

February 20, 1996

Mr. W. R. Robinson, Vice President  
Shearon Harris Nuclear Power Plant  
Carolina Power & Light Company  
Post Office Box 165 - Mail code: Zone 1  
New Hill, North Carolina 27562-0165

Dear Mr. Robinson:

SUBJECT: SHEARON HARRIS NUCLEAR POWER PLANT - NOTICE OF  
CONSIDERATION OF ISSUANCE OF AMENDMENT (TAC NO. M94775)

The Commission has forwarded the enclosed "Notice of Consideration of Issuance of Amendment to Facility Operating License, Proposed No Significant Hazards Consideration Determination, and Opportunity for Hearing" to the Office of the Federal Register for publication.

The notice relates to your application dated February 16, 1996, to amend the Shearon Harris Nuclear Power Plant Technical Specifications (TS) to allow a one-time surveillance test extension for the performance of the trip actuating device operational test for one of the safety injection manual initiation switches. This test is required to be performed at least once every 18 months. The one-time extension would require the surveillance test to be performed prior to startup from Refueling Outage No. 7, or when the plant is in Mode 5 for at least 72 hours, whichever occurs first.

Sincerely,  
(Original Signed By)  
Ngoc B. Le, Project Manager  
Project Directorate II-1  
Division of Reactor Projects - I/II  
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket No. 50-400  
Enclosure:  
Notice of Consideration of  
Issuance of Amendment  
cc: See next page

Distribution

Docket File J. Zwolinski  
PUBLIC E. Imbro  
PD II-1 Reading File N. Le  
S. Varga E. Dunnington  
OGC D. Verrelli, R-II  
ACRS

FILENAME - HAR94775.LTR

OFFICE	LA:PDII-1	PM:PDII-1	D:PDII-1
NAME	Dunnington <i>ED</i>	NLe <i>NLe</i>	EImbro <i>EImbro</i>
DATE	2/20/96	2/20/96	2/20/96
COPY	Yes/No	Yes/No	Yes/No

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

50074

9603060012 960220  
PDR ADOCK 05000400  
P PDR

DF01  
11

cl



UNITED STATES  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

February 20, 1996

Mr. W. R. Robinson, Vice President  
Shearon Harris Nuclear Power Plant  
Carolina Power & Light Company  
Post Office Box 165 - Mail code: Zone 1  
New Hill, North Carolina 27562-0165

Dear Mr. Robinson:

SUBJECT: SHEARON HARRIS NUCLEAR POWER PLANT - NOTICE OF  
CONSIDERATION OF ISSUANCE OF AMENDMENT (TAC NO. M94775)

The Commission has forwarded the enclosed "Notice of Consideration of Issuance of Amendment to Facility Operating License, Proposed No Significant Hazards Consideration Determination, and Opportunity for Hearing" to the Office of the Federal Register for publication.

The notice relates to your application dated February 16, 1996, to amend the Shearon Harris Nuclear Power Plant Technical Specifications (TS) to allow a one-time surveillance test extension for the performance of the trip actuating device operational test for one of the safety injection manual initiation switches. This test is required to be performed at least once every 18 months. The one-time extension would require the surveillance test to be performed prior to startup from Refueling Outage No. 7, or when the plant is in Mode 5 for at least 72 hours, whichever occurs first.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "Ngoc B. Le".

Ngoc B. Le, Project Manager  
Project Directorate II-1  
Division of Reactor Projects - I/II  
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket No. 50-400

Enclosure:  
Notice of Consideration of  
Issuance of Amendment

cc: See next page

Mr. W. R. Robinson  
Carolina Power & Light Company

Shearon Harris Nuclear Power Plant  
Unit 1

cc:

Mr. William D. Johnson  
Vice President and Senior Counsel  
Carolina Power & Light Company  
Post Office Box 1551  
Raleigh, North Carolina 27602

Mr. J. W. Donahue  
Plant Manager - Harris Plant  
Carolina Power & Light Company  
Shearon Harris Nuclear Power Plant  
Post Office Box 165, MC: Zone 1  
New Hill, North Carolina 27562-0165

Resident Inspector/Harris NPS  
c/o U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
5421 Shearon Harris Road  
New Hill, North Carolina 27562-9998

Mr. Robert P. Gruber  
Executive Director  
Public Staff NCUC  
Post Office Box 29520  
Raleigh, North Carolina 27626

Ms. Karen E. Long  
Assistant Attorney General  
State of North Carolina  
Post Office Box 629  
Raleigh, North Carolina 27602

Chairman of the North Carolina  
Utilities Commission  
Post Office Box 29510  
Raleigh, North Carolina 27626-0510

Public Service Commission  
State of South Carolina  
Post Office Drawer 11649  
Columbia, South Carolina 29211

Mr. T. D. Walt  
Manager, Regulatory Affairs  
Carolina Power & Light Company  
Shearon Harris Nuclear Power Plant  
P. O. Box 165, Mail Zone 1  
New Hill, North Carolina 27562-0165

Regional Administrator, Region II  
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
101 Marietta St., N.W. Suite 2900  
Atlanta, Georgia 30323

Mr. Vernon Malone, Chairman  
Board of County Commissioners  
of Wake County  
P. O. Box 550  
Raleigh, North Carolina 27602

Mr. Dayne H. Brown, Director  
Division of Radiation Protection  
N.C. Department of Environmental  
Division of Radiation Protection  
Commerce & Natural Resources  
Post Office Box 27687  
Raleigh, North Carolina 27611-7687

Ms. Uva Holland, Chairman  
Board of County Commissioners  
of Chatham County  
P. O. Box 87  
Pittsboro, North Carolina 27312

Mr. J. Cowan  
Manager  
Nuclear Services and Environmental  
Support Department  
Carolina Power & Light Company  
Post Office Box 1551 - Mail OHS7  
Raleigh, North Carolina 27602

Mr. Milton Shymlock  
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Comm.  
101 Marietta Street, N.W.  
Suite 2900  
Atlanta, Georgia 30323-0199

UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSIONSHEARON HARRIS NUCLEAR POWER PLANTDOCKET NO. 50-400NOTICE OF CONSIDERATION OF ISSUANCE OF AMENDMENT TO  
FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE, PROPOSED NO SIGNIFICANT HAZARDS  
CONSIDERATION DETERMINATION, AND OPPORTUNITY FOR A HEARING

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) is considering issuance of an amendment to Facility Operating License No. NPF-63, issued to the Carolina Power & Light Company (the licensee), for operation of the Shearon Harris Nuclear Power Plant located in Wake and Chatham Counties, North Carolina.

The proposed amendment would allow a one-time extension for the performance of the trip actuating device operational test for one of the safety injection manual initiation switches. Technical Specification (TS) 4.3.2.1, Engineered Safety Features Actuation System (ESFAS) Instrumentation, requires that each instrumentation channel and interlock and the automatic actuation logic and relays be demonstrated operable by performance of surveillance requirements specified in TS Table 4.3-2. Table 4.3-2, Item 1.a requires that a trip actuating device operational test be performed for Safety Injection (SI) manual initiation at least every 18 months. The licensee discovered on February 12, 1996, that only three of the four switch contacts have been tested in the required 18-month periodicity. The fourth switch contact was last tested on May 3, 1994. With the advent of the surveillance requirement grace period, this surveillance test for the fourth switch contact would have to be performed prior to March 16, 1996. However, this

surveillance test cannot be performed at power. Therefore, the licensee is requesting a one-time extension of the surveillance test interval to avoid a plant shutdown. The exigent circumstances exist because the licensee did not discover the test discrepancy until February 12, 1996.

Before issuance of the proposed license amendment, the Commission will have made findings required by the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act) and the Commission's regulations.

Pursuant to 10 CFR 50.91(a)(6) for amendments to be granted under exigent circumstances, the NRC staff must determine that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration. Under the Commission's regulations in 10 CFR 50.92, this means that operation of the facility in accordance with the proposed amendment would not (1) involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated; or (2) create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated; or (3) involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety. As required by 10 CFR 50.91(a), the licensee has provided its analysis of the issue of no significant hazards consideration, which is presented below:

This change does not involve a significant hazards consideration for the following reasons:

1. The proposed amendment does not involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated.

The proposed amendment does not involve any design or material changes to the plant. The change does not in any way affect the automatic ESFAS [Engineered Safety Features Actuation System] initiation; it only affects one of the two redundant switches. If one switch fails to function, operators can use the other switch. This change simply requests a one-time extension for the surveillance interval for one of two contacts from the manual Safety Injection [SI] switch on Main Control Board panel C. A redundant switch is available with two operable contacts on Main Control Board panel A.

2. The proposed amendment does not create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated.

The proposed amendment does not alter the performance of the Engineered Safety Features Actuation System. The proposed change does not involve any new equipment or modifications to existing plant equipment. Further, the change will not affect the manner in which any safety related systems perform their functions. Extension of the surveillance frequency of the manual SI actuation switch does not affect or create any new accident scenarios. Therefore, the proposed changes do not create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated.

3. The proposed amendment does not involve a significant reduction in the margin of safety.

The proposed change does not affect a margin of safety as defined in the Bases to the Technical Specifications. The automatic ESFAS is not affected by this one-time technical specification change. The change does not alter the setpoints for any plant parameters that initiate safety injection, nor does it alter any coincidental logic. Sufficient system functional capability is still available from diverse parameters.

The NRC staff has reviewed the licensee's analysis and, based on this review, it appears that the three standards of 10 CFR 50.92(c) are satisfied. Therefore, the NRC staff proposes to determine that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration.

The Commission is seeking public comments on this proposed determination. Any comments received within 15 days after the date of publication of this notice will be considered in making any final determination.

Normally, the Commission will not issue the amendment until the expiration of the 15-day notice period. However, should circumstances change during the notice period, such that failure to act in a timely way would result, for example, in derating or shutdown of the facility, the Commission may issue the license amendment before the expiration of the 15-day notice period, provided that its final determination is that the amendment involves no significant hazards consideration. The final determination will consider

all public and State comments received. Should the Commission take this action, it will publish in the FEDERAL REGISTER a notice of issuance. The Commission expects that the need to take this action will occur very infrequently.

Written comments may be submitted by mail to the Rules Review and Directives Branch, Division of Freedom of Information and Publications Services, Office of Administration, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC, and should cite the publication date and page number of this FEDERAL REGISTER notice. Written comments may also be delivered to Room 6D22, Two White Flint North, 11545 Rockville Pike, Rockville Maryland, from 7:30 a.m. to 4:15 p.m. Federal workdays. Copies of written comments received may be examined at the NRC Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC.

The filing of requests for hearing and petitions for leave to intervene is discussed below.

By March 27, 1996 , the licensee may file a request for a hearing with respect to issuance of the amendment to the subject facility operating license and any person whose interest may be affected by this proceeding and who wishes to participate as a party in the proceeding must file a written request for a hearing and a petition for leave to intervene. Requests for a hearing and a petition for leave to intervene shall be filed in accordance with the Commission's "Rules of Practice for Domestic Licensing Proceedings" in 10 CFR Part 2. Interested persons should consult a current copy of 10 CFR 2.714 which is available at the Commission's Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC and at the local public document room located at the Cameron Village Regional Library, 1930 Clark Avenue,

Raleigh, North Carolina. If a request for a hearing or petition for leave to intervene is filed by the above date, the Commission or an Atomic Safety and Licensing Board, designated by the Commission or by the Chairman of the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel, will rule on the request and/or petition; and the Secretary or the designated Atomic Safety and Licensing Board will issue a notice of hearing or an appropriate order.

As required by 10 CFR 2.714, a petition for leave to intervene shall set forth with particularity the interest of the petitioner in the proceeding, and how that interest may be affected by the results of the proceeding. The petition should specifically explain the reasons why intervention should be permitted with particular reference to the following factors: (1) the nature of the petitioner's right under the Act to be made a party to the proceeding; (2) the nature and extent of the petitioner's property, financial, or other interest in the proceeding; and (3) the possible effect of any order which may be entered in the proceeding on the petitioner's interest. The petition should also identify the specific aspect(s) of the subject matter of the proceeding as to which petitioner wishes to intervene. Any person who has filed a petition for leave to intervene or who has been admitted as a party may amend the petition without requesting leave of the Board up to 15 days prior to the first prehearing conference scheduled in the proceeding, but such an amended petition must satisfy the specificity requirements described above.

Not later than 15 days prior to the first prehearing conference scheduled in the proceeding, a petitioner shall file a supplement to the petition to intervene which must include a list of the contentions which are sought to be litigated in the matter. Each contention must consist of a specific statement of the issue of law or fact to be raised or controverted.

In addition, the petitioner shall provide a brief explanation of the bases of the contention and a concise statement of the alleged facts or expert opinion which support the contention and on which the petitioner intends to rely in proving the contention at the hearing.

The petitioner must also provide references to those specific sources and documents of which the petitioner is aware and on which the petitioner intends to rely to establish those facts or expert opinion. Petitioner must provide sufficient information to show that a genuine dispute exists with the applicant on a material issue of law or fact. Contentions shall be limited to matters within the scope of the amendment under consideration. The contention must be one which, if proven, would entitle the petitioner to relief. A petitioner who fails to file such a supplement which satisfies these requirements with respect to at least one contention will not be permitted to participate as a party.

Those permitted to intervene become parties to the proceeding, subject to any limitations in the order granting leave to intervene, and have the opportunity to participate fully in the conduct of the hearing, including the opportunity to present evidence and cross-examine witnesses.

If the amendment is issued before the expiration of the 30-day hearing period, the Commission will make a final determination on the issue of no significant hazards consideration. If a hearing is requested, the final determination will serve to decide when the hearing is held.

If the final determination is that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration, the Commission may issue the amendment and make it immediately effective, notwithstanding the request for a hearing. Any hearing held would take place after issuance of the amendment.

If the final determination is that the amendment request involves a significant hazards consideration, any hearing held would take place before the issuance of any amendment.

A request for a hearing or a petition for leave to intervene must be filed with the Secretary of the Commission, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC, Attention: Docketing and Services Branch, or may be delivered to the Commission's Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC, by the above date. Where petitions are filed during the last 10 days of the notice period, it is requested that the petitioner promptly so inform the Commission by a toll-free telephone call to Western Union at 1-(800) 248-5100 (in Missouri 1-(800) 342-6700). The Western Union operator should be given Datagram Identification Number N1023 and the following message addressed to Mr. Eugene V. Imbro: petitioner's name and telephone number, date petition was mailed, plant name, and publication date and page number of this FEDERAL REGISTER notice. A copy of the petition should also be sent to the Office of the General Counsel, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC, and to W. D. Johnson, Vice President and Senior counsel, Carolina Power & Light Company, Post Office Box 1551, Raleigh, North Carolina, attorney for the licensee.

Nontimely filings of petitions for leave to intervene, amended petitions, supplemental petitions and/or requests for hearing will not be entertained absent a determination by the Commission, the presiding officer or the presiding Atomic Safety and Licensing Board that the petition and/or request should be granted based upon a balancing of the factors specified in 10 CFR 2.714(a)(1)(i)-(v) and 2.714(d).

For further details with respect to this action, see the application for amendment dated February 16, 1996, which is available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC, and at the local public document room, located at the Cameron Village Regional Library, 1930 Clark Avenue, Raleigh, North Carolina.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 20th day of February 1996.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION



Ngoc B. Le, Project Manager  
Project Directorate II-1  
Division of Reactor Projects - I/II  
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

For further details with respect to this action, see the application for amendment dated February 16, 1996, which is available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC, and at the local public document room, located at the Cameron Village Regional Library, 1930 Clark Avenue, Raleigh, North Carolina.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 20th day of February 1996.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Original signed by:

Ngoc B. Le, Project Manager  
Project Directorate II-1  
Division of Reactor Projects - I/II  
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

FILENAME - HAR94775.1tr

OFFICE	LA:PDII-1	PM:PDII-1	D:PDII-1
NAME	Dunnington <i>ETD</i>	NLe <i>NLe</i>	EImbro <i>ED</i>
DATE	<i>2/20/96</i>	<i>2/20/96</i>	<i>2/20/96</i>
COPY	<u>Yes/No</u>	<u>Yes/No</u>	<u>Yes/No</u>

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

For further details with respect to this action, see the application for amendment dated February 16, 1996, which is available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC, and at the local public document room, located at the Cameron Village Regional Library, 1930 Clark Avenue, Raleigh, North Carolina.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 20th day of February 1996.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Original signed by:

Ngoc B. Le, Project Manager  
Project Directorate II-1  
Division of Reactor Projects - I/II  
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

FILENAME - HAR94775.1tr

OFFICE	LA:PDII-1	PM:PDII-1	D:PDII-1
NAME	Dunnington <i>ETD</i>	NLe <i>NLe</i>	EImbro <i>RD</i>
DATE	<i>2/20/96</i>	<i>2/20/96</i>	<i>2/20/96</i>
COPY	<u>Yes/No</u>	<u>Yes/No</u>	<u>Yes/No</u>

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY