

October 5, 1993

Docket No. 50-261

Mr. C. R. Dietz, Vice President
Carolina Power & Light Company
H. B. Robinson Steam Electric Plant,
Unit No. 2
Post Office Box 790
Hartsville, South Carolina 29550-0790

Dear Mr. Dietz:

SUBJECT: ISSUANCE OF AMENDMENT NO. 147 TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NO. DPR-23 REGARDING EMERGENCY DIESEL GENERATOR TESTING REQUIREMENTS - H. B. ROBINSON STEAM ELECTRIC PLANT, UNIT NO. 2 (TAC NO. M87169)

The Nuclear Regulatory Commission has issued the enclosed Amendment No. 147 to Facility Operating License No. DPR-23 for the H. B. Robinson Steam Electric Plant, Unit No. 2. This amendment consists of changes to the Technical Specifications in response to your request dated August 5, 1993.

The amendment provides a clarification to emergency diesel generator (EDG) testing requirements as specified in TS 4.6.1.1 and 4.6.1.4. According to TS 4.6.1.1, the monthly EDG surveillance tests are to be conducted at the nameplate rating of the EDG that limits the loading of the EDGs to not exceed the long-term (continuous) rating of 2500 kW. In TS 4.6.1.4 the change includes limitations for the continuous load rating and the short-term overload rating.

A copy of the related Safety Evaluation is enclosed. Notice of Issuance will be included in the Commission's bi-weekly Federal Register notice.

Sincerely,
ORIGINAL SIGNED BY S.BAJWA FOR:

Brenda L. Mozafari, Project Manager
Project Directorate II-1
Division of Reactor Projects - I/II
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Enclosures:

- 1. Amendment No. 147 to DPR-23
- 2. Safety Evaluation

cc w/enclosures:
See next page

*See Previous Concurrence

OFFICE	LA: PD21:DRPE	PM: PD21:DRPE*	D: PD21:DRPE	OGC*
NAME	PAAnderson	BMozafari	SBajwa <i>18/12</i>	CBarth
DATE	10/5/93	09/23/93	10/5/93	09/27/93

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY
DOCUMENT NAME: G:\ROBINSON\ROB87169.AMD

9310140147 931005
PDR ADOCK 05000261
P PDR

NRC FILE CENTER COPY

QF01
11

Mr. C. R. Dietz
Carolina Power & Light Company

H. B. Robinson Steam Electric
Plant, Unit No. 2

cc:

Mr. H. Ray Starling
Manager - Legal Department
Carolina Power & Light Company
Post Office Box 1551
Raleigh, North Carolina 27602

Mr. Dayne H. Brown, Director
Department of Environmental,
Health and Natural Resources
Division of Radiation Protection
Post Office Box 27687
Raleigh, North Carolina 27611-7687

Karen E. Long
Assistant Attorney General
State of North Carolina
Post Office Box 629
Raleigh, North Carolina 27602

Mr. Robert P. Gruber
Executive Director
Public Staff - NCUC
Post Office Box 29520
Raleigh, North Carolina 27626-0520

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Resident Inspector's Office
H. B. Robinson Steam Electric Plant
Route 5, Box 413
Hartsville, South Carolina 29550

Mr. Heyward G. Shealy, Chief
Bureau of Radiological Health
South Carolina Department of Health
and Environmental Control
2600 Bull Street
Columbia, South Carolina 29201

Regional Administrator, Region II
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
101 Marietta St., N.W., Ste. 2900
Atlanta, Georgia 30323

Mr. H. W. Habermeyer, Jr.
Vice President
Nuclear Services Department
Carolina Power & Light Company
Post Office Box 1551
Raleigh, North Carolina 27602

Mr. Ray H. Chambers, Jr.
Plant Manager
Carolina Power & Light Company
H. B. Robinson Steam Electric Plant
Post Office Box 790
Hartsville, South Carolina 29550

Public Service Commission
State of South Carolina
Post Office Drawer 11649
Columbia, South Carolina 29211

AMENDMENT NO. 147 TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NO. DPR-23 - H. B. ROBINSON
STEAM ELECTRIC PLANT, UNIT NO. 2

DISTRIBUTION:

Docket File
NRC/Local PDRs
PD II-1 Reading File
S. Varga
S. Bajwa
B. Mozafari
P. Anderson
OGC
D. Hagan
G. Hill (2)
C. Grimes
C. Berlinger
P. Kang
ACRS (10)
OPA
OC/LFDCB
E. Merschoff, R-II

cc: Robinson Service List

120084



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

CAROLINA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY

DOCKET NO. 50-261

H. B. ROBINSON STEAM ELECTRIC PLANT, UNIT NO. 2

AMENDMENT TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE

Amendment No. 147
License No. DPR-23

1. The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has found that:
 - A. The application for amendment by Carolina Power & Light Company (the licensee), dated August 5, 1993, complies with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission's rules and regulations set forth in 10 CFR Chapter I;
 - B. The facility will operate in conformity with the application, the provisions of the Act, and the rules and regulations of the Commission;
 - C. There is reasonable assurance (i) that the activities authorized by this amendment can be conducted without endangering the health and safety of the public, and (ii) that such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations;
 - D. The issuance of this amendment will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public; and
 - E. The issuance of this amendment is in accordance with 10 CFR Part 51 of the Commission's regulations and all applicable requirements have been satisfied.
2. Accordingly, the license is amended by changes to the Technical Specifications, as indicated in the attachment to this license amendment; and paragraph 3.B. of Facility Operating License No. DPR-23 is hereby amended to read as follows:

9310140149 931005
PDR ADOCK 05000261
P PDR

B. Technical Specifications

The Technical Specifications contained in Appendices A and B, as revised through Amendment No. 147, are hereby incorporated in the license. Carolina Power & Light Company shall operate the facility in accordance with the Technical Specifications.

3. This license amendment is effective as of the date of its issuance and shall be implemented within 30 days.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION



S. Singh Bajwa, Acting Director
Project Directorate II-1
Division of Reactor Projects - I/II
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Attachment:
Changes to the Technical
Specifications

Date of Issuance: October 5, 1993

ATTACHMENT TO LICENSE AMENDMENT NO. 147

FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NO. DPR-23

DOCKET NO. 50-261

Replace the following pages of the Appendix A Technical Specifications with the enclosed pages. The revised areas are indicated by marginal lines.

Remove Pages

Insert Pages

4.6-1

4.6-1

4.6-2

4.6-2

4.6-2a

--

4.6 EMERGENCY POWER SYSTEM PERIODIC TESTS

Applicability

Applies to periodic testing and surveillance requirements of the emergency power system.

Objective

To verify that the emergency power system will respond promptly and properly when required.

Specification

The following tests and surveillance shall be performed as stated:

4.6.1 Diesel Generators

- 4.6.1.1 On a monthly basis, each diesel generator shall be tested by manually-initiated start, followed by manual synchronization with other power sources, and verification that each diesel generator is loaded and operates for ≥ 60 minutes at a load ≥ 2350 kW and ≤ 2500 kW.
- 4.6.1.2 Automatic start of each diesel generator, load shedding and restoration to operation of particular vital equipment, initiated by a simulated loss of all normal A-C station service power supplies together with a simulated safety injection signal. This test will be conducted at each refueling interval, to assure that the diesel generator will start and assume required load within 50 seconds after the initial starting signal. During this test, the diesel protective bypasses listed in Specification 3.7.1.d shall be demonstrated to be operable by simulating a trip signal to each of the trip devices that is bypassed and observing that the diesel does not trip.
- 4.6.1.3 Each diesel generator shall be inspected at each refueling.
- 4.6.1.4 The following diesel generator load limits shall be observed:
- a. The continuous load rating for the diesel generator is 2500 kW. Continuous operation above this limit shall not be permitted, except as defined within Technical Specification 4.6.1.4.b.
 - b. The short-term, overload rating of the diesel generator is 2750 kW. Operation at this load shall not exceed 2 hours in any 24 hour period. Operation above the short-term, overload rating shall not be permitted.

