R08 020308 606

March 8, 2002

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission 50.73 ATTN: Document Control Desk Mail Stop OWFN, P1-35 Washington, D. C. 20555-0001

Dear Sir:

TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY - BROWNS FERRY NUCLEAR PLANT (BFN) -UNIT 3 - DOCKET 50-296 - FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE DPR-68 -LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) 50-296/2002-001-00

10 CFR

The enclosed report provides details of a failure to meet the requirements of Technical Specification (TS) Limiting Condition for Operation due to inoperability of the primary containment isolation instrumentation for the Reactor Core Isolation Cooling system.

TVA is reporting this event pursuant to 10 CFR 50.73(a)(2)(i)(B), as any operation or condition prohibited by the plant's Technical Specifications. There are no commitments contained in this letter.

Sincerely,

original signed by

Ashok S. Bhatnagar

cc: See page 2

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Page 2 March 8, 2002 Enclosure cc (Enclosure): (Via NRC Electronic Distribution) Mr. Kahtan N. Jabbour, Senior Project Manager U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (MS 08G9) One White Flint, North 11555 Rockville Pike Rockville, Maryland 20852-2739 Mr. Paul E. Fredrickson, Branch Chief U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Region II Sam Nunn Atlanta Federal Center 61 Forsyth Street, SW, Suite 23T85 Atlanta, Georgia 30303-8931 NRC Resident Inspector Browns Ferry Nuclear Plant P. O. Box 149 Athens, Alabama 35611

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Page 3 March 8, 2002 TEA:EDC:BAB Enclosure cc (Enclosure): M. J. Burzynski, BR 4X-C C. C. Cross, LP 6A-C R. G. Jones, POB 2C-BFN J. Scott Martin, PMB 1A-BFN F. C. Mashburn, BR 4X-C T. J. Niessen, PAB 1B-BFN D. C. Olcsvary, LP 6A-C C. L. Root, PAB 1G-BFN J. R. Rupert, LP 6A-C K. W. Singer, LP 6A-C E. J. Vigluicci, ET 11A-K R. E. Wiggall, PEC 2A-BFN LEREvents@inpo.org NSRB Support, LP 5M-C EDMS-K

s:lic/submit/lers/LER 296 2002-001r2.doc

| (6-1998) | | | | | APPROVED BY OMB NO. 3150-0104 EXPIRES 06/30/2001 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|---|----------|-------------------|--------------------|--|---|--|-------------------|------------------|----------|---|------------------|----------------------|--------------------|-------------------|----------|------------------------|
| LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) | | | | | | | Estimated burden per response to comply with this mandatory information collection request: 50 hrs. Reported lessons learned are incorporated into the licensing process and fed back to industry. Forward comments regarding burden estimate to the Records Management | | | | | | | | | | |
| (See reverse for required number of | | | | | | comments regarding burden estimate to the Records Management Branch (T-6 F33), U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, and to the Paperwork Reduction Project (3150-0104), | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5.00 | | | | | | | | | | | Office | of Man | agement and B | udget, V | Vashin | gton, [| DC 20503. If an |
| | | | | | | | | | | | information collection does not display a currently valid OMB control number, the NRC may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not | | | | | | |
| FACILITY N | IAME (1) | | | | | | | | | | required to respond to, the information collection. DOCKET NUMBER (2) PAGE (3) | | | | PAGE (3) | | |
| | s Ferry | Nuclea | ir Pla | ant, Unit | t 3 | | | | | | | C | 5000296 | | | | 1 of 6 |
| TITLE (4) Epiluro | to Mo | ot Toch | nica | l Spocif | ication Do | quiromor | ate for l | non | orable | Drimar | | ntainm | nent Isolatio | n Instr | umo | otatic | n Duo to |
| | | | | | ire Switch | | 115 101 1 | пор | | | y CU | 111.011111 | | 11 11 15 11 | umer | nanc | |
| | IT DAT | | | - · | R NUMBER (| | R | EPOI | rt da | TE (7) | T | | OTHER FAC | ILITIES | INVO | LVED | (8) |
| MONTH | DAY | YEAR | YE | AR S | EQUENTIAL NUMBER | REVISION NUMBER | | ГН | DAY | YEAR | FACI | Lity nam | E | [| DOCKE. | t nume | BER |
| | | | | | | | | | | | NA | | | | 05000 | | |
| - | | | - | | | | | | | | | | | [| DOCKE. | t nume | |
| 01 | 09 | 2002 | | | 001 | 00 | 03 | | 08 | 2002 | | | | | | 050 | |
| OPERA | | | THIS | | | | | | | | IENTS | | CFR §: (Che | | | - | |
| MOD | E (9) | 1 | | 20.2201(b) | | | 20.2203(a)(2)(v) | | | Χ 50.7 | | 0.73(a)(2)(i)(B) | | 50.73(a)(2)(viii) | | | |
| POW | | 100 | | 20.220 | | | | | 3(a)(3) | | | | .73(a)(2)(ii) | | | | (a)(2)(x) |
| LEVEL | LEVEL (10) | | | 20.2203(a)(2)(i) | | | 20.: | 20.2203(a)(3)(ii) | | (ii) | | 50.73(a)(2)(iii) | | | 73.71 | | |
| | | | | 20.2203(a)(2)(ii) | | | 20.2203(a)(4) | | 50.73(a)(2)(iv) | | | OTHER | | | | | |
| | | | | 20.2203(a)(2)(iii) | | 50.3 | 50.36(c)(1) | | | 50 | .73(a)(2)(v) | | | / in Abs orm 36 | tract below or in | | |
| | | | 20.2203(a)(2)(iv) | | 50.3 | 50.36(c)(2) | | | 50.73(a)(2)(vii) | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | LICENS | | AC | t for | THIS LEF | ₹ (12) | | | | | | |
| NAME | | | | | | | | | | | TELEPHONE NUMBER (Include Area Code) | | | | | | |
| E. Dorr | is Cha | rlton, N | lucle | ar Engir | neer, Indus | stry Affa | irs | | | | | 256.7 | 29.7533 | | | | |
| | | | С | OMPLET | e one line | FOR EAC | H COM | PONI | ent f <i>i</i> | AILURE D | ESCR | ibed in | I THIS REPOR | T (13) | | | |
| CAUSE | 5 | SYSTEM | COMPONENT | | MANUFACTU | UFACTURER REPOR TO NP | | | | CAUSE | <u>.</u> | SYSTEM | 1 COMPONENT | | FACTU ER | <u> </u> | REPORTABLE TO NPRDS |
| | | | | | | | | - | | | | | | | | + | |
| | | | SUP | PLEMEN | TAL REPORT | EXPECT | ED (14) | | | | | ΕX | KPECTED | MONT | Ή [| DAY | YEAR |
| YES (If y | | nplete E | | | BMISSION E | | |) | K NO | | | | BMISSION ATE (15) | | | | |
| ABSTRA | CT (Li | mit to 1 | 400 | spaces, i | i.e., approxi | mately 15 | single- | spac | ed typ | ewritten | lines) | (16) | | | | | |
| | • | | | | | | | | | • | , | | ne space ten | • | | | |
| | | • | | | | | | | | - | | | ant Injection | • | | | • |
| - | | | | | | - | | | | | | | n being inop | | | | |
| | | | | | | | • | | | | | | o isolate the | | • | | |
| - | | | - | | | | | | | | | | hout the kno | - | | | |
| | lid not take the required technical specification actions within one hour of the instrumentation becoming inoperable. In accordance with 10 CFR 50.73 (a) (2) (i) (B), this report is being submitted as any operation or condition prohibited by the plant's | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| accorda | ance w | | N IX | JU.IJ (8 | ヽ, (∠) (I) (D) | , սոծ լեբ | | SIIIC | y suvr | mueu as | any | opera | UNDER COLLA | nuon pr | | uen n | y une piants |

technical specifications

The root cause for this event was personnel error. Maintenance personnel failed to properly execute the verification process required by site procedures. The individuals focused only on the address portion of the component identifier rather than on the complete component identifier. Actions to prevent recurrence included appropriate personnel corrective actions for involved personnel and stand-down briefings and refresher training for Instrument and Controls (I&C) maintenance personnel.

NRC FORM 366A

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)

TEXT CONTINUATION

| | FACILITY NAME (1) | DOCKET | LER NUMBER (6) | PAGE (3) | | | | | |
|---|---|----------|--|----------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Browns Ferry | Nuclear Plant, Unit 3 | 05000296 | YEAR SEQUENTIAL REVISION NUMBER 2002 001 00 | 2 of 6 | | | | | |
| TEXT (If more space is required, use additional copies of NRC Form 366A) (17) | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| I. | PLANT CONDITION(S) | | | | | | | | |
| | At the time of the event, Unit 3 was in Mode 1 at 100 percent reactor power, approximately 3458 megawatts thermal. Unit 1 was | | | | | | | | |

II. DESCRIPTION OF EVENT

shutdown and defueled.

