

September 17, 1999

Mr. James Scarola, Vice President  
Shearon Harris Nuclear Power Plant  
Carolina Power & Light Company  
Post Office Box 165, Mail Code: Zone 1  
New Hill, North Carolina 27562-0165

SUBJECT: SHEARON HARRIS NUCLEAR POWER PLANT, UNIT 1 - ISSUANCE OF  
AMENDMENT RE: CONTAINMENT INTEGRATED LEAK RATE TESTING  
(TAC NO. MA5944)

Dear Mr. Scarola:

The Nuclear Regulatory Commission has issued Amendment No. 91 to Facility Operating License No. NPF-63 for the Shearon Harris Nuclear Power Plant (HNP), Unit No. 1, in response to your request dated June 15, 1999. This amendment revises the Technical Specifications to incorporate the performance-based 10 CFR 50 Appendix J, Option B, for Type A containment integrated leakage rate tests.

A copy of the related Safety Evaluation is enclosed. Notice of Issuance will be included in the Commission's regular bi-weekly Federal Register notice.

Sincerely,

Original signed by:

Richard J. Laufer, Project Manager, Section 2  
Project Directorate II  
Division of Licensing Project Management  
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket No. 50-400

Enclosures:

1. Amendment No. 91 to NPF-63
2. Safety Evaluation

**NRC FILE CENTER HNP**

cc w/enclosures:

See next page

Distribution:

See next page

210034

Excl 170  
DF011

G:\PDII-2\HARRIS\AMDA5944.WPD

OFFICE	PM:PDII/S2	LA:PDII/S2	BC:SPLB	OG:SR	SC:PDII/S2	D:PDII
NAME	RLaufer <i>RL</i>	EDunnington <i>ED</i>	JHannon <i>JH</i>	RBachmann <i>RB</i>	SPeterson <i>SP</i>	HBerkow <i>HB</i>
DATE	7/7/99	7/7/99	8/7/99	9/2/99	9/13/99	9/14/99
COPY	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes/ <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes/ <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes/ <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes/ <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes/ <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes/ <input type="checkbox"/> No

OFFICIAL RECORD

9909210134 990917  
PDR ADOCK 05000400  
PDR

CP1



UNITED STATES  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

September 17, 1999

Mr. James Scarola, Vice President  
Shearon Harris Nuclear Power Plant  
Carolina Power & Light Company  
Post Office Box 165, Mail Code: Zone 1  
New Hill, North Carolina 27562-0165

SUBJECT: SHEARON HARRIS NUCLEAR POWER PLANT, UNIT 1 - ISSUANCE OF  
AMENDMENT RE: CONTAINMENT INTEGRATED LEAK RATE TESTING  
(TAC NO. MA5944)

Dear Mr. Scarola:

The Nuclear Regulatory Commission has issued Amendment No. 91 to Facility Operating License No. NPF-63 for the Shearon Harris Nuclear Power Plant (HNP), Unit No. 1, in response to your request dated June 15, 1999. This amendment revises the Technical Specifications to incorporate the performance-based 10 CFR 50 Appendix J, Option B, for Type A containment integrated leakage rate tests.

A copy of the related Safety Evaluation is enclosed. Notice of Issuance will be included in the Commission's regular bi-weekly Federal Register notice.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Richard J. Laufer".

Richard J. Laufer, Project Manager, Section 2  
Project Directorate II  
Division of Licensing Project Management  
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket No. 50-400

Enclosures:

1. Amendment No. 91 to NPF-63
2. Safety Evaluation

cc w/enclosures:  
See next page

AMENDMENT NO. 91 TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NO. NPF-63 - HARRIS, UNIT 1

Docket File

PUBLIC

PDII Reading

J. Zwolinski/S. Black

OGC

G. Hill (2)

ACRS

B. Bonser, RII

HBerkow

cc: Harris Service List



UNITED STATES  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

CAROLINA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY, et al.

DOCKET NO. 50-400

SHEARON HARRIS NUCLEAR POWER PLANT, UNIT 1

AMENDMENT TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE

Amendment No. 91  
License No. NPF-63

1. The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has found that:
  - A. The application for amendment by Carolina Power & Light Company, (the licensee), dated June 15, 1999, complies with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission's rules and regulations set forth in 10 CFR Chapter I;
  - B. The facility will operate in conformity with the application, the provisions of the Act, and the rules and regulations of the Commission;
  - C. There is reasonable assurance (i) that the activities authorized by this amendment can be conducted without endangering the health and safety of the public, and (ii) that such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations;
  - D. The issuance of this amendment will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public; and
  - E. The issuance of this amendment is in accordance with 10 CFR Part 51 of the Commission's regulations and all applicable requirements have been satisfied.
2. Accordingly, the license is amended by changes to the Technical Specifications, as indicated in the attachment to this license amendment; and paragraph 2.C.(2) of Facility Operating License No. NPF-63 is hereby amended to read as follows:

9909210135 990917  
PDR ADOCK 05000400  
P PDR

(2) Technical Specifications and Environmental Protection Plan

The Technical Specifications contained in Appendix A, and the Environmental Protection Plan contained in Appendix B, both of which are attached hereto, as revised through Amendment No. 91, are hereby incorporated into this license. Carolina Power & Light Company shall operate the facility in accordance with the Technical Specifications and the Environmental Protection Plan.

3. This license amendment is effective as of the date of its issuance and shall be implemented within 60 days of issuance.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION



Sheri R. Peterson, Chief, Section 2  
Project Directorate II  
Division of Licensing Project Management  
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Attachment:  
Changes to the Technical  
Specifications

Date of Issuance: September 17, 1999

ATTACHMENT TO LICENSE AMENDMENT NO. 91

FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NO. NPF-63

DOCKET NO. 50-400

Replace the following pages of the Appendix A Technical Specifications with the attached revised pages. The revised pages are identified by amendment number and contain marginal lines indicating the areas of change.

<u>Remove Pages</u>	<u>Insert Pages</u>
3/4 6-1	3/4 6-1
3/4 6-2	3/4 6-2
3/4 6-3	3/4 6-3
3/4 6-5	3/4 6-5
3/4 6-8	3/4 6-8
B 3/4 6-1	B 3/4 6-1
B 3/4 6-2	B 3/4 6-2
-----	6-19c

## 3/4.6 CONTAINMENT SYSTEMS

### 3/4.6.1 PRIMARY CONTAINMENT

#### CONTAINMENT INTEGRITY

#### LIMITING CONDITION FOR OPERATION

---

3.6.1.1 Primary CONTAINMENT INTEGRITY shall be maintained.

APPLICABILITY: MODES 1, 2, 3, and 4.

ACTION:

Without primary CONTAINMENT INTEGRITY, restore CONTAINMENT INTEGRITY within 1 hour or be in at least HOT STANDBY within the next 6 hours and in COLD SHUTDOWN within the following 30 hours.

#### SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

---

4.6.1.1 Primary CONTAINMENT INTEGRITY shall be demonstrated:

- a. At least once per 31 days by verifying that all penetrations\*# not capable of being closed by OPERABLE containment automatic isolation valves and required to be closed during accident conditions are closed by valves, blind flanges, or deactivated automatic valves secured in their closed positions, except as provided in Table 3.6-1 of Specification 3.6.3;
- b. By verifying that each containment air lock is in compliance with the requirements of Specification 3.6.1.3; and
- c. After each closing of each penetration subject to Type B testing, except the containment air locks, if opened following a Type A or B test, by leak rate testing the seal with gas at a pressure not less than  $P_a$ , and verifying that when the measured leakage rate for these seals is added to the leakage rates determined pursuant to Specification 4.6.1.2a. for all other Type B and C penetrations, the combined leakage rate is less than  $0.60 L_a$ .

---

\* Except valves, blind flanges, and deactivated automatic valves which are located inside the containment and are locked, sealed or otherwise secured in the closed position. These penetrations shall be verified closed during each COLD SHUTDOWN except that such verification need not be performed more often than once per 92 days.

# Valves CP-B3, CP-B7, and CM-B5 may be verified at least once per 31 days by manual remote keylock switch position.

## CONTAINMENT SYSTEMS

### CONTAINMENT LEAKAGE

#### LIMITING CONDITION FOR OPERATION

---

3.6.1.2 Containment leakage rates shall be limited to:

- a. An overall integrated leakage rate within limits specified in the Containment Leakage Rate Testing Program.
- b. A combined leakage rate of less than or equal to  $0.60 L_a$  for all penetrations and valves subject to Type B and C tests, when pressurized to  $P_a$ .

