

July 30, 1986

Docket No. 50-261

DISTRIBUTION

Mr. E. E. Utley, Senior Executive Vice President
Power Supply and Engineering & Construction
Carolina Power and Light Company
Post Office Box 1551
Raleigh, North Carolina 27602

Docket File
NRC PDR
Local PDR
PAD#2 Rdg
T. Novak
G. Requa
D. Miller
OELD
L. Harmon
E. Jordan
Gray File

B. Grimes
J. Partlow
T. Barnhart (4)
W. Jones
E. Butcher
N. Thompson
V. Benaroya
Tech Branch
ACRS (10)
C. Miles, OPA
L. Tremper, LFMB

Dear Mr. Utley:

The Commission has issued the enclosed Amendment No. 101 to Facility Operating License No. DPR-23 for the H. B. Robinson Steam Electric Plant Unit No. 2. This amendment consists of changes to the Technical Specifications in response to your request dated October 9, 1985.

The amendment revises the Technical Specifications by updating the allowable method for data collection during excore detector calibration, and also involves changes of an editorial nature, such as consistency of terminology, correction of a figure number and adding a reference document.

A copy of the related Safety Evaluation is enclosed. A Notice of Issuance will be included in the Commission's next regular bi-weekly Federal Register notice.

Sincerely,

/s/

Glode Requa, Project Manager
PWR Project Directorate #2
Division of PWR Licensing-A
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Enclosures:

- 1. Amendment No. 101 to DPR-23
- 2. Safety Evaluation

cc: w/enclosures

See next page

LA: PAD#2
DM: Mer
7/17/86

PM: PAD#2
GRequa
7/18/86

D: PAD#2
LRubenstein
7/18/86

OELD
7/18/86

Handwritten signature and date: gl. Requa 7/29/86

Mr. E. E. Utley
Carolina Power & Light Company

H. B. Robinson 2

cc:

Thomas A. Baxter, Esquire
Shaw, Pittman, Potts and Trowbridge
1800 M Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20036

Mr. Dayne H. Brown, Chief
Radiation Protection Branch
Division of Facility Services
Department of Human Resources
P.O. Box 12200
Raleigh, North Carolina 27605

Mr. McCuen Morrell, Chairman
Darlington County Board of Supervisors
County Courthouse
Darlington, South Carolina 29535

State Clearinghouse
Division of Policy Development
116 West Jones Street
Raleigh, North Carolina 27603

Attorney General
Department of Justice
Justice Building
Raleigh, North Carolina 27602

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Resident Inspector's Office
H. B. Robinson Steam Electric Plant
Route 5, Box 413
Hartsville, South Carolina 29550

Regional Administrator, Region II
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Suite 2900
101 Marietta Street
Atlanta, Georgia 30303

Mr. R. Morgan
General Manager
H. B. Robinson Steam Electric Plant
Post Office Box 790
Hartsville, South Carolina 29550



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

CAROLINA POWER AND LIGHT COMPANY

DOCKET NO. 50-261

H. B. ROBINSON STEAM ELECTRIC PLANT, UNIT NO. 2

AMENDMENT TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE

Amendment No. 101
License No. DPR-23

1. The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has found that:
 - A. The application for amendment by Carolina Power and Light Company (the licensee) dated October 9, 1985, complies with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act) and the Commission's rules and regulations set forth in 10 CFR Chapter I;
 - B. The facility will operate in conformity with the application, the provisions of the Act, and the rules and regulations of the Commission;
 - C. There is reasonable assurance (i) that the activities authorized by this amendment can be conducted without endangering the health and safety of the public, and (ii) that such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations;
 - D. The issuance of this amendment will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public; and
 - E. The issuance of this amendment is in accordance with 10 CFR Part 51 of the Commission's regulations and all applicable requirements have been satisfied.
2. Accordingly, the license is amended by changes to the Technical Specifications as indicated in the attachment to this license amendment, and paragraph 3.B of Facility Operating License No. DPR-23 is hereby amended to read as follows:

8608120462 860730
PDR ADDCK 05000261
P PDR

(B) Technical Specifications

The Technical Specifications contained in Appendices A and B, as revised through Amendment No. 101, are hereby incorporated in the license. The licensee shall operate the facility in accordance with the Technical Specifications.

3. This license amendment is effective as of the date of its issuance.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION



Lester S. Rubenstein, Director
PWR Project Directorate #2
Division of PWR Licensing-A

Attachment:
Changes to the Technical
Specifications

Date of Issuance: July 30, 1986

ATTACHMENT TO LICENSE AMENDMENT

AMENDMENT NO. 101 FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NO. DPR-23

DOCKET NO. 50-261

Revise Appendix A as follows:

<u>Remove Pages</u>	<u>Insert Pages</u>
3.10-6	3.10-6
3.10-7	3.10-7
3.10-7a	3.10-7a
3.10-7b	3.10-7b
3.10-15	3.10-15
3.11-1	3.11-1
3.11-2	3.11-2

3.10.2.2.2 or $F_Q(Z)$ shall be measured and a target axial flux difference re-established at least once every seven (7) effective full power days until two successive measurements indicate enthalpy rise hot channel factor, $F_{\Delta H}^N$, is not increasing.

- 3.10.2.3 The reference equilibrium-indicated axial flux difference as a function of power level (called the target flux difference) shall be determined in conjunction with the measurement of $F_Q(Z)$ as defined in Specification 3.10.2.1.1.*
- 3.10.2.4 The indicated axial flux difference shall be considered outside of the limits of Sections 3.10.2.5 through 3.10.2.9 when more than one of the operable excore channels are indicating the axial flux difference to be outside a limit.
- 3.10.2.5 Except during physics tests, and except as modified by 3.10.2.6 through 3.10.2.9 below, the indicated axial flux difference shall be maintained within the applicable target band about the target flux difference (defines the target band on axial flux difference).
- 3.10.2.6 At a power level greater than 90 percent of rated power, or $0.9 \times \text{APL}^{**}$ (whichever is less), if the indicated axial flux difference deviates from its target band, the flux difference shall be returned to the target band immediately or reactor power shall be reduced to a level no greater than 90 percent of rated power or $0.9 \times \text{APL}$ (whichever is less).
- 3.10.2.7 At a power level between 50 percent and 90 percent of rated power, or $0.9 \times \text{APL}$ (whichever is less).

* During power escalation at the beginning of each cycle, the design target may be used until a power level for extended operation has been achieved.

** APL is the Allowable Power Level defined in Specification 3.10.2.2.2.

- a. The indicated axial flux difference may deviate from its target band for a maximum of one hour (cumulative) in any 24-hour period provided the flux difference does not exceed the limits shown in Figure 3.10-5. If the cumulative time exceeds one hour, then the reactor power shall be reduced immediately to no greater than 50 percent of rated power and the high neutron flux setpoint reduced to no greater than 55 percent of rated power.
- b. A power increase to a level greater than 90 percent of rated power or $0.9 \times \text{APL}$ (whichever is less) is contingent upon the indicated axial flux difference being within its target band.

3.10.2.8 At a power level no greater than 50 percent of rated power

- a. The indicated axial flux difference may deviate from its target band.
- b. A power increase to a level greater than 50 percent of rated power is contingent upon the indicated axial flux difference not being outside its target band for more than two hours (cumulative) out of the preceding 24-hour period. One-half of the time the indicated axial flux difference is out of its target band up to 50 percent of rated power is to be counted as contributing to the one-hour cumulative maximum the flux difference may deviate from its target band at a power level less than or equal to 90 percent of rated power or $0.9 \times \text{APL}$ (whichever is less).

3.10.2.9 Calibration of excore detectors will be performed under the following conditions:

- a. at power levels greater than 90 percent of rated power or $0.9 \times \text{APL}$ (whichever is less) provided the axial flux difference does not exceed the specified target bands, or

- b. at power levels less than 90 percent of rated power or $0.9 \times \text{APL}$ (whichever is less) provided the indicated axial flux difference does not exceed the limits shown in Figure 3.10-5.

3.10.2.10 Alarms shall normally be used to indicate non-conformance with the flux difference requirement of 3.10.2.6 or the flux difference-time requirement of 3.10.2.7.a. If the alarms are temporarily out of service, the axial flux difference shall be logged, and conformance with the limits assessed, every hour for the first 24 hours, and half-hourly thereafter.

3.10.2.11 The axial flux difference target band about the target axial flux difference shall be determined in conjunction with the measurement of $F_Q(Z)$ as specified in 3.10.2.1.1. The allowable values of the target band are shown in Figure 3.10-5. Redefinition of the target band from more restrictive to less restrictive ranges between determinations of the target axial flux difference is allowed when appropriate redefinitions of APL are made. Redefinition of the target band from less restrictive to more restrictive ranges is allowed only in conjunction with the determination of a new target axial flux difference.

3.10.3 Quadrant Power Tilt Limits

3.10.3.1 Except for physics tests and during power increases below 50 percent of rated power, whenever the indicated quadrant power tilt ratio exceeds 1.02, the tilt condition shall be eliminated within two hours or the following actions shall be taken:

- a. Restrict core power level and reset the power range high flux setpoint to be less two percent of rated values for every percent of indicated power tilt ratio exceeding 1.0, and

- b. If the tilt condition is not eliminated after 24 hours, the power range high flux setpoint shall be reset to 55 percent of rated power. Subsequent reactor operation would be permitted up to 50 percent of rated power for the purpose of measurement and testing to identify the cause of the tilt condition.

3.10.3.2 Except for low power physics tests, if the indicated quadrant tilt exceeds 1.09 and there is simultaneous indication of a misaligned rod:

- a. The core power level shall be reduced by 2 percent of rated values for every 1 percent of indicated power tilt exceeding 1.0, and
- b. If the tilt condition is not eliminated within two hours, the reactor shall be brought to a hot shutdown condition.
- c. After correction of the misaligned rod, reactor operation will be permitted to 50 percent of rated power until the indicated quadrant tilt falls below 1.09.

3.10.3.3 If the indicated quadrant tilt exceeds 1.09 and there is not a simultaneous indication of rod misalignment, except as stated in Specification 3.10.3.2.c, the reactor shall immediately be brought to a hot shutdown condition.

Strict control of the flux difference is not possible during certain physics tests, control rod exercises, or during the required periodic excore calibration which require larger flux differences than permitted. Therefore, the specification on power distribution are not applicable during physics tests, control rod exercises, or excore calibrations; this is acceptable due to the extremely low probability of a significant accident occurring during these operations. Excore calibration includes that period of time necessary to return to equilibrium operating conditions. In some instances of rapid plant power reduction, automatic rod motion will cause the flux difference to deviate from the target band when the reduced power level is reached. This does not necessarily affect the xenon distribution sufficiently to change the envelope of peaking factors which can be reached on a subsequent return to full power within the target band; however, to simplify the specification, a limitation of one hour in any period of 24 hours is placed on operation outside the band. This ensures that the resulting xenon distributions are not significantly different from those resulting from operation within the target band. The instantaneous consequence of being outside the band, provided rod insertion limits are observed, is not worse than a 10 percent increment in peaking factor for flux difference in the allowable range shown in Figure 3.10-5 for 90 percent of rated power or $0.9 \times \text{APL}$ (whichever is less). Therefore, while the deviation exists, the power level is limited to 90 percent of rated power or $0.9 \times \text{APL}$ (whichever is less) or lower depending on the indicated flux difference.

If, for any reason, flux difference is not controlled with the target band for as long a period as one hour, then xenon distributions may be significantly changed and operation at 50 percent of rated power is required to protect against potentially more severe consequences of some accidents.

As discussed above, the essence of the limits is to maintain the xenon distribution in the core as close to the equilibrium full power condition as possible. This is accomplished by using the chemical volume control system to position the full length control rods to produce the required indication flux difference.

3.11 MOVABLE IN-CORE INSTRUMENTATION

Applicability

Applies to the operability of the movable detector instrumentation system.

Objective

To specify functional requirements on the use of the in-core instrumentation systems, for the calibration of the excore symmetrical offset detection system.

Specification

- 3.11.1 A minimum of 15 total accessible thimbles and at least 2 per quadrant sufficient movable in-core detectors shall be operable during recalibration of the excore symmetrical offset detection system.
- 3.11.2 Power shall be limited to 90% of rated power if recalibration requirements for the excore symmetrical offset detection system identified in Table 4.1-1 are not met.

Basis

The Movable In-Core Instrumentation System⁽¹⁾ has five drives, five detectors, and 48 thimbles in the core. Each detector can be routed to twenty or more thimbles. Consequently, the full system has a great deal more capability than would be needed for the calibration of the excore detectors.

To calibrate the excore detector system, it is only necessary that the Movable In-Core System be used to determine the gross power distribution in the core as indicated by the power balance between the top and bottom halves of the core. The thimbles shall be selected such that when reflected into a single quadrant, no assembly is more than a "king's move" away from a measurement. In other words, every assembly is either a measured assembly or touched by a

circle with a radius equal to $\sqrt{2}$ X (the assembly pitch) drawn from the center of the measured assembly. In addition, the number of eighth-core symmetric pairs should be minimized and measurements in every quadrant should be obtained. (2)

After the excore system is calibrated initially, recalibration is needed only infrequently to compensate for changes in the core, due, for example, to fuel depletion, and for changes in the detectors.

If the recalibration is not performed, the mandated power reduction assures safe operation of the reactor since it will compensate for an error of 10% in the excore protection system. Experience at the Beznau No. 1 and R. E. Ginna plants has shown that drift due to the core on instrument channels is very slight. Thus, limiting the operating levels to 90% of the rated power is very conservative.

Reference

- (1) FSAR Section 7.7.1.5
- (2) WCAP-8648-A, Excore Detector Recalibration Using Quarter-Core Flux Maps, June, 1976



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

SAFETY EVALUATION BY THE OFFICE OF NUCLEAR REACTOR REGULATION
RELATED TO AMENDMENT NO. 101 TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NO. DPR-23

CAROLINA POWER AND LIGHT COMPANY

H. B. ROBINSON STEAM ELECTRIC PLANT, UNIT NO. 2

DOCKET NO. 50-261

Introduction

In a letter dated October 9, 1985, Carolina Power and Light Company requested a license amendment to change the Technical Specifications for H. B. Robinson Steam Electric Plant, Unit No. 2. The proposed changes are:

1. In a number of places in the Technical Specifications the phrase "90 percent or 0.9 x APL (whichever is less) of rated power" is replaced with "90 percent of rated power or 0.9 APL (whichever is less)." (APL is Allowable Power Level).
2. The wording used in a previous change to the Technical Specifications inadvertently prohibited calibration of the excore detectors at power ranges above 90 percent rated power or 0.9 APL. This restriction is removed.
3. This change corrects reference from Figure 3.10-4 to Figure 3.10-5.
4. This change reduces the required minimum number of operable incore detector thimbles from 16 to 15 during recalibration of the excore detectors.
5. This change revises the method used to calibrate the excore detectors to that contained in WCAP-8648-A "Excore Detector Recalibration Using Quarter-Core Flux Maps."
6. This change incorporates a reference to the above report.

Evaluation

Items 1, 3, and 6 are purely editorial changes addressing consistency of terminology, correcting a figure number, and adding a reference document. They are therefore acceptable. Item 2 also corrects an error, but as a result of the complexity of the circumstances leading to the change, the licensee provided further justification that the change is acceptable. This consists of requiring that the axial flux difference be maintained within specified limits above 90 percent power or 0.9 APL (as well as at lower power levels). The Technical Specification preceding the previous change allowed calibration at any power regardless of axial flux band deviation.

We conclude the proposed change is acceptable because the axial flux difference limits required for normal operation will be maintained. Item 4 is in conformance with the requirements of the approved topical report WCAP-8648, and is therefore acceptable. In fact, the topical report specifically shows the Robinson core layout with a requirement for only 15 detector strings. Items 5 and 6 specify the Technical Specification bases that the methodology of the approved topical report WCAP-8648-A will be used for calibration of the excore detectors. Since the quarter-core flux map methodology for calibration of the excore detectors is approved, this is acceptable.

Summary

As detailed above, we conclude the proposed changes to the Technical Specifications for H. B. Robinson are acceptable, and will not result in a reduction of the safety margins of H. B. Robinson, Unit 2.

Environmental Consideration

This amendment involves a change in the installation or use of a facility component located within the restricted area as defined in 10 CFR Part 20. The staff has determined that the amendment involves no significant increase in the amounts, and no significant change in the types, of any effluents that may be released offsite, and that there is no significant increase in individual or cumulative occupational radiation exposure. The Commission has previously issued a proposed finding that this amendment involves no significant hazards consideration and there has been no public comment on such finding. Accordingly, this amendment meets the eligibility criteria for categorical exclusion set forth in 10 CFR 51.22(c)(9). This amendment also involves changes in recordkeeping, reporting or administrative procedures or requirements. Accordingly, with respect to these items, the amendment meets the eligibility criteria for categorical exclusion set forth in 10 CFR 51.22(c)(10). Pursuant to 10 CFR 51.22(b) no environmental impact statement or environmental assessment need be prepared in connection with the issuance of this amendment.

Conclusion

We have concluded, based on the considerations discussed above, that: (1) there is reasonable assurance that the health and safety of the public will not be endangered by operation in the proposed manner, and (2) such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations and the issuance of this amendment will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public.

Dated: July 30, 1986

Principal Contributor:

M. Dunenfeld