

March 7, 2002

ORGANIZATION: NUCLEAR ENERGY INSTITUTE

SUBJECT: SUMMARY OF MEETING WITH THE NUCLEAR ENERGY INSTITUTE (NEI) ON REVISED STAFF GUIDANCE ON SCOPING OF EQUIPMENT RELIED ON TO MEET THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE STATION BLACKOUT (SBO) RULE FOR LICENSE RENEWAL

On February 14, 2002, the U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission staff met with the NEI and other industry representatives to discuss NEI's latest response to the staff's proposed position on the subject, which was transmitted for their comments on January 28, 2002. During the January 15, 2002 meeting, the staff agreed to clarify its early proposed position that was provided to NEI and the Union of Concerned Scientists (UCS) in a letter dated November 14, 2001. In both of these letters, the staff took a position that the offsite power system should be included in the scope of license renewal to comply with the SBO rule. The purpose of this meeting was to understand the positions of all participants with regarding to the scoping of the offsite power system equipment for license renewal and to reach a common agreement. Attached are the meeting agenda (Attachment 1), a handout (Attachment 2) provided during the meeting by the NEI, and the list of meeting attendees (Attachment 3).

The meeting began with an NEI presentation on their understanding of the role of the offsite power source (i.e., switchyard) with regard to the SBO rule (10 CFR 50.63) and the license renewal rule (10 CFR 54.4) using excerpts from their latest response, and their basis why the offsite power system should be not included within the scope of license renewal. The presentation focused on scoping guidance under the license renewal rule, determination of acceptable coping duration based on the guidance document, development of and training on plant procedures to restore ac power, and the role of the alternate ac (AAC) power source under the SBO rule. The presentation concluded that the SBO rule does not exclusively specify or rely on restoration of offsite power as the means to recover from an SBO event. Rather, it is incumbent on each applicant to confirm for their plant the level of reliance placed on the restoration of offsite power to demonstrate compliance with the SBO rule.

After the presentation, more discussion ensued on the role of an offsite power source in complying with the SBO rule and the license renewal rule.

When the staff was evaluating a plant for compliance with the SBO rule, NEI stated that the evaluation did not identify any specific offsite power system components in the safety evaluation for recovering from an SBO event and the SBO rule only requires development of a training program on plant procedures to restore an ac power source. On this basis, NEI also stated that an emergency diesel is their preferred power source for recovery from an SBO event. However, the staff noted that the safety evaluation includes the offsite power system diagram; thus, there is no need to identify any offsite power system by its components.

The staff reiterated the fact that the recovery time of ac power from an offsite power source is generally shorter than that of an onsite power source based on the historical data collected over the years. Thus, coping times for SBO would be longer if recovery of offsite power was not considered for an SBO event. The staff concluded recovery from an SBO event must include the restoration of the offsite power source. The staff asked NEI for any data that would support recovery of an emergency diesel that is of shorter duration than that of the offsite power source.

Much conversation was held on the recovery of the power sources that should be considered for compliance with the SBO rule. In the recovery from an SBO event, it cannot be predicted which power source would be available to recover first. The staff stated that this inability to determine which one of the power sources would be recovered first during an SBO event is the basis for scoping in both power sources for license renewal. In addition, there were some discussions how far the offsite power system components in the switchyard should be extended from the plant for the scoping for license renewal.

Finally, the role of AAC power sources under the SBO rule was discussed. The staff maintained that AAC power sources in the SBO rule are only for coping with an SBO, but not to recover from an SBO event. Some discussion followed on how to scope in the excess capacity of AAC power sources that was used to satisfy the SBO rule for license renewal.

As a result of the discussion, both the staff and NEI continued their dialogue to achieve a better understanding of their respective positions. The staff agreed to re-state its position and forward it to NEI and UCS within 2 weeks.

/RA/

Peter J. Kang, Reactor Systems Engineer
License Renewal Section
License Renewal and Environmental Impacts Program
Division of Regulatory Improvement Programs
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Project No. 690

Attachments: As stated

cc w/atts: See next page

The staff reiterated the fact that the recovery time of ac power from an offsite power source is generally shorter than that of an onsite power source based on the historical data collected over the years. Thus, coping times for SBO would be longer if recovery of offsite power was not considered for an SBO event. The staff concluded recovery from an SBO event must include the restoration of the offsite power source. The staff asked NEI for any data that would support recovery of an emergency diesel that is of shorter duration than that of the offsite power source.

Much conversation was held on the recovery of the power sources that should be considered for compliance with the SBO rule. In the recovery from an SBO event, it cannot be predicted which power source would be available to recover first. The staff stated that this inability to determine which one of the power sources would be recovered first during an SBO event is the basis for scoping in both power sources for license renewal. In addition, there were some discussions how far the offsite power system components in the switchyard should be extended from the plant for the scoping for license renewal.

Finally, the role of AAC power sources under the SBO rule was discussed. The staff maintained that AAC power sources in the SBO rule are only for coping with an SBO, but not to recover from an SBO event. Some discussion followed on how to scope in the excess capacity of AAC power sources that was used to satisfy the SBO rule for license renewal.

As a result of the discussion, both the staff and NEI continued their dialogue to achieve a better understanding of their respective positions. The staff agreed to re-state its position and forward it to NEI and UCS within 2 weeks.

