

May 15, 1987

Docket No. 50-325

Mr. E. E. Utley
Senior Executive Vice President
Power Supply and Engineering & Construction
Carolina Power & Light Company
Post Office Box 1551
Raleigh, North Carolina 27602

Dear Mr. Utley:

Subject: Fuel Cycle 6 Reload Amendment (TAC No. 63291)

The Commission has issued the enclosed Amendment No. 108 to Facility Operating License No. DPR-71 for the Brunswick Steam Electric Plant, Unit 1. The amendment consists of changes to the Technical Specifications in response to your submittal of October 21, 1986, as supplemented March 11, 1987.

The amendment changes the Technical Specifications to incorporate revised minimum critical power ratio (MCPR) values in Section 3/4.2.3 and in Table 3.2.3.2-1. In addition Table 3.2.3.2-1 is revised to combine the turbine trip/load reject without bypass and the feedwater control failure transients into a single pressurization transient.

A copy of the Safety Evaluation is also enclosed. A Notice of Issuance will be included in the Commission's Bi-Weekly Federal Register Notice.

Sincerely,

(S)

Ernest D. Sylvester, Project Manager
Project Directorate II-1
Division of Reactor Projects - I/II

Enclosures:

1. Amendment No. 108 to License No. DPR-71
2. Safety Evaluation

cc w/enclosures:
See next page

PM:PD21:DRPR *EDS*
ESylvester/vag
05/6/87

LA:PD21:DRPR
PAnderson
05/6/87

D:PD21:DRPR
EAdensam
05/6/87

8705260466 870515
PDR ADOCK 05000325
P PDR

Mr. E. E. Utley
Carolina Power & Light Company

Brunswick Steam Electric Plant
Units 1 and 2

cc:

Mr. P. W. Howe
Vice President
Brunswick Nuclear Project
Box 10429
Southport, North Carolina 28461

Mr. C. R. Dietz
Plant General Manager
Brunswick Nuclear Project
Box 10429
Southport, North Carolina 28461

Thomas A. Baxter, Esquire
Shaw, Pittman, Potts & Trowbridge
2300 N Street, N. W.
Washington, D. C. 20037

Mr. H. A. Cole
Special Deputy Attorney General
State of North Carolina
Post Office Box 629
Raleigh, North Carolina 27602

Mr. D. E. Hollar
Associate General Counsel
Carolina Power & Light Company
Post Office Box 1551
Raleigh, North Carolina 27602

Mr. Robert P. Gruber
Executive Director
Public Staff - NCUC
Post Office Box 29520
Raleigh, North Carolina 27626-0520

Mr. Christopher Chappell, Chairman
Board of Commissioners
Post Office Box 249
Bolivia, North Carolina 28422

Mrs. Chrys Baggett
State Clearinghouse
Budget and Management
116 West Jones Street
Raleigh, North Carolina 27603

Resident Inspector
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Star Route 1
Post Office Box 208
Southport, North Carolina 28461

Regional Administrator, Region II
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
101 Marietta Street, Suite 2900
Atlanta, Georgia 30303

Mr. Dayne H. Brown, Chief
Radiation Protection Branch
Division of Facility Services
N. C. Department of Human Resources
701 Barbour Drive
Raleigh, North Carolina 27603-2008



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

CAROLINA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY

DOCKET NO. 50-325

BRUNSWICK STEAM ELECTRIC PLANT, UNIT 1

AMENDMENT TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE

Amendment No. 108
License No. DPR-71

1. The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has found that:
 - A. The application for amendment by Carolina Power & Light Company (the licensee), dated October 21, 1986, as supplemented March 11, 1987, complies with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission's rules and regulations set forth in 10 CFR Chapter I;
 - B. The facility will operate in conformity with the application, the provisions of the Act, and the rules and regulations of the Commission;
 - C. There is reasonable assurance (i) that the activities authorized by this amendment can be conducted without endangering the health and safety of the public, and (ii) that such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations;
 - D. The issuance of this amendment will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public; and
 - E. The issuance of this amendment is in accordance with 10 CFR Part 51 of the Commission's regulations and all applicable requirements have been satisfied.
2. Accordingly, the license is amended by changes to the Technical Specifications, as indicated in the attachment to this license amendment; and paragraph 2.C.(2) of Facility Operating License No. DPR-71 is hereby amended to read as follows:

8705260472 870515
PDR ADOCK 05000325
P PDR

(2) Technical Specifications

The Technical Specifications contained in Appendices A and B, as revised through Amendment No. 108, are hereby incorporated in the license. The licensee shall operate the facility in accordance with the Technical Specifications.

3. This license amendment is effective as of the date of its issuance and shall be implemented within 60 days of issuance.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

/s/

Elinor G. Adensam, Director
Project Directorate II-1
Division of Reactor Projects - I/II

Attachment:
Changes to the Technical
Specifications

Date of Issuance: May 15, 1987

LA:PD21:DRPR
PAnderson
05/6/87

PM:PD21:DRPR ^{EO}
ESylvester/vag
05/6/87

OGC
EB altb
05/11/87

D:PD21:DRPR
EAdensam
05/15/87

NR 5/13

ATTACHMENT TO LICENSE AMENDMENT NO. 108

FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NO. DPR-71

DOCKET NO. 50-325

Replace the following pages of the Appendix A Technical Specifications with the enclosed pages. The revised areas are indicated by marginal lines.

Remove Pages

3/4 2-10
3/4 2-14

Insert Pages

3/4 2-10
3/4 2-14

POWER DISTRIBUTION LIMITS3/4.2.3 MINIMUM CRITICAL POWER RATIOLIMITING CONDITION FOR OPERATION

3.2.3.1 The MINIMUM CRITICAL POWER RATIO (MCPR), as a function of core flow, shall be equal to or greater than the MCPR limit times the K_f shown in Figure 3.2.3-1 with the following MCPR limit adjustments:

- a. Beginning-of-cycle (BOC) to end-of-cycle (EOC) minus 2000 MWD/t with ODYN OPTION A analyses in effect, the MCPR limits are listed below:
 1. MCPR for 8 x 8R fuel = 1.34
 2. MCPR for P8 x 8R fuel = 1.36
 3. MCPR for BP8 x 8R fuel = 1.36
- b. EOC minus 2000 MWD/t to EOC with ODYN OPTION A analyses in effect, the MCPR limits are listed below:
 1. MCPR for 8 x 8R fuel = 1.41
 2. MCPR for P8 x 8R fuel = 1.44
 3. MCPR for BP8 x 8R fuel = 1.44
- c. BOC to EOC minus 2000 MWD/t with ODYN OPTION B analyses in effect, the MCPR limits are listed below:
 1. MCPR for 8 x 8R fuel = 1.29
 2. MCPR for P8 x 8R fuel = 1.29
 3. MCPR for BP8 x 8R fuel = 1.29
- d. EOC minus 2000 MWD/t to EOC with ODYN OPTION B analyses in effect, the MCPR limits are listed below:
 1. MCPR for 8 x 8R fuel = 1.30
 2. MCPR for P8 x 8R fuel = 1.32
 3. MCPR for BP8 x 8R fuel = 1.32

APPLICABILITY: OPERATIONAL CONDITION 1 when THERMAL POWER is greater than or equal to 25% RATED THERMAL POWER

ACTION:

With MCPR, as a function of core flow, less than the applicable limit determined from Figure 3.2.3-1 initiate corrective action within 15 minutes and restore MCPR to within the applicable limit within 4 hours or reduce THERMAL POWER to less than 25% of RATED THERMAL POWER within the next 4 hours.

TABLE 3.2.3.2-1
TRANSIENT OPERATING LIMIT MCPR VALUES

TRANSIENT	FUEL TYPE		P8x8R	BP8x8R		
	8x8R					
NONPRESSURIZATION TRANSIENTS						
BOC → EOC	1.29		1.29	1.29		
PRESSURIZATION TRANSIENTS						
	MCPR _A	MCPR _B	MCPR _A	MCPR _B	MCPR _A	MCPR _B
BOC → EOC - 2000	1.34	1.21	1.36	1.21	1.36	1.21
EOC - 2000 → EOC	1.41	1.30	1.44	1.32	1.44	1.32

BRUNSWICK - UNIT 1

3/4 2-14

Amendment No. ~~86~~, 108



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

SAFETY EVALUATION BY THE OFFICE OF NUCLEAR REACTOR REGULATION

RELATED TO LICENSE AMENDMENT FOR CYCLE 6 RELOAD

LICENSE NO. DPR-71

CAROLINA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY

BRUNSWICK STEAM ELECTRIC PLANT, UNIT 1

DOCKET NO. 50-325

1.0 INTRODUCTION

By letter dated October 21, 1986, the licensee proposed a change to the Technical Specifications (TS) for Brunswick Steam Electric Plant, Unit 1 (BSEP-1). The purpose of this change is to incorporate proposed minimum critical power ratio (MCPR) values in Section 3/4.2.3 and Table 3.2.3.2-1. The proposed MCPR values are for the turbine trip/load reject without bypass (LRw/oB) and the feedwater control failure (FWCF) transients combined into a single pressurization transient which is expected to bound the transient analyses for BSEP-1 Cycle 6. The licensee provided additional information by letter dated March 11, 1987, to discuss the bases for the changes. This letter provided a discussion of the methodology used in the MCPR calculations and did not revise the amendment application.

2.0 EVALUATION

The licensee performed an evaluation to establish bounding minimum critical power ratio (MCPR) operating limits for BSEP-1 utilizing GE 8x8R and/or GE BP/P8x8R fuel designs. The previous reload analyses for Units 1 and 2 were reviewed to establish the limiting transients for OLYN Options A and B and for additional fuel exposure levels. The uncorrected Δ CPR value was calculated by GETAB for the limiting transients for BP/P8x8R. A Δ CPR adder was applied to this base Δ CPR value in order to conservatively bound the maximum observed Unit 1 uncorrected Δ CPR. This adder is comprised of three components: (1) a 0.01 Δ CPR to account for the GETAB round-off process; (2) a 0.01 Δ CPR to account for mid-cycle exposure shape and scram reactivity difference from cycle to cycle; and (3) a 0.02 Δ CPR adder to provide a high assurance, without an adverse impact on operations, that the proposed MCPR limits bound any reasonable variation in Cycle 6 designs and potential abnormal modes of operation. In addition, a 0.00 to 0.03 CPR is considered to account for different fuel types. Therefore, a 0.04 or 0.03 Δ CPR adder is imposed to the Brunswick 1, Cycle 6 beginning-of-cycle (BOC) to [end-of-cycle (EOC)-2000 MWD/ST] or [EOC-2000 MWD/ST] to EOC uncorrected Δ CPR to determine the operating limit MCPR. The previous reload analyses indicate that the maximum observed cycle-to-cycle variation in operating limit MCPR for the limiting transients is only 0.02 CPR. Therefore, the proposed adder is acceptable. The licensee submittal did not address the Cycle 6 reload design or the transient and accident analyses for Cycle 6 operation. Therefore our evaluation is

restricted to the proposed technical specification change and does not imply staff review and approval of the Cycle 6 operation.

We have reviewed the proposed operating limit MCPRs in Section 3/4.2.3 and Table 3.2.3.2-1 and find them acceptable since approved calculational methods are used. We conclude that the TS changes related to the operating limit MCPRs are acceptable based on the discussion provided above.

3.0 ENVIRONMENTAL CONSIDERATIONS

This amendment changes a requirement with respect to installation or use of a facility component located within the restricted area as defined in 10 CFR Part 20. The staff has determined that the amendment involves no significant increase in the amounts, and no significant change in the types, of any effluents that may be released offsite; and that there should be no significant increase in individual or cumulative occupational radiation exposure. The Commission has previously issued a proposed finding that this amendment involves no significant hazards consideration, and there has been no public comment on such finding. Accordingly, this amendment meets the eligibility criteria for categorical exclusion set forth in 10 CFR §51.22(c)(9). Pursuant to 10 CFR §51.22(b), no environmental impact statement or environmental assessment need be prepared in connection with the issuance of the amendment.

4.0 CONCLUSION

The Commission made a proposed determination that the amendment involves no significant hazards consideration, which was published in the Federal Register on December 3, 1986 (52 FR 43678), and consulted with the state of North Carolina. No public comments were received, and the state of North Carolina did not have any comments.

We have concluded, based on the considerations discussed above, that: (1) there is reasonable assurance that the health and safety of the public will not be endangered by operation in the proposed manner, and (2) such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations, and the issuance of the amendment will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public.

Principal Contributor: T. Huang

Dated: May 15, 1987

AMENDMENT NO.108 TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NO. DPR-71

Brunswick Steam Electric Plant, Unit 1

DISTRIBUTION:

Docket No. 50-325

NRC PDR

Local PDR

PD21 r/f

SVarga

GLainas

EAdensam

PAnderson

E. Sylvester (2)

OGC

DHagen

EJordan

JPartlow

TBarnhart (4)

Wanda Jones

EButcher

ACRS (10)

GPA/PA

ARM/LFMB

Brunswick File

cc: Plant Service List