### **DATA REPORT I**

# ROCK LABORATORY TEST DATA (GeoTest Unlimited)

# **DIABLO CANYON ISFSI**

DATE 10/14/01 PREPARED BY Joseph Sun PG&E Geosciences Department Printed Name Organization **VERIFIED BY** DATE 12 DI Robert K. White PG&E Geosciences Department Printed Name Organization APPROVED BY DATE William D. Page PG&E Geosciences Department **Printed Name** Organization



### **DATA REPORT I**

# ROCK LABORATORY TEST DATA (GeoTest Unlimited) DIABLO CANYON ISFSI

### **Table of Contents**

# Page 1.0 Introduction I-4 2.0 Purpose I-4 3.0 Methodology I-5 4.0 Results I-8 5.0 References I-10

### Lists of Tables

Summary of Rock Laboratory Tests Performed for ISFSI Site	I-5
Summary of Rock Laboratory Tests Performed in Borings	I-6
Summary of Unconfined Compression Test Results	I-11
Summary of Single Stage Triaxial Tests without Pore Pressure	
Measurements	I-14
Summary of Multi-Stage Triaxial Tests without Pore Pressure	
Measurements	I-15
Summary of Multi-Stage Triaxial Tests with Pore Pressure	
Measurements	I-16
Summary of Small Strain Elastic Property Measurements for	
Dolomite and Sandstone	I-17
Summary of Small Strain Elastic Property Measurements for	
Friable Sandstone	I-18
Summary of Direct Shear Test Results	I-19
Summary of Unit Weight of Rock Core Samples	I-23
	Summary of Rock Laboratory Tests Performed for ISFSI Site Summary of Rock Laboratory Tests Performed in Borings Summary of Unconfined Compression Test Results Summary of Single Stage Triaxial Tests without Pore Pressure Measurements Summary of Multi-Stage Triaxial Tests without Pore Pressure Measurements Summary of Multi-Stage Triaxial Tests with Pore Pressure Measurements Summary of Small Strain Elastic Property Measurements for Dolomite and Sandstone Summary of Small Strain Elastic Property Measurements for Friable Sandstone Summary of Direct Shear Test Results Summary of Unit Weight of Rock Core Samples

### **List of Figures**

Figure I-1 Location of Boreholes Sampled for Rock Strength Testing

### List of Attachments

- Attachment 1 Laboratory Rock Testing Report, Samples Collected from Borings 00BA-1 and 00BA-2, Anders Bro of GeoTest Unlimited, December 30, 2000.
- Attachment 2 Laboratory Rock Testing Report, Samples collected from Borings 01-A through 01-I and 01-CTF-A, Anders Bro of GeoTest Unlimited, May 23, 2001.

.

### DATA REPORT I

# ROCK LABORATORY TEST DATA (GeoTest Unlimited) DIABLO CANYON ISFSI

### **1.0 INTRODUCTION**

Engineering rock testing was performed on selected samples of drill core taken from the DCPP ISFSI site during two phases of investigation. The first phase testing program was conducted in December 2000 on samples obtained from borings 00BA-1 and 00BA-2 (Attachment 1). The second phase testing program was conducted in April and May 2001 on samples obtained from borings 01-A through 01-I and 01CTF-A (Attachment 2). Locations of these borings and the ISFSI project features are shown on Figure I-1. The data on these borings is presented in Diablo Canyon ISFSI Data Report B. Laboratory testing was performed by GeoTest Unlimited (GTU), of Nevada City, California under the supervision of Dr. Anders Bro. Interpretation of the test results was jointly performed by Jeff Bachhuber of William Lettis & Associates (WLA) and Joseph Sun of PG&E, Geosciences Department, with geological input to the interpretation provided by Dr. William Page of PG&E, Geosciences Department. Independent technical review was performed by Robert White of PG&E, Geosciences Department.

The preparation of this data report was performed under the WLA Work Plan (Rev. 2) Dated November 28, 2000 using data collected under that Work Plan and a second WLA Work Plan (Rev. 1) dated September 19, 2001. The laboratory sampling and testing was performed under Geo Test Unlimited Work Plans (both Rev. 0 and both dated April 20, 2001) and the Geomatrix Work Plan (Rev. 2, dated December 8, 2000).

### 2.0 PURPOSE

The purpose of the tests was to determine the strengths, elastic properties at small strain levels, and bulk densities of intact rock cores, as well as contact strengths along rock discontinuities. Properties measured in the laboratory were used to characterize the strength and elastic properties of the rock mass for use in the evaluation of slope stability

of the cut slope behind the ISFSI pads, the hillslope above the ISFSI, and the foundation stability of the ISFSI pads and CTF.

The testing program for strengths consisted of unconfined-compression (UC) tests, triaxial tests (including both single-stage and multi-stage tests, with and without pore pressure measurements), and direct-shear tests along discontinuities. Some small strain elastic property measurements were obtained as part of the UC and triaxial tests. In addition, bulk density was measured for each UC and triaxial test samples. The types and number of tests performed under each phase is summarized in Table I-1 shown below:

Test Description	Number of tests performed in 2000	Number of tests performed in 2001	Total
Unconfined Compression	8	20	28
Small Strain Elastic Properties		24	24
Triaxial (single stage without pore pressure measurements)	4		4
Triaxial (multi-stage without pore pressure measurements)	4	5	9
Triaxial (multi-stage with pore pressure measurements)		4	4
Direct Shear	7	14	21

 Table I-1
 Summary of Rock Laboratory Tests Performed for ISFSI Site

### 3.0 METHODOLOGY

The borings were made with truck mounted drill rigs and core samples were retrieved using HQ wire line triple core barrels (Diablo Canyon ISFSI Data Report B). Samples selected for testing were sealed in shrink-wrap plastic, placed in protective containers, and delivered to the testing facility in Nevada City. Most tests were performed within 1 to 2 weeks upon delivery at GTU. The number of tests performed on samples by boring is indicated in Table I-2 below; triaxial compression tests were performed without pore pressure measurements unless otherwise indicated.

Boring Number	Unconfined Compression	Small Strain Elastic Properties	Triaxial Compression	Direct Shear
00BA-1	7		4 (ss)	7
00BA-2	1		4 (ms)	
01-A	2	3	1 (ms)	
01-B	2	2		1
01-C	1	1	l(ms, pwp)	2
01-D	2	3	1 (ms) 1(ms, pwp)	
01-E	2	2	l(ms, pwp)	1
01-F	1	2	1 (ms)	2
01-G	2	2		
01-H	2	3	1 (ms)	1
01-I	4	3		6
01-CTF-A	2	3	1 (ms) 1(ms, pwp)	1
Total	28	24	17	21

 Table I-2
 Summary of Rock Laboratory Tests Performed in Borings

Notes: ss: single stage triaxial tests, ms: multi-stage triaxial tests, pwp: pore pressure measurements.

### **Unconfined Compression Tests**

Unconfined compression tests were performed on the core samples to help estimate the unconfined compressive strength of intact hard dolomite and cemented sandstone. The measured strengths of the intact rock samples are used, in conjunction with other geological indices describing discontinuity properties of the rock mass, to develop the overall strength envelopes for jointed rock mass at the DCPP ISFSI using the Hoek-Brown semi-empirical approach (Hoek and Brown, 1988; Hoek, 2000). The tests were performed in general accordance with ASTM Test Method D-2938.

### **Small Strain Elastic Properties**

Small strain values of Young's moduli and Poisson's ratios of intact rock cores were obtained from samples subjected to unconfined compressive tests and triaxial tests in the second phase of the laboratory testing program. These elastic properties were measured at the middle third of each core sample using local strain measurement devices under the unconfined stage of the tests. The lab-measured elastic properties, along with in-situ wave velocity based elastic properties, form the basis for selecting spring constants for use in ISFSI pad finite element load/displacement analyses.

### **Triaxial Compression Tests Without Pore Pressure Measurements**

The undrained strengths of a number of friable (altered) sandstone samples were tested in a Hoek triaxial cell. This cell, which is not designed to measure pore pressures, is commonly used for testing of rock samples. Samples were tested under "as received" conditions without artificial saturation. Samples thus tested reflect their in-place strength under their current corresponding consolidation stresses. The strength envelope thus derived was interpreted as the unsaturated, unconsolidated, undrained (UUU) strength envelope. When possible, multi-stage procedures were used to test the strengths of the samples under increasing confining pressures. Since the samples were not saturated and thus no excess pore water pressure from consolidation was generated, only limited time was allowed for the sample to consolidate between stages. The tests were performed in general accordance with ASTM Test Method D-2664.

### **Consolidated-Undrained Triaxial Compression Tests With Pore Pressure Measurements**

The effective and total strength parameters for friable (altered) sandstone were measured by performing consolidated-undrained triaxial compression tests in a modified triaxial cell that allowed pore pressure measurements. The samples were artificially saturated prior to testing. Multi-stage procedures were used to test the strengths of the samples under increasing confining pressures and sufficient time was allowed for samples to consolidate between stages. The tests were performed in general accordance with ASTM Test Method D-4767.

### **Direct Shear**

Direct shear tests were performed to measure shear strengths along rock discontinuities. These discontinuities include joints, bedding planes, and clay seams or clay beds. Multistage tests were performed to define shear strength with increasing normal loads. After the initial peak strength for the final normal load was reached, the sample was unloaded to its lowest normal load and a second load cycle was performed to evaluate the postpeak strength properties. The tests were performed in general accordance with ASTM Test Method D-5607.

### Density

Measurement of sample bulk densities was performed on UC and triaxial samples. These tests were conducted as part of the respective tests noted above.

### 4.0 RESULTS

### **Unconfined Compression Tests**

A total of 28 UC tests were performed for the ISFSI site. Results are summarized in Table I-3. Some of the tests showed failure in shear along existing joints or discontinuities as indicated on the laboratory test data sheets, and these results do not represent the strength of intact rock mass. Tests on weakly cemented friable sandstone indicated that the cementation is so weak that material becomes friable upon test loading. Test results on these samples typically show unrealistically low unconfined compressive strengths. Unconfined compression test results thus measured do not reflect the in-place strength of this type of material whose strength will be dependent on confining pressures. This type of rock was subsequently tested using triaxial test procedures.

### **Triaxial Test Results**

# Single Stage Triaxial Tests without Pore Pressure Measurements

Single-stage, undrained triaxial tests were performed on four samples under confining pressures of between 40 and 80 psi and results are presented on Table I-4. Axial stresses at failure did not show a consistent strength increase with higher confining pressure and ranged from 3800 to 6800 psi. The average peak axial stress is about 5,000 psi for the four samples tested.

### Multi-Stage Triaxial Tests without Pore Pressure Measurements

Multi-stage, undrained triaxial tests without pore pressure measurements were performed on nine samples. Each sample was tested under two to three different confining pressures, ranging from 10 to 296 psi and results are summarized on Table I-5. Upon application of the maximum confining stress to measure initial peak strength, the samples were unloaded to the initial confining stress and reloaded to measure the post-peak strength. Two samples (2B and 2C from boring 00BA-2) indicated a reduction in strength (hereafter referred to as the post-peak strength) with continued straining beyond the initial peak strengths. All other samples behaved in a more ductile strain-hardening manner, and no post-peak strengths were measured.

### Multi-Stage Triaxial Tests with Pore Pressure Measurements

Multi-stage, undrained triaxial tests with pore pressure measurements were performed on four samples. Each sample was tested under two to three different confining pressures, ranging from 80 to 160 psi and results are summarized in Table I-6.

### **Small Strain Elastic Property Measurements**

Lab-based small strain values of Young's modulus and Poisson's ratio were obtained from axial stress, axial strain, and radial strain measurements made in the second phase unconfined compression and triaxial tests by applying small amplitude axial loads on samples. The elastic properties were measured without applying the confining stresses on samples. Axial strains were measured with local strain measurement devices clamped to the middle third of the samples. The strains at which these elastic properties were measured are generally between 0.05% to 0.1%. Test results are summarized in Table I-7.

The lab measurement procedure is well suited for well-cemented material such as dolomite and dolomitic sandstone whose elastic properties are relatively insensitive to the confining pressure range of interest to this project. Young's moduli of the poorly cemented altered sandstone measured in a way similar to an unconfined compression test are very low and do not represent their in-place conditions as summarized in Table I-8.

### **Direct Shear Tests**

Table I-9 summarizes the 21 direct shear tests performed in the 2000 and 2001 testing programs, samples tested included clean rock-to-rock contacts, clay-rock contacts or joints with clay coating, and clay seams or clay beds. Clay beds and clay seams typically are thicker than 1/4 inch.

Failure envelopes for fractures with rock-rock contacts do not show significant differences, suggesting that the test results are fairly reliable and the in-situ variation of the strengths along clean rock discontinuities may not be significant. Many of the clay coatings in the clay coated joints tested were not visible when the sample was selected from the core box in the field, but were only visible after the tests when samples were

taken apart to expose the joints. Four clay bed samples failed in compression when the normal loads were applied and the soil-like material was squeezed out of the rock shear box. The rock testing equipment and procedure used were not suitable for testing clay bed samples which produced inconsistent or unreasonable results. Strengths for clay beds were determined using a soil testing procedure as documented in Data Report G.

### **Unit Weight Tests**

The unit weight of the rock ranges from 128.9 to 161.4 pounds per cubic foot (pcf) and results are presented on Table I-10. The samples do not show a consistent variation between rock units, with the exception of the two highest density values of 160.9 and 161.4 pcf, which were sandstone. The remaining samples typically fall within the range of 135 to 145 pcf.

