

JUN 13 1974

Docket No. 50-261

Carolina Power & Light Company
ATTN: Mr. E. E. Utley, Vice President
Bulk Power Supply Department
336 Fayetteville Street
Raleigh, North Carolina 27602

Gentlemen:

By letter dated October 10, 1973, you requested a change in the Technical Specifications appended to License No. DPR-23. The proposed change would remove the requirement for volumetric inspections of pressure-retaining bolting less than two inches in diameter within the valve pressure boundary (Category G-2) during the inservice inspection.

Presently the Technical Specifications require that Category G-2 bolting within the valve pressure boundary be inspected inservice by both visual and volumetric inspection methods. However, the inservice inspection requirements of 10 CFR Part 50, Section 50.55(a), specify Section XI of the ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code as the acceptable criteria. ASME Section XI requires only visual inspection of Category G-2 bolting within the valve pressure boundary. Therefore, this proposed change meets the requirements of 10 CFR Part 50. In addition, all other components listed in the Technical Specifications and ASME Section XI require only visual inspection of Category G-2 bolting. Therefore, the change will result in uniformity in the Technical Specifications and will remove any unnecessary requirements. There are no special considerations unique to this bolting that require the extra inspection method.

The staff concludes that the change does not involve a significant hazards consideration since it does not involve a safety consideration of a type or magnitude not previously considered for the Robinson 2 plant, does not involve a substantial increase in the probability or consequences of accidents previously considered, and does not involve a substantial decrease in the margin of safety during normal plant operation, anticipated operational occurrences, or postulated accidents previously considered. There is reasonable assurance that the health and safety of the public will not be endangered by operation in the proposed manner.

JUN 13 1974

Accordingly, the Commission has issued the enclosed Amendment No. 6 to License No. DPR-23 (Change No. 31 to Appendix A Technical Specifications).

Sincerely,

Original Signed by
K. R. Goller

Karl R. Goller
Assistant Director for Operating
Reactors
Directorate of Licensing

Enclosures:

1. Federal Register Notice
2. Amendment No. 6

cc's with encl:
See next page

DISTRIBUTION:

Docket File
AEC PDR
Branch Reading
HJMcAlduff, OROO
JRBuchanan, ORNL
TBAbernathy, DTIE
ACRS (16)
RO (3)
OGC
JSaltzman, L:OAI
FLIngram, OIS
JFWagner, OC (FRN only)
PCollins, L:OLB
RJSchemel, L:OR
NDube, L:OPS
SVarga, L:RP
SKari, L:RP
WOMiller, DRA
CHEbron, DRA (Amdt only)
MJinks, DRA (4)
BScharf, DRA (15)
RAPurple, L:OR-1
TJCarter, L:OR
DScott, L:OR-1
SATEets, L:OR-1

6/10

OFFICE	L:OR-1	L:OR-1	OGC	L:OR		
mc#868817	DScott:zoe	RAPurple		KRGoller		
6/3/74	6/7/74	6/10/74	6/13/74	6/13/74		
SURNAME	DATE					

JUN 13 1974

cc w/encl:

G.F. Trowbridge, Esquire
Shaw, Pittman, Potts, Trowbridge
and Madden
910 - 17th Street, N. W.
Washington, D. C. 20006

John D. Whisenhunt, Esquire
Bridges and Whisenhunt
Bridges Building
P.O. Box 26
Florence, South Carolina 29501

Hartsville Memorial Library
Home and Fifth Avenues
Hartsville, South Carolina 29550

Mr. Elmer Whitten
State Clearinghouse
Office of the Governor
Division of Administration
1205 Pendleton Street
4th Floor
Columbia, South Carolina 29201
(w/CP&L ltr.dtd. 10/10/73)

Mr. Harrell L. Gordan
Chairman, Darlington County Board
of Commissioners
Route 2
Darlington, South Carolina 29532

Mr. Dave Hopkins
Environmental Protection Agency
1421 Peachtree Street, N.W.
Atlanta, Georgia 30309

OFFICE▶						
SURNAME▶						
DATE▶						

UNITED STATES ATOMIC ENERGY COMMISSION

DOCKET NO. 50-261

CAROLINA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY

NOTICE OF ISSUANCE OF FACILITY LICENSE AMENDMENT

Notice is hereby given that the U. S. Atomic Energy Commission ("the Commission") has issued Amendment No. 6 to Facility Operating License No. DPR-23 issued to Carolina Power & Light Company which revised the Technical Specifications (Change No. 31 to Appendix A), for operation of the H. B. Robinson Unit 2, located in Darlington County, Hartsville, South Carolina. The amendment is effective as of its date of issuance.

The amendment permits a change in inservice inspection to provide for consistency between the Technical Specifications and Section XI of the ASME Code.

The application for the amendment complies with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act, as amended ("the Act"), and the Commission's rules and regulations. The Commission has made appropriate findings as required by the Act and the Commission's rules and regulations in 10 CFR Chapter 1, which are set forth in the license amendment.

For further details with respect to this action, see (1) the application for amendment dated October 10, 1973, (2) Amendment No. 6 to License No. DPR-23, with attachment. These are available

OFFICE ➤						
SURNAME ➤						
DATE ➤						

for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717
H Street, N. W., Washington, D. C. and at the Hartsville Memorial Library,
Home and Fifth Avenues, Hartsville, South Carolina.

A copy of item (2) may be obtained upon request addressed to the
Atomic Energy Commission, Washington, D. C. 20545, Attention: Deputy
Director for Reactor Projects, Directorate of Licensing - Regulation.

Dated at Bethesda, Maryland, this JUN 13 1974

FOR THE ATOMIC ENERGY COMMISSION

Original signed by:
Robert A. Purple

Robert A. Purple, Chief
Operating Reactors Branch #1
Directorate of Licensing

OFFICE ➤						
SURNAME ➤						
DATE ➤						

CAROLINA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY

DOCKET NO. 50-261

H. B. ROBINSON UNIT 2

AMENDMENT TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE

Amendment No. 6
License No. DPR-23

1. The Atomic Energy Commission ("the Commission") has found that:
 - A. The application for amendment by Carolina Power & Light Company ("the licensee") dated October 10, 1973, complies with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended ("the Act"), and the Commission's rules and regulations set forth in 10 CFR Chapter I;
 - B. The facility will operate in conformity with the license, the provisions of the Act, and the rules and regulations of the Commission;
 - C. There is reasonable assurance (i) that the activities authorized by this amendment can be conducted without endangering the health and safety of the public, and (ii) that such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations;
 - D. The issuance of this amendment will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public; and
 - E. Prior public notice of this amendment is not required since the amendment does not involve a significant hazards consideration.
2. Accordingly, Paragraph 3.B of Facility License No. DPR-23 is hereby amended to read as follows:

"(B) Technical Specifications

The Technical Specifications contained in Appendix A, attached to Facility Operating License No. DPR-23 are revised as indicated in the attachment to this license amendment. The Technical Specifications, as revised, are hereby incorporated in the license. The licensee shall operate the facility in accordance with the Technical Specifications, as revised."

OFFICE ➤						
SURNAME ➤						
DATE ➤						

3. This license amendment is effective as of the date of its issuance.

FOR THE ATOMIC ENERGY COMMISSION

Original Signed by
K. R. Goller

Karl R. Goller, Assistant Director
for Operating Reactors
Directorate of Licensing

Attachment:
Change No. 31 to Appendix A
Technical Specifications

Date of Issuance: JUN 13 1974

OFFICE ➤						
SURNAME ➤						
DATE ➤						

UNITED STATES ATOMIC ENERGY COMMISSION

DOCKET NO. 50-261

CAROLINA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY

ATTACHMENT TO LICENSE AMENDMENT NO. 6

CHANGE NO. 31 to APPENDIX A OF TECHNICAL SPECIFICATIONS

FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NO. DPR-23

1. Make the following change:

Table 4.2-1, Item No. 6.5 in the column labeled "Method",
change "Visual and Volumetric" to read "Visual".

OFFICE ➤						
SURNAME ➤						
DATE ➤						

Docket No. 50-261

Carolina Power & Light Company
ATTN: Mr. E. E. Utley, Vice President
Bulk Power Supply Department
336 Fayetteville Street
Raleigh, North Carolina 27602

Gentlemen:

By letter dated October 10, 1973, you requested a change in the Technical Specifications appended to License No. DPR-23. The proposed change would remove the requirement for volumetric inspections of pressure-retaining bolting less than two inches in diameter within the valve pressure boundary (Category G-2) during the inservice inspection.

Presently the Technical Specifications require that Category G-2 bolting within the valve pressure boundary be inspected inservice by both visual and volumetric inspection methods. However, the inservice inspection requirements of 10 CFR 50.55(a) specify Section XI of the ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code as the acceptable criteria. ASME Section XI requires only visual inspection of Category G-2 bolting within the valve pressure boundary. Therefore, this proposed change meets the requirements of 10 CFR 50. In addition, all other components listed in the Technical Specifications and ASME Section XI require only visual inspection of Category G-2 bolting. Therefore, the change will result in uniformity in the Technical Specifications and will remove any unnecessary requirements. There are no special considerations unique to this bolting that require the extra inspection method.

We have concluded that the proposed amendment does not involve significant new safety information of a type not considered by any previous Commission safety review of the facility; potentially involve a significant increase in the probability or consequence of an accident considered in a previous Commission safety review of the facility; or involve a potentially significant decrease in the margin of safety during normal plant operation, anticipated operational occurrence or postulated accidents considered in any previous Commission safety review of the facility and therefore does not involve a significant hazards consideration. There is reasonable assurance that the health and safety of the public will not be endangered.

OFFICE ➤					
SURNAME ➤					
DATE ➤					