A. Event:

On January 9, 2002, at 0421 hours CST, maintenance personnel (other utility, non-licensed) commenced surveillance 3-SR-3.3.6.1.3(3D), High Pressure Coolant Injection (HPCI) [BJ] Steam Line Space High Temperature Calibration. This surveillance involved replacement of primary containment isolation instrumentation [JM] temperature switches [TS] with shop-calibrated switches, then returning the removed switches to the shop to obtain as-found calibration data.

At 0849 hours, the maintenance personnel removed the primary containment isolation temperature switches for the Reactor Core Isolation Cooling (RCIC) system [BN] and replaced them with the temperature switches intended for the HPCI system. The switches for the RCIC system are the same type switches and located in close proximity to the HPCI switches. However, the actuation temperature for the HPCI switches is 170 degrees F increasing instead of the 147 degrees F increasing required for the RCIC system. Similar component identifiers are used which differ only by the system number (e.g. 3-TS-071-0002N (RCIC) and 3-TS-073-0002N (HPCI)).

While preparing to obtain the as-found calibration data, it was discovered that the RCIC steam line space temperature switches had been replaced instead of the HPCI switches as intended.

At 1425 hours, maintenance personnel notified control room operators that the incorrect temperature switches had been replaced. Operators initiated technical specification action for inoperable primary containment isolation instrumentation; within one hour, isolate the affected penetration flow path(s).

At 1509 hours, operators completed the technical specification required action to isolate the RCIC steam supply line by closing valve 3-FCV-71-3 [FCV]. RCIC was declared inoperable and Technical Specification LCO 3.5.3.A.2 was entered.

At 1818 hours, after replacement of the RCIC temperature switches, Operations personnel exited LCO 3.3.6.1.F.1.

At 1840 hours, after returning RCIC to service, Operations personnel exited Technical Specification LCO 3.5.3.A.2.

Because the Primary Containment Isolation Instrumentation was inoperable for a period longer than that allowed by Technical Specifications, this event is reportable in accordance with 10 CFR 50.73(a) (2) (i) (B), as any operation or condition prohibited by plant's Technical Specifications.

| NRC FORM 366A | | | U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY | COMMISSION | | | |
|-----------------------|---|---|---|--------------------------|--|--|--|
| (6-1998) | LICENSEE EVENT TEXT CONTI | • | ER) | | | | |
| | FACILITY NAME (1) | DOCKET | LER NUMBER (6) | PAGE (3) | | | |
| | | | YEAR SEQUENTIAL REVISION NUMBER | 3 of 6 | | | |
| Browns Ferry Nucle | | 05000296 | 2002 001 00 | | | | |
| TEXT (If more space i | is required, use additional copies of NRC Form 366 | A) (17) | | | | | |
| В. | Inoperable Structures, Components, or S | systems that Cor | ntributed to the Event: | | | | |
| C. | None Dates and Approximate Times of Major C | Occurrences: | | | | | |
| | January 9, 2002, at 0421 hours CST | surveilla | Maintenance personnel began performance of surveillance 3-SR-3.3.6.1.3(3D), HPCI Steam Line Space High Temperature Calibration. | | | | |
| | January 9, 2002, at 0849 hours CST | Maintenance personnel incorrectly replaced temperature switches for the RCIC steam line space high temperature instead of for the HPCI system as required by the surveillance. | | | | | |
| | January 9, 2002, at 1425 hours CST | of incor Operati | nance personnel notified Operation rect temperature switch replaced ons personnel initiated technical otion 3.3.6.1.F.1 to manually isolation. | ment. I specification | | | |
| | January 9, 2002, at 1509 hours CST | specific | ons personnel completed the teo ation LCO action. RCIC system ble and Technical Specification tered. | was declared | | | |
| | January 9, 2002, at 1818 hours CST | LCO ac | ons personnel exited Technical st ction 3.3.6.1.F.1 after satisfactory RCIC temperature switches. | | | | |
| | January 9, 2002, at 1840 hours CST | | ons personnel declared RCIC sy ted Technical Specification LCO | • | | | |
| D. | Other Systems or Secondary Functions | Affected | | | | | |
| | None | | | | | | |
| E. | Method of Discovery | | | | | | |
| | While preparing to perform the as-found port incorrect switches were replaced. Control ro | | | | | | |

F. Operator Actions

Upon notification of the error, Operations personnel initiated technical specification LCO action 3.3.6.1.F.1, manually isolate the RCIC steam line. The RCIC system was declared inoperable and Technical Specification LCO 3.5.3.A.2 was entered.

NRC FORM 366A (6-1998) U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)

| | | FACILITY NAME (1) | DOCKET | LER NUMBER (6) | PAGE (3) 4 of 6 | | | | | |
|--------------|---|--|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | | 05000296 | YEAR SEQUENTIAL REVISION NUMBER | | | | | | |
| Browns Ferry | Nucle | ear Plant, Unit 3 | | 2002 001 00 | | | | | | |
| EXT (If more | space i | s required, use additional copies of NRC Form 366 | A) (17) | | | | | | | |
| | G. | Safety System Responses | | | | | | | | |
| | | None | | | | | | | | |
| III. | СА | USE OF THE EVENT | | | | | | | | |
| | A. Immediate Cause | | | | | | | | | |
| | Maintenance personnel removed the temperature switches for the RCIC system instead of the HPCI switc as required by 3-SR-3.3.6.1.3(3D). | | | | | | | | | |
| | В. | B. <u>Root Cause</u> | | | | | | | | |
| | The root cause of this event was personnel error. The Maintenance personnel involved failed to properly execute the verification process in accordance with approved site procedures. The personnel focused on th "address" portion of the unique identification number (UNID) rather than the complete UNID. | | | | | | | | | |
| | C. | Contributing Factors | | | | | | | | |
| | | HPCI and RCIC switches are of the same ty the HPCI and RCIC temperature switches a number. (e.g. 3-TS-071-0002N (RCIC) and 3- | e identical with the | ne exception of the system identif | | | | | | |
| IV. | ANALYSIS OF THE EVENT | | | | | | | | | |
| | Ter 000 ten req the tec | rveillance Requirement 3-SR-3.3.6.1.3(3D), Hi mperature Calibration, requires the HPCI stear D2R, and -0002S to be replaced with calibrate operature switches require first and second pa- quires the second-party verifier to ensure that component required to be verified. The main hniques such as self-checking. The verification entire UNID. This resulted in the incorrect sy | n line space temp d switches. Proc Inty verification. F the actual compo tenance personne ns focused on on | berature switches 3-TS-073-00021 edure steps for removal and repla Procedure SPP-10.3, "Verification nent identification matches the id el did not properly implement error ly the address portion of the UNII | N, -0002P, - acement of th Program" entification o r prevention | | | | | |
| | are res | s was the first time that these particular indivi a was not accessible and another route was pect to the correct work location. The similar nilarities in their UNIDs led the individuals to b | used. This result ity and proximity | ed in the individuals becoming dis of the HPCI and RCIC switches a | soriented wit | | | | | |
| | dis | nen commencing the portion of the surveillance covered that the incorrect switches had been juired Technical Specification actions. | | | | | | | | |
| V. | ۸۵ | SESSMENT OF SAFETY CONSEQUENCES | | | | | | | | |

V. ASSESSMENT OF SAFETY CONSEQUENCES

The function of RCIC steam space temperature switches 3-TS-071-0002N, -0002P, -0002R, and -0002S is to monitor for postulated breaks in the piping supplying motive steam to the RCIC system turbine. The Browns

