

# ROBINSON RETAKE EXAM 50-261/2001-302

December 7, 2001

## ADMINISTRATIVE DOCUMENTS

- [  ] ES-201-1 - Exam Preparation Checklist
- [  ] ES-201-2 - Exam Outline Quality Checklist
- [  ] ES-201-3 - Exam Security Agreements
- [  ] *N/A* ES-301-3 - Operating Test Quality Checklist
- [  ] *N/A* ES-301-4 - Simulator Scenario Quality Checklist
- [  ] *N/A* ES-301-5 - Transient & Event Checklist
- [  ] *N/A* ES-301-6 - Competencies Checklist
- [  ] ES-401-7 - Written Exam Quality Checklist
- [  ] ES-401-9 - Written Exam Review Worksheet
- [  ] ES-403-1 - Written Exam Grading Quality Checklist
- [  ] ES-501-1 - Post Exam Check Sheet

Facility: <u>H.B. Robinson</u>		Date of Examination: <u>12/07/01</u>
Examinations Developed by: <b>Facility</b>		
Target Date*	Task Description / Reference	Chief Examiner's Initials
-180	1. Examination administration date confirmed (C.1.a; C.2.a & b)	K O'D
-120	2. NRC examiners and facility contact assigned (C.1.d; C.2.e)	K O'D
-120	3. Facility contact briefed on security & other requirements (C.2.c)	K O'D
-120	4. Corporate notification letter sent (C.2.d)	K O'D
[-90]	[5. Reference material due (C.1.e; C.3.c)]	K O'D
-75	6. Integrated examination outline(s) due (C.1.e & f; C.3.d)	K O'D
-70	7. Examination outline(s) reviewed by NRC and feedback provided to facility licensee (C.2.h; C.3.e)	K O'D
-45	8. Proposed examinations, supporting documentation, and reference materials due (C.1.e, f, g & h; C.3.d)	K O'D
-30	9. Preliminary license applications due (C.1.i; C.2.g; ES-202)	K O'D
-14	10. Final license applications due and assignment sheet prepared (C.1.i; C.2.g; ES-202)	K O'D
-14	11. Examination approved by NRC supervisor for facility licensee review (C.2.h; C.3.f)	MEE
-14	12. Examinations reviewed with facility licensee (C.1.j; C.2.f & h; C.3.g)	K O'D
-7	13. Written examinations and operating tests approved by NRC supervisor (C.2.i; C.3.h)	MEE
-7	14. Final applications reviewed; assignment sheet updated; waiver letters sent (C.2.g, ES-204)	K O'D
-7	15. Proctoring/written exam administration guidelines reviewed with facility licensee and authorization granted to give written exams (if applicable) (C.3.k)	K O'D
-7	16. Approved scenarios, job performance measures, and questions distributed to NRC examiners (C.3.i)	N/A
<p>* Target dates are keyed to the examination date identified in the corporate notification letter. They are for planning purposes and may be adjusted on a case-by-case basis in coordination with the facility licensee.</p> <p>[ ] Applies only to examinations prepared by the NRC.</p>		

