(9-2001)

ASSESSMENT OF PROPOSED INFORMATION COLLECTION

TO:	INFORMATION MANAGEMENT COORDINATOR
	Mary Lynn Scott, ADM/DCPM

TITLE OF INFORMATION COLLECTION REQUIREMENT **Grants and Cooperative Agreement Provisions**

			
THE FOLLOWING FACTORS WERE CONSIDERED IN EVALUATING THE PROPOSED INFORMATION COLLECTION REQUIREMENT. (If the response is not so, check "NO" and explain			NO
The requirement is needed. (It is the best means to achieve a necessary regulatory objective.)		√	
2.	The requirement has practical utility, i.e., the NRC has the capability to use the information in a timely and useful fashion.	√	
3.	The schedule for imposing the requirement is reasonable.	√	
4.	The requirement selected is the least burdensome method of achieving a necessary regulator objective.	J	
5.	The requirement does not duplicate or overlap requirements imposed by the NRC.	J	
6.	The requirement does not duplicate or overlap requirements imposed by other Government agencies.	√	
7.	The state of the standard is adams to	V	
8.	The burden estimates are reasonable when compared with similar requirements previously submitted.	√	
9.	is the information are consistent with count record	√	
10.	The records retention period is sufficiently definitive and reasonable.	N/A	
11.	The requirement adequately identifies the records to be maintained and the information to be reported.	J	
12.	NRC administrative support requirements are sufficient to manage the information collection.	√	
13.	The information collection will not cause NRC to exceed its Information Collection Budget.	J	

REMARKS

OCIO REVIEWER - (Assigned Analyst)

Tremaine U. Donnell

Records Management Branch/IRDMD/OCIO

SIGNATURE Homan U. Cornell

02/ 20 /2002

OCIO APPROVAL - (Branch Level)

Brenda Jo. Shelton

NRC Clearance Officer/OCIO

SIGNATURE

DATE

DATE

02123 /2002

This form was designed using InForms