4.6.2 Diesel Fuel Tanks

A minimum fuel oil inventory sufficient to ensure 19,000 gallons available to the diesel generators shall be maintained at all times in the Unit 2 diesel generator fuel oil storage tank and an additional 15,000 gallons available to the diesel generators shall be maintained at all times in either the Unit 1 I-C turbine fuel oil storage tanks or a combination of the Unit 1 I-C turbine fuel oil storage tanks and the Unit 2 diesel generator fuel oil storage tank.

4.6.3 Station Batteries

- 4.6.3.1 The voltage and temperature of a pilot cell in each battery shall be measured and recorded daily, 5 days/week.
- 4.6.3.2 The specific gravity and voltage to the nearest 0.01 volt, the temperature reading of every fifth cell, the height of electrolyte and the amount of water added to each cell shall be measured and recorded monthly.
- 4.6.3.3 Each battery shall be subjected to an equalizing charge annually. The requirements in 4.6.3.2 above shall be performed after each equalizing charge.
- 4.6.3.4 At each time data is recorded, new data shall be compared with old to detect signs of abuse or deterioration.

PAGE 4.6-2a HAS BEEN DELETED



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

SAFETY EVALUATION BY THE OFFICE OF NUCLEAR REACTOR REGULATION
RELATED TO AMENDMENT NO. 147 TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NO. DPR-23
CAROLINA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY
H. B. ROBINSON STEAM ELECTRIC PLANT, UNIT NO. 2
DOCKET NO. 50-261

1.0 INTRODUCTION

By letter dated August 5, 1993, the Carolina Power & Light Company (licensee) submitted a request for changes to the H. B. Robinson Steam Electric Plant, Unit No. 2, (HBR2) Technical Specifications (TS). The requested changes would provide a clarification to emergency diesel generator (EDG) testing requirements as specified in TS 4.6.1.1 and 4.6.1.4. According to TS 4.6.1.1, the monthly EDG surveillance tests are to be conducted at the nameplate rating of the EDG, while TS 4.6.1.4 limits the loading of the EDGs to not exceed the long-term (continuous) rating of 2500 kW.

2.0 BACKGROUND

The Electrical Distribution System Functional Inspection (EDSFI) Report, NRC Inspection Report No. 50-261/91-21, dated January 10, 1992, identified that the EDGs at HBR2 were not being tested at their nameplate rating as prescribed by TS 4.6.1.1. The nameplate rating of the HBR2 EDGs stipulated in the TS 4.6.1.1 is 2500 kW at a 0.8 power factor (i.e., 3125 kVA). The HBR2 EDGs have been tested at 2500 kW at a power factor 1.0 (i.e., 2500 kVA), which the licensee has interpreted as equivalent to the EDG nameplate rating. Under this loading condition, the expected full load current (2500 kVA) of the EDG at 1.0 power factor is equivalent to only 80 percent of the EDG output (3125 kVA) at 0.8 power factor.

The NRC staff has recently reviewed this issue and has determined that the EDG's capability to carry accident loads needs to be demonstrated. The demonstration should be performed as a part of the EDG 24-hour run test during each refueling outage at a power factor range of 0.8 to 0.9. Since the HBR2 TS do not contain a EDG 24-hour test provision, the NRC staff has interpreted the current TS 4.6.1.1 to mean that the EDG should be tested at a specific kW (2500 kW) and a power factor of 0.8-0.9 in its refueling surveillance testing.

Because the wording of TS 4.6.1.1 and its bases are somewhat ambiguous, the licensee has proposed to revise TS 4.6.1.1 to establish a specific or measurable EDG loading kW value. In response to the EDSFI report, the licensee committed to implement a new TS that will specify testing during every refueling outage with a proper power factor value to demonstrate the EDG's ability to carry accident loads.

By letter dated June 5, 1993, CP&L informed the NRC that plans have been established and procedures are being developed to test the EDGs, as discussed above, on a refueling interval frequency commencing with refueling outage 15, which began on September 11, 1993.

During the development of EDG test procedures to support the new TS, the licensee recognized that the analyzed accident loads are 2610 kW, which exceeds the EDG continuous-load rating of 2500 kW as stipulated in TS 4.6.1.4. Since TS 4.6.1.4 prohibits loading of the EDG beyond 2500 kW, the licensee finds that a revision to TS 4.6.1.4 is necessary to allow testing of the EDG at a load level above 2500 kW.

EVALUATION

(1) TS 4.6.1.1

The current TS 4.6.1.1 requires that monthly EDG testing be performed to demonstrate, "...assumption of load by the diesel generator up to the nameplate rating." The proposed amendment deletes the current TS 4.6.1.1 provision entirely and replaces it with a new TS provision which states, "On a monthly basis, each diesel generator shall be tested by manually-initiated start, followed by manual synchronization with other power sources, and verification that each diesel generator is loaded and operates for ≥ 60 minutes at a load ≥ 2350 kW and ≤ 2500 kW."

The NRC has reviewed the licensee's proposed change to TS 4.6.1.1 and finds that the proposed TS represents a more specific or measurable EDG kW value. We also find that the proposed TS change is consistent with the monthly surveillance provision prescribed in the "Improved Standard TS for Westinghouse Plants," NUREG-1431, in which no power factor requirements are established. However, by their June 5, 1993, reply to the EDSFI report, the licensee has committed to amend the TS in the near future, after procedures have been finalized. The planned TS change will include a power factor range (i.e., 0.8 to 0.9) for the EDG 24-hour run test that will be performed during every refueling outage. On this basis, we conclude that the proposed revision to TS 4.6.1.1 is acceptable for the interim and should be granted.

(2) TS 4.6.1.4

The current TS 4.6.1.4 requires, "Diesel generator electric loads shall not be increased beyond the long term rating of 2500 kW." The proposed TS 4.6.1.4 replaces the current TS with more detailed guidance regarding its EDG loading limitations for the continuous load of 2500 kW and the short-term overload of 2750 kW not to exceed 2 hours in any 24 hour period. We find that the kW values in the proposed TS are consistent with kW values shown in the HBR2 TS bases section that states that, "the units have a continuous rating of 2500 kW with a 2-hour overload capability of 2750 kW in any 24-hour period."

In order to implement the new TS, it is necessary for the licensee to develop procedures to demonstrate during every refueling outage the EDG's ability to

carry accident loads along with appropriate power factor values at which each EDG would be loaded during its surveillance testing. Since the current TS 4.6.1.4 prohibits testing EDGs beyond the long-term rating of 2500 kW, a revision to the current TS 4.6.1.4 is necessary in order to test the EDGs above 2500 kW.

The NRC has reviewed the proposed TS 4.6.1.4 and finds that the proposed short-term overload rating of 2750 kW is adequate to demonstrate the EDG capability to carry the analyzed accident load of 2610 kW. On this basis, we conclude that the proposed TS 4.6.1.4 is acceptable and should be granted.

3.0 STATE CONSULTATION

In accordance with the Commission's regulations, the State of South Carolina official was notified of the proposed issuance of the amendment. The State official had no comments.

4.0 ENVIRONMENTAL CONSIDERATION

The amendment changes a requirement with respect to the installation or use of a facility component located within the restricted area as defined in 10 CFR Part 20 and change Surveillance Requirements. The NRC has determined that the amendment involves no significant increase in the amounts, and no significant change in the types, of any effluents that may be released offsite, and that there is no significant increase in individual or cumulative occupational radiation exposure. The Commission has previously issued a proposed finding that the amendment involves no significant hazards consideration, and there has been no public comment on such finding (58 FR 46224). Accordingly, the amendment meets the eligibility criteria for categorical exclusion set forth in 10 CFR 51.22(c)(9). Pursuant to 10 CFR 51.22(b) no environmental impact statement or environmental assessment need be prepared in connection with the issuance of the amendment.

5.0 CONCLUSION

The Commission has concluded, based on the considerations discussed above, that: (1) there is reasonable assurance that the health and safety of the public will not be endangered by operation in the proposed manner, (2) such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations, and (3) the issuance of the amendment will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public.

Principal Contributor: P. Kang

Date: October 5, 1993