Facility: Robinson		Date of Examination: 12/7/01		
Item	Task Description	Initials		
		A	B	C
1. W R I T T E N	(a) Verify that the outline fits the appropriate model per ES-401	JM	JM	(W)
	(b) Assess whether the outline was systematically and randomly prepared in accordance with section D.1 of ES-401 and whether all knowledge and ability categories are appropriately sampled	JM	JM	(W)
	(c) Assess whether the outline overemphasizes any systems, evolutions, or generic topics	JM	JM	(W)
	(d) Assess whether the justifications for deselected or rejected K/A statements are appropriate	JM	JM	(W)
2. S I M	(a) Using form ES-301-5, verify that the proposed scenario sets cover the required number of normal evolutions, instrument and component failures, and major transients	N/A		N/A
	(b) Assess whether there are enough scenario sets and spares to test the projected number and mix of applicants in accordance with the expected crew composition and rotation schedule without compromising exam integrity; ensure each applicant can be tested using at least one new scenario. Scenarios will not be repeated over successive days.	N/A		N/A
	(c) To the extent possible, assess whether the outline conforms with the qualitative and quantitative criteria specified on Form ES-301-4 and described in Appendix D	N/A		N/A
3. W/T	(a) Verify that: (1) the outline contains the required number of control room and in-plant tasks (2) no more than 30% of the test material is repeated from the last NRC examination (3)* no tasks are duplicated from the applicant's audit test, and (4) no more than 80% of any operating test is taken directly from the licensee's exam banks	N/A		N/A
	(b) Verify that: (1) the tasks are distributed among the safety function groupings as specified in ES-301 (2) one task is conducted in a low power or shutdown condition (3) 40% of the tasks require the candidate to implement an alternate path procedure (4) one in-plant task tests the applicant's response to an emergency or abnormal condition (5) the in-plant walkthrough requires the applicant to enter the RCA	N/A		N/A
	(c) Verify that the required administrative topics are covered, with emphasis on performance-based activities	N/A		N/A
	(d) Determine if there are enough different outlines to test the projected number and mix of applicants and ensure that no items are duplicated on successive days.	N/A		N/A
4. G E N E R A L	(a) Assess whether plant-specific priorities (including PRA and IPE insights) are covered in the appropriate exam section	JM	JM	(W)
	(b) Assess whether the 10CFR55.41/43 and 55.45 sampling is appropriate	JM	JM	(W)
	(c) Ensure that K/A importance ratings (except for plant-specific priorities) are at least 2.5	JM	JM	(W)
	(d) Check for duplication and overlap among exam sections	JM	JM	(W)
	(e) Check the entire exam for balance of coverage	JM	JM	(W)
	(f) Assess whether the exam fits the appropriate job level (RO or SRO)	JM	JM	(W)
1. Author	Printed Name/Signature: JULIA TAYLOR / Julia Taylor		Date: 9/26/01	
2. Facility Reviewer (*)	Dore M. Caselli / Dore M. Caselli		9/26/01	
3. Chief Examiner	Kathleen A. Donohue / Kathleen Donohue		10/3/01	
4. NRC Supervisor	MICHAEL ERNITES /		10/3/01	

(\*) Not applicable for NRC developed examinations

1. Pre-Examination

I acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the NRC licensing examinations scheduled for the week(s) of 12/7/01 as of the date of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized by the NRC chief examiner. I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants scheduled to be administered these licensing examinations from this date until completion of examination administration, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC. Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's procedures) and understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an enforcement action against me or the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility management or the NRC chief examiner any indications or suggestions that examination security may have been compromised.

2. Post-Examination

To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered during the week(s) of 12/7/01. From the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC.

PRINTED NAME	JOB TITLE / RESPONSIBILITY	SIGNATURE (1)	DATE	SIGNATURE (2)	DATENOTE
1. JOSEPH G. ARSENAULT	DEVELOPER - CONSULTANT	<i>Joseph G. Arsenault</i>	9/8/01	<i>Refer to attached page</i>	
2. Donald W. McPaskill	Supt OPS Team Review	<i>Donald W. McPaskill</i>	9/12/01	<i>D. W. McPaskill</i>	12/7/01
3. JULIA TAYLOR	LOCT INSTRUCTOR	<i>Julia Taylor</i>	9/12/01	<i>Julia Taylor</i>	12/7/01
4. Bill B. Anderson	CO / Review	<i>Bill B. Anderson</i>	9-13-01	<i>Bill B. Anderson</i>	12/11/01
5. DARRYL FOSTER	CLASS / Review	<i>Darryl Foster</i>	9/13/01	<i>Darryl Foster</i>	12/11/01
6. Ken Jones	Mgr - sub OPS / Review	<i>Ken Jones</i>	9/13/01	<i>Ken Jones</i>	12/11/01
7. James E. Corder	CO	<i>James E. Corder</i>	10/17/01	<i>James E. Corder</i>	12/7/01
8. MICHAEL C. MILBEE	LOCT Instructor	<i>Michael C. Milbee</i>	10/23/01	<i>Michael C. Milbee</i>	12-10-01
9. BRYAN E. WALDON	RO/col review	<i>B. C. Waldon</i>	11-01-01	<i>B. C. Waldon</i>	12-7-01
10. Sandra K. Brown	STAFF / Review	<i>Sandra K. Brown</i>	11-21-01	<i>D. W. McPaskill for Sandra K. Brown</i>	12/11/01
11. J					
12.					
13.					
14.					
15.					

NOTES:

1. Pre-Examination

I acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the NRC licensing examinations scheduled for the week(s) of 12/7/01 as of the date of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized by the NRC chief examiner. I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants scheduled to be administered these licensing examinations from this date until completion of examination administration, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC. Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's procedures) and understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an enforcement action against me or the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility management or the NRC chief examiner any indications or suggestions that examination security may have been compromised.

2. Post-Examination

To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered during the week(s) of 12/7/01. From the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC.

	PRINTED NAME	JOB TITLE / RESPONSIBILITY	SIGNATURE (1)	DATE	SIGNATURE (2)	DATE	NOTE
1.	JOSEPH G. ARSENAULT	DEVELOPER/CONSULTANT	<i>Joseph G. Arsenault</i>	8/28/01	<i>Joseph G. Arsenault</i>	12/7/01	
2.							
3.							
4.							
5.							
6.							
7.							
8.							
9.							
10.							
11.							
12.							
13.							
14.							
15.							

NOTES:

Facility: <u>H. B. ROBINSON</u>		Date of Exam: <u>12/07/01</u>		Exam Level: <u>RO</u> <del>SR</del>	
Item Description	Initials				
	a	b	c		
1. Clean answer sheets copied before grading	QA	SMC	AM		
2. Answer key changes and question deletions justified and documented	N/A	N/A	AM		
3. Applicants' scores checked for addition errors (reviewers spot check > 25% of examinations)	QA	SMC	AM		
4. Grading for all borderline cases (80% +/- 2%) reviewed in detail	N/A	N/A	N/A		
5. All other failing examinations checked to ensure that grades are justified	N/A	N/A	N/A		
6. Performance on missed questions checked for training deficiencies and wording problems; evaluate validity of questions missed by half or more of the applicants	QA	SMC	AM		
Printed Name / Signature		Date			
a. Grader	<u>JULIA TAYLOR / Julia Taylor</u>	<u>12/7/01</u>			
b. Facility Reviewer(*)	<u>Donald W. McCaskill / D. McCaskill</u>	<u>12/7/01</u>			
c. NRC Chief Examiner (*)	<u>George T. Hopper / George T. Hopper</u>	<u>12/12/01</u>			
d. NRC Supervisor (*)	<u>MICHAEL E. ERNSTES / Michael E. Ernstes</u>	<u>12/13/01</u>			
(*) The facility reviewer's signature is not applicable for examinations graded by the NRC; two independent NRC reviews are required.					

Facility:		Date of Exam:			Exam Level: RO/SRO			
Item Description					Initial			
					a	b*	c*	
1.	Questions and answers technically accurate and applicable to facility				OP	DM	R	
2.	a. NRC K/As referenced for all questions b. Facility learning objectives referenced as available				OP	DM	R	
3.	RO/SRO overlap is no more than 75 percent, and SRO questions are appropriate per Section D.2.d of ES-401				N/A	N/A	Y	
4.	Question selection and duplication from the last two NRC licensing exams appears consistent with a systematic sampling process							
5.	Question duplication from the license screening/audit exam was controlled as indicated below (check the item that applies) and appears appropriate: <input type="checkbox"/> the audit exam was systematically and randomly developed; or <input type="checkbox"/> the audit exam was completed before the license exam was started; or <input type="checkbox"/> the examinations were developed independently; or <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> the licensee certifies that there is no duplication; or <input type="checkbox"/> other (explain)				OP	DM	R	
6.	Bank use meets limits (no more than 75 percent from the bank at least 10 percent new, and the rest modified); enter the actual question distribution at right	Bank	Modified	New	OP	DM	R	
		34	6	58				
7.	Between 50 and 60 percent of the questions on the exam (including 10 new questions) are written at the comprehension/analysis level; enter the actual question distribution at right	Memory	CIA		OP	DM	R	
		49/48	57/52					
8.	References/handouts provided do not give away answers				OP	DM	R	
9.	Question content conforms with specific K/A statements in the previously approved examination outline and is appropriate for the Tier to which they are assigned; deviations are justified				OP	DM	R	
10.	Question psychometric quality and format meet ES, Appendix B, guidelines				OP	DM	R	
11.	The exam contains 100, one-point, multiple choice items; the total is correct and agrees with value on cover sheet				OP	DM	R	
		Printed Name / Signature					Date	
a.	Author	TULIA TAYLOR / <i>Tulia Taylor</i>					11/1/01	
b.	Facility Reviewer (*)	DON MCGASKILL / <i>Don McGaskill</i>					11/1/01	
c.	NRC Chief Examiner (#)	Kathleen O'Donohue / <i>Kathleen O'Donohue</i>					12/3/01	
d.	NRC Regional Supervisor	MIKE ERNSTES / <i>Mike Ernstes</i>					12/3/01	
Note: * The facility reviewer's initials/signature are not applicable for NRC-developed examinations. # Independent NRC reviewer initial items in Column "c;" chief examiner concurrence required.								

Q#	1. LOK (F/H)	2. LOD (1-5)	3. Psychometric Flaws					4. Job Content Flaws				5. Other		6. U/E/S	7. Explanation	
			Stem Focus	Cues	T/F	Cred. Dist.	Partial	Job-Link	Minutia	#/units	Backward	Q=K/A	SRO Only			
<p>Instructions</p> <p>[Refer to Section D of ES-401 and Appendix B for additional information regarding each of the following concepts.]</p> <p>1. Enter the level of knowledge (LOK) of each question as either (F)undamental or (H)igher cognitive level.</p> <p>2. Enter the level of difficulty (LOD) of each question using a 1 - 5 (easy - difficult) rating scale (questions in the 2 - 4 range are acceptable).</p> <p>3. Check the appropriate box if a psychometric flaw is identified:                      . The stem lacks sufficient focus to elicit the correct answer (e.g., unclear intent, more information is needed, or too much needless information).                      . The stem or distractors contain cues (i.e., clues, specific determiners, phrasing, length, etc).                      . The answer choices are a collection of unrelated true/false statements.                      . More than one distractor is not credible.                      . One or more distractors is (are) partially correct (e.g., if the applicant can make unstated assumptions that are not contradicted by stem).</p> <p>4. Check the appropriate box if a job content error is identified:                      . The question is not linked to the job requirements (i.e., the question has a valid K/A but, as written, is not operational in content).                      . The question requires the recall of knowledge that is too specific for the closed reference test mode (i.e., it is not required to be known from memory).                      . The question contains data with an unrealistic level of accuracy or inconsistent units (e.g., panel meter in percent with question in gallons).                      . The question requires reverse logic or application compared to the job requirements.</p> <p>5. Check questions that are sampled for conformance with the approved K/A and those that are designated SRO-only (K/A and license level mismatches are unacceptable).</p> <p>6. Based on the reviewer's judgment, is the question as written (U)nacceptable (requiring repair or replacement), in need of (E)ditorial enhancement, or (S)atisfactory?</p> <p>7. At a minimum, explain any "U" ratings (e.g., how the Appendix B psychometric attributes are not being met).</p>																

Q#	1. LOK (F/H)	2. LOD (1-5)	3. Psychometric Flaws					4. Job Content Flaws				5. Other		6. U/E/S	7. Explanation	
			Stem Focus	Cues	T/F	Cred. Dist.	Partial	Job-Link	Minutia	#/units	Backward	Q=K/A	SRO Only			
RO/SRO COMBINED QUESTIONS																
1	H	3													S	024AA1.20 - no comment
2	H	2	X												E	059AK2.02 - the stem states that there is a release in progress VS an "accidental radwaste leak" in progress. The term release in the stem implies a system alignment that is not there CORRECTED
3	H	2													S	026AK3.02 - no comment
4	H	3	X												E	024AK3.02 - should be memory, rephrase as marked; corrected as marked as now is comprehensive
5	F	1													E	076AA2.04 - use R-31A instead of the alarming monitor



Q#	1. LOK (F/H)	2. LOD (1-5)	3. Psychometric Flaws					4. Job Content Flaws					5. Other		6. U/E/S	7. Explanation
			Stem Focus	Cues	T/F	Cred. Dist.	Partial	Job-Link	Minutia	#/units	Backward	Q=K/A	SRO Only			
6	H	2	X												E	E06EK1.02 - add title to FR-C-2, make stem read ' may subsequently exhibit'
7	F	2													E	E082.4.1 -make stem read "...in response to which one of the following?"
8	H	3				X									U	E09EA1.02 - distractor D not untrue, address inadvertent VS valid - CORRECTED
9	H	3													E	E09EK2.2 - will there be REFERENCES? Steam tables will be provided CORRECTED
10	H	2													S	007EA2.06 - D distractor states manual trip did not occur but stem states otherwise, rephrase D , might say unsuccessful manual trip occurred and the the other scram switch should be tried
11	F	2				X									U	011EK3.15 - correct answer not accurate, this is addressed in EPP-9 but the intent of the switch over is to ensure coolant flow to the core by switching to CLR. Note that a step in EPP-9 does validate sump level prior to CLR switchover Replaced
12	H	3													S	029EK1.05 - no comment
13	H	3				X									E	033AK1.01 - are these real distractors or just "tricky" by testing their ability to catch 36 VS 35? NO CHANGE
14	H	3	X												E	038EK1.02 - add "and lowering" to second set of DATA Pzr Lvl to indicate the leak is still there. Stem should read: ...describes the approximate primary to secondary leakage following the trip? Then delete the lead in sentence of Leakage following the trip is and delete the descriptive in each distractor leaving only the gpm options.
15	F	2				X									U	061AK3.02 - dist C is also correct as stated -REPHRASED
16	F	2													E	058AK3.02 - Memory, may want to swap distractor order CORRECTED
17	F	2				X									U	001K4.20 - Doesn't Robinson have automatic rod withdrawal capability defeated? If so dist A and B are not technically feasible CORRECTED
18	H	3													S	E04EA2.1 no comment
19	F	2				X									S	003K1.01 -no comment
20	H	3													S	013K2.01 - no comment
21	H	2													S	G2.4.4 - no comment
22	F	1													S	012K2.01 no comment
23	H	3				X									E	029K1.04 - add " and HVE-1B will automatically start to dist A and B and correct the dist to read deenergizes , finally: ensure dist D is actually incorrect and not partially right STATUS OF QUEST?

Q#	1. LOK (F/H)	2. LOD (1-5)	3. Psychometric Flaws					4. Job Content Flaws					5. Other	6. U/E/S	7. Explanation
			Stem Focus	Cues	T/F	Cred. Dist.	Partial	Job-Link	Minutia	#/units	Back-ward	Q=K/A			
24	H	3				X								E	062K3.02 - define the time elapsed in A and D CORRECTED
25	F	2												S	063K1.03 - OK because there is a history of misunderstanding this switch
26	H	3				X								U	063A2.01 - A and B not realistic - rewrite CORRECTED
27	H	3												S	064K3.02 -no comment
28	H	3												E	075K2.03 - -operational validity questionable- REPLACED
29	F	2												E	086A3.03 - need documentation that manual is still functioning - REFERENCES PROVIDED
30	H	3				X								E	005K4.01 - A and B ? Rephrase to ensure only one right answer CORRECTED
31	H	2												S	008K2.02 - not operationally valid REPLACED
32	F	2												S	045K1.20 - no comment
33	F	3												S	103A4.09 - should be memory CORRECTED
34	F	2												E	T3 2.1.2 - add title to dist C CORRECTED
35	F	2												S	T3 2.1.21 -no comment
36	H	3												S	T3 2.1.33 - discussed stem, licensee felt stem adequate - no change
37	H	3												E	T3 2.2.1 - "minimum " is more accurate than "only" in stem
38	F	2												S	T3 2.2.26 - no comment
39	F	3				X								E	T3 2.2.27 - rephrase the stem to ask about interlocks since procedures may call for placement of conveyor car CORRECTED
40	F	3												E	T3 2.3.2 - Distractors B and D don't seem plausible (stay where you are when the alarm is going off)
41	F	2												S	T3 2.3.4 - no comment
42	F	3												E	T3 2.3.9 - mark as memory CORRECTED
43	H	3												S	T3 2.4.13 - no comment
44	F	2												S	T3 2.4.14 - no comment
45	F	3				X								E	T3 2.4.49 - ensure the procedures does not address the distractors as steps later VALIDATED
46	F	2												S	T3 2.2.12 - no comment

Q#	1. LOK (F/H)	2. LOD (1-5)	3. Psychometric Flaws					4. Job Content Flaws					5. Other		6. U/E/S	7. Explanation
			Stem Focus	Cues	T/F	Cred. Dist.	Partial	Job-Link	Minutia	#/units	Backward	Q=K/A	SRO Only			
47	H	4													S	008AA1.07 - no comment
48	F	2													S	E12EK3.1 - no comment
49	F	2													E	005AK1.03 - should be memory
50	H	3													S	040E12AK2.01 - no comment
51	F	1													S	008A1.01 - no comment
52	F	3													S	076K3.03 - should be memory
53	H	2	X												U	001K6.13 - this is a loss of power question and does not address the KA, WILL GET NEW QUESTION New question provided no comment
54	F	2													U	003K2.02 - no comment
55	H	3													S	004K5.36 - no comment
56	F	2													S	004K4.07 - no comment
57	F	2	X												U	007EK3.02 - WILL GET NEW QUESTION does not address the KA corrected.
58	H	2	X				X								E	013K5.02 - need new distractors, really need new stem WILL GET NEW QUESTION - corrected no comment on new quest
59	H	2	X												S	015A4.03 - delete the last bullet : otherwise just a hard memory not comprehensive.
60	H	3					X								E	022A1.04 - delete extra verbage common to all distractors.
61	H	3													S	022K3.02 - no comment
62	H	3													S	056A2.04 - no comment
63	H	2													S	059A4.11 - no comment
64	H	2	X												E	059G2.1.33 - do you consider entering TS an actual action? VS entering a TS action statement type action? Corrected
65	H	2													S	068K4.01 - no comment
66	H	3													S	071A1.06 - no comment
67	F	2													S	006K5.04 - no comment
68	H	2													S	035K5.01 - no comment
69	H	2													S	035A3.01 - no comment
70	H	2													S	010A4.03 -no comment

Q#	1. LOK (F/H)	2. LOD (1-5)	3. Psychometric Flaws					4. Job Content Flaws					5. Other	6. U/E/S	7. Explanation
			Stem Focus	Cues	T/F	Cred. Dist.	Partial	Job-Link	Minutia	#/units	Back-ward	Q=K/A			
71	H	3												S	034K6.02 - no comment
72	F	2				X								E	036AK2.01 - replace C distractor - could be argued as right (unspoken assumption that the proper paperwork can be completed ) and should be memory CORRECTED
73	H	2												S	E13EK1.3 - no comment
74	H	2	X											E	E11EK3.2 - The stem is teaching the student - .streamline the stem CORRECTED
75	F	2												S	E112.4.18 - no comment
76	H	2	X											E	E05G2.4.2 - need to check the procedures to see if you are not driven to any of the other distractors. OR change wording in stem to ensure they only identify the next procedure being entered CORRECTED
77	H	2												E	E14EA2.1 - are the ROs required to know Critical safety function entry by memory? Yes
78	F	2												S	016K4.01 - no comment
79	F	2												S	012K4.05 - no comment
80	F	2				X								U	006K6.01 - no correct answer. EPP only stops one of the spray pumps not both, corrected
81	F	2												S	017A2.02 - no comment
82	F	2												S	055EA2.01 - no comment
83	H	2												E	009EK3.11 -no comment
84	F	2				X								S	037AA2.15 - no comment
85	F	2												S	E13EK3.4 - no comment
86	F	2				X								U	045K5.23 - need new distractors (only D is wrong) or new question REWORDED STEM TO ENSURE ONLY 1 RIGHT ANSWER
87	H	3												S	069AK1.01 - DOES NOT FIT K/A REPLACED K/A with 069AK3.01 no comment on new question
88	H	2												S	015K6.01 - no comment
89	F	2												E	017A3.01 - memory level
90	F	2												S	010K6.04 - no comment
91	F	2												S	014A2.05 - no comment
92	H	3												S	062AA1.06 -no comment

Q#	1. LOK (F/H)	2. LOD (1-5)	3. Psychometric Flaws					4. Job Content Flaws					5. Inner		6. U/E/S	7. Explanation
			Stem Focus	Cues	T/F	Cred. Dist.	Partial	Job-Link	Minutia	#/units	Backward	Q=K/A	SRO Only			
93	H	2													S	025AA1.04 - no comment
94	F	2													S	015/017AK2.07 - no comment
95	F	2													S	073A1.01 - no comment
96	H	2				X									U	062A1.03 - A and C not feasible distractors - CORRECTED
97	F	4													E	061K3.02 -no comment
98	H	2													S	061K1.07 - no comment
99	F	2													S	061A3.01 -no comment
100	F	2													S	072A3.01 - no comment

H.B. Robinson		Exam Date: 12/07/01
Task Description		Date Complete
1.	Facility written exam comments or graded exams received and verified complete	12/07/01
2.	Facility written exam comments reviewed and incorporated and NRC grading completed, if necessary	12/07/01
3.	Operating tests graded by NRC examiners	MA (Retake)
4.	NRC Chief examiner review of written exam and <del>operating</del> test grading completed	12/07/01
5.	Responsible supervisor review completed	12/7/01
6.	Management (licensing official) review completed	12/13/01
7.	License and denial letters mailed	12/13/01
8.	Facility notified of results	12/13/01
9.	Examination report issued (refer to NRC MC 0610)	12/18/01
10.	Reference material returned after final resolution of any appeals	N/A