### 5.0 **REFERENCES**

- ASTM, 1998, Test D2216-98, Standard Test Method for Laboratory Determination of Water (Moisture) Content of Soil and Rock by Mass
- ASTM, 1995, Test D2664-95a, Standard Test Method for Triaxial Compressive Strength of Undrained Rock Core Specimens without Pore Pressure Measurements
- ASTM, 1995, Test D2938-95, Standard Test Method for Unconfined Compressive Strength of Intact Rock Core Specimens
- ASTM, 1985, Test D4543-85(1991)e1, Standard Practice for Preparing Rock Core Specimens and Determining Dimensional and Shape Tolerances
- ASTM, 1995, Test D4767-95, Standard Test Method for Consolidated Undrained Triaxial Compression Test for Cohesive Soils.
- ASTM, 1995, Test D5607-95, Standard Test Method for Performing Laboratory Direct Shear Strength Tests of Rock Specimens Under Constant Normal Force
- Diablo Canyon ISFSI Data Report B, Borings in ISFSI Site Area, prepared by William Lettis & Associates, Inc., November 5, 2001.
- Hoek, E., and Brown, E.T., 1988, The Hoek-Brown failure criterion a 1988 update: Proceedings, 15<sup>th</sup> Canadian Rock Mechanics Symposium. Ed. Curran, J.H., Civil Engineering Department, University of Toronto, pp. 31-38.
- Hoek, E., 2000, Rock Engineering Course Notes: on-line Web Page document at <u>www.rocscience.com</u>, latest revision date December 2000.

- Geomatrix Consultants, Inc. Work Plan, Laboratory Testing Of Soil And Rock Samples, Slope Stability Analyses, And Excavation Design For The Diablo Canyon Power Plant Independent Spent Fuel Storage Installation Site, Rev. 2, December 8, 2000,
- Geotest Unlimited Work Plan, Laboratory Testing Of Rock Samples For DCPP ISFSI Site, Rev. 0, April 20, 2001.
- Geotest Unlimited Work Plan, Rock Sample Selection For Rock Testing, DCPP ISFSI Site, Rev. 0, April 20, 2001.
- William Lettis & Associates Work Plan, Additional Geologic Mapping, Exploratory Drilling, and Completion of Kinematic Analyses for the Diablo Canyon Power Plant, Independent Spent Fuel Storage Installation Site, Rev. 2, November 28, 2000.
- William Lettis & Associates Work Plan, Additional Exploratory Drilling and Geologic Mapping for the DCPP ISFSI Site, Rev. 1, signed by NQS on September 19, 2001.

Boring No.	Sample No.	Depth (ft)	DCPP ISFSI Data Report B Rock Unit <sup>1</sup>	Sample Lab Description <sup>2</sup>	Feature in Sample	Unit Wt. (pcf)	Failure Mode	Strength (psi)
00BA-1	1-8B	146.6 ~ 147.1	Tof <sub>b-1</sub>	Brown fine grained dolomitic sandstone	No discernible noted	146.6	Shear	5133
00BA-1	1 <b>-</b> 9B	149.5 ~ 150.0	Tof <sub>b-1</sub>	Gray to brown fine grained dolomitic sandstone	No discernible fractures	140.6	axial splitting	2625
00BA-1	1-10	12.2 ~ 12.7	Tof <sub>b-1</sub>	Tan fine grained dolomitic sandstone	No discernible fractures	138.4	axial splitting and shear	5284
00BA-1	1-11	18.7 ~ 19.2	Tof <sub>b-1</sub>	Tan fine grained dolomitic sandstone	No discernible fractures	142.4	axial splitting, shear, and bending	7190
00BA-1	1-12	22.6~23.1	Tof <sub>b-1</sub>	Tan Fine grained dolomitic sandstone	No discernible fractures	134.5	axial splitting, shear, and bending	4523
00BA-1	1-13	40.9 ~ 41.4	Tof <sub>b-1</sub>	Tan fine grained dolomitic sandstone	Healed and partially healed joints	128.9	Shear on existing joint	2079
00BA-1	1-14	49.1~49.6	Tof <sub>b-1</sub>	Brown to tan dolomite	Bedding 80° from core axis; no apparent fractures	143.1	Axial splitting and bending	8649
00BA-2	2-E	50.9 ~ 51.4	Tof <sub>b-2</sub>	Dolomite sandstone w/ slight porosity	Healed non- through going joints ~ 40° from core axis	160.9	Axial splitting and shear on existing joints	10921

Table I-3Summary of Unconfined Compression Test Results (1 of 3)

Notes: <sup>1</sup>Rock unit description from William Lettis & Associates, Inc. (2001) Diablo Canyon ISFSI Data Report B. Sample lab description is not always consistent with the Data Report B descriptions that are more current and supercede the laboratory descriptions.

Tof<sub>b-1</sub> - dolomite, clayey dolomite, dolomitic siltstone to fine-grained dolomitic sandstone, and limestone

Tof<sub>b-1a</sub> – friable dolomite and dolomitic siltstone

Tof<sub>b-2</sub> - fine- to coarse-grained dolomitic sandstone and sandstone

Tof<sub>b-2a</sub> – friable sandstone

<sup>2</sup>Laboratory sample description from GTU.

Diablo Canyon ISFSI I-12 of 203 Data Report I, Rev. 1

Boring No.	Sample No.	Depth (ft)	DCPP ISFSI Data Report B Rock Unit <sup>1</sup>	Sample Lab Description <sup>2</sup>	Feature in Sample	Unit Wt. (pcf)	Failure Mode	Strength (psi)
01-A	1	19.5	Tof <sub>b-2</sub>	Tan well cemented medium grained sandstone	Numerous healed fractures	161.4	Axial splitting	2888
01-A	2	24.5	Tof <sub>b-2</sub>	Tan medium grained sandstoneNo fractures noted		146.6	Axial splitting and crushing	1113
01-B	18	26.5	Tof <sub>b-2</sub> /Tof <sub>b-2a</sub>	Tan fine to medium grained dolomitic sandstone	n fine to medium rained dolomitic sandstone No visible fractures 147.3 Shear		Shear	4778
01-B	19	38.0	Tof <sub>b-2</sub>	Tan medium grained sandstoneJoint at one end132.4		Shear (not on existing joint)	452	
01-C	22	24.0	Tof <sub>b-2</sub>	Tan fine grained dolomitic sandstone	Axial fracture	155.0	Axial splitting	4504
01-D	4	25.5	Tof <sub>b-2a</sub>	Tan weak clayey medium grained sandstone	No features noted	142.3	splitting, shear	207
01-D	6	48.5	Tof <sub>b-1</sub> /Tof <sub>b-2</sub>	Tan fine to medium grained dolomitic sandstone	Gran fine to medium grained dolomitic sandstoneOne joint about 32° from core axis and 1 axial fractureSH		Shear on existing joint	959
01-E	26	22.0	Tof <sub>b-1</sub>	Tan fine grained dolomitic sandstone	Few healed shears	129.4	Shear on healed shears	437
01-E	28	49.0	Tof <sub>b-1</sub>	Tan fine grained dolomitic sandstone	Healed fracture ~34° from core axis	135.8	Shear not on existing joint	2958
01-F	30	57.6	Tof <sub>b-2</sub>	Light tan medium grained dolomite sandstone	Intact joint 60° from core axis	138.9	Shear not on existing joint	2543

Table I-3Summary of Unconfined Compression Test Results (2 of 3)

Notes: <sup>1</sup>Rock unit description from William Lettis & Associates, Inc. (2001) Diablo Canyon ISFSI Data Report B. Sample lab description is not always consistent with the Data Report B descriptions that are more current and supercede the laboratory descriptions.

Tof<sub>b-1</sub> - dolomite, clayey dolomite, dolomitic siltstone to fine-grained dolomitic sandstone, and limestone

Tof<sub>b-1a</sub> – friable dolomite and dolomitic siltstone

Tof<sub>b-2</sub> - fine- to coarse-grained dolomitic sandstone and sandstone

Tof<sub>b-2a</sub> – friable sandstone

<sup>2</sup>Laboratory sample description from GTU.

Diablo Canyon ISFSI I-1 Data Report I, Rev. 1

٠

.

I-13 of 203

Boring No.	Sample No.	Depth (ft)	DCPP ISFSI Data Report B Rock Unit <sup>1</sup>	Sample Lab Description <sup>2</sup>	Feature in Sample	Unit Wt. (pcf)	Failure Mode	Strength (psi)
01-G	9	28.8	Tof <sub>b-1</sub>	Tan fine grained dolomitic sandstone	2 axial fractures	138.2	Axial splitting along existing fractures	3702
01-G	10	69.0	Tof <sub>b-2a</sub>	Gray friable clayey medium grained sandstone	No features noted	130.7	Shear and Axial splitting	136
01-H	12	52.5	Tof <sub>b-2</sub>	Tan fine grained dolomitic sandstone	No visible fractures	155.1	multiple shears	10252
01-Н	11	11.0	Tof <sub>b-1</sub>	Tan fine grained dolomitic sandstone	No apparent fractures	138.9	Shear	2434
01-I	38	159.5	Tof <sub>b-1</sub>	Dark gray fine grained dolomitic sandstone	Healed joint 27° from core axis	144.2	Axial splitting	1834
01-1	39A	130.4	Tof <sub>b-1</sub>	Tan fine to medium grained sandstone	Open joint 12° from core axis	140.3	Shear (not on existing joint)	505
01-I	40A	88.4	Tof <sub>b-1</sub>	Tan thinly bedded fine grained dolomitic sandstone	Bedding at 69° from core axis	142.0	Axial splitting and bending	6373
01-I	42	44.0	Tof <sub>b-1</sub>	Tan fine grained dolomitic sandstone	No features noted	141.5	Axial splitting and shear	3504
01-CTF-A	14	8.8	Tof <sub>b-2a</sub>	Gray fine to medium grained soft sandstone	No features noted	128.8	Shear	29
01-CTF-A	15	13.5	Tof <sub>b-2</sub>	Tan clayey friable medium grained sandstone	Possible healed joints	138.3	Shear	400

Table I-3Summary of Unconfined Compression Test Results (3 of 3)

Notes: <sup>1</sup>Rock unit description from William Lettis & Associates, Inc. (2001) Diablo Canyon ISFSI Data Report B. Sample lab description is not always consistent with the Data Report B descriptions that are more current and supercede the laboratory descriptions.

Tof<sub>b-1</sub> - dolomite, clayey dolomite, dolomitic siltstone to fine-grained dolomitic sandstone, and limestone

Tof<sub>b-la</sub> – friable dolomite and dolomitic siltstone

Tof<sub>b-2</sub> - fine- to coarse-grained dolomitic sandstone and sandstone

Tof<sub>b-2a</sub> – friable sandstone

<sup>2</sup>Laboratory sample description from GTU.

-									
Boring No.	Sample I.D.	Depth (ft)	DCPP ISFSI Data Report B Rock Unit <sup>1</sup>	Sample Lab Description <sup>2</sup>	Unit Wt. (pcf)	Failure Mode	Con. Pressure (psi)	Peak Axial Stress (psi)	Strain (%)
00BA-1	1-5B	84.0 ~ 84.5	Tof <sub>b-1</sub>	Tan fine grained dolomitic sandstone with brown oxidation stains	134.9	Axial splitting	40.2	5070	0.48
00BA-1	1-15	77.5 ~ 78.0	Tof <sub>b-1</sub>	Tan fine grained sandstone to dolomite	140.3	Conical shear and splitting	80.6	6807	0.88
00BA-1	1-16	107.3 ~ 107.8	Tof <sub>b-1</sub>	Tan fine grained dolomitic sandstone	132.8	Axial splitting	40.3	4483	0.6
000 4 1	1 17	116.4 ~	Taf	Tan fine grained	122.1	Sheer	80.2	2707	0.4

133.1

Shear

80.3

3787

0.4

### Summary of Single Stage Triaxial Tests without Pore Pressure Measurements Table I-4

Notes: <sup>1</sup>Rock unit description from William Lettis & Associates, Inc. (2001) Diablo Canyon ISFSI Data Report B. Sample lab description is not always consistent with the Data Report B descriptions that are more current and supercede the laboratory descriptions.

dolomitic sandstone

Tof<sub>b-1</sub> - dolomite, clayey dolomite, dolomitic siltstone to fine-grained dolomitic sandstone, and limestone

Tof<sub>b-la</sub> – friable dolomite and dolomitic siltstone

Tof<sub>b-2</sub> - fine- to coarse-grained dolomitic sandstone and sandstone

Tof<sub>b-2</sub>

 $Tof_{b-2a}$  – friable sandstone

1-17

00BA-1

<sup>2</sup>Laboratory sample description from GTU.

116.9

Boring No.	Sample I.D.	Depth (ft)	DCPP ISFSI Data Report B Rock Unit <sup>1</sup>	Sample Lab Description <sup>2</sup>	Feature in Sample	Unit Wt. (pcf)	Cell. P. (psi)	Axial Stress (psi)					
							65	705					
01-A	3	35.5	Tof <sub>b-2a</sub>	weak friable sandstone	one fracture	145.3	98	870					
							131	1020					
				<b>T</b>		58	693						
01-D	5	28.0	Tof <sub>b-2a</sub>	lan medium grained	I wo healed axial fractures	144.5	87	788					
							116	844					
							87	472					
01-н	13	57.0	Tof <sub>b-2a</sub>	clavey friable sandstone none noted 1	131.7	130	574						
				onayoy maole sandstone			174	664					
				Gray fine to medium			148	387					
01-F	32	117.7	Tof <sub>b-2a</sub>	grained soft clayey	none noted	135.8	222	475					
				friable sandstone			296	572					
				Gray medium grained			84	290					
CTF-A	17	53.8	Tof <sub>b-2a</sub>	altered clayey friable	none noted	127.1	126	348					
				sandstone			168	402					
		27.0		Tan fine to med. Grained dolomitic	none noted		20	87					
00BA-2	2-A	37.0~	Tof <sub>b-2</sub>			130.1	40	142					
				sandstone			80	183					
												10	600
					none noted	139.7	20	600					
0084-2	2.12	37.5 ~	Tof <sub>b-2</sub>	Fine to med grained			40	644					
00DA-2	2 <b>-</b> D	38.0	~ ~ *0-2	dolomitic sandstone			10	187*					
							20	270*					
							40	398*					
							10	863					
							20	887					
0004.2	20	43.1 ~	Tof <sub>b-2a</sub>	gray, medium grained	none noted	141 1	40	898					
00DA-2	2-0	43.6		sandstone, maore	none noted	141.1	10	170*					
							20	242*					
							40	385*					
							20	184					
00BA-2	2-08	46 .0 ~	Tof <sub>b-2a</sub>	gray fine to med.	Small tan	125 7	40	283					
00BA-2 2-	2-00	2-DB 46.0~ 46.5		sandstone	sandstone zone	IJJ.2	80	332					

Table I-5 Summary of Multi-Stage Triaxia	al Tests without Pore Pressure Measurements
--	---

\* Post-peak strength

Notes: <sup>1</sup>Rock unit description from William Lettis & Associates, Inc. (2001) Diablo Canyon ISFSI Data Report B. Sample lab description is not always consistent with the Data Report B descriptions that are more current and supercede the laboratory descriptions.