APPLICABILITY: MODES 1, 2, 3, and 4.

#### ACTION:

With either the measured overall integrated containment leakage rate exceeding  $0.75 L_a$ , or the measured combined leakage rate for all penetrations and valves subject to Types B and C tests exceeding  $0.60 L_a$ , restore the overall integrated leakage rate to less than  $0.75 L_a$ , and the combined leakage rate for all penetrations subject to Type B and C tests to less than  $0.60 L_a$  prior to increasing the Reactor Coolant System temperature above  $200^\circ\text{F}$ .

#### SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

---

4.6.1.2 The Type A containment leakage rate tests shall be performed in accordance with the Containment Leakage Rate Testing Program described in Technical Specification 6.8.4.k. The Type B and Type C containment leakage rate tests shall be demonstrated at the test schedule and shall be determined in conformance with the criteria specified in 10 CFR 50 Appendix J, Option A.

CONTAINMENT SYSTEMS

CONTAINMENT LEAKAGE

SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS (Continued)

---

- a. Type B and C tests shall be conducted with gas at a pressure not less than  $P_a$ , at intervals no greater than 24 months except for tests involving:
  - 1. Air locks,
  - 2. Containment purge makeup and exhaust isolation valves with resilient material seals;
- b. Air locks shall be tested and demonstrated OPERABLE by the requirements of Specification 4.6.1.3;
- c. Purge makeup and exhaust isolation valves with resilient material seals shall be tested and demonstrated OPERABLE by the requirements of Specification 4.6.1.7.2;
- d. The provisions of Specification 4.0.2 are not applicable.

CONTAINMENT SYSTEMS

CONTAINMENT AIR LOCKS

SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

---

4.6.1.3 Each containment air lock shall be demonstrated OPERABLE by:

- a. Performing required air lock leakage rate testing in accordance with 10 CFR 50, Appendix J, as modified by approved exemptions###. The acceptance criteria for air lock testing are:
  - 1. Overall air lock leakage rate is  $\leq .05 L_a$  when tested at  $\geq P_a$ .
  - 2. For each door, leakage rate is  $\leq .01 L_a$  when tested at  $\geq P_a$ .
- b. At least once per 6 months by verifying that only one door in the air lock can be opened at a time\*\*.

---

### 1. An inoperable air lock door does not invalidate the previous successful performance of the overall airlock leakage test.  
2. Results shall be evaluated against Specification 3.6.1.2.a in accordance with 10 CFR 50, Appendix J, as modified by approved exemptions.

\*\* Only required to be performed upon entry or exit through the containment air lock. (If Surveillance Requirement 4.6.1.3.b has not been performed in the last 6 months, then perform Surveillance Requirement 4.6.1.3.b during the next containment entry through the associated air lock.)

## CONTAINMENT SYSTEMS

### CONTAINMENT VESSEL STRUCTURAL INTEGRITY

#### LIMITING CONDITION FOR OPERATION

---

3.6.1.6 The structural integrity of the containment vessel shall be maintained at a level consistent with the acceptance criteria in Specification 4.6.1.6.1.

APPLICABILITY: MODES 1, 2, 3, and 4.

#### ACTION:

With the structural integrity of the containment vessel not conforming to the above requirements, restore the structural integrity to within the limits within 24 hours or be in at least HOT STANDBY within the next 6 hours and in COLD SHUTDOWN within the following 30 hours.

#### SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

---

4.6.1.6.1 Containment Vessel Surfaces. The structural integrity of the exposed accessible interior and exterior surfaces of the containment vessel, including the liner plate, shall be determined, during the shutdown for each Type A containment leakage rate test (reference Specification 4.6.1.2), by a visual inspection of these surfaces. This inspection shall be performed prior to the Type A containment leakage rate test to verify no apparent changes in appearance or other abnormal degradation. Additional inspections shall be conducted during two other refueling outages before the next Type A test if the interval for the Type A test has been extended to 10 years.