| NRC FORM 366 | A | | U.S. | NUCLEAR REG | ULATORY | COMMISSION |
|-----------------|--|---|--|---|--|---|
| (6-1998) | LICENSEE EVENT TEXT CONT | | ER) | | | |
| | FACILITY NAME (1) | DOCKET | | LER NUMBER (| (6) | PAGE (3) |
| | | | YEAR | SEQUENTIAL NUMBER | REVISION | 5 of 6 |
| Browns Ferry | Nuclear Plant, Unit 3 | 05000296 | 2002 · | 001 | 00 | |
| TEXT (If more s | pace is required, use additional copies of NRC Form 366 | A) (17) | | | | |
| <u> </u> | Ferry Updated Final Safety Analysis Report Sec | ction 7.3.4.7 state | s: | | | |
| | High temperature in the vicinity of the Reline. The automatic closure of certain G coolant and the release of significant arr process barrier. When high temperature steam line is isolated. The high temperature of provide timely detection of an RCIC to provide timely detection of an RCIC to provide timely detection of an RCIC to the provide timely detection of an RCIC to the provide timely detection of an RCIC to provide timely detection of a possible leak from the RCIC. Through the installation of additional supports, the particularly rugged. The piping stresses seen the these temperature switches are very low. Upon confirmation that the incorrect switches has accordance with the technical specification requioriginal error. The correct switches were reinstal original error. The RCIC temperature switches which were remaincreasing, whereas the HPCI temperature switch setpoint of 170 degrees F increasing. The instal of the RCIC steam line upon a postulated RCIC stemperature. This could have resulted in a great secondary containment prior to isolation. The following factors are seen to reduce the risk 1. The BFN design basis has demonstrated that areas monitored by these temperature switches were in place for only | roup A valves pre- nounts of radioact e occurs near the ature isolation set onal levels to avo urbine steam line the RCIC steam line steam line piping he RCIC steam line erefore by the RC d been replaced, ired LCO action. [–] lled and demonstr oved had a nomir thes which were in lled switches were steam line pipe br ter loss of steam f impacts of this er at the RCIC stear hes, making the l | events the rive mate RCIC ec ting was id spurio break. line isola the piping CIC stear the RCI This was rated to hal actua nstalled e functio reak in the from the vent: n piping ikelihooo | e excessive lo erial from the n quipment, the f selected far e bus operation, l ation valves, wh in the torus an n line piping in C steam line v s completed wi be operable w tion setpoint o in their place f onal in this locate area would reactor presso is exposed to d of a pipe bre | ss of reach nuclear sys RCIC turbi mough ab- but low er hich are s rea has be the areas vas isolate ithin 7 hou ithin 10 hou f 147 deg nad a norr ation, thou have occu ure bound | etor stem ine ove hough ignaled to close een made s monitored by ed in urs of the burs of the burs of the rees F hinal actuation urred at a higher lary into |
| | (7 hours)3. During this short interval, the isolation function | · | | - | | |
| | higher isolation temperature4. The BFN risk-informed in-service inspection system piping (water and steam) breaks to b | | | | contributi | on of all RCIC |
| | Based on the above discussion, the safety effect | s of this event are | e consid | ered to be neg | gligible. | |
| V. | CORRECTIVE ACTIONS | | | | | |
| | A. Immediate Corrective Actions | | | | | |
| | Initiated and implemented work order to repl switches. | ace, functionally t | est, and | return to servi | ice the RC | CIC temperature |

| NRC FORM 366A | | | U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY | COMMISSION | | | | | |
|---|---|----------------------|------------------------------------|------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| (6-1998) | LICENSEE EVENT | REPORT (LE | ER) | | | | | | |
| TEXT CONTINUATION | | | | | | | | | |
| | FACILITY NAME (1) | DOCKET | LER NUMBER (6) | PAGE (3) | | | | | |
| | | | YEAR SEQUENTIAL REVISION NUMBER | 6 of 6 | | | | | |
| Browns Ferry Nuc | | 05000296 | 2002 001 00 | | | | | | |
| | is required, use additional copies of NRC Form 366, | 4) (17) | | | | | | | |
| B. Corrective Actions to Prevent Recurrence | | | | | | | | | |
| | Administered appropriate personnel corrective actions to involved personnel. | | | | | | | | |
| | Conducted stand-down briefings on each shift with Instrument and Controls (I&C) Maintenance personnel stress the proper verification methods and importance of following those methods. | | | | | | | | |
| | Refresher verification training was administer | ed to I&C Mainter | nance personnel. | | | | | | |
| VI. A | DDITIONAL INFORMATION | | | | | | | | |
| A | Failed Components | | | | | | | | |
| | None | | | | | | | | |
| В | Previous LERs on Similar Events | | | | | | | | |
| | None | | | | | | | | |
| с | . Additional Information | | | | | | | | |
| | None | | | | | | | | |
| D | . Safety System Functional Failure: | | | | | | | | |
| | This event did not result in a safety system for | unctional failure in | accordance with NEI 99-02. | | | | | | |
| VII. C | OMMITMENTS | | | | | | | | |
| N | one | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |

| NRC FORM 366A (6-1998) | | U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY | COMMISSION | | | | | |
|--|------------------|------------------------------------|------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINUATION | | | | | | | | |
| TEXT CON | | 1 | | | | | | |
| FACILITY NAME (1) | DOCKET | LER NUMBER (6) | PAGE (3) | | | | | |
| | | YEAR SEQUENTIAL REVISION NUMBER | 7 of 6 | | | | | |
| Browns Ferry Nuclear Plant, Unit 3 | 05000296 | 2002 001 00 | | | | | | |
| TEXT (If more space is required, use additional copies of NRC Form 3 | 66A) (17) | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |