

FINAL NO SIGNIFICANT HAZARDS CONSIDERATION DETERMINATION

Docket No. 50-302 Facility Crystal River Unit 3

Licensee: Florida Power Corporation Date of application June 24, 1983

1. Attach initial NSHC determination (Attachment 4) relating to this action.
2. Summary of State telephone consultation:
Discussed with Mr. Uray Clark, Administrator, Radiological Health Services (State of Florida) on July 11, 1983. Mr. Clark had no comments.

3. Summary of any public comments received by telephone:
No comments from the public have been received

4. Attach any written State or public comments regarding NSHC. N/A

5. Final determination
(XX) The amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration.
() The amendment request involves a significant hazards consideration.

6. Basis for determination and response to comments received. (Attach additional sheets, if necessary.)

See the Safety Evaluation attached to the license amendment.

7. Concurrences:

| | | <u>Date</u> |
|----|----------------------------------------------|----------------|
| a. | <u>Ronald W. Hernan</u> (Project Manager) | <u>7/16/83</u> |
| b. | <u>John F. Stob</u> (Branch Chief) | <u>7/18/83</u> |
| c. | _____ (Assistant Director) | _____ |
| d. | _____ (OELD) | _____ |

8. Approved:
A. Green Curt
(Director, Division of Licensing) 7.19.83

8308090347 830719
PDR ADOCK 05000302
P
CF

INITIAL
NO SIGNIFICANT HAZARDS CONSIDERATION DETERMINATION
AND NOTICING ACTION

Docket No. 50-302

Facility: Crystal River Unit 3

Licensee: Florida Power Corporation

Date of application: June 24, 1983

Request for:

The amendment would allow more flexibility when a fire barrier component becomes non-functional with a substantial savings in manpower cost.
(See attached press release for more details).

(See attached notice or press release for more details.)

Initial Determination:

- Proposed determination - amendment request involves no significant hazards considerations (NSHC).
- Final determination - amendment request involves significant hazards considerations (SHC).

Basis for Determination

- Licensee's NSHC discussion has been reviewed and is accepted. See attached amendment request.
- Basis for this determination is presented in the attached notice.
- Other (state):
(See attached notice for more details).

(Attach additional sheets as needed.)

Initial Noticing Action: (Attach appropriate notice or input for monthly FRN)

1. Monthly FRN. Notice of opportunity for hearing (30 days) and request for comments on proposed NSHC determination - monthly FRN input is attached (Attachment 8).
2. Individual FRN (30 days). Same notice matter as above. Time does not allow waiting for next monthly FRN (Attachments 9a and 9b).

(THIS FORM SHOULD BE TYPED EXCEPT FOR UNUSUAL, URGENT CIRCUMSTANCES.)

- XX Public media notice. Valid exigent circumstances exist (evaluated below).
Public media notice requesting public comments on proposed NSHC determination is attached (Attachment 10).
4. No notice. A valid emergency situation exists (evaluated below) and there is no time for public notice on proposed NSHC determination. (No attachment.)
5. () Individual FRN (30-days). Licensee's claim of exigent or emergency circumstances is invalid (evaluated below). Notice of opportunity for hearing (30 days) and request for comments on proposed NSHC determination is attached (Attachments 9a and 9b). Letter of explanation to licensee is also attached.
6. () Individual FRN (30-days). The amendment request involves SHC. Notice of opportunity for prior hearing is attached (Attachment 5). Letter to licensee also attached.
7. () Individual Short FRN. Valid emergency circumstances exist (evaluated below). There is no time for the usual 30-day FRN. (Attachment 16).

Evaluation of exigent or emergency circumstances (if applicable):

The licensee, due to a situation which was ^{not} known before June 14, 1983, is required to maintain continuous fire watches at 14 plant locations around the clock. This results in an excessive financial burden to the licensee (claimed to be \$100,000 per month) and should be rectified by allowing provisions of the current Standard Technical Specifications to be implemented at Crystal River Unit 3. A net reduction in cost of as much as \$97,000 per month would be realized by the licensee by allowing this option. (See attached press release for details).

(attach additional sheets as needed)

Approvals:

| | <u>Date:</u> |
|--------------------------------------------------|---------------|
| 1. <u>Ronald W. Akerman</u> (Project Manager) | <u>7/1/83</u> |
| 2. <u>John F. Stolz</u> (Branch Chief) | <u>7/1/83</u> |
| 3. <u>[Signature]</u> (Assistant Director) | <u>7/1/83</u> |
| 4. _____ (OELD) | _____ |

Additional approval (for noticing action types 4 and 5):

5. _____
(Director, Division of Licensing)

Attachment: as indicated.

cc: Original - Docket File (with note "Docket File only")

PH

LS