

From: Brian Holian
To: Daniel Holody, Edmund Sullivan, Emmett Murphy, Jack Strosnider, James Luehman, Marsha Gamberoni, Michael Johnson, Patrick Milano(...)
Date: Wed, Oct 4, 2000 7:59 AM
Subject: Re: SDP Panel Needed

A little more info is as follows...RI is aiming to get a Multiple Degraded Cornerstone letter out this Friday.. It officially lets IP2 know of degraded cornerstones...and follows up on our 9/11 evening meeting...

We leave the "color" as a Red or Yellow in an attachment...
However, as we see it ...we have two choices... Add a long paragraph to the letter stating "we are treating you as Multiple degraded cornerstones because....etc etc..."

or

have an SDP panel as Scott mentions below...to certify the performance issues...for which ConEd did not provide new info at the 9/26 conference...but for which they did go on the record as not agreeing with..

I prefer this latter approach. I would envision a short panel...where we summarize the issues and reaffirm our conclusion that the perf. issues exist. I would like to do this Thurs morning... 9 or 10 am.

Feedback..? Esp DE support for this time (I know you are involved with ACRS...however, this won't take long...)

thank you

Brian

>>> Michael Johnson 10/04 7:26 AM >>>
Yes, we will have someone there to support it. What time?

>>> Scott Barber 10/03 4:54 PM >>>
I tried to call you last night with no luck. So here's quick E-mail instead.

Brian Holian would like to schedule an SDP Panel for Thursday morning to determine whether the NRC has "officially" concluded that the problems identified by the SG Special Inspection constituted a "performance problem" per the SDP process. Can you support this panel?

There are no plans to discuss the color of the finding, just the performance issue question.

CC: A. Randolph Blough, Alan Madison, David Lew, Pete Eselgroth, Peter Koltay, Timothy Frye, William Dean

ITEM #

10

436