

# **Department of Energy**

Washington, DC 20585

QA: QA

FEB 26 2002

M. T. Peters Los Alamos National Laboratory 1180 Town Center Drive, M/S 423 Las Vegas, NV 89144

# EVALUATION OF INITIAL AND COMPLETE RESPONSE, VERIFICATION OF CORRECTIVE ACTIONS AND CLOSURE OF DEFICIENCY REPORT (DR) BSC-02-D-052

The Office of Quality Assurance staff has evaluated the initial and complete response, verified the corrective actions of DR BSC-02-D-052 and determined the results to be satisfactory. As a result, the DR is considered closed.

If you have any questions, please contact either James Blaylock at (702) 794-1420 or Donald J. Harris at (702) 794-1467.

ma Blaylock h

Ram Murthy, Acting Director Office of Quality Assurance

OQA:JB-0705

Enclosure: DR BSC-02-D-052



M. T. Peters

-2-

# FEB 26 2002

cc w/encl:

N. K. Stablein, NRC, Rockville, MD Robert Latta, NRC, Las Vegas, NV S. W. Lynch, State of Nevada, Carson City, NV Engelbrecht von Tiesenhausen, Clark County, Las Vegas, NV R. W. Andrews, BSC, Las Vegas, NV C. D. Beach, BSC, Las Vegas, NV G. K. Beall, BSC, Las Vegas, NV S. H. Horton, BSC, Las Vegas, NV R. P. Keele, BSC, Las Vegas, NV, M/S 280 D. T. Krisha, BSC, Las Vegas, NV D. M. Kunihiro, BSC, Las Vegas, NV R. E. Rucinski, BSC, Las Vegas, NV R. L. Weeks, BSC, Las Vegas, NV, M/S 280 N. H. Williams, BSC, Las Vegas, NV T. J. Wall, BSC, Las Vegas, NV W. J. Glasser, NOS, Las Vegas, NV D. J. Harris, NQS, Las Vegas, NV K. A. Hodges, NQS, Las Vegas, NV D. G. Opielowski, NQS, Las Vegas, NV J. R. Dyer, DOE/YMSCO, Las Vegas, NV C. E. Hampton, DOE/YMSCO, Las Vegas, NV D. G. Horton, DOE/YMSCO, Las Vegas, NV S. P. Mellington, DOE/YMSCO, Las Vegas, NV J. M. Replogle, DOE/YMSCO, Las Vegas, NV

B. M. Terrell, DOE/YMSCO, Las Vegas, NV

Nonsson WM-11

OFFICE OF CIVILI/ RADIOACTIVE WASTE MAI U.S. DEPARTMENT OF I WASHINGTON, D.	8. X DEFINITION CORRECTIVE ACTION REPORT NO. BSC-02-D-052 PAGE 1 OF QA: QA							
DEFICIENCY/CORRECTIVE	ACTION REPO	RT						
1. Controlling Document: Quality Assurance Requirements and Description Revision 6, 7, 8, and affect during data taking and data transmittal.)	19. (Revisions in	2. Related Re DTN LB980	eport No.: 901233124.101					
3. Responsible Organization: LBNL	4. Discussed With Gerald Neider-V	n: <mark>Vestermann</mark>	/Robert Terberg					
<ul> <li>5. Requirement:</li> <li>QARD 12.2.1C For measuring and test equipment used in one- and after use. Also 12.2.4 When measuring and test equipment valid calibration shall be evaluated. (Note: This provision has bee YAP-12.3Q (in effect at time of this case) Revision 0. 5.4.3a - En- both before and after use. 5.9a - Document the loss of or damage using the M&amp;TE OCR in accordance with the instructions provid</li> <li>YMP-LBNL-QIP-12.0 Rev 1. 3.2.3 When required, users shall of data collection, per methods appropriate for the individual unit an applications. 3.4.3 - When measuring equipment is found to be o irreparably broken after use, the PI or designee shall initiate an even in the test of the test of the test of the individual unit and applications. 3.4.3 - When measuring equipment is found to be on irreparably broken after use, the PI or designee shall initiate an even in the test of the test of the individual unit and applications.</li> </ul>	time-only applications is lost, the validity of in in the QARD since number that M&TE un to M&TE if it has be ed. Current proceed wheck measuring equilations of use unt of calibration of aluation of any data	ons, the calibr obtained using ce Revision 0) sed in a one-ti een used since ure is AP-12.1 uipment perfor such as equip when measuring generated, or	ation shall be done <b>both before</b> that equipment since its last me only application is calibrated e its last valid calibration by IQ R0 section 5.3.3a and 5.7.1a. rmance prior to and after use for ment used in one-time-only ng equipment has been lost or processes monitored by the sition of that data					
<ul> <li>6. Description of Condition: Found in verifying traceability (AP-3.15Q</li> <li>Contrary to the above procedures six pressure transducers (out of use. DTN LB980901233124.101 titled Pneumatic Pressure And ESF From Chapter 2 Of Report SP33PBM4: Fracture Flow And transducers were no longer used (in other testing) and cannot be 1 10/28/99 (ref. MOL.20000224.0305, TDIF 309275)</li> <li>The ones with no post calibration used in Niche 3107 are Kavlico psi), Kavlico LBL 15, E-1497-007 (75 psi), and Kavlico LBL 16, used in niche 4788 are Kavlico 108 SN A2198-021 (30 psi), and</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>subject equipment since its last known data of being in calibration, and the acceptability and disposition of that data.</li> <li>6. Description of Condition: Found in verifying traceability (AP-3.15Q) of data from DTN.</li> <li>Contrary to the above procedures six pressure transducers (out of 56) used to collect data for TDMS were not calibrated after use. DTN LB980901233124.101 titled Pneumatic Pressure And Air Permeability Data From Niche 3107 And Niche 4788 In The ESF From Chapter 2 Of Report SP33PBM4: Fracture Flow And Seepage Testing In The ESF, FY98. According to LBNL, these transducers were no longer used (in other testing) and cannot be located. Note: Data acquisition started on 5/15/97 and ended on 10/28/99 (ref. MOL.20000224.0305, TDIF 309275)</li> <li>The ones with no post calibration used in Niche 3107 are Kavlico LBL 13, E-1497-003 (75 psi), Kavlico LBL 14, E-1497-023 (75 psi), Kavlico LBL 15, E-1497-007 (75 psi), and Kavlico LBL 16, E-1497-022 (75 psi). The other two with no post calibration</li> </ul>							
<ul> <li>Also, at the time of the DTN data transmittal, no Out of Calibratic currently generating this report.</li> </ul>	on Reports (OCR) f	or the lost M&	TE were generated. LBNL is					
<ul> <li>Recommended Action         <ul> <li>a) DTN data using these pressure transducers should be declared</li> <li>b) OCR completed on deficient M&amp;TE</li> <li>c) OCR, if done as an evaluation, may be used IAW AP-SIII.20</li> </ul> </li> <li>7. Initiator: A Complete Action Action</li></ul>	ed unqualified. to qualify the data 9. Does a stop w Yes 2 If Yes Check 6	correspondin ork condition ( ] No	g to these six instruments. exist? (Not required for a DR)					
Charles D. Beach Date 12/19/2001								
10. Recommended Actions: "NONE" Algon 1/3/02								
11. QA Review: QAR Afonald Harris Date 1/3/02	12. Response Du 10 Working Da	ue Date: ys From Issu	ance					
13. DOQA Issuance Approval	ture James	Blaghes	ltn Date 1/10/02					
22. Corrective Actions Verified: OAR A Loss Date 7.115/07	23. Closure Approv	Bl.	Date 2/26/02					
Exhibit AP-16.1Q.1		ingu	Rev. 12/20/1999					

.

---

10/14

TYPE RESPONSE:

🛛 Initial

Complete

÷

. - **-**

### OFFICE OF CIVILIAN RADIOACTIVE WASTE MANAGEMENT U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY WASHINGTON, D.C.

 DR/CAR NO.
 BSC-02-D-052

 PAGE
 1
 OF 2

	U.S. DEPARTME WASHING	ENT OF ENERGY TON, D.C.	QA: QA
	DEFICIENCY/CORRECTIVI	E ACTION REPORT (RESPONS	E)
14a. Immediate Actions:			
N/A			
Compliance Date: N/A			
See continuation page.			
15. Extent of Condition:			
<ul> <li>This occurrence of an item of incident. However, it is the caffecting data at LBNL. This</li> <li>The DTN associated with All other principal factor procedures released on valuable tool in identifyin factor checklists will be that were used in quality.</li> <li>Since the time that PVA issues and maintaining according to AP-12.1Q.</li> <li>The trend database for October 1, 1999. There</li> </ul>	f M&TE being taken out-of-service only incident, not evaluated and re- was determined by the following h this deficiency is the only princi- LBNL pre-PVAR DTNs have com 6/30/1999 address past procedu- ng and addressing past data shor considered complete, and so ther <i>i</i> -affecting work used for YMP, that R processes came out, there has a list of M&TE items. Out-of-calib NCRs reflect that this is only the s fore, there is a level of confidence	e without a calibration at LBNL was not e solved through other processes, related information: pal factor LBNL pre-PVAR DTN that has pleted verification with an approved che ral inadequacies. The data verification of tcornings. Once this checklist is finalize re is a level of confidence that there are at fall into this category. been a central LBNL point-of-contact for oration conditions are identified on this lis second occurrence of no closing calibrat e that quality-affecting data has not been	determined to be an isolated to principal factor quality- a not completed verification. ecklist. A series of PVAR checklists have proven to be a d, all of the LBNL principal no remaining items of M&TE or coordinating M&TE-related st and actions are completed ion documented since in affected by this condition.
16. Cause: (Attach results	of root cause determination prepa	ared in accordance with AP-16.4Q for a	significant deficiency.)
See LVMO-98-C-002 (CAR= associated with pre-PVAR d	002), issued on 02/11/1098 and c ata, such as the data set identific	<del>losed on 04/10/2000. "CAR-002 addres:</del> <del>d in this DR.</del>	sed many of the problems
It was determined that the N was caused by the fact that,	&TE being removed from service at the time, LBNL did not have a	without calibration was the result of an central person performing M&TE oversig	administrative oversight. It ght activities, as they do now.
17. Action to Preclude Rec	urrence: :/3i/02		
The data problems identified in CAR-002) The fact that the the issue of data quality, the CAR-002.	I in this DR were resolved with the is problem was found shows that re is no need to perform an action	e use of a checklist in order to verify all p the checklists verifying pre-PVAR data n to preclude recurrence beyond those a	ore-PVAR data, as discussed are working. With respect to liready completed as part of
To preclude recurrence of M of all Q equipment and issue Attachment B).	&TE being removed from service as out -of-calibration reports as ap	without calibration, the M&TE LBNL Co opropriate (reference McClung e-mail to	ordinator is tracking the status Aden-Gleason dated 1/25/02,
18. Due Date: January 31, 2	2002	19. Response by: Mark Peters (R. E.	Rucinski – responsible ind)
For submittal of completion of correct	ete response	Ka Olive t	1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1
20 Evaluation: Maccont	Partially Accept Reject	Date: January 31, 2002 Phone: 1 21. Concurrence:	702-295-3644111 R.L. I-JI-PO
	Date 7/4/am	$R_{000}$ $R_{0}$ $R_{0}$ $R_{0}$	Data 2/2/ /2-

### OFFICE OF CIVILIAN RADIOACTIVE WASTE MANAGEMENT U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY WASHINGTON, D.C.

8. ODR/CAR

NO. BSC-02-D-052

PAGE 2 OF 2

QA: QA

### DEFICIENCY/CORRECTIVE ACTION REPORT/STOP WORK ORDER CONTINUATION PAGE

Continued from block 14, Remedial Action:

The deficiency identified in Item 6 of BSC-02-D-052 needs clarification as follows:

- The deficiency states that " six pressure transducers used to collect data for TDMS were not calibrated after use." The transducers in question were not required to be calibrated after use as they were not intended for one time only application. They were required to be calibrated annually. Fifty-six (56) transducers were taken out-of-service and replaced with more expensive and more accurate sensors after they were last used. As of 1/25/02 six (of 56) pressure transducers have not been located and the after use "As Found" data are unavailable. An impact evaluation was conducted to assess the performance of these six pressure transducers (Attachment A).
- The deficiency states that "Data acquisition started on 5/15/97 and ended on 10/28/99". TDIF 309275 has been corrected to show the time span of acquisition as 1/28/98 to 6/28/98 based on the actual days of the experiment as listed in the scientific notebook SN-LBNL-SCI-078-V1, pp. 5 - 46 (MOL.19991013.0469). The corrected TDIF 309275 was reflected in the ATDT as of 1/25/02.
- The procedure governing M&TE during the time these data sets were acquired (1/28/98 to 6/28/98) was not YAP-12.3Q as the DR suggests, but rather YMP-LBNL-QIP-12.0, Control and Calibration of Measuring and Test Equipment, Rev1, Mod 1, (effective 1/17/97).

OCRs were not required to be generated by the above procedures for the affected data sets. Section 3.4.3 of YMP-LBNL-QIP-12.0, Rev1, Mod1 states "When measuring equipment is found to be out of calibration or when measuring equipment has been lost or irreparably broken after use, the PI or designee shall initiate an  $\varepsilon$ -aluation of any data generated, or processes monitored by the subject equipment since its last known date of being in calibration, and the acceptability and disposition of that data. The evaluation shall include the determination of acceptability for previously collected data, processes monitored, or items previously inspected or tested. The evaluation shall be documented by the PI or designee in the equipment logbook. If data is found to be unacceptable based on the evaluation, a nonconformance report shall be initiated per YAP-15.1Q and any necessary corrective action shall be taken per AP-16.1Q."

As part of the data verification checklists (per AP-3.15Q) for pre-PVAR data sets, a data impact evaluation was conducted by comparing data (ambient conditions) obtained for the lost six pressure transducers to neighboring pressure transducers that were properly calibrated. The six pressure transducers were determined to be operating within their sensor accuracy of +- 10% as required (see Master Scientific Notebook YMP-LBNL-JSW-6.0, MOL.20000412.0749; RISWeb pp.13-15) at the time they were taken out-of-service based on the Impact Evaluation for LB980901233124.101 (Attachment A). The subject data were determined to be qualified based on the results and conclusions of the same Impact Evaluation. It can be noted that the results of the evaluation were not documented in the equipment logbook, as required by the above procedure, which is no longer used. The subject logbook is obsolete and no longer open for additional information. Therefore, the evaluation, besides being submitted as part of this deficiency report, will continue to be processed in accordance with the AP-3.15Q data verification checklists discussed above.

DR-052 ATT+CHMEN'

T-970 P.02/08 F=038

P. 1



Earth Science Division Nuclear Waste Program

## Subject: Impact Evaluation for LB980901233124.101

To: Subject DTN File for Data Confirmation Checklist

From: Robert TerBerg c/o Paul Cook

Date: January 17, 2002

### Background

For LB980901233124.101, the instrumentation listed below did not have post-work calibrations after 6/26/98 (see TDIF 309275 for span of data acquisition: 1/28/98-6/26/98).

Niche 3107 75 psi Kavlicos:

LBNL #	Serial #
13	E-1497-003
14	E-1497-023
15	E-1497-007
16	E-1497-022

Niche 4788 30 psi Kavlicos:

LBNL #	Serial #
108	A2198-021
109	A2198-010

### Purpose

The governing procedure in effect at the time of data acquisition was QIP-12.0 Rev 1 Mod 1 (1/17/97), which mandates an impact evaluation for Out-of-Calibration conditions. Lack of post-work calibrations constitutes an Out-of-Calibration condition as per section 3.4.1 of QIP-12.0 Rev 1 Mod 1. This document addresses the mandate for an impact evaluation as per section 3.4.3 of QIP-12.0 Rev 1 Mod 1.

### Objective

Evaluate whether the performance of the above-mentioned transducers had any impact to the generated data. Check if the performance is within Technical Work Plan specifications (= 10 % as per Master Scientific Notebook YMP-LBNL-JSW-6.0 [MOL.20000412.0749; RJSWeb p. 13-15]) during data acquisition for DTN LB980901233124.101.

### Approach

Do a performance comparison on ambient pressure readings between pressure transducers, with only pre-work calibrations, similar and adjacent to those with pre- and post-work calibrations that are within Technical Work Plan specifications ( $\pm 10$  % Full Scale).

For the Niche 3107 75 psi Kavlicos, we chose LBNL #11,12 (similar and adjacent transducers with passed postwork calibrations; maximum 0.17% error as-found [MOL.20010822.012]).

For the Niche 4788 30 psi Kavlicos, we chose LBNL #107,110 (similar and adjacent transducers with passed postwork calibrations; maximum 0.15% error as-found [MOL.20010629.061])

The converted data (pressure from voltage signal) from LB980901233124.101 are examined because the basis for comparison is the ambient condition that is shared by adjacent transducers. As soon as pressure is introduced in one

5104866225

T-070 P.03/08 F-038

DR-052 A7.2/1

given test interval for permeability testing purposes, gradients will be apparent between transducers. The pressure readings are an expression of voltage signals using known calibration constants. If there is variance in transducer performance, the variance will be linearly translated from voltage to pressure.

We chose the earliest and latest ambient data recorded within the data set to cover the broadest range of proximal and comparable testing conditions pertinent to this data set. No significantly anomalous pressure transducer responses (attributable to transducer performance) were observed within or between the data chosen for this performance comparison.

### Assumptions

11.44

46

FI UBTREU\_EA

We limited the extent of performance comparison in order to limit the assumed range of similar ambient conditions. This would follow a geological expectation of increased heterogeneity with increased scale. The existing fracture permeability is assumed to transmit ambient pressure uniformly within the rock body penetrated by the packer assemblies containing adjacent transducers.

#### Results

Niche 3107 75 psi Kavlicos: LBNL # Serial #

- 13
   E-1497-003

   14
   E-1497-023

   15
   E-1497-007
- 16 E-1497-022

Performance Comparison of the above 4 transducers with LBNL #11,12 (similar and adjacent transducers with passed post-work calibrations; maximum 0.17% error as-found [MOL.20010822.012]) in measuring ambient pressure conditions:

Maximum positive difference = +0.70 % Full Scale Maximum negative difference = -0.11 % Full Scale Maximum potential error is 0.70 + 0.17 = 0.87%

Niche 4788 30 psi Kavlicos:

LBNL # Serial #

108 A2198-021

109 A2198-010

Performance Comparison of the above 2 transducers with LBNL #107,110 (similar and adjacent transducers with passed post-work calibrations; maximum 0.15% error as-found [MOL.20010629.061]) in measuring ambient pressure conditions:

Maximum positive difference = +0.02 % Full Scale Maximum negative difference = -3.42 % Full Scale Maximum potential error is 3.42 + 0.15 = 3.57%

#### Conclusions

The performance of the 6 transducers, lacking post-work calibrations, is within the Technical Work Plan specifications ( $\pm$  10 % Full Scale as per Master Scientific Notebook YMP-LBNL-JSW-6.0 [MOL.20000412.0749; RISWeb p. 13-15]), and does not affect the quality of the data in LB980901233124.101

### Attachments

. - -

Attachment A: Kavlico Impact Analysis LBL 13-16 011402.xls Attachment B: Kavlico Impact Analysis LBL 108-109 011402.xls

#### Attachment A

Jan-28-02 17:47 From-NW0\_EA

,

5|04800220

Ĩ, 1001 7,  $\dot{\Delta}$ 2.

The following is a performance comparison between 75 psi pressure transducers with pre- and post-work calibrations (LBNL 11-12) and those with only pre--work calibrations (LBNL 13-16). The following are the first 17 lines of data columns 63-68 in the first data taken at ambient conditions at Niche 3 (pre--construction). Ambient readings are taken just before flow is introduced, whereafter the readings are no longer ambient or comparable in a definable way. The "converted" data are examined because the issue is the validity of the overall results of transducers without post-work calibrations. The raw voltage is not examined because the conversions have already been verified as valid in the initial calibrations.

#### The following pressure data (psi) were taken from "Niche 3 UL 20 inj - 11 obs ft 1-28-98.csv converted.csv" (from 1/28/98) LBL 13-16 differences from LBL 12 LBL 16 LBL 13-16 differences from LBL 11 LBL 15 LBL 14 LBL 13 LBL 12 Kavlico #s LBL 11 LBL 14 LBL 15 LBL 16 LBL 13 LBL 14 LBL 15 LBL 16 i6 🖌 LBL 13 i3 V i4 ----15 V **i**2 i1 time 0.49478 0.33871 0.44433 0.09727 0.16729 0.01123 0.11685 15:05:59 12:25863 12:58612 12:68339 12:75341 12:59735 12:70297 0:42476 0.49478 0.33871 0.44433 0.09727 0.16729 0.01123 0.11685 15:05:59 12:25863 12:58612 12:68339 12:75341 12:59735 12:70297 0:42476 0.46590 0.38945 0.44303 0.07428 0.10069 0.02425 0.07783 15:06:04 12:26012 12:62533 12:69961 12:72602 12:64958 12:70315 0.43949 0.52031 0.36565 0.41489 0.10928 0.17168 0.01701 0.06625 15:06:10 12:26012 12:60876 12:71804 12:78043 12:62577 12:67501 0.45792 0,43512 0.37999 0.44958 0.10871 0.10238 0.04725 0.11684 15:06:15 12:26645 12:59919 12:70790 12:70157 12:64644 12:71603 0.44145 0.46683 0.34357 0.42061 0.10943 0.15810 0.11189 0.03484 15:06:20 12:27445 12:58317 12:69261 12:74128 12:61802 12:69506 0.41816 0.44125 0.35327 0.42757 0.12014 0.10514 0.01716 0.09146 15:06:25 12:26105 12:59716 12:71730 12:70230 12:61433 12:68862 0.45625 0.51281 0.35515 0.45558 0.07757 0.16525 0.00759 0.10801 15:06:31 12:25677 12:60434 12:68192 12:76959 12:61193 12:71235 0.42514 0.44164 0.39500 0.43568 0.07945 0.06980 0.02316 0.06384 15:06:36 12:25938 12:63122 12:71067 12:70102 12:65438 12:69506 0.45129 0.45452 0.40657 0.45169 0.03597 0.05269 0.00474 0.04985 15:06:41 12:24282 12:64465 12:68063 12:69734 12:64939 12:69451 0.43781 0.44143 0.33370 0.40990 0.09509 0.09428 -0.01344 0.06276 15:06:46 12:26180 12:60894 12:70403 12:70322 12:59550 12:67170 0.44223 0.46554 0.35028 0.46308 0.06228 0.10789 -0.00737 0.10544 15:06:52 12:24375 12:60140 12:66367 12:70929 12:59402 12:70683 0.41992 0.46980 0.40296 0.45337 0.10743 0.12333 0.05649 0.10691 15:06:57 12:25659 12:60305 12:71048 12:72639 12:65954 12:70996 0.45389 0.52806 0.36320 0.46714 0.12104 0.18917 0.02432 0.12826 15:07:02 12:24263 12:58152 12:70256 12:77069 12:60584 12:70977 0:45993 0.41032 0.36930 0.44353 0.06747 0.04903 0.00801 0.08224 15:07:08 12:26496 12:62625 12:69371 12:67528 12:63426 12:70849 0.42875 0.49661 0.36529 0.44102 0.07596 0.11391 -0.01742 0.05832 15:07:13 12.25863 12.64134 12.71730 12.75525 12.62392 12.69966 0.45867 15:07:18 12:30069 12:61318 12:71638 12:73925 12:66545 12:68145 0:41569 0:43857 0:36476 0 38076 0:10320 0:12608 0:05227 0:06827

6 af 14

۰.

mannesses and the statestic langer Anatomic LDL 13 16 011409 viribitarhmont A

page 1 of 2

% of Full Scale reading

(75 psi)

0.70408

-0.02322

10.00

Max. discrepancy

Max. Allowable

pos 0.52806

neg -0.01742

#### Atlachment A

The following are the last 18 lines of data columns 63-68 in the last data taken at ambient conditions at Niche 3 (pre construction). These final readings were taken some time (0 sec) after flow was stopped and the readings returned to being ambient and comparable in a definable way. Again, the "converted" data are examined because the issue is the validity of the overall results of transducers without post-work calibrations. The raw voltage is not examined because the conversions have already been verified as valid in the initial calibrations.

4

The following pressure data (psi) were taken from "Niche 3 B2.5 20inj - 11 obs ft 3-26-98.csv converted csv" (from 3/26/98) \_\_\_ LBL 16 LBL 13-16 differences from LBL 11 LBL 13-16 differences from LBL 12 LBL 14 LBL 15 LBL 11 LBL 12 LBL 13 Kavlico #s LBL 14 LBL 15 LBL 16 LBL 13 LBL 14 LBL 15 LBL 16 i6 i5 LBL 13 i2 i3 i4 time **i**1 12:33:47 12:20300 12:56882 12:60802 12:65947 12:53256 12:64209 0:40502 0.45647 0.32956 0.43909 0.03920 0.09065 -0.03625 0.07327 0,43731 0.29170 0.43261 0.02355 0.06638 -0.07923 0.06168 12:33:53 12:20616 12:57710 12:60064 12:64347 12:49787 12:63877 0:39448 0.44534 0.34771 0.44506 0.03884 0.06730 -0.03033 0.06701 12:33:58 12.19574 12.57379 12.61262 12.64109 12.54345 12.64080 0.41688 0.41584 0.29806 0.41463 0.04381 0.06271 -0.05507 0.06150 12:34:03 12:21882 12:57194 12:61576 12:63465 12:51688 12:63344 0:39694 0.46678 0.35504 0.43450 0.03993 0.10702 -0.00471 0.07475 12:34:09 12:20207 12:56182 12:60175 12:66884 12:55711 12:63657 0.39968 0.43241 0.33659 0.44132 0.02961 0.06749 -0.02832 0.07640 12:34:14 12:20095 12:56587 12:59548 12:63336 12:53755 12:64227 0:39453 0.45692 0.35048 0.44818 0.03328 0.09895 -0.00750 0.09020 12:34:19 12.19593 12.55391 12.58719 12.65285 12.54641 12.64411 0.39126 12:34:25 12.19425 12.56992 12.60415 12.63814 12.51171 12.62774 0.40989 0.44389 0.31745 0.43348 0.03423 0.06822 -0.05821 0.05782 0.47186 0.35211 0.45852 0.06923 0.08772 -0.03203 0.07438 12:34:30 12.17658 12.56072 12.62995 12.64844 12.52869 12.63510 0.45337 0.44775 0.35824 0.44562 0.01156 0.07043 -0.01908 0.06830 12:34:35 12.19444 12.57176 12.58332 12.64219 12.55268 12.64006 0.38888 12:34:40 12:23147 12:56587 12:60562 12:62950 12:48033 12:63123 0:37415 0:39804 0:24887 0:39977 0:03975 0:06363 -0:08554 0:06536 12:34:46 12:20635 12:57084 12:60931 12:63263 12:55397 12:63381 0.40296 0.42628 0.34762 0.42746 0.03847 0.06179 -0.01687 0.06297 0.43625 0.36224 0.42879 0.05651 0.08092 0.00691 0.07346 12:34:51 12:20244 12:55777 12:61428 12:63870 12:56468 12:63123 0.41184 12:34:56 12.18663 12.56200 12.59143 12.66296 12.53090 12.62608 0.40480 0.47633 0.34428 0.43946 0.02942 0.10096 -0.03110 0.06408 12:35:02 12:20728 12:55427 12:62331 12:62050 12:54641 12:63123 0.41603 0.41322 0.33913 0.42395 0.06904 0.06622 -0.00787 0.07696 12:35:07 12:20244 12:56734 12:59991 12:62013 12:54179 12:63749 0:39747 0:41769 0:33935 0:43505 0:03256 0:05279 -0:02555 0:07015 12:35:12 12:19742 12:55519 12:62497 12:63520 12:53792 12:63620 0.42755 0.43778 0.34050 0.43878 0.06978 0.08001 -0.01728 0.08100 12:35:18 12:21379 12:57599 12:58922 12:64531 12:53773 12:64301 0:37543 0:43152 0:32394 0:42921 0:01322 0:06932 -0:03826 0:06701

ž % of Full 120 Scale reading Max. discrepancy (75 psi) Þ 0.63511 pos 0.47633 neg -0.08554 -0.11405 Å Max. Allowable 10.00

÷

5

. ....

### Attachment B

The following is a performance comparison between 30 psi pressure transducers with pre- and post-work calibrations (LBNL 107, 110) and those with only pre-work calibrations (LBNL 108-109). For these comparisons the "converted" data file was reconverted by cancelling the nominal field calibration constants, and using the actual calibration constants from the calibration sheets. This is the same process used in the submitted data except that here it is done for many points in sequence in the data set, not just the single ambient and steady state measurement points.

The following are the first 44 lines of pressure data (psi) from columns 3-6 in the first data acquired at ambient conditions at Niche 4 (pre construction). The data were taken from "N4 air K 6.24.98.csv converted.csv" (reconverted data from 6/24/98)

.

1

00

4

.

Kavlico #s	LBL 110	LBL 109 V	î BL 108 🗸	LBL 107	Differences from LB	L 110 for:	Differences from LBL	107 for:
time	UL-0	UL-1	UL-2	UL-3	109	108	109	108
20:23:31	13.91652	13.02375	12,93847	13.09092	-0.89277	-0.97805	-0.06717	-0.15245
20:23:36	13.89544	13.02645	12.92393	13.08949	-0.86899	-0.97151	-0.06303	-0.16556
20:23:42	13.91449	13.02811	12.94231	13.10133	-0.88639	-0.97218	-0.07322	-0.15901
20:23:48	13.92702	13.02277	12.92114	13.09914	-0.90425	-1.00588	-0.07637	-0.17800
20:23:53	13.92530	13.01759	12.91729	13.09363	-0.90771	-1.00800	-0.07605	-0.17634
20:23:59	13.91209	13.01436	12.90154	13.09514	-0.89774	-1.01055	-0.08079	-0.19360
20.24:04	13.89724	12.99167	12.90237	13.07561	-0.90557	-0.99487	-0.08394	-0.17324
20:24:09	13.89889	13.00820	12.93531	13.07870	-0.89069	-0.96358	-0.07051	-0.14340
20:24:14	13.88749	13.00572	12.90712	13.09250	-0.88177	-0.98037	-0.08679	-0.18538
20:24:19	13.88426	13.01383	12.91865	13.07493	-0.87043	-0.96561	-0.06110	-0.15628
20:24:24	13.91877	13.01218	12.91707	13.07425	-0.90659	-1.00170	-0.06207	-0.15718
20:24:29	13.91832	13.01969	12.91225	13.08006	-0.89863	-1.00607	-0 06037	-0.16781
20:24:35	13.93632	13.01947	12.91127	13.08949	-0.91686	-1.02506	-0.07002	-0.17822
20:24:40	13.88456	13.02337	12.93922	13.09009	-0.86119	-0.94534	-0.06672	-0.15087
20:24:45	13.90564	13.02668	12.92091	13.09793	-0.87896	-0.98473	-0.07125	-0.17702
20:24:50	13.91907	13.02796	12.92491	13.09243	-0. <b>8911</b> 1	-0.99416	-0.06447	-0.16752
20:24-55	13.93565	13.02893	12 92792	<b>13.0895</b> 6	-0.90672	-1.00773	-0.06063	-0.16164
20:25:01	13.90632	13.02908	12.94043	13.09137	-0.87723	-0.96589	-0.06229	-0. <b>15094</b>
20:25:06	13.92642	13.03006	12.93410	13.10374	-0.89636	-0.99232	-0.07368	-0. <b>169</b> 64
20:25 11	13.93992	13.02938	12.94910	13.08428	-0 91054	-0.99083	-0.05490	-0.13519
20:25:16	13.91794	13.01984	12.93809	13.09484	-0.89810	-0.97985	-0.07500	-0.15675
20:25:21	13.92755	i 13.03014	12.92393	13.09929	-0.89741	-1.00362	-0.06916	-0.175 <b>3</b> 6
20:25:26	13.93040	13.02908	12.94118	13.08783	-0.90131	-0.98921	-0.05874	-0.14664
20:25:32	13.91172	13.03600	12.94344	13.09808	-0.87572	-0.96827	-0.06209	-0.1 <b>546</b> 4
20:25:37	13.92500	13.03231	12.91722	13.10412	-0.89268	-1.00778	-0.07180	-0.18690
20:25:42	13.91584	13.00992	12.92310	13.10910	0.90592	-0.99275	-0.09917	-0.18600
20.25:47	13.92275	5 13.00880	12.92709	13.08594	0.91395	-0.99565	-0.07714	-0. <b>15885</b>
20:25:52	13.91907	13.02803	12.93726	13.1046	5 -0.89104	-0.98181	-0.07661	-0.16738
20:25:57	13.92815	5 13.02615	5 12.94224	13.11279	-0.90199	-0.98591	-0.08664	-0.17055
20:26:02	13.91832	13.02768	12.93365	13.10789	-0.89066	-0.98467	-0.08023	-0.17424

0104000660

7.00-7 オー ている 

Attachment B

-0.17687
-0.17175
-0.12823
-0.16105
-0.17205
-0.13253
-0.16029
-0 12960
.0 17265
0.11200
-0.12090
-0.16594
-0.16957
-0.14814

Max. discrepancy % of Full Scale reading (30 psi) pos 0.00000 0.00000

pos u.uuuuu	0.00000
neg -1.02506	-3.41686
Max. Allowable	10.00

0104

Ч Ч

С С

5

F

L/a d

The following are the ambient readings in the last data acquired with these transducers. These final readings were taken some time after flow was stopped and the readings returned to being ambient and comparable in a definable way. The "converted" data is examined because the issue is whether the transducer's (without post-work calibrations) overall results are valid. The raw voltage is not examined because the conversions have already been verified as valid in the initial calibrations. Although the difference between the 107 and 110 transducers is greater than the difference between the 108 and 109 unit, both 107 and 110 units had acceptable post-work calibrations.

The following are the last 44 lines of pressure data (psi) from columns 3-6 in the last data acquired at ambient conditions at Niche 4 (pre-construction). The following data were taken from "N4 air K 6.26.98.csv converted.csv" (reconverted data from 6/29/98)

Kaulico #s	LBI 110	LBL 109	1BL 108 V	1.BL 107	Differences from LBL	110 for:	Differences from LB1	<b>. 107 fo</b> r:
time		UL-1	UL-2	UL-3	LBL 109	LBL 108	LBL 109	LBL 108
8.16.23	13,89094	13.09625	13.00931	13.16075	-0.79469	-0.88163	-0.06450	-0.15145
8.16.29	13,92230	13.08889	12.99778	13.17244	-0.83341	-0. <b>9245</b> 2	-0.08356	-0.17467
8:16:34	13.91314	13.09753	13.00328	13.14680	-0.81562	-0.90987	-0.04927	-0.14352
8.16:39	13.91877	13.09738	12.99830	13.18104	-0.82139	-0.92047	-0.08366	-0.18274
8-16:45	13.88854	13.09467	13.02038	13.16332	-0.79386	-0.86815	-0.06865	-0.14293
8.16:49	13.91839	13,10159	13.01134	13.17584	-0.81681	-0.90705	-0.07425	-0.16450
8:16:55	13.91239	13.09850	13.01925	13.17109	-0.81389	-0.89314	-0.07258	-0.15183
8:17:00	13 91 134	13.09062	13.00825	13.15683	-0.82073	-0.90309	-0.06622	-0.14858
8:17:05	13.92402	13.09670	13.01006	13.17750	-0.82732	-0.91396	-0.08079	-0.16744

9 02 14

۰,

# Attachment B

8-17-10	13 02425	13 09768	13 00546	13.12667	-0.82657	-0.91878	-0.02899	-0.12120
9.17.10	13 88666	13 10301	13 00365	13.17207	-0.78365	-0.88301	-0.06905	-0.16841
0.17.10	13 01134	13 09903	13 00456	13.18014	-0.81231	-0.90678	-0.08110	-0.17558
9-17-26	13 88074	13 09798	13 01323	13.14974	-0.79176	-0.87651	-0.05176	-0.13652
0.17.20	13.80221	13.09918	12 99326	13,15713	-0.79303	-0.89896	-0.05795	-0.16388
9.17.36	13 01/12	13.09978	13 00697	13.16784	-0.81434	-0.90715	-0.06806	-0.16087
9-17-81	13.91412	13 10249	12,98986	13.14590	-0.79190	-0.90452	-0.04341	-0.15603
0.11.41	13.03400	13 09535	12 99657	13.11113	-0.82222	-0.92100	-0.01578	-0.11456
9-17-62	13 9 16 22	13 09843	13 00072	13.18919	-0.81779	-0.91550	-0.09076	-0.18847
0.17.JZ	13 92440	13 09933	13.01466	13.14152	-0.82506	-0.90974	-0.04219	-0.12687
8-18-02	13 01044	13.09670	13.00720	13.14281	-0.81374	-0.90325	-0.04610	-0.1 <b>3561</b>
8-18-07	13.91854	13 10016	13 01194	13.15721	-0.81839	-0.90660	-0.05705	-0.14527
8-18-19	13 87083	13 09956	13.01006	13.14529	-0.77128	-0.86077	-0.04574	-0.13523
8.10.12 8.18.17	13 01074	13.09678	13.01006	13.15103	-0.81397	-0.90068	-0.05425	-0.14097
0.10.17	13.02245	13 10098	13 00705	13.16716	-0.82146	-0.91540	-0.06618	-0.16012
8-18-27	13 91877	13 09655	13.00840	13.16437	-0.82222	-0.91037	-0.06782	-0.15597
8-18-32	13,88501	13 09490	12,99288	13,16814	-0,79011	-0.89213	-0.07325	-0.17527
8-18-38	13 89454	13 09850	13.01014	13.17124	-0.79603	-0.88440	-0.07273	-0.16110
8-18-43	13 91262	13.10211	13.00931	13.17071	-0.81051	-0.90331	-0.06860	-0.16140
8-18-48	13 92327	13.08866	13.00501	13.17516	-0.83461	-0.91826	-0.08650	-0.17015
8-18-53	13 88216	13.09858	13.00388	13.17335	-0.78358	-0.87 <b>828</b>	-0.07477	-0.16947
8-18-58	<b>13 9 18 47</b>	13.09460	13.00411	13.17003	-0.82387	-0.91436	-0.07543	-0.16592
8-19-02	3 13 91007	13.09490	13.00893	13.14922	-0.81517	-0.90114	-0.05432	-0.14029
8-19-08	3 13.93032	13.10038	13.00659	13.09492	-0.82994	-0.92373	0.00547	-0.08832
8-19-14	4 13.91862	13.09896	13.00381	13.14220	-0.81966	-0.91481	-0.04325	-0.13840
8.19.19	13.86738	13.09655	13.00192	13.16566	-0.77083	-0.86546	-0.06910	-0.16373
8.19.24	4 13.94225	13.10301	13.00335	13.14575	-0.83924	-0.93890	-0.04273	-0.14239
8.19.2	9 13.92087	13.09685	12.98482	13.15080	-0.82402	-0.93605	-0.05395	-0.16598
8:19:34	4 13.91862	13.09588	13.01458	13.18632	-0.82274	-0.90404	-0.09044	-0.17174
8:19:39	9 13.90797	13,10128	13.00931	13.14876	-0.80668	-0.89866	-0.04748	-0.13946
8.19.4	4 13.89604	13,10068	12.99130	13.15932	-0.79536	-0.90474	-0.05864	-0.16803
8.19.4	9 13.92575	13.09896	13.01647	13.16890	-0.82679	-0.90928	-0.06994	-0.15243
8-19-5	4 13.92702	13.09835	13.00795	13.15465	-0.82867	-0.91907	-0.05629	-0.14670
8.19.5	9 13.92575	13.09385	12.98414	13.17297	-0.83190	<b>-0.9416</b> 1	-0.07912	-0.18883
8:20:0	4 13.92102	13.09828	13.00237	13. <b>16792</b>	-0.82274	-0.91865	-0.06964	-0.16554

Max. discrepancy % of Full Scale	reading (30 psi)
pos 0.00547	0.01822
neg -0.94161	-3.13870
Max. Allowable	10.00

10 4 14

Ϋ.

5!04866225

۲ آ くて

ل. 18/08 لي لك ير سالم

From-NWL\_EA

ng:JI Zn-R7-uer

5104866225 1-UD5 P.10/11 P-034 Jan-25-02 19:20 From-NWU\_EA ---- ILLI MUMENI D 1. 12 635 Subject: Re: FYI - DR-052 Date: Fri. 25 Jan 2002 10:02:28 -0800 From: Ivelina McClung@notes.ymp.gov To: NAden-Gleason@lbl.gov ----- Forwarded by Ivelina McClung/YM/RWDOE on 01/25/2002 09:51 AM -----Ivelina McClung 01/25/2002 09:44 AM To: Nancy Aden-Gleason/YM/RWDOE@CRWMS CC: Subject: Re: FYI - DR-052 (Document link: Ivelina McClung) OA:N/A Exclusionary Concerning the FYI - DR-052 referencing the data collected using Kavlico transducers in the period 1/28/98-10/14/98 I can provide the following information: The Kavlico transducers had an annual calibration period. According to our usage history information these transducers were used for data collection/measurements before the end of their annual due date. After I was designated M&TE coordinator in 1999 I was informed (as a part of the data gathering process) that these equipment items had been removed from service and were no longer in use. When YAP-12.3Q, Control of Measuring and Test Equipment and Calibration Standards became effective (7/30/1999) I requested that all removed from service equipment be sent for calibration, as required by the new procedure. The following is a list from my records of equipment removed from service after July 30, 1999 which shows the removed from service dates and calibration dates. Ivelina (See attached file: removed-from-service.xls) Nancy Aden-Gleason 01/24/2002 04:50 FM Ivelina McClung/YM/RWDOE@CRWMS Tot CC: Subject: Re: FYI - DR-052 QA:N/A Exclusionary We need to meet this deadline. Please let me know if there are problems. ----- Forwarded by Nancy Aden-Gleason/YM/RWDOE on 01/24/2002 04:51 PM -----(Embedded Shellie Rucinski image moved 01/24/2002 03:42 PM to file: (Embedded image moved to file: pic27506.pcx) pic24946.pcx) 1/25/02 10:05 A . - -

of 3

Out Of Calibration Report	Vendor	Description	Serial Number	Last Calibration	Out Of Calibration	Removed from
LBNL-2000-004	Vaisala, Inc.	Humidity/Temperature Probe	R3020004	0/20/00	LENIL 2000 004	
LBNL-2000-005	Vaisala, Inc.	Humidity/Temperature Probe	P4240007	9/6/00	LDNL-2000-004	11/9/00
LBNL-2000-006	Vaisala, Inc.	Humidity/Temperature Probe	R2820002	9/21/00	LDNL-2000-005	11/8/00
LBNL-2000-007	Vaisala, Inc.	Humidity/Temperature Probe	R2520004	9/6/00	LBNL-2000-000	11/0/00
LBNL-2000-008	Hewlett-Packard	Oscilloscope	1916A00814	9/14/00	EBNL-2000-007	12/10/00
LBNL-2001-02	Setra Systems, Inc.	Pressure Transducer	709229	2/13/01	LBNI -2001-000	A111/01
LBNL-2001-03	Setra Systems, Inc.	Pressure Transducer	765026	2/14/01	BNI -2001-02	4/11/01
LBNL-2001-04	Setra Systems, Inc.	Pressure Transducer	790410	2/14/01	LBNL-2001-03	A/11/01
LBNL-2001-05	Setra Systems, Inc.	Pressure Transducer	790399	2/8/01	1 BNL-2001-05	4/11/01
LBNL-2001-07	Setra Systems, Inc.	Pressure Transducer	1127351	1/31/01	LBNL-2001-07	4/11/01
LBNL-2001-08	Setra Systems, Inc.	Pressure Transducer	1156579	1/29/01	BNL-2001-08	3/26/01
LBNL-2001-09	Setra Systems, Inc.	Pressure Transducer	1156580	2/7/01	1 BNI -2001-09	3/26/01
LBNL-2001-10	Setra Systems, Inc.	Pressure Transducer	1156572	1/30/01	LBNL-2001-10	3/26/01
LBNL-2001-11	Setra Systems, Inc.	Pressure Transducer	1156573	1/31/01	LBNL-2001-11	3/26/01
LBNL-2001-15	Setra Systems, Inc.	Pressure Transducer	1158574	5/8/01	LBNL-2001-15	3/26/01

,

•

1-1 10 0-1

**'**.

### OFFICE OF CIVILIAN RADIOACTIVE WASTE MANAGEMENT U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY WASHINGTON, D.C.

NO. BSC-02-D-052

PAGE 1 OF 2

QA: QA

# DEFICIENCY/CORRECTIVE ACTION REPORT/STOP WORK ORDER CONTINUATION PAGE

# Verification and Closure of DR BSC-02-D-052

## Block 14a: Immediate Actions

None

# Block 14: <u>Remedial Action</u>

- 1. Verified that six of the 56 transducers taken out of service were subsequently lost. All the transducers (56) were on an annual calibration schedule.
- 2. Verified an impact analyses was performed by a comparison on ambient pressure readings between pressure transducers, with only pre-test calibration, similar and adjacent to those with pre-test and post calibrations that were within the technical work plan specification of <sup>+</sup> 10% full scale. The conclusion of the impact analysis was the six transducers were within technical work plan specification and do not affect the quality of the data (see DR Attachment A).
- 3. Verified the data acquisition time span was 1/28/98 to 6/28/98 by review of the Scientific Notebook SN-LBNL-SCI-078-VI (MOL.19991013.0469). In addition, verified TDIF 309275 for DTN LB980901233124.101, changed the data acquisition period to 1/28/98-6/26/98.
- 4. Verified LBNL Procedure YMP-LBNL-QIP-12.0, Rev. 1, Mod. I, Control and Calibration of Measuring and Test Equipment, effective 1/17/97, was the controlling procedure at the time. The procedure only required the PI or designee to initiate an evaluation of any data generated or processes monitored by the subject equipment since last calibration. If data evaluation reflects a nonconformance, an NCR shall be initiated per YAP-15.1Q.
- 5. Verified amendment four to CAR LVMO-98-C-002, that all data entered into the Technical Data Management System (TDMS), prior to 6/30/99 was flagged as To Be Verified (TBV). A checklist used to verify the Q status was added to AP-3.15Q.

# Block 15: Extent of Condition

. - -

1. Verified LBNL has determined this was not an isolated condition of M&TE being removed from service without a post calibration. However, it was the only incident not evaluated and resolved through other processes, related to principal factor quality-affecting data at LBNL. Once this checklist is finalized, all LBNL principal factor checklist will be considered complete.

Exhibit AP-16.1Q.2

Rev. 06/01/1999

### OFFICE OF CIVILIAN RADIOACTIVE WASTE MANAGEMENT U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY WASHINGTON, D.C.

8. ØDR/CAR Stop Work Order

NO. BSC-02-D-052

PAGE 2 OF<u>2</u> QA: QA

# DEFICIENCY/CORRECTIVE ACTION REPORT/STOP WORK ORDER CONTINUATION PAGE

# Verification and Closure of DR BSC-02-D-052

# Block 15: Extent of Condition (cont.)

2. Verified the trend database for NCRs reflect this is only the second occurrence of no closing calibration since October 1, 1999.

### Block 16: Cause

LBNL determined that the M&TE removal from service without a post calibration was an administrative oversight, due to no central person performing M&TE oversight activities.

# **Block 17: Action to Preclude Recurrence**

- 1. Verified that currently the checklist for AP-3.15Q resulting from CAR LVMO-98-C-002, Amendment Four, to verify all Q data prior to 6/30/99 identified as TBV is currently resolving the issue related to data identified as TBV.
- 2. Verified the LBNL coordinator is tracking the status of M&TE (See Attachment B to DR)
- 3. Verified all project M&TE coordinators were trained on their responsibilities related to M&TE on January 29, 2002.

Based on the above verifications, it is recommended that this DR be closed.

QAR: Noriald Harris

...

Donald J. Harris

2/15/02

Date

Exhibit AP-16.1Q.2

Rev. 06/01/1999