

Mr. Percy M. Beard, J  
 Senior Vice President,  
 Nuclear Operations (NA2I)  
 Florida Power Corporation  
 ATTN: Manager, Nuclear  
 Licensing  
 15760 W Power Line Street  
 Crystal River, Florida 34428-6708

December 5, 1995

Dear Mr. Beard:

SUBJECT: CRYSTAL RIVER NUCLEAR GENERATING PLANT UNIT 3 -ENVIRONMENTAL  
 ASSESSMENT REGARDING FUEL ENRICHMENT INCREASE (TAC NO. M91536)

Enclosed is a copy of the Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact for your information. This assessment relates to your letter dated January 26, 1995, as supplemented March 9, 1995, and May 24, 1995, requesting an amendment to Facility Operating License No. DPR-72 for the Crystal River Nuclear Generating Plant, Unit 3, to change the Technical Specifications to allow for the storage of fuel with an enrichment not to exceed 5.0 w/o U-235 in the new and spent fuel storage racks.

The assessment is being forwarded to the Office of the Federal Register for publication.

Sincerely,

(Original Signed By)

George F. Wunder, Project Manager  
 Project Directorate II-1  
 Division of Reactor Projects - I/II  
 Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket No. 50-302

Enclosure: Environmental Assessment

cc w/enclosure: See next page

Distribution

Docket File

PUBLIC

S. Varga

J. Zwolinski

ACRS (4)

FILENAME - G:\CRYSTAL\91536.EA

OFFICE	LA:PDII-1	PM:PDII-1	D:PDII-1	OGC	
NAME	Dunnington	GWunder	DMatthews	ADH	
DATE	11/16/95	11/16/95	12/05/95	11/29/95	
COPY	Yes/No	Yes/No	Yes/No	Yes/No	

9512120373 951205  
 PDR ADOCK 05000302  
 P PDR

NOV 11 1995  
 FEDERAL REGISTER COPY

DF01/1

Mr. Percy M. Beard, Jr.  
Florida Power Corporation

Crystal River Unit No. 3  
Generating Plant

cc:

Mr. Rodney E. Gaddy  
Corporate Counsel  
Florida Power Corporation  
MAC-A5A  
P.O. Box 14042  
St. Petersburg, Florida 33733

Chairman  
Board of County Commissioners  
Citrus County  
110 North Apopka Avenue  
Iverness, Florida 34450-4245

Mr. Bruce J. Hickie, Director  
Nuclear Plant Operations (NA2C)  
Florida Power Corporation  
Crystal River Energy Complex  
15760 W. Power Line Street  
Crystal River, Florida 34428-6708

Mr. Larry C. Kelley, Director  
Nuclear Operations Site Support  
(SA2A)  
Florida Power Corporation  
Crystal River Energy Complex  
15760 W. Power Line Street  
Crystal River, Florida 34428-6708

Mr. Robert B. Borsum  
B&W Nuclear Technologies  
1700 Rockville Pike, Suite 525  
Rockville, Maryland 20852

Senior Resident Inspector  
Crystal River Unit 3  
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
6745 N. Tallahassee Road  
Crystal River, Florida 34428

Mr. Bill Passetti  
Office of Radiation Control  
Department of Health and  
Rehabilitative Services  
1317 Winewood Blvd.  
Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0700

Mr. Gary Boldt  
Vice President - Nuclear Production  
Florida Power Corporation  
Crystal River Energy Complex  
15760 W. Power Line Street  
Crystal River, Florida 34428-6708

Attorney General  
Department of Legal Affairs  
The Capitol  
Tallahassee, Florida 32304

Regional Administrator, Region II  
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
101 Marietta Street N.W., Suite 2900  
Atlanta, Georgia 30323

Mr. Joe Myers, Director  
Division of Emergency Preparedness  
Department of Community Affairs  
2740 Centerview Drive  
Tallahassee, Florida 32399-2100

Mr. Kerry Landis  
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
101 Marietta Street, N.W. Suite 2900  
Atlanta, Georgia 30323-0199

Heinz Mueller (5 copies)  
Environmental Review Coordinator  
345 Cortland Street, NE  
Atlanta, GA 30365

UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION  
FLORIDA POWER COMPANY  
CRYSTAL RIVER NUCLEAR GENERATING PLANT, UNIT 3  
DOCKET NO. 50-302  
ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT AND FINDING OF  
NO SIGNIFICANT IMPACT

The U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) is considering issuance of an amendment to Facility Operating License No. DPR-72 issued to Florida Power Company (the licensee) for operation of Crystal River Nuclear Generating Plant, Unit 3, located in Citrus County, Florida.

ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT

Identification of Proposed Action

The proposed amendment would include provisions in Technical Specifications (TS) Section 3.7 which allow for the storage of fuel with an enrichment not to exceed 5.0 w/o U-235 in the new and spent fuel storage racks. The proposed action is in accordance with the licensee's application for amendment dated January 26, 1995, as supplemented March 9, 1995, and May 24, 1995.

The Need for Proposed Action:

The proposed changes are needed so that the licensee can use higher fuel enrichment to provide the flexibility of extending the fuel irradiation and to permit operation for longer fuel cycles.

Environmental Impacts of the Proposed Action

The Commission has completed its evaluation of the proposed revisions to the TS. The proposed revisions would permit use of fuel enriched to a nominal 5.0 weight percent Uranium 235. The safety considerations associated with reactor operation with higher enrichment and extended irradiation have been evaluated by the NRC staff. The staff has concluded that such changes would not adversely affect plant safety. The proposed changes have no adverse effect on the probability of any accident. The higher enrichment, with fuel burnup to 60,000 megawatt days per metric ton uranium, may slightly change the mix of fission products that might be released in the event of a serious accident, but such small changes would not significantly affect the consequences of serious accidents. No changes are being made in the types or amounts of any radiological effluents that may be released offsite. There is no significant increase in the allowable individual or cumulative occupational radiation exposure.

With regard to potential nonradiological impacts of reactor operation with higher enrichment and extended irradiation, the proposed changes to the TS involve systems located within the restricted area, as defined in 10 CFR Part 20. They do not affect nonradiological plant effluents and have no other environmental impact.

The environmental impacts of transportation resulting from the use of higher enrichment fuel and extended irradiation were published and discussed in the staff assessment entitled, "NRC Assessment of the Environmental Effects of Transportation Resulting from Extended Fuel Enrichment and Irradiation," dated July 7, 1988, and published in the Federal Register (53 FR 30355) on August 11, 1988. As indicated therein, the environmental cost contribution of

the proposed increase in the fuel enrichment and irradiation limits are either unchanged or may, in fact, be reduced from those summarized in Table S-4 as set forth in 10 CFR 51.52(c). Accordingly, the Commission concludes that there are no significant radiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed amendment.

With regard to potential non-radiological impacts, the proposed action does involve features located entirely within the restricted area as defined in 10 CFR Part 20. It does not affect non-radiological plant effluents and has no other environmental impact. Accordingly, the Commission concludes that there are no significant non-radiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

#### Alternative to the Proposed Action

Since the Commission concluded that there are no significant environmental effects that would result from the proposed action, any other alternative would have equal or greater environmental impacts and need not be evaluated.

The principal alternative would be to deny the requested amendments. This would not reduce the environmental impact of plant operations and would result in reduced operational flexibility.

#### Alternative Use of Resources

This action does not involve the use of any resources not previously considered in the Final Environmental Statement related to operation of the Crystal River Nuclear Generating Plant, Unit 3.

Agencies and Persons Consulted

In accordance with its stated policy, on November 16, 1995, the NRC staff consulted with the Florida State official, Dr. Lyle Jerrett of the State Office of Radiation Control, regarding the environmental impact of the proposed action. The State official had no comments.

FINDING OF NO SIGNIFICANT IMPACT

The Commission has determined not to prepare an environmental impact statement for the proposed license amendments.

Based upon the foregoing environmental assessment, we conclude that the proposed action will not have a significant effect on the quality of the human environment.

For further details with respect to this action, see the application for amendment dated January 26, 1995, and supplements to the application dated March 9, 1995, and May 24, 1995. These documents are available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, The Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC 20555, and at the local public document room for the Crystal River Nuclear Generating Station, Unit 3, located at the Coastal Region Library, 8619 W. Crystal Street, Crystal River, Florida 32629

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 5<sup>th</sup> day of December 1995.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION



David B. Matthews, Director  
Project Directorate II-1  
Division of Reactor Projects I/II  
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation