

**From:** Marsha Gamberoni *NRR*  
**To:** David Lew, Pete Eselgroth *RE*  
**Date:** Mon, May 15, 2000 5:18 PM  
**Subject:** IP2 - telecons

Pete, Dave,

We had a call with ConEd and Westinghouse today regarding the SG dp issue and the 50.72 they submitted last month. We were discussing internally how to follow-up and came up with the following. Since the licensee is submitting a 50.73, we ask that it include information to differentiate what applies to IP2 vs. the generic issues discussed in the NSAL. Specifically, the statement "In some cases, it is necessary to incorporate the 110% limit for upset conditions in order to show Code compliance." The licensee will also have the 50.59 that we could look at via inspection. Dave, per your inspection plan you show NRR resources so if needed you could always ship the 50.59 down for DE's review.

DE is interested in following up on the missed tubes with a telecon and Jeff still needs to set up a call to discuss inspection.

Marsha

**CC:** Jefferey Harold

*105*  
*134*  
*M/64*