/RA/

Peter J. Kang, Reactor Systems Engineer
License Renewal Section
License Renewal and Environmental Impacts Program
Division of Regulatory Improvement Programs
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Project No. 690
Attachments: As stated
cc w/attn: See next page

Distribution:

See next page

DOCUMENT NAME: C:\Program Files\Adobe\Acrobat 4.0\PDF Output\Meeting Summary 2-14-02.wpd

See previous concurrence

OFFICE	NSE:RLEP	LA:DRIP	SC:RLEP	BC:EEIB	PD:RLEP
NAME	PKang*	EDunnington*	PTKuo*	JCalvo	CIGrimes
DATE	3/01/02	3/01/02	3/06/02	3/07/02	3/07/02

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

DISTRIBUTION: **Package: ML020700212**

HARD COPY

RLEP RF

E. Hylton

E-MAIL:

PUBLIC

J. Johnson

W. Borchardt

D. Matthews

F. Gillespie

C. Grimes

J. Strosnider (RidsNrrDe)

E. Imbro

G. Bagchi

K. Manoly

W. Bateman

J. Calvo

C. Holden

P. Shemanski

H. Nieh

G. Holahan

S. Black

B. Boger

D. Thatcher

G. Galletti

B. Thomas

R. Architzel

J. Moore

R. Weisman

M. Mayfield

A. Murphy

W. McDowell

S. Droggitis

RLEP Staff

A. Thadani

R. Zimmerman

C. Julian

R. Gardner

D. Chyu

M. Modes

J. Vora

NRC Meeting Attendance List
 Scoping of Equipment to meet SBO Rule
 February 14, 2002

Name (print)	Organization	Telephone #
C.I. Grimes	NRC/NRR/DRIP/RLEP	301-415-1183
P.T. Kuo	NRC/NRR/DRIP/RLEP	301-415-3147
J.A. Calvo	NRC/EEIB	301-415-2774
Jim Lazevnick	NRC/EEIB	301-415-2782
C. Holden	NRC/EEIB	301-415-1288
Paul Gill	NRR/EEIB	301-415-3316
Alan Nelson	NEI	202-739-8110
John Butler	NEI	202-739-8108
Steve Hale	FPL	305-246-6090
Mike Bauser	NEI	202-739-8144
Omid Tabatabai	NRC/NRR/DRIP/RLEP	301-415-3738
Shoji Takeyama	NRC/NRR/DRIP/RLEP	301-415-3873
Peter J. Kang	NRC/NRR/DRIP/RLEP	301-415-2779
Bob Prato	NRC/NRR/DRIP/RLEP	301-415-1147
Michael Henig	Dominion	804-273-2237
Nancy Chapman	Serch/Bechtel	301-228-6025
David Lochbaum	Organization of Concerned Scientists	202-223-6133
W. Robert Jackson	C.E. Nuclear Energy	615-826-7542
David Lewis	Shaw Pittman	202-663-8474
Paul Shemanski	NRC/NRR/DE/EEIB	310-415-1377
Hai-Boh-Wang	NRC/NRR/DRIP/RLEP	301-415-2958
Raj Auluck	NRC/NRR/DRIP/RLEP	301-415-1025
Ralph Architzel	MRR/DSSA/SPLB	301-415-2804
Garry G. Young	Entergy	501-858-4303
Alan Cox	Entergy	479-858-3173
Deann Raleigh	LIS, Scientech	301-258-2551
Jit Vora	NRC/RES/DET	301-415-5833
S.K. Mitra	NRC/NRR/DRIP/RLEP	301-415-2783
J. Knox	NRR/DE/EEIB	301-415-2763
Noel Dudley	NRC/NRR/DRIP/RLEP	301-415-6888
Greg Robison	Duke Energy	704-382-8685
Fred Polaski	Exelon Nuclear	610-765-5935
Jan E. Frorichsen	Southern Nuclear	205-992-5688
James E. Knorr	Nuclear Management Co.	920-755-6863
John Rycyna	CNS	410-793-3418
Joe Gasper	Omaha Public Power	402-533-7233
Mark Ackerman	FENOC	724-682-7944
Carey W. Fleming	Winston & Strawn	202-371-5839
Mary Olson	Nuclear Information & Resources	828-251-2060

NUCLEAR ENERGY INSTITUTE

Project No. 690

cc:

Mr. Joe Bartell
U.S. Department of Energy
NE-42
Washington, DC 20585

Mr. Richard P. Sedano, Commissioner
State Liaison Officer
Department of Public Service
112 State Street
Drawer 20
Montpelier, Vermont 05620-2601

Mr. Alan P. Nelson
Nuclear Energy Institute
1776 I Street, N.W., Suite 400
Washington, DC 20006-3708
APN@NEI.ORG

Mr. Stephen T. Hale
Florida Power & Light Company
9760 S.W. 344 Street
Florida City, Florida 33035

Mr. William Corbin
Virginia Electric & Power Company
Innsbrook Technical Center
5000 Dominion Boulevard
Glen Allen, Virginia 23060

Mr. Frederick W. Polaski
Manager License Renewal
Exelon Corporation
200 Exelon Way
Kennett Square, PA 19348

Mr. Robert Gill
Duke Energy Corporation
Mail Stop EC-12R
P.O. Box 1006
Charlotte, NC 28201-1006

Mr. Joseph Gasper
Manager - Nuclear Licensing
Omaha Public Power District
Fort Calhoun Station FC-2-4 Adm.
Post Office Box 399
Hwy. 75 - North of Fort Calhoun
Fort Calhoun, NE 68023-0399

Mr. David Lochbaum
Union of Concerned Scientists
1707 H Street, NW
Suite 600
Washington, DC 20006-3919

Mr. Paul Gunter
Director of the Reactor Watchdog Project
Nuclear Information & Resource Service
1424 16th Street, NW, Suite 404
Washington, DC 20036

Mr. Hugh Jackson
Public Citizen's Critical Mass Energy &
Environment Program
215 Pennsylvania Ave. SE
Washington DC 20003

Mary Olson
Nuclear Information & Resource Service,
Southeast Office
P.O. Box 7586
Asheville, North Carolina 28802