

**From:** Eric Benner *NR*  
**To:** David Lew *RF*  
**Date:** Mon, Jun 12, 2000 7:51 AM  
**Subject:** Re: Fwd: IP2 Information Notice

Dave,

I agree with you. Here's the response I sent to Scott.

Eric

Handwritten signature or initials, possibly 'M/W' with some scribbles.

*Eric*

*(1)*

From: Eric Benner <sup>NRR</sup>  
To: Scott Barber  
Date: Mon, Jun 12, 2000 7:04 AM  
Subject: Re: Fwd: IP2 Information Notice

I plagiarized the AIT report extensively.

We're planning on writing an IN (or possibly something stronger) on the SG issues after the "Lessons Learned" study here in headquarters is complete. I'd like to minimize the amount of SG discussion in this IN for that reason but you make a good point in that it is obvious by its absence. Would it be better if I put a statement in the IN along the lines of "NRC investigations of the licensee's steam generator inspection program is ongoing and any potentially generic issues identified will be communicated in a separate generic communication"?

>>> Scott Barber 06/10 3:25 PM >>>

I noted that most of the text was either taken directly from the AIT report which was a good idea. However, I think we may want to develop the SG tube integrity part of the writeup a little more. I think we need to make consider highlighting the effects of ConEd's "isolationist" philosophy. I think the message we should try to give to industry is that each plant needs to take an aggressive posture when managing steam generator tube integrity.

>>> Eric Benner 06/08 2:44 PM >>>

Scott,

Any comments? I saw the other messages from Bill and Ray indicating no comments. If no comments, do I have Regional concurrence?

Thanx,  
Eric

>>> Scott Barber 05/18 11:12 AM >>>

Please forward your comments to me by COB, Monday, 5/22. I'll collate them on Tuesday and forward them to Eric Benner on Wednesday or Thursday.