its final determination is that the amendment involves no significant hazards consideration. The final determination will consider all public and State comments received. Should the Commission take this action, it will publish in the FEDERAL REGISTER a notice of issuance and provide for opportunity for a hearing after issuance. The Commission expects that the need to take this action will occur very infrequently.

A request for a hearing or a petition for leave to intervene must be filed with the Secretary of the Commission, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555, Attention: Docketing and Services Branch, or may be delivered to the Commission's Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW, Washington, DC 20555, by the above date. Where petitions are filed during the last ten (10) days of the notice period, it is requested that the petitioner promptly so inform the Commission by a toll-free telephone call to Western Union at 1-(800) 325-6000 (in Missouri 1-(800) 342-6700). The Western Union operator should be given Datagram Identification Number 3737 and the following message addressed to Ledyard B. Marsh: petitioner's name and telephone number, date petition was mailed, plant name, and publication date and page number of this FEDERAL REGISTER notice. A copy of the petition should also be sent to the Office of the General Counsel, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555, and to John Flynn, Esq., Senior Attorney, Detroit Edison Company, 2000 Second Avenue, Detroit, Michigan 48226.


Nontimely filings of petitions for leave to intervene, amended petitions, supplemental petitions and/or requests for hearing will not be entertained absent a determination by the Commission, the presiding officer or the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board that the petition and/or request should be granted based upon a balancing of the factors specified in 10 CFR 2.714(a)(1)(i)-(v) and 2.714(d).

For further details with respect to this action, see the application for amendment dated December 5, 1991, as supplemented December 30, 1991, which is

available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW, Washington, DC 20555 and at the local public document room located at the Monroe County Public Library System, 3700 South Custer Road, Monroe, Michigan 48161.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 2nd day of January 1992.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "John Stang".

John Stang, Project Manager
Project Directorate III-3
Division of Reactor Projects III/IV/V
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation