

Mr. J. T. Beckham, Jr
 Vice President - Plant Hatch
 Georgia Power Company
 P. O. Box 1295
 Birmingham, AL 35201

October 19, 1995

SUBJECT: ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT AND FINDING OF NO SIGNIFICANT IMPACT REGARDING AN EXEMPTION FROM TESTING CRITERIA OF APPENDIX J TO 10 CFR PART 50, EDWIN I. HATCH NUCLEAR POWER PLANT, UNIT 2 (TAC NO. M92616)

Dear Mr. Beckham:

Enclosed is a copy of the Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact related to your application dated June 20, 1995, for an exemption from the testing and acceptance criteria of Appendix J to 10 CFR Part 50, pursuant to 10 CFR 50.12(a). This application is a followup to License Amendment No. 132, issued March 17, 1994, which permitted an increase in the allowable leak rate for the main steam isolation valves (MSIVs) and the deletion of the MSIV leakage control system. The proposed exemption from 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix J, Sections III.A.5(b)(1), III.A.5(b)(2), III.B.3, III.C.2(a), and III.C.3, is related to your application dated June 20, 1995, to exclude the measured MSIV leakage from the combined leak rate test results at Hatch Unit 2, and to the Commission's own initiative to account for a previously granted exemption, stated in the Technical Specifications, which allows the leak rate testing of the Hatch Unit 2 MSIVs at a reduced pressure.

The assessment is being forwarded to the Office of the Federal Register for publication.

Sincerely,

ORIGINAL SIGNED BY:

Kahtan N. Jabbour, Senior Project Manager
 Project Directorate II-2
 Division of Reactor Projects - I/II
 Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket No. 50-366

Enclosure: Environmental Assessment

cc w/encl: See next page

DISTRIBUTION

Docket File KJabbour
 PUBLIC LBerry
 PDII-2 Reading OGC
 SVarga EMerschhoff, RII
 JZwolinski ACRS(4)
 HBerkow RCrlenjak, RII

DOCUMENT NAME: G:\HATCH\EXEMPTIO.EA

To receive a copy of this document, indicate in the box: "C" = Copy without attachment/enclosure "E" = Copy with attachment/enclosure "N" = No copy

OFFICE	LA:PD22:DRPE	E	PM:PD22:DRPE	E	BC:NRR	BC:NRR	OGC
NAME	LBerry		KJabbour:dt		CBerlinger	SNewberry	EHOLLETZ
DATE	9/28/95		9/28/95	RNS	10/5/95	10/11/95	10/17/95
OFFICE	D:PD22:DRPE	E					
NAME	HBerkow						
DATE	10/19/95						

9510250382 951019
 PDR ADOCK 05000366
 P PDR

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

NRC FILE CLERK COPY



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

October 19, 1995

Mr. J. T. Beckham, Jr.
Vice President - Plant Hatch
Georgia Power Company
P. O. Box 1295
Birmingham, AL 35201

SUBJECT: ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT AND FINDING OF NO SIGNIFICANT IMPACT
REGARDING AN EXEMPTION FROM TESTING CRITERIA OF APPENDIX J TO
10 CFR PART 50, EDWIN I. HATCH NUCLEAR POWER PLANT, UNIT 2 (TAC NO.
M92616)

Dear Mr. Beckham:

Enclosed is a copy of the Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact related to your application dated June 20, 1995, for an exemption from the testing and acceptance criteria of Appendix J to 10 CFR Part 50, pursuant to 10 CFR 50.12(a). This application is a followup to License Amendment No. 132, issued March 17, 1994, which permitted an increase in the allowable leak rate for the main steam isolation valves (MSIVs) and the deletion of the MSIV leakage control system. The proposed exemption from 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix J, Sections III.A.5(b)(1), III.A.5(b)(2), III.B.3, III.C.2(a), and III.C.3, is related to your application dated June 20, 1995, to exclude the measured MSIV leakage from the combined leak rate test results at Hatch Unit 2, and to the Commission's own initiative to account for a previously granted exemption, stated in the Technical Specifications, which allows the leak rate testing of the Hatch Unit 2 MSIVs at a reduced pressure.

The assessment is being forwarded to the Office of the Federal Register for publication.

Sincerely,

Kahtan N. Jabbour, Senior Project Manager
Project Directorate II-2
Division of Reactor Projects - I/II
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket No. 50-366

Enclosure: Environmental Assessment

cc w/encl: See next page

Mr. J. T. Beckham, Jr.
Georgia Power Company

Edwin I. Hatch Nuclear Plant

cc:
Mr. Ernest L. Blake, Jr.
Shaw, Pittman, Potts and Trowbridge
2300 N Street, NW.
Washington, DC 20037

Mr. Ernie Toupin
Manager of Nuclear Operations
Oglethorpe Power Corporation
2100 East Exchange Place
Tucker, Georgia 30085-1349

Mr. D. M. Crowe
Manager Licensing - Hatch
Georgia Power Company
P. O. Box 1295
Birmingham, Alabama 35201

Charles A. Patrizia, Esquire
Paul, Hastings, Janofsky & Walker
10th Floor
1299 Pennsylvania Avenue
Washington, DC 20004-9500

Mr. L. Sumner
General Manager, Nuclear Plant
Georgia Power Company
11030 Hatch Parkway North
Baxley, Georgia 31513

Mr. Jack D. Woodard
Senior Vice President
Georgia Power Company
P. O. Box 1295
Birmingham, Alabama 35201

Resident Inspector
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
11030 Hatch Parkway North
Baxley, Georgia 31513

Chairman
Appling County Commissioners
County Courthouse
Baxley, Georgia 31513

Regional Administrator, Region II
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
101 Marietta Street, NW. Suite 2900
Atlanta, Georgia 30323

Heinz Mueller
Environmental Review Coordinator
345 Courtland Street, NE
Atlanta, Georgia 30365

Mr. Charles H. Badger
Office of Planning and Budget
Room 610
270 Washington Street, SW.
Atlanta, Georgia 30334

Harold Reheis, Director
Department of Natural Resources
205 Butler Street, SE., Suite 1252
Atlanta, Georgia 30334

UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSIONGEORGIA POWER COMPANY, ET AL.DOCKET NO. 50-366EDWIN I. HATCH NUCLEAR PLANT, UNIT 2ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT AND FINDING OFNO SIGNIFICANT IMPACT

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) is considering the issuance of an exemption from the requirements of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix J, to Facility Operating License No. NPF-5, issued to Georgia Power Company, et al. (GPC or the licensee), for operation of the Edwin I. Hatch Nuclear Plant, Unit 2, located in Appling County, Georgia.

ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENTIdentification of the Proposed Action:

The proposed action would grant an exemption from 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix J, Sections III.A.5(b)(1), III.A.5(b)(2), III.B.3, III.C.2(a), and III.C.3, for the Hatch Nuclear Plant, Unit 2, in conjunction with License Amendment No. 132 issued March 17, 1994, which permitted an increase in the allowable main steam isolation valve (MSIV) leak rate from 11.5 standard cubic feet per hour (scfh) for any one MSIV to 100 scfh for any one MSIV, with a total maximum leak rate of 250 scfh through all four steam lines and the deletion of the leakage control system (LCS).

Appendix J to 10 CFR Part 50, Sections II.H.4 and III.C.2 require leak rate testing of the MSIVs at the calculated peak containment pressure related to the design-basis accident, and Section III.A.5, III.B.3 and III.C.3 requires that the measured MSIV leak rates be included in the combined leak rate test results. The proposed exemption allows the exclusion of the

measured MSIV leakage from the combined test results. The increase of the MSIV leak rate does not affect a previously approved exemption, stated in the Technical Specifications (TS), which allows the MSIV leak rate testing at a reduced pressure.

The proposed action for the exemption regarding leakage is in accordance with the licensee's letter dated June 20, 1995. The proposed action for the exemption from testing at accident pressure is based on the Commission's own initiative to account for a previously granted exemption as stated in the Hatch Unit 2 TS.

The Need for the Proposed Action:

The exemption from the leakage acceptance criteria of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix J, is needed because the MSIV leakage rate is accounted for separately in the radiological site analysis. The exemption from the pressure requirements of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix J, is needed because the design of the MSIVs is such that the test pressure is applied between two MSIVs in the same line and testing in the reverse direction for one of the MSIVs tends to unseat the valve disc and would result in a meaningless test.

Environmental Impacts of the Proposed Action:

The Commission has completed its evaluation of the proposed action related to the granting of an exemption from 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix J, Sections III.A.5(b)(1), III.A.5(b)(2), III.B.3, and III.C.3, proposed by the licensee, and concludes that the proposed actions will not increase the probability or consequences of accidents, no changes are being made in the types of any effluents that may be released offsite, and there is no significant increase in the allowable individual or cumulative occupational radiation exposure. The proposed action for the exemption from testing at

accident pressure, as required by Section III.C.2 of Appendix J to 10 CFR Part 50, is based on the Commission's own initiative to account for a previously granted exemption as stated in the Hatch Unit 2 TS, and the Commission concludes that the action will not increase the probability or consequences of accidents, no changes are being made in the types of effluents that may be released offsite, and there is no significant increase in the allowable individual or cumulative occupational radiation exposure.

The MSIV leakage, along with the containment leakage is used to calculate the maximum radiological consequences of a design-basis accident. Section 15.1.39 of the Hatch Final Safety Analysis Report (FSAR) indicates that standard and conservative assumptions have been used to calculate the offsite and control room doses, including the doses due to MSIV leakage, which could potentially result from a postulated loss-of-coolant accident (LOCA). Further, the technical support center, control room, and offsite doses resulting from a postulated LOCA have recently been recalculated using currently accepted assumptions and methods. The doses at the site boundary and the doses that could be received by personnel in the technical support center and control room due to MSIV leakage were calculated independently of all other types of containment leakage. These analyses have demonstrated that the total leakage rate of 250 scfh results in dose exposures for the control room and offsite that remain within the limits of Appendix A to 10 CFR Part 100, as discussed in License Amendment No. 132.

With regard to potential nonradiological impacts, the proposed actions involve features located entirely within the restricted area as defined in 10 CFR Part 20. They do not affect nonradiological plant effluents and have no other environmental impact. Accordingly, the Commission concludes that there

are no significant nonradiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed actions.

Alternatives to the Proposed Action:

Since the Commission has concluded there is no significant environmental impact associated with the proposed action, any alternatives with equal or greater environmental impact need not be evaluated. As an alternative to the proposed action, the staff considered denial of the proposed actions. Denial of the application would result in no change in current environmental impacts. The environmental impacts of the proposed action and the alternative action are similar.

Alternative Use of Resources:

This action does not involve the use of any resources not previously considered in the Final Environmental Statement for the Hatch Nuclear Plant.

Agencies and Persons Consulted:

In accordance with its stated policy, on September 28, 1995, the staff consulted with the Georgia State official, James L. Setser of the Department of Natural Resources, regarding the environmental impact of the proposed action. The State official had no comments.

FINDING OF NO SIGNIFICANT IMPACT


Based upon the environmental assessment, the Commission concludes that the proposed actions will not have a significant effect on the quality of the human environment. Accordingly, the Commission has determined not to prepare an environmental impact statement for the proposed actions.

For further details with respect to the proposed actions, see the licensee's letter dated June 20, 1995, which is available for public

inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, The Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC, and at the local public document room located at the Appling County Public Library, 301 City Hall Drive, Baxley, Georgia.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 19th day of October 1995.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Victor Nerses".

Victor Nerses, Acting Director
Project Directorate II-2
Division of Reactor Projects - I/II
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation