December 8, 1995

50-324/325

Mr. W. R. Campbell, Vice President Carolina Power & Light Company Brunswick Steam Electric Plant Post Office Box 10429 Southport, North Carolina 28461

Dear Mr. Campbell:

SUBJECT: ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT AND FINDING OF NO SIGNIFICANT IMPACT RELATED TO ISSUANCE OF EXEMPTION TO 10 CFR 50.71(E)(4), BRUNSWICK STEAM ELECTRIC PLANT UNITS 1 AND 2 (TAC NOS. M92761 AND M92762)

Enclosed is a copy of an "Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact" related to a request dated June 9, 1995, by the Carolina Power & Light Company for an exemption for the Brunswick Steam Electric Plant (BSEP), Units 1 and 2, from a requirement of 10 CFR 50.71(e)(4) to submit updates to the Final Safety Analysis Report (FSAR) six months after each refueling outage. This assessment has been forwarded to the Office of the Federal Register for publication.

Sincerely,

(Original Signed By)

David C. Trimble, Project Manager Project Directorate II-1 Division of Reactor Projects - I/II Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

9512130034 951208 PDR ADDCK 05000324 P PDR PDR

> Enclosure: Environmental Assessment

cc w/enclosure:

See next page

DOCUMENT NAME: G:\BRUNSWICK\BR92761.ENV

OFFICE	LA:PDII-1 ETD	PM:PDII-1 SCT	OGC No logal objection,	D:PDIA
NAME	EDunnington	DTrimble	with comments' RW eisman	DMatthews
DATE	11/16/95	11/2//95	11/30/95	1 2/08/95
СОРҮ	YesyNo	(Yes/No	Yes	Yes

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

Distribution Docket File OGC PUBLIC ACRS (4) PDII-1 RF EMerschoff, RII SVarga JZwolinski Mr. W. R. Campbell Carolina Power & Light Company

cc:

Mr. R. E. Jones General Counsel Carolina Power & Light Company Post Office Box 1551 Raleigh, North Carolina 27602

Mr. Jerry W. Jones, Chairman Brunswick County Board of Commissioners Post Office Box 249 Bolivia, North Carolina 28422

Resident Inspector U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission 8470 River Road Southport, North Carolina 28461

Regional Administrator, Region II U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission 101 Marietta St., N.W., Ste. 2900 Atlanta, Georgia 30323

Mr. Dayne H. Brown, Director Division of Radiation Protection N.C. Department of Environmental, Commerce and Natural Resources Post Office Box 27687 Raleigh, North Carolina 27611-7687

Mr. R. P. Lopriore Plant Manager Carolina Power & Light Company Brunswick Steam Electric Plant Post Office Box 10429 Southport, North Carolina 28461

Public Service Commission State of South Carolina Post Office Drawer 11649 Columbia, South Carolina 29211

Mr. Milton Shymlock U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission 101 Marietta Street, N.W. Suite 2900 Atlanta, Georgia 30323-0199 Brunswick Steam Electric Plant Units 1 and 2

Karen E. Long Assistant Attorney General State of North Carolina Post Office Box 629 Raleigh, North Carolina 27602

Mr. Robert P. Gruber Executive Director Public Staff - NCUC Post Office Box 29520 Raleigh, North Carolina 27626-0520

Mr. W. Levis Director Site Operations Brunswick Steam Electric Plant Post Office Box 10429 Southport, North Carolina 28461

Mr. Norman R. Holden, Mayor City of Southport[.] 201 East Moore Street Southport, North Carolina 28461

Mr. Dan E. Summers Emergency Management Coordinator New Hanover County Department of Emergency Management Post Office Box 1525 Wilmington, North Carolina 28402

Mr. J. Cowan Manager Nuclear Services and Environmental Support Department Carolina Power & Light Company Post Office Box 1551 - Mail OHS7 Raleigh, North Carolina 27602

Heinz Mueller (5 copies) Environmental Review Coordinator 345 Cortland Street NE Atlanta, GA 30365

UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION CAROLINA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY DOCKET NOS. 50-325 AND 50-324 ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT AND FINDING OF NO SIGNIFICANT IMPACT

The U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) is considering issuance of an exemption from certain requirements of its regulations to Facility Operating License Nos. DPR-71 and DPR-62 issued to the Carolina Power & Light Company (the licensee) for operation of the Brunswick Steam Electric Plant (BSEP), Units 1 and 2, located in Brunswick County, North Carolina. ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT

Identification of Proposed Action:

The proposed action is in response to the licensee's application dated June 9, 1995, for exemption from the requirements of 10 CFR 50.71(e)(4) regarding submission of revisions to the Final Safety Analysis Report (FSAR) and design change reports for facility changes made under 10 CFR 50.59 for the BSEP. Under the proposed exemption the licensee would schedule updates to the single, unified FSAR for the two units that comprise BSEP based on the refueling cycle of BSEP Unit 1.

The Need for the Proposed Action:

ADDCK

10 CFR 50.71(e)(4) requires licensees to submit updates to their FSAR within 6 months after each refueling outage providing that the interval between successive updates does not exceed 24 months. Since BSEP Units 1 and

7590-01

2 share a common FSAR, the licensee must update the same document within 6 months after a refueling outage for either unit. Allowing the exemption would maintain the BSEP FSAR current within 24 months of the last revision and would not exceed a 24-month interval for submission of the 10 CFR 50.59 design change report for either unit.

Environmental Impacts of the Proposed Action:

No changes are being made in the types or amounts of any radiological effluent that may be released off site. There is no significant increase in the allowable individual or cumulative occupational radiation exposure. The Commission concludes that granting the proposed exemption would result in no significant radiological environmental impact.

With regard to potential non-radiological impacts, the proposed exemption does not affect non-radiological plant effluents and has no other environmental impact. The Commission concludes that there are no significant non-radiological impacts associated with the proposed exemption.

Alternative to the Proposed Action:

Because the staff has concluded that there is no significant environmental impact associated with the proposed exemption, any alternative to the exemption will have either no significantly different environmental impact, or greater environmental impact.

The principal alternative would be to deny the requested exemption. Denial of the exemption would result in no change in current environmental impacts. The environmental impacts of the proposed exemption and this alternative are similar.

2

Alternative Use of Resources:

This action did not involve the use of any resources not previously considered in the Final Environmental Statement related to Brunswick Steam Electric Plant, dated January 1974.

Agencies and Persons Contacted:

In accordance with its stated policy, on December 5, 1995, the staff consulted with the North Carolina State official, Mr. J. James of the Division of Radiation Protection, North Carolina Department of Environmental, Commerce and Natural Resources, regarding the environmental impact of the proposed action. The State official had no comments.

FINDING OF NO SIGNIFICANT IMPACT

Based upon the foregoing environmental assessment, the Commission concludes that the proposed action will not have a significant effect on the quality of the human environment. Accordingly, the Commission has determined not to prepare an environmental impact statement for the proposed exemption.

For further details with respect to this action, see the licensee's request for the exemption dated June 9, 1995, which is available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington DC, and at the University of North Carolina at Wilmington, William Madison Randall library, 601 S. College Road, Wilmington, North Carolina, 28403.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland this ^{8th} day of December 1995.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

David B. Matthews, Director Project Directorate II-1 Division of Reactor Projects - I/II Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

3