

March 7, 2002

Mr. Michael Mulligan
5 Woodlawn Lane
Hinsdale, NH 03451-2479

Dear Mr. Mulligan:

I am responding to your e-mail (Enclosure) to Mr. Victor Dricks of the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC's) Office of Public Affairs, which was received on February 21, 2002. Your message addressed a number of issues including what you believe to be employee discontent, Exelon management intimidation and callousness, your opinion of NRC's oversight/inspection of Exelon facilities, and your concern about the nation's energy future.

We have reviewed your message for potential allegations, and have forwarded it to the appropriate NRC personnel responsible for oversight for their information or action. You proposed that we "monitor yahoo message boards (and others) for indications of nuclear safety fraud and falsification." The NRC does not currently have a program to monitor Internet discussion boards or chat rooms for nuclear safety information due to resource limitations. The NRC ensures safe operation of nuclear plants through implementation of the Reactor Oversight Process (ROP). The ROP employs risk insights in determining those plant functions/attributes to inspect. As a result, issues identified as accident precursors are a direct input into items selected for inspection. Information about the ROP can be found at <http://www.nrc.gov/NRR/OVERSIGHT/ASSESS/index.html>

We appreciate you keeping us aware of the issues in which you are concerned.

Sincerely,

/RA/

Peter S. Tam, Senior Project Manager, Section 1
Project Directorate I
Division of Licensing Project Management
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Enclosure: E-mail from M. Mulligan to NRC dated February 21, 2002

March 7, 2002

Mr. Michael Mulligan
5 Woodlawn Lane
Hinsdale, NH 03451-2479

Dear Mr. Mulligan:

I am responding to your e-mail (Enclosure) to Mr. Victor Dricks of the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC's) Office of Public Affairs, which was received on February 21, 2002. Your message addressed a number of issues including what you believe to be employee discontent, Exelon management intimidation and callousness, your opinion of NRC's oversight/inspection of Exelon facilities, and your concern about the nation's energy future.

We have reviewed your message for potential allegations, and have forwarded it to the appropriate NRC personnel responsible for oversight for their information or action. You proposed that we "monitor yahoo message boards (and others) for indications of nuclear safety fraud and falsification." The NRC does not currently have a program to monitor Internet discussion boards or chat rooms for nuclear safety information due to resource limitations. The NRC ensures safe operation of nuclear plants through implementation of the Reactor Oversight Process (ROP). The ROP employs risk insights in determining those plant functions/attributes to inspect. As a result, issues identified as accident precursors are a direct input into items selected for inspection. Information about the ROP can be found at <http://www.nrc.gov/NRR/OVERSIGHT/ASSESS/index.html>

We appreciate you keeping us aware of the issues in which you are concerned.

Sincerely,

/RA/

Peter S. Tam, Senior Project Manager, Section 1
Project Directorate I
Division of Licensing Project Management
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Enclosure: E-mail from M. Mulligan to NRC dated February 21, 2002

DISTRIBUTION:

PUBLIC	VDricks
PDI-1 R/F	EAdensam
RBorchardt	LCox
BSheron	ABlough, RI
KJohnson	VMcCree, RII
SBajwa	GGrant, RIII
JMunday	KBrockman, RIV
PTam	SLittle
LCox	
NRR Mail Room (YT#020020041)	

Accession No. ML020670593

OFFICE	PDI-1/PM	PDI-1/LA	PDI-1/SC(A)	PDI/D
NAME	PTam	SLittle	JMunday	EAdensam
DATE	3/7/02	3/7/02	3/7/02	3/7/02

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

From: "Michael Mulligan" <steamshovel@adelphia.net>
To: "Dave Lochbaum" <dlochbaum@ucsusa.org>, "Victor Dricks" <vld@nrc.gov>
Date: 2/21/02 1:21PM
Subject: Safety Concern, percieved fraudulent activity by Exelon.

Mr Dricks,

This is copied from the yahoo Exelon message board. Where does the public get an understanding from NRC documents that Exc is being forced to change by the NRC or is in trouble. I have the feeling that the NRC has a process, an un-pubic process of protection and secrecy, of these utilities. The theme is there is tremendous amounts of employee discontent at these facilities (and the NRC) that is being filtered out from the public view. Why doesn't your inspection reports discuss these issues that may end up being a precursor to an accident and may be a broad based reflection on a forward looking decline indication of many plants? Is you regulatory oversite of the facilities to simplistic, in that it just monitors and publically informs the technical and operational aspects of the industry.

I believe the public discussion of these problems would be the quickest way on recovery of this corporation and the employee. I believe it is in our national interest that this turmoil be expressed openly, so that other areas of the country could discuss similar problem and come to a broad based conclusion to these problems. There is a theme of intimidation and callousness of Exelon management throughout these messages (as Enron). There are sharks in the water it seems. Could this reckless "Enron like" management of corporations lead to the a decline of grid reliability and a inhibition of planning for our energy future? Could a broad based senior management defect of these corporations (the self serving deals with stocks, bonds, debts, budgets and regulator influence) lead to a reactor accident? Is this guy right that deregulation is beginning to cause enormous unforeseen pressures on these utilities?

I request that you begin monitoring yahoo message boards (and others) for indications of nuclear safety fraud and falsification. This message by me is not confidential.

mike mulligan

Re: Reality Check
by: glowinbrite (M/Toms River, NJ)
Long-Term Sentiment: Strong Sell 02/15/02 09:40 pm
Msg: 1838 of 1883

Point of Order..."facts" are by their very nature "true" therefore if the "facts" did not fit the case in question they would be irrelative, irrespective, unrelated or; arbitrary.

That said - unions are not the answer though in the case of EXC they may help bring about the eventual downfall of the corporation sooner (which I for one would applaud) rather than later. Union or not, if employees are (as a group) willing to become mediocre slaves to management sycophants then "management" will win out. If employees - as a group - refuse to work the 60 hours for 40 hours pay, refuse to falsify the on-shift rotation log (have seen with my own eyes) and refuse to "stretch" the truth in order to satisfy an NRC commitment or requirement then the company will be forced to change.

Enclosure

Deregulation will, in the long run, be the worst thing that could happen to either the industry or the workers in it. The employees are losing the protection afforded by the guarantees of oligopoly, the stockholders are losing the ability to write off those poor performing months and the public is losing the only thing that held the industry safety standards as the foremost objective.

I have left EXC and am doing independent work now for two other utilities (CEG/ETR). Their problems and challenges are similar - their methods are not and that will make all the difference in the world.

Union or not makes little difference. I would encourage bringing in the union - that will hasten the demise of the company which IMO would be a good thing.

Posted as a reply to: Msg 1835 by in_or_out1970

CC: <iselin@cheshire.net>, <carol@sunnysidesolar.com>, "Karl J. Novak" <kjnovak@earthlink.net>, <jakeskis@aol.com>, <shadis@ime.net>, <steamshovel685@earthlink.net>, <mjd@necnp.org>, <johnsrud@csrlink.net>, <jperkins@lewnet.avcnet.org>