

# UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

washington, b. c. 20555 September 23, 1988

Docket No. 50-341

Mr. B. Ralph Sylvia Group Vice President - Nuclear Operations Detroit Edison Company 6400 North Dixie Highway Newport, Michigan

Dear Mr. Sylvia:

SUBJECT: FERMI-2 - ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT AND FINDING OF NO SIGNIFICANT IMPACT - TEMPORARY EXEMPTION FROM THE SCHEDULAR REQUIREMENTS OF THE PROPERTY INSURANCE RULE EFFECTIVE OCTOBER 4, 1988 (10 CFR 50.54(w)(5)(i))

On August 5, 1987, the NRC published in the Federal Register a final rule amending 10 CFR 50.54(w). The rule increased the amount of on-site property damage insurance required to be carried by NRC's power reactor licensees. The rule also required these licensees to obtain by October 4, 1988 insurance policies that prioritized insurance proceeds for stabilization and decontamination after an accident and provided for payment of proceeds to an independent trustee who would disburse funds for decontamination and cleanup before any other purpose.

Subsequent to publication of the rule, the NRC has been informed by insurers who offer nuclear property insurance that, despite a good faith effort to obtain trustees required by the rule, the decontamination priority and trusteeship provisions will not be able to be incorporated into policies by the time required in the rule. In response to these comments and related petitions for rulemaking, the Commission has proposed a revision of 10 CFR 50.54(w)(5)(i) extending the implementation schedule for 18 months (53 FR 36338, September 19, 1988). However, because it is unlikely that this rulemaking action will be completed by October 4, 1988, the Commission is issuing a temporary exemption from the requirements of 10 CFR 50.54(w)(5)(i) until completion of the pending rulemaking extending the implementation date specified in 10 CFR 50.54(w)(5)(i), but not later than April 1, 1989. Upon completion of such rulemaking, the licensee shall comply with the provisions of such rule.

Enclosed is an Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact relating to a temporary exemption from 10 CFR 50.54(w)(5)(i) for Fermi-2

8809290235 880923 PDR ADDCK 05000341 PNU OFOI '/ This assessment is being forwarded to the Office of the Federal Register for publication.

Sincerely,

Lynn L. Kellyfor Theodore R. Quay, Project Manager Project Directorate III-1

Division of Reactor Projects - III, IV, V and Special Projects

Enclosure: Environmental Assessment

cc w/enclosure: See next page

This assessment is being forwarded to the Office of the Federal Register for publication.

Sincerely,

## Triginal signed by

Theodore R. Quay, Project Manager Project Directorate III-1 Division of Reactor Projects - III, IV, V and Special Projects

Enclosure:
Environmental Assessment

cc w/enclosure:
See next page

DISTRIBUTION
DOCKET FILE
NRC & LOCAL PDRS
PD31 GRAY FILE
GHOLAHAN
RINGRAM
TQUAY
OGC
EJORDAN
BGRIMES
ETOURGINY
ACRS (10)
GPA/PA

·LA/PD31:DRSP / RINGRAM 9/23/88 PM/PD31:DRSP TQUAY LIKEN 9/23/88 (A)D/PD31:DRSP DDIIANNI 9/23/88 Mr. B. Ralph Sylvia Detroit Edison Company

cc:

Mr. Ronald C. Callen
Adv. Planning Review Section
Michigan Public Service Commission
6545 Mercantile Way
P. O. Box 30221
Lansing, Michigan 48909

John Flynn, Esq. Senior Attorney Detroit Edison Company 2000 Second Avenue Detroit, Michigan 48226

Nuclear Facilities and Environmental Monitoring Section Office Division of Radiological Health P. O. Box 30035 Lansing, Michigan 48909

Mr. Thomas Randazzo Director, Regulatory Affairs Detroit Edison Company Fermi Unit 2 6400 North Dixie Highway Newport, Michigan 48166

Mr. Walt Rogers U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Resident Inspector's Office 6450 W. Dixie Highway Newport, Michigan 48166

Monroe County Office of Civil Preparedness 963 South Raisinville Monroe, Michigan 48161

Regional Administrator, Region III U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission 799 Roosevelt Road Glen Ellyn, Illinois 60137 Fermi-2 Facility

Ms. Lynn Goodman Supervisor - Licensing Detroit Edison Company Fermi Unit 2 6400 North Dixie Highway Newport, Michigan 48166

# UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION DETROIT EDISON COMPANY

#### WOLVERINE POWER SUPPLY COOPERATIVE, INCORPORATED

FERIM-2

DOCKET NO. 50-341

#### ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT AND FINDING OF

NO SIGNIFICANT IMPACT

CONCERNING EXEMPTION FROM

10 CFR 50.54(w)(5)(i)

The U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) is considering issuance of an exemption from the requirements of 10 CFR 50.54(w)(5)(i) to the Detroit Edison Company and the Wolverine Power Supply Cooperative, Incorporated (the licensees) for Fermi-2, located at the licensees' site in Monroe County, Michigan.

#### **ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT**

#### Identification of Proposed Action:

On August 5, 1987, the Commission published in the FEDERAL REGISTER a final rule amending 10 CFR 50.54(w). The rule increased the amount of on-site property damage insurance required to be carried by the Commission's power reactor licensees. The rule also required these licensees to obtain by October 4, 1988, insurance policies that prioritized insurance proceeds for stabilization and decontamination after an accident and provided for payment of proceeds to an independent trustee who would disburse funds for decontamination and cleanup before any other purpose. Subsequent to publication of the rule, the Commission has been informed by insurers who offer nuclear property insurance that, despite a good faith effort to obtain trustees required by the rule, the decontamination priority and trusteeship provisions will not be able to be incorporated into

policies by the time required in the rule. In response to these comments and related petitions for rulemaking, the Commission has proposed a revision of 10 CFR 50.54(w)(5)(i) extending the implementation schedule for 18 months (53 FR 36338, September 19, 1988). However, because it is unlikely that this rulemaking action will be effective by October 4, 1988, the Commission is issuing a temporary exemption from the requirements of 10 CFR 50.54(w)(5)(i) until completion of the pending rulemaking extending the implementation date specified in 10 CFR 50.54(w)(5)(i), but not later than April 1, 1989. Upon completion of such rulemaking, the licensees shall comply with the provisions of such rule.

#### The Need for the Proposed Action:

The exemption is needed because insurance complying with requirements of 10 CFR 50.54(w)(5)(i) is unavailable and because the temporary delay in implementation allowed by the exemption and associated rulemaking action will permit the Commission to reconsider on its merits the trusteeship provision of 10 CFR 50.54(w)(4).

#### Environmental Impacts of the Proposed Action:

with respect to radiological impacts on the environment, the proposed exemption does not in any way affect the operation of licensed facilities. Further, as noted by the Commission in the Supplementary Information accompanying the proposed rule, there are several reasons for concluding that delaying for a reasonable time the implementation of the stabilization and decontamination priority and trusteeship provisions of Section 50.54(w) will not adversely affect protection of public health and safety. First, during the

period of delay, the licensees will still be required to carry \$1.06 billion insurance. This is a substantial amount of coverage that provides a significant financial cushion to licensees to decontaminate and clean up after an accident even without the prioritization and trusteeship provisions. Second, nearly 75% of the required coverage already is prioritized under the decontamination liability and excess property insurance language of the Nuclear Electric Insurance Limited-II policies. Finally, there is only an extremely small probability of a serious accident occurring during the exemption period. Even if a serious accident giving rise to substantial insurance claims were to occur, the Commission would be able to take appropriate enforcement action to assure adequate cleanup to protect public health and safety and the environment.

The proposed exemption does not affect radiological or nonradiological effluents from the site and has no other nonradiological impacts.

#### Alternatives to the Proposed Action:

It has been concluded that there is no measurable impact associated with the proposed exemption; any alternatives to the exemption will have either no environmental impact or greater environmental impact.

#### Alternative Use of Resources:

This action does not involve the use of any resources beyond the scope of resources used during normal plant operation.

### Agencies and Persons Consulted:

The Commission's staff did not consult other agencies or persons in connection with the proposed exemption.

#### FINDING OF NO SIGNIFICANT IMPACT

Based upon the foregoing environmental assessment, the Commission concludes that the proposed action will not have a significant effect on the quality of the human environment. Accordingly, the Commission has determined not to prepare an environmental impact statement for the proposed exemption.

For information concerning this action, see the proposed rule (53 FR 36338), and the exemption which is being processed concurrent with this notice. A copy of the exemption will be available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC, and at the Monroe County Library System, 3700 S. Custer Road, Monroe, Michigan 48161.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland this 23rd day of September . 1988.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Dominic C. Dilanni, Acting Director

Project Directorate III-1

Division of Reactor Projects - III, IV, V and Special Projects