4.6.1.6.2 Reports. Any abnormal degradation of the containment vessel structure detected during the above required inspections shall be reported to the Commission in a Special Report pursuant to Specification 6.9.2 within 15 days. This report shall include a description of the condition of the concrete, the inspection procedure, the tolerances on cracking, and the corrective actions taken.

## 3/4.6 CONTAINMENT SYSTEMS

### BASES

---

#### 3/4.6.1 PRIMARY CONTAINMENT

##### 3/4.6.1.1 CONTAINMENT INTEGRITY

Primary CONTAINMENT INTEGRITY ensures that the release of radioactive materials from the containment atmosphere will be restricted to those leakage paths and associated leak rates assumed in the safety analyses. This restriction, in conjunction with the leakage rate limitation, will limit the SITE BOUNDARY radiation doses to within the dose guideline values of 10 CFR Part 100 during accident conditions.

##### 3/4.6.1.2 CONTAINMENT LEAKAGE

The limitations on containment leakage rates ensure that the total containment leakage volume will not exceed the value assumed in the safety analyses at the peak accident pressure,  $P_a$ . As an added conservatism, the measured overall integrated leakage rate is further limited to less than or equal to  $0.75 L_a$ , during performance of the periodic test, to account for possible degradation of the containment leakage barriers between leakage tests.

The surveillance testing for measuring leakage rates is consistent with the requirements of Appendix J of 10 CFR Part 50, Option A for Type B and C tests, and the Containment Leakage Rate Testing Program for Type A tests.

##### 3/4.6.1.3 CONTAINMENT AIR LOCKS

The limitations on closure and leak rate for the containment air locks are required to meet the restrictions on CONTAINMENT INTEGRITY and containment leak rate. Surveillance testing of the air lock seals provides assurance that the overall air lock leakage will not become excessive due to seal damage during the intervals between air lock leakage tests.

Action statement "a" has been modified by a note. The note allows use of the air lock for entry and exit for seven days under administrative controls if both air locks have an inoperable door. This seven day restriction begins when a door in the second air lock is discovered to be inoperable. Containment entry may be required to perform Technical Specification ~~surveillances~~ surveillances and actions, as well as other activities on equipment inside containment that are required by Technical Specifications (TS) or other activities that support TS required equipment. In addition, containment entry may be required to perform repairs on vital plant equipment, which if not repaired, could lead to a plant transient or a reactor trip. This note is not intended to preclude performing other activities (i.e., non-TS required activities or repairs on non-vital plant equipment) if the containment is entered, using the inoperable air lock, to perform an allowed activity listed above. This allowance is acceptable due to the low probability of an event that could pressurize containment during the short time that an OPERABLE door is expected to be open.

## CONTAINMENT SYSTEMS

### BASES

---

#### 3/4.6.1.5 AIR TEMPERATURE

The limitations on containment average air temperature ensure that the overall containment average air temperature does not exceed the initial temperature condition assumed in the safety analysis for a LOCA or steam line break accident. Measurements shall be made at all listed locations, whether by fixed or portable instruments, prior to determining the average air temperature.

#### 3/4.6.1.6 CONTAINMENT STRUCTURAL INTEGRITY

This limitation ensures that the structural integrity of the containment will be maintained comparable to the original design standards for the life of the facility. Structural integrity is required to ensure that the containment will withstand the maximum pressure of a postulated main steam line break accident (41.2 psig). A visual inspection in conjunction with the Containment Leakage Rate Testing Program is sufficient to demonstrate this capability.

#### 3/4.6.1.7 CONTAINMENT VENTILATION SYSTEM

The 42-inch containment preentry purge makeup and exhaust isolation valves are required to be sealed closed during plant operations in MODES 1, 2, 3 and 4 since these valves have not been demonstrated capable of closing during a LOCA or steam line break accident. Maintaining these valves sealed closed during these MODES ensures that excessive quantities of radioactive materials will not be released via the Pre-entry Containment Purge System. To provide assurance that these containment valves cannot be inadvertently opened, the valves are sealed closed in accordance with Standard Review Plan 6.2.4 which includes mechanical devices to seal or lock the valve closed, or prevents power from being supplied to the valve operator.

The use of the Normal Containment Purge System is restricted to the 8-inch purge makeup and exhaust isolation valves since, unlike the 42-inch valves, the 8-inch valves are capable of closing during a LOCA or steam line break accident. Therefore, the SITE BOUNDARY dose guideline of 10 CFR Part 100 would not be exceeded in the event of an accident during normal containment PURGING operation. The total time the Normal Containment Purge System isolation valves may be open during MODES 1, 2, 3, and 4 in a calendar year is a function of anticipated need and operating experience. Only safety-related reasons; e.g., containment pressure control or the reduction of airborne radioactivity to facilitate personnel access for surveillance and maintenance activities, may be used to justify the opening of these isolation valves during MODES 1, 2, 3, and 4.

Leakage integrity tests with a maximum allowable leakage rate for containment purge makeup and exhaust supply valves will provide early indication of resilient material seal degradation and will allow opportunity for repair before

PROCEDURES AND PROGRAMS (Continued)

k. Containment Leakage Rate Testing Program

A program shall be established to implement the leakage rate testing of the containment as required by 10 CFR 50.54 (o) and 10 CFR 50 Appendix J, Option B, as modified by approved exemptions. This program shall be in conformance with the NRC Regulatory Guide 1.163, "Performance-Based Containment Leak-Test Program," dated September 1995, with the following exception noted:

- 1) The above Containment Leakage Rate Testing Program is only applicable to Type A testing. Type B and C testing shall continue to be conducted in accordance with the original commitment to 10 CFR 50 Appendix J, Option A.

The calculated peak containment internal pressure related to the design basis loss-of-coolant accident is 38.4 psig. The calculated peak containment internal pressure related to the design basis main steam line break is 41.2 psig.  $P_a$  will conservatively be assumed to be 41.2 psig for the purpose of containment testing in accordance with this Technical Specification.

The maximum allowable containment leakage rate,  $L_a$  at  $P_a$ , shall be 0.1 % of containment air weight per day.

The containment overall leakage rate acceptance criterion is  $\leq 1.0 L_a$ . During the first unit startup following testing in accordance with this program, the leakage rate acceptance criteria are  $\leq 0.60 L_a$  for the combined Type B and Type C tests, and  $\leq 0.75 L_a$  for Type A tests.

The provisions of Surveillance Requirement 4.0.2 do not apply to the test frequencies specified in the Containment Leakage Rate Testing Program. However, test frequencies specified in this Program may be extended consistent with the guidance provided in Nuclear Energy Institute (NEI) 94-01, "Industry Guideline for Implementing Performance-Based Option of 10 CFR 50 Appendix J," as endorsed by Regulatory Guide 1.163. Specifically, NEI 94-01 has this provision for test frequency extension:

- 1) Consistent with standard scheduling practices for Technical Specifications Required Surveillances, intervals for recommended Type A testing may be extended by up to 15 months. This option should be used only in cases where refueling schedules have been changed to accommodate other factors.

The provisions of Surveillance Requirement 4.0.3 are applicable to the Containment Leakage Rate Testing Program.



UNITED STATES  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

SAFETY EVALUATION BY THE OFFICE OF NUCLEAR REACTOR REGULATION

CAROLINA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY

SHEARON HARRIS NUCLEAR POWER PLANT, UNIT 1

DOCKET NO. 50-400

1.0 INTRODUCTION

On September 12, 1995, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) approved issuance of a revision to 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix J, "Primary Reactor Containment Leakage Testing for Water-Cooled Power Reactors" which was subsequently published in the Federal Register on September 26, 1995, and became effective on October 26, 1995. The NRC added Option B, "Performance-Based Requirements," to allow licensees to voluntarily replace the prescriptive requirements of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix J, with testing requirements based on both overall leakage rate performance and the performance of individual components.

By application dated June 15, 1999, Carolina Power & Light Company (CP&L, the licensee) requested a revision to the Technical Specifications (TS) for the Shearon Harris Nuclear Power Plant (HNP). The proposed change would permit implementation of 10 CFR 50, Appendix J, Option B, for Type A containment integrated leak rate tests. The licensee has established a "Containment Leakage Rate Testing Program," and proposed adding this program to the TS. The program references Regulatory Guide (RG) 1.163, "Performance-Based Containment Leak Test Program," dated September 1995, which specifies a method acceptable to the NRC for complying with Option B.

2.0 BACKGROUND

Compliance with 10 CFR 50, Appendix J, provides assurance that the primary containment, including those systems and components which penetrate the primary containment, do not exceed the allowable leakage rate specified in the TS and Bases. The allowable leakage rate is determined so that the leakage assumed in the safety analyses is not exceeded.

On February 4, 1992, the NRC published a notice in the Federal Register (57 FR 4166) discussing a planned initiative to begin eliminating requirements marginal to safety which impose a significant regulatory burden. Appendix J of 10 CFR Part 50 was considered for this initiative and the staff undertook a study of possible changes to this regulation. The study examined the previous performance history of domestic containments and examined the effect on risk of a revision to the requirements of Appendix J. The results of this study are reported in NUREG-1493, "Performance-Based Leak-Test Program."

Based on the results of this study, the staff developed a performance-based approach to containment leakage rate testing. On September 12, 1995, the NRC approved issuance of this revision to 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix J, which was subsequently published in the Federal Register on September 26, 1995, and became effective on October 26, 1995. The revision added Option B, "Performance-Based Requirements," to Appendix J to allow licensees to voluntarily replace the prescriptive testing requirements of Appendix J with testing requirements based on both overall and individual component leakage rate performance.

RG 1.163 was developed as a method acceptable to the NRC staff for implementing Option B. This regulatory guide states that the Nuclear Energy Institute (NEI) guidance document NEI 94-01, "Industry Guideline for Implementing Performance-Based Option of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix J," provides methods acceptable to the NRC staff for complying with Option B with four exceptions which are described therein.

Option B requires that the RG or other implementation document used by a licensee to develop a performance-based leakage testing program must be included, by general reference, in the plant TS. The licensee has referenced RG 1.163 in the proposed HNP TS.

RG 1.163 specifies an extension in Type A test frequency to at least one test in 10 years based upon two consecutive successful tests. Type B tests may be extended up to a maximum of 10 years based upon completion of two consecutive successful tests and Type C tests may be extended up to 5 years based on two consecutive successful tests.

By letter dated October 20, 1995, NEI proposed TS to implement Option B. After some discussion, the staff and NEI agreed on final TS which were attached to a letter from C. Grimes (NRC) to D. Modeen (NEI) dated November 2, 1995. These TS are to serve as a model for licensees to develop plant-specific TS in preparing amendment requests to implement Option B.

For a licensee to determine the performance of each component, factors that are indicative of, or affect, performance, such as an administrative leakage limit, must be established. The administrative limit is selected to be indicative of the potential onset of component degradation. Although these limits are subject to NRC inspection to assure that they are selected in a reasonable manner, they are not TS requirements. Failure to meet an administrative limit requires the licensee to return to the minimum value of the test interval.

Option B requires that the licensee maintain records to show that the criteria for Type A, B, and C tests have been met. In addition, the licensee must maintain comparisons of the performance of the overall containment system and the individual components to show that the test intervals are adequate. These records are subject to NRC inspection.

### 3.0 EVALUATION

In its June 15, 1999, letter, the licensee proposed establishing a "Containment Leakage Rate Testing Program" and proposed adding this program to the TS. The program references RG 1.163, which specifies a method acceptable to the NRC for complying with Option B. The proposal requires a change to existing TS Limiting Condition for Operation (LCO) and

Surveillance Requirement (SR) 3/4.6.1.2, "Containment Leakage;" SR 4.6.1.1, "Containment Integrity;" SR 4.6.1.3, "Containment Air Locks;" SR 4.6.1.6.1, "Containment Vessel Structural Integrity;" and the addition of the "Containment Leakage Rate Testing Program" to TS Section 6.0, "Administrative Controls." Corresponding Bases would also be modified.

Option B permits a licensee to choose Type A, or Type B and C, or Type A, B, and C testing to be done on a performance basis. The licensee has elected to perform Type A testing on a performance basis. Type B and C testing will continue to be performed in accordance with 10 CFR 50, Appendix J, Option A.

The TS changes proposed by the licensee are in compliance with the requirements of Option B and consistent with the guidance of RG 1.163, and the generic TS of the November 2, 1995, letter, and are, therefore, acceptable to the staff.

#### 4.0 STATE CONSULTATION

In accordance with the Commission's regulations, the State of North Carolina official was notified of the proposed issuance of the amendment. The State official had no comments.

#### 5.0 ENVIRONMENTAL CONSIDERATION

The amendment changes requirements with respect to installation or use of a facility component located within the restricted area as defined in 10 CFR Part 20 and changes the surveillance requirements. The NRC staff has determined that the amendment involves no significant increase in the amounts, and no significant change in the types, of any effluents that may be released offsite, and that there is no significant increase in individual or cumulative occupational radiation exposure. The Commission has previously issued a proposed finding that the amendment involves no significant hazards consideration, and there has been no public comment on such finding (64 FR 38023). Accordingly, the amendment meets the eligibility criteria for categorical exclusion set forth in 10 CFR 51.22(c)(9). Pursuant to 10 CFR 51.22(b) no environmental impact statement or environmental assessment need be prepared in connection with the issuance of the amendment.

#### 6.0 CONCLUSION

The Commission has concluded, based on the considerations discussed above, that: (1) there is reasonable assurance that the health and safety of the public will not be endangered by operation in the proposed manner, (2) such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations, and (3) the issuance of the amendment will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public.

Principal Contributor: R. Laufer

Date: September 17, 1999

Mr. James Scarola  
Carolina Power & Light Company

Shearon Harris Nuclear Power Plant  
Unit 1

cc:

Mr. William D. Johnson  
Vice President and Corporate Secretary  
Carolina Power & Light Company  
Post Office Box 1551  
Raleigh, North Carolina 27602

Mr. Chris L. Burton  
Director of Site Operations  
Carolina Power & Light Company  
Shearon Harris Nuclear Power Plant  
Post Office Box 165, MC: Zone 1  
New Hill, North Carolina 27562-0165

Resident Inspector/Harris NPS  
c/o U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
5421 Shearon Harris Road  
New Hill, North Carolina 27562-9998

Mr. Robert P. Gruber  
Executive Director  
Public Staff NCUC  
Post Office Box 29520  
Raleigh, North Carolina 27626

Ms. Karen E. Long  
Assistant Attorney General  
State of North Carolina  
Post Office Box 629  
Raleigh, North Carolina 27602

Chairman of the North Carolina  
Utilities Commission  
Post Office Box 29510  
Raleigh, North Carolina 27626-0510

Public Service Commission  
State of South Carolina  
Post Office Drawer  
Columbia, South Carolina 29211

Mr. Vernon Malone, Chairman  
Board of County Commissioners  
of Wake County  
P. O. Box 550  
Raleigh, North Carolina 27602

Mr. Mel Fry, Director  
Division of Radiation Protection  
N.C. Department of Environment  
and Natural Resources  
3825 Barrett Dr.  
Raleigh, North Carolina 27609-7721

Mr. Richard H. Givens, Chairman  
Board of County Commissioners  
of Chatham County  
P. O. Box 87  
Pittsboro, North Carolina 27312

Mr. Terry C. Morton  
Manager  
Performance Evaluation and  
Regulatory Affairs CPB 7  
Carolina Power & Light Company  
Post Office Box 1551  
Raleigh, North Carolina 27602-1551

Ms. Donna B. Alexander, Manager  
Regulatory Affairs  
Carolina Power & Light Company  
Shearon Harris Nuclear Power Plant  
P.O. Box 165, Mail Zone 1  
New Hill, NC 27562-0165

Mr. Bo Clark  
Plant General Manager - Harris Plant  
Carolina Power & Light Company  
Shearon Harris Nuclear Power Plant  
P.O. Box 165  
New Hill, North Carolina 27562-0165

Mr. Johnny H. Eads, Supervisor  
Licensing/Regulatory Programs  
Carolina Power & Light Company  
Shearon Harris Nuclear Power Plant  
P. O. Box 165, Mail Zone 1  
New Hill, NC 27562-0165

Mr. John H. O'Neill, Jr.  
Shaw, Pittman, Potts & Trowbridge  
2300 N Street, NW.  
Washington, DC 20037-1128

AMENDMENT NO. 91 TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NO. NPF-63 - HARRIS, UNIT 1

Docket File  
PUBLIC  
PDII Reading  
J. Zwolinski/S. Black  
OGC  
G. Hill (2)  
ACRS  
B. Bonser, RII  
HBerkow

cc: Harris Service List