Tof<sub>b-1</sub> - dolomite, clayey dolomite, dolomitic siltstone to fine-grained dolomitic

sandstone, and limestone

 $Tof_{b-1a}$  – friable dolomite and dolomitic siltstone

 $Tof_{b-2}$  – fine- to coarse-grained dolomitic sandstone and sandstone  $Tof_{b-2a}$  – friable sandstone

<sup>2</sup>Laboratory sample description from GTU.

**Diablo Canyon ISFSI** Data Report I, Rev. 1

I-16 of 203

Boring No.	Sample I.D.	Depth (ft)	DCPP ISFSI Data Report B Rock Unit <sup>1</sup>	Sample Lab Description <sup>2</sup>	Feature in Sample	Unit Wt. (pcf)	Cell. Press. (psi)	P.W.P. (psi)	Axial Stress (psi)	Strain (%)	c' (psi)	φ' (degrees)			
	7	55.5	T-6 / T-6	Tan clayey altered	red Weak joint 57		80	50	200	5.30					
01-D	01-D 7 55.5 10f <sub>b-1</sub>	1 OI <sub>b-1</sub> / 1 OI <sub>b-2</sub>	sandstone	deg from axis	-	100	60	235	5.30	25	25				
01-CTF-A 16					Tan friable clayey			85	73	378	1.87				
	16	48.8	Tof <sub>b-2a</sub>	altered medium -	133.2	110	68	436	2.43	95	22				
			grained sandstone	ned sandstone		160	85	524	2.82	1					
							Gray friable weak	Partial plaster		80	57	239	4.70		
01-C	21	9.5	Tof <sub>b-2a</sub>	medium grained sandstone	grained caps	caps 132.5	120	67	321	4.70	41	24			
				Tan fractured and			80	59	231	3.40					
01-E	25	7.0	Tof <sub>b-1a</sub>	sheared clayey altered weak fine grained dolomitic sandstone	layey lk fine omitic ne		100	68	305	3.40	12	44			

 Table I-6
 Summary of Multi-Stage Triaxial Tests with Pore Pressure Measurements

Notes: <sup>1</sup>Rock unit description from William Lettis & Associates, Inc. (2001) Diablo Canyon ISFSI Data Report B. Sample lab description is not always consistent with the Data Report B descriptions that are more current and supercede the laboratory descriptions.

Tof<sub>b-1</sub> - dolomite, clayey dolomite, dolomitic siltstone to fine-grained dolomitic sandstone, and limestone

 $Tof_{b-1a}$  – friable dolomite and dolomitic siltstone

Tof<sub>b-2</sub> - fine- to coarse-grained dolomitic sandstone and sandstone

Tof<sub>b-2a</sub> - friable sandstone

<sup>2</sup>Laboratory sample description from GTU.

Boring No.	Sample No.	Depth (ft)	DCPP ISFSI Data Report B Rock Unit <sup>1</sup>	Sample Lab Description <sup>2</sup>	Unit Weight (pcf)	Modulus (x 10 <sup>6</sup> psi)	Poisson's Ratio
01-A	1	19.5	Tof <sub>b-2</sub>	Tan well cemented medium grained sandstone	161.4	1.52	0.08*
01-A	2	24.5	Tof <sub>b-2</sub>	Tan medium grained sandstone	146.6	0.65	0.55*
01-B	18	26.5	Tof <sub>b-2</sub>	Tan fine to medium grained dolomitic sandstone	147.3	2.33	0.23
01-C	22	24.0	Tof <sub>b-2</sub>	Tan fine grained dolomitic sandstone	155.0	4.92	0.23
01-D	6	48.5	Tof <sub>b-1</sub> /Tof <sub>b-2</sub>	Tan fine to medium grained dolomitic sandstone	147.1	0.63	0.30
01-E	26	22.0	Tof <sub>b-1</sub>	Tan fine grained dolomitic sandstone	129.4	0.14	0.20
01-E	28	49.0	Tof <sub>b-1</sub>	Tan fine grained dolomitic sandstone	135.8	0.85	0.15
01-G	9	28.8	Tof <sub>b-1</sub>	Tan fine grained dolomitic sandstone	138.2	1.67	0.18
01-H	11	11.0	Tof <sub>b-1</sub> to Tof <sub>b-2</sub>	Tan fines grained dolomitic sandstone	138.9	1.09	0.13
01-H	12	52.5	Tof <sub>b-2</sub>	Tan fine grained dolomitic sandstone	155.1	4.00	0.33
01-F	30	57.6	Tof <sub>b-2</sub> to Tof <sub>b-2a</sub>	Light tan medium grained sandstone	138.9	1.25	0.20
01-I	38	159.5	Tof <sub>b-1</sub>	Dark gray fine grained dolomitic sandstone	144.2	0.79	0.30
01-I	40A	88.4	Tof <sub>b-1</sub>	Tan thinly bedded fine grained dolomitic sandstone	142.0	2.14	0.17
01-I	42	44.0	Tof <sub>b-1</sub>	Tan fine grained dolomitic sandstone	141.5	0.79	0.1*
01- CTF-A	15	13.5	Tof <sub>b-2</sub>	Tan clayey friable medium grained sandstone	138.3	0.12	0.17
				Average	144.0	1.53	0.22

### Table I-7 Summary of Small Strain Elastic Property Measurements for Dolomite and Sandstone

\*Questionable values of Poisson's ratio not used in averages

<sup>1</sup>Rock unit description from William Lettis & Associates, Inc. (2001) Diablo Canyon ISFSI Data Notes: Report B. Sample lab description is not always consistent with the Data Report B descriptions that are more current and supercede the laboratory descriptions.

- Tof<sub>b-1</sub> dolomite, clayey dolomite, dolomitic siltstone to fine-grained dolomitic
  - sandstone, and limestone
- Tof<sub>b-1a</sub> friable dolomite and dolomitic siltstone
- $Tof_{b\mbox{-}2}$  fine- to coarse-grained dolomitic sandstone and sandstone  $Tof_{b\mbox{-}2a}$  friable sandstone

<sup>2</sup>Laboratory sample description from GTU..

**Diablo Canyon ISFSI** Data Report I, Rev. 1

I-18 of 203

# Table I-8 Summary of Small Strain Elastic Property Measurements for Friable Sandstone

Boring No.	Sample No.	Depth (ft)	DCPP ISFSI Data Report B Rock Unit <sup>1</sup>	Sample Lab Description <sup>2</sup>	Unit Weight (pcf)	Modulus (×10 <sup>6</sup> psi)	Poisson's Ratio
01-B	19	38.0	Tof <sub>b-2a</sub>	Tan medium grained sandstone with claystone clasts	132.4	0.21	0.23
01-D	4	25.5	Tof <sub>b-2a</sub>	Tan weak clayey medium grained sandstone	142.3	0.075*	0.15
01-G	10	69.0	Tof <sub>b-2a</sub>	Gray friable clayey medium grained sandstone	130.7	0.015*	0.03**
01- CTF-A	14	8.8	Tof <sub>b-2a</sub>	Gray fine to medium grained soft sandstone	128.8	0.004*	0.29
01- CTF-A	16	48.8	Tof <sub>b-2a</sub>	Tan Clayey friable altered medium grained sandstone	133.2	0.061*	0.17
01-A	3	35.5	Tof <sub>b-2a</sub>	Gray medium grained weak friable sandstone	145.3	0.033*	NA**
01-D	5	28.0	Tof <sub>b-2a</sub>	Tan medium grained clayey weak sandstone	144.5	0.063*	0.06**
01-H	13	57.0	Tof <sub>b-2a</sub>	Gray clayey friable medium grained sandstone	131.7	0.009*	0.16
01-F	32	117.7	Tof <sub>b-2a</sub>	Gray clayey friable soft fine to medium grained sandstone	135.8	0.008*	0.32
1				Representative Value	134.9	0.21	0.23

\* Moduli measured in the unconfined compression test set up are too low to represent in-situ moduli for the confining pressure sensitive friable sandstone.

\*\* Questionable values of Poisson's ratio

Notes: <sup>1</sup>Rock unit description from William Lettis & Associates, Inc. (2001) Diablo Canyon ISFSI Data Report B. Sample lab description is not always consistent with the Data Report B descriptions that are more current and supercede the laboratory descriptions.

Tof<sub>b-1</sub> – dolomite, clayey dolomite, dolomitic siltstone to fine-grained dolomitic sandstone, and limestone

Tof<sub>b-1a</sub> – friable dolomite and dolomitic siltstone

Tof<sub>b-2</sub> - fine- to coarse-grained dolomitic sandstone and sandstone

Tof<sub>b-2a</sub> – friable sandstone

<sup>2</sup>Laboratory sample description from GTU..

Boring No.         Sample LD.         Depth (ft)         Inclination from thorizontal (degrees)         DCPP ISFSI Data Report B Rock Unit <sup>1</sup> Feature in Sample <sup>2</sup> Normal Stress (psi)         Peak Shear Stress (psi)         Post Peak Shear Stress (psi)           01-B         20         48.8         50 $Facturefor back Unit1         13.0         11.8        $				Discontinuity					
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Boring No.	Sample L.D.	Denth	Inclination DCPP ISFSI	DCPP ISFSI	Footuro	Normal	Peak Shear	Post Peak
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$			(ft)	from	Data Report B	in Sample <sup>2</sup>	Stress	Stress	Shear Stress
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$			()	horizontal	Rock Unit	in Sumple	(psi)	(psi)	(psi)
$01-B 20 48.8 50 Tof_{b-2} Fof_{b-2} = 13.0 11.8$				(degrees)			10.0		
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $							13.0	11.8	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $							18.0	14.0	
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $							25.0	17.0	
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	01-B	20	48.8	50	Tof <sub>b-2</sub>	Clay coated	40.0	25.0	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $					0-2	joint	55.0	30.5	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $							15.0		12.0
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $							20.0		14.0
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	ļ						28.0		17.0
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $							20.2	4.5	
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $							40.0	7.8	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	01-C	23	414	44	Tof	Clay coated	62.3	11.7	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	010	25	-1	44	I OI <sub>b-2a</sub>	joint	21.4		6.0
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $							41.3		9.0
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$							66.2		11.8
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		24	44.3	30	Tof <sub>b-2a</sub> joint		20.8	4.5	
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $							41.2	6.2	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	010					Clay coated	61.0	7.8	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	01-0					joint	22.5		5.8
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $							45.2		7.3
$01-E = 29 = 51.8 = 6 = 6 = Tof_{b-1} = Tof_{b-1} = Tof_{b-1} = 17.0 = 19.0 = = 30.0 = 30.0 = 30.2 = = 46.8 = 44.5 = = 17.5 = = 16.0 = 34.0 = = 31.0 = 34.0 = = 31.0 = 50.2 = = 45.0 = = 31.0 = 50.2 = = 45.0 = = 13.2 = = 38.7 = 38.7 = 3.4 = = = 13.2 = = = 38.7 = 38.7 = 3.4 = = = 13.2 = = = = 13.2 =$							66.3		10.0
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		29	51.8	6			17.0	19.0	
01-E       29       51.8       6       Tof <sub>b-1</sub> Rock-rock contact bedding joint       46.8       44.5          01-F       31       117       15       Tof <sub>b-1a</sub> /Tof <sub>b-2a</sub> $Rock-rock contact bedding joint       46.8       44.5        16.0         01-F       31       117       15       Tofb-1a/Tofb-2a       Rock-rock contact bedding joint       12.5       4.0        45.0         01-F       31       117       15       Tofb-1a/Tofb-2a       Clay bed       12.5       4.0          20.4       3.9        13.2        5.1       13.2        5.1         21.6        4.7       40.3        4.0   $						Rock-rock contact bedding joint	30.0	30.2	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	01-E				Tof		46.8	44.5	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	VI-L				l of <sub>b-l</sub>		17.5		16.0
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $							34.0		31.0
01-F 31 117 15 Tof <sub>b-1a</sub> /Tof <sub>b-2a</sub> Clay bed $\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$							50.2		45.0
01-F 31 117 15 $Tof_{b-1a}/Tof_{b-2a}$ Clay bed $\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $							12.5	4.0	
01-F     31     117     15     Tof <sub>b-1a</sub> /Tof <sub>b-2a</sub> Clay bed $38.7$ $3.4$ 13.2      5.1       21.6      4.7       40.3      4.0		31	117	15			20.4	3.9	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	01.5				Tof Tof		38.7	3.4	
<u>21.6</u> 4.7 40.3 4.0	01-1				$101_{b-1a}/101_{b-2a}$	Clay bed	13.2		5.1
40.3 4.0							21.6		4.7
							40.3		4.0
18.5 8.0							18.5	8.0	
35.0 11.0	01-F						35.0	11.0	
							72.0	20.0	
01-F 33 118.3 not reported in Tof <sub>b-22</sub> /Tof <sub>b-2</sub> Clay coated 20.5 10.5		33	118.3	not reported in lab sheets	Tof <sub>b-2a</sub> /Tof <sub>b-2</sub>	Clay coated joint	20.5		10.5
joint 40.0 14.0		1					40.0		14.0
81.0 - 21.0							81.0		21.0
							174.0		36.0

# Table I-9Summary of Direct Shear Test Results (1 of 4)

1

 $\smile$ 

Boring No.	Sample I.D.	Depth (ft)	Discontinuity Inclination from horizontal (degrees)	DCPP ISFSI Data Report B Rock Unit <sup>1</sup>	Feature in Sample <sup>2</sup>	Normal Stress (psi)	Peak Shear Stress (psi)	Post Peak Shear Stress (psi)
						15.5	14.0	
01-CTF-	34	32.6	23	Tof <sub>b-2</sub>	Clay coated	31.5	24.2	
A					joint	60.5	39.5	
						14.0	2.6	
					[	24.3	2.6	
01.11	25	04.5	4	Taf	Clay coated	47.2	3.5	
01-H	- 35	94.5	4	101 <sub>b-2a</sub>	joint	16.0		6.5
						29.0		6.5
						53.2		8.8
						86.0	76.0	
						175.0	144.0	
		174	14	Tef	Rock-rock	352.0	264.0	
01-1	36	174		Tof <sub>b-ła</sub>	contact bedding joint	93.0		61.0
						190.0		121.0
:						384.0		237.0
	37	168.5	58.5 14	Tof <sub>b-1a</sub>		16.2	6.8	
						27.5	9.6	
					Clay coated	52.3	18.5	
01-1					bedding joint	16.5		7.9
						28.1		11.1
						54.5		20.0
	39B	130.4	8	Tof <sub>b-1a</sub>		13.1	4.8	
					Clay bed	25.6	7.2	
						47.8	10.6	
01-I						14.2		5.5
						28.1		7.3
						52.2		11.0
						47.0	42.0	
		40B 88.8	16			90.0	73.0	
01-I					Rock-rock	178.0	133.0	
	40B			101 <sub>b-1</sub>	contact	49.0		32.0
					bedding joint	96.0		59.0
						194.0		113.0
		41A 45.6	45.6 17			9.5	8.0	
						13.5	9.0	
				Tof <sub>b-1</sub>	Clay bed	26.5	14.0	
01-I	41A					10.5		7.0
						16.5		9.0
						28.5		12.0

Table I-9Summary of Direct Shear Test Results (2 of 4)

.

<u>\_\_\_\_\_</u>\_\_\_

~

Boring No.	Sample I.D.	Depth (ft)	Discontinuity Inclination from horizontal (degrees)	DCPP ISFSI Data Report B Rock Unit <sup>1</sup>	Feature in Sample <sup>2</sup>	Normal Stress (psi)	Peak Shear Stress (psi)	Post Peak Shear Stress (psi)
01-I			14	Tof <sub>b-1</sub>	Rock-rock contact bedding joint	23.5 48.0	28.5 54.5	
	41B	46.1				93.0 25.5 51.5	96.0  	20.0 40.0
						100.0 10.6	13.0	75.0
00BA-1	1-1	18.2	30	Tof	Rock-rock poorly mated	20.1 41.2	21.3 35.3	
				1019-1	rough joints (Tof <sub>b-1</sub> )	11.3 21.4		9.0 16.6
						<u>43.7</u> <u>10.3</u>	16.1	31.2
00BA-1	1-2	34.2	64	Tof <sub>b-1</sub>	Rock-rock well mated joint	<u>20.1</u> <u>41.0</u>	25.6 43.8	
						21.6		9.0
		37.1	12	Tof <sub>b-1</sub> b		<u>10.1</u> 20.5	8.0 14.7	33.0
00BA-1	1-3				Rock-rock bedding joint	<u>41.3</u> 10.9	27.5	6.9
						22.2 44.7		12.4
		1-4 41.9 13 Tof <sub>b-1</sub>			Rock-rock	13.8 24.0	25.3 31.0	
00BA-1	1-4		mechanical break along	44.6 15.8	46.9 			
					bedding	27.2 50.5		18.1 31.7
		88.8	88.8 21	Tof <sub>b-1</sub>	Rock-rock	20.6 40.1	24.2 42.4	
00BA-1	1-6				moderately rough well mated joints	82.0 24.2	71.0	
						47.0 96.2		23.7 45.0
			142.0 55		Rock-rock	20.5 41.8	21.8 41.8	
00BA-1	1-7	142.0		Tof <sub>b-1</sub>	poorly mated joint	83.0 22.9	76.8	 19.0
						46.7 92.8		<u>37.0</u> 71.0

# Table I-9Summary of Direct Shear Test Results (3 of 4)

Boring No.	Sample I.D.	Depth (ft)	Discontinuity Inclination from horizontal (degrees)	DCPP ISFSI Data Report B Rock Unit <sup>1</sup>	Feature in Sample <sup>2</sup>	Normal Stress (psi)	Peak Shear Stress (psi)	Post Peak Shear Stress (psi)
		56.5	15 Tof <sub>b-</sub>		Clay bed	5.8	7.8	
00BA-1	1-18			Tof <sub>b-1</sub>		10.0	10.7	
						20.2	15.2	
						37.2	19.1	
						6.5		4.9
						10.7		6.3
						21.9		9.4
						40.3		12.0

Table I-9Summary of Direct Shear Test Results (4 of 4)

Notes: <sup>1</sup>Rock unit description from William Lettis & Associates, Inc. (2001) Diablo Canyon ISFSI Data Report B. Sample lab description is not always consistent with the Data Report B descriptions that are more current and supercede the laboratory descriptions.

 $Tof_{b-1}$  – dolomite, clayey dolomite, dolomitic siltstone to fine-grained dolomitic sandstone, and limestone

Tof<sub>b-1a</sub> – friable dolomite and dolomitic siltstone

 $Tof_{b-2}$  – fine- to coarse-grained dolomitic sandstone and sandstone

 $Tof_{b-2a}$  – friable sandstone

<sup>2</sup>Sample description based on laboratory datasheets.

Boring	Sample	All	Dolomite	Sandstone
00BA-1	1-10	138.4	138.4	
00BA-1	1-11	142.4	142.4	
00BA-1	1-12	134.5	134.5	
00BA-1	1-13	128.9	128.9	
00BA-1	1-14	143.1	143.1	
00BA-1	1-8B	146.6	146.6	
00BA-1	1-9B	140.6	140.6	
00BA-2	2-E	160.9		160.9
00BA-1	1-5B	134.9	134.9	
00BA-1	1-15	140.3	140.3	
00BA-1	1-16	132.8	132.8	
00BA-1	1-17	133.1		133.1
00BA-2	2-A	130.1		130.1
00BA-2	2-В	139.7		139.7
00BA-2	2-C	141.1		141.1
00BA-2	2-DB	135.2		135.2
01-A	1	161.4		161.4
01-A	2	146.6		146.6
01-D	4	142.3		142.3
01-D	6	147.1		147.1
01-G	9	138.2	138.2	
01-G	10	130.7		130.7
01-H	11	138.9	138.9	
01-H	12	155.1		155.1
01-CTF-A	14	128.8		128.8
01-CTF-A	15	138.3		138.3
01-CTF-A	18	147.3		147.3
01-B	19	132.4		132.4
01-C	22	155		155
01-E	26	129.4	129.4	
01-E	28	135.8	135.8	
01-F	30	138.9		138.9
01-I	38	144.2	144.2	
01-I	39A	140.3	140.3	
01-I	40A	142	142	······································
01-I	42	141.5	141.5	
01-A	3	145.3		145.3
01-D	5	144.5		144.5
01-H	13	131.7		131.7
01-CTF-A	17	127.1		127.1
01-F	32	135.8		135.8
01-CTF-A	16	133.2		133.2
01-C	21	132.5		132.5
01-E	25	135.8	135.8	
		All Rock	All Dolomite	All Sandstone
	Average =	139.6	138.3	140.5
	Std. Dev.=	8.1	5.0	9.7
			- • •	- • •

# Table I-10 Summary of Unit Weight of Rock Core Samples

Diablo Canyon ISFSI Data Report I, Rev. 1

.



### EXPLANATION



Contour interval = 5 feet

# **DIABLO CANYON ISFSI**

FIGURE I-1 LOCATION OF BOREHOLES SAMPLED FOR ROCK STRENGTH TESTING

Diablo Canyon ISFSI Data Report I, Rev. 1

I-25 of 203

# **ATTACHMENT 1**

# LABORATORY ROCK TESTING REPORT

# SAMPLES COLLECTED FROM BORINGS 00BA-1 AND 00BA-2, ANDERS BRO OF GEOTEST UNLIMITED

**DECEMBER 30, 2000** 

Diablo Canyon ISFSI Data Report I, Rev. 1 I-26 of 203



Test Equipment Design & Fabrication Laboratory & Field Testing Consulting Services

Dr. Anders Bro

December 30, 2000

Jeff Bachhuber William Lettis & Associates, Inc. 1777 Botelho Dr, Suite 262 Walnut Creek, CA 94596

### Dear Jeff,

Thank you very much for using my rock testing lab for the Diablo Canyon Power Plant, ISFSI project. This letter report describes the tests performed and summarizes the test results along with observations which might have some bearing on interpreting the rock behavior.

A number of samples along with a schedule of the desired tests were obtained from your office on December 11, 2000. These samples were transported to GTU's facilities in Nevada City where they were prepared on December 14, 18 and 19. The tests were performed on December 17, 18 and 19.

The initial schedule of tests consisted of 16 unconfined compression tests and 7 direct shear tests. After discussions with you, the number of unconfined compression tests was reduced to 8, and 8 triaxial tests were added. 4 of the triaxial samples were selected from Boring OOBA-1. Since these were quite hard and would most likely behave in a brittle manner, they were tested using a single stage procedure. The remaining triaxial samples came from Boring OOBA-2 and were quite weak. It was thought that their weak ductile behavior would make them suitable candidates for multistage tests.

Sample	Boring	Depth	Description	Test
ID		(ft)		
1-8B	OOBA-1	146.6-147.1	Brown dolomitic sandstone	UC
1-9B		149.5-150.0	Gray to light brown dolomitic sandstone	
1-10		12.2-12.7	Tan dolomitic sandstone	
1-11		18.7-19.2	Dark brown gray dolomitic sandstone	
1-12		22.6-23.1	Tan dolomitic sandstone	
1-13		40.9-41.35	Tan dolomitic sandstone	
1-14		49.1-49.6	Light brown to tan dolomitic siltstone	
<u>2-E</u>	OOBA-2	50.9-51.4	Tan dolomitic (siliccous?) sandstone	
<u>1-5B</u>	OOBA-1	84.0-84.5	Tan dolomitic sandstone	SSTX
1-15		77.5-78.0	Tan dolomitic sandstone	
1-16		107.3-107.8	Tan dolomitic sandstone	
1-17		116.4-116.9	Tan dolomitic sandstone	
2-A	OOBA-2	37.0-37.5	Tan weak, friable dolomitic sandstone	MSTX
<u>2-B</u>		37.5-38.0	Tan weak dolomitic sandstone	
<u>2-C</u>		43.1-43.6	Gray weak sandstone	
2-DB		46.0-46.5	Gray weak, friable sandstone	
1-1	OOBA-1	18.2	Poorly mated rough joint	DS
1-2		34.2	Well mated rough joint	
1-3		37.1	Bedding plane joint	
1-4		41.9	Mechanical break (along bedding?)	
1-6		88.8	Well mated rough joint with coating	
1-7		142.0	Poorly mated wavy joint.	
1-18		56.5	Rock/clay interface	

Schedule of Tests

1. UC - Unconfined Compression Test

SSTX - Single-Stage Triaxial Test

MSTX - Multi-Stage Triaxial Test

DS - Direct Shear Test

27069 N. Bloomfield Rd., Nevada City, CA 95959 Tel/Fax (530)470-0583 email: abro@mindspring.com Diablo Canyon ISFSI I-27 of 203 Data Report I, Rev. 1

The sample descriptions (both in the table above as well as on the data sheets and plots) may be misleading due to the difficulty in distinguishing between the dolomite, dolomitic sandstone, and limestone. A more thorough evaluation of these samples could be made to address these concerns if they had a bearing on the stability analyses. All of the tested samples have been returned to you in the event that such a reevaluation is required.

### Calibration

Prior to testing, the transducer calibrations were checked. In the case of the 50 kip Interface load cell (used for the unconfined and triaxial compression tests) and the two 2 kip Lebow load cells (used for the direct shear tests), the linearity and accuracy of the cell readings were compared to the loads as measured by a 20 kip Morchouse proving ring. The calibration of the 200 psi Viatran pressure transducer (used to monitor and control the confining pressure of the triaxial tests) was checked with a high precision, 300 psi Heise pressure gauge. The calibration of the Schaevitz LVDTs with a 0.2 inch stroke were all checked with an electronic Mitutoyo precision micrometer head. Finally the calibration of the Transtek LVDT with a 1 inch stroke (used to measure the shear displacement of the shear box) was checked with a mechanical Mitutoyo micrometer head. Of these checks, only one load cell (the one used to measure the normal load in the shear box) resulted in a slight shift from the original calibration. For this 2000 lb load cell, a shift in the gain of about 25 lb (an error of 1.2%) was encountered. Before testing, the gain was adjusted to eliminate this error. Both of the Lebow cells appeared to have a 2 lb hysteresis (ie a 0.1% error) which developed during a loading/unloading cycle.

### Test Procedures

The tests were all performed following the applicable ASTM procedures (ASTM 2938, ASTM 2664, and ASTM 5607). The specific procedures used at GTU are appended to this letter.

### Test Results and Sample Behavior

The test results are presented in the appended test data sheets and plots. In the case of the unconfined compression and triaxial tests, the sample densities are calculated from the sample weights and their volumes. The stress strain curves are also plotted and the sample strengths are reported at the bottom of the plots. It should be noted that for these tests, the sample deformations include the platen interfaces, as well as the deformation of the load cell. Thus any modulus derived from these plots would be softer than the rock modulus.

The triaxial test plots present the axial stress and confining pressure vs. axial strain curves. The strength at each confining pressure is summarized at the bottom of these plots, and these confining pressure-strength pairs are used to develop the failure envelopes. In the case of the triaxial samples from boring OOBA-1, the natural variability of the sample strengths overshadowed any impact that the confining pressure may have had on the sample strengths. Therefore it was not possible to develop a reasonable failure envelope for these samples.

In the case of the triaxial samples from boring OOBA-2, two deformational behaviors were exhibited. One behavior for the slightly stronger rock (Samples 2-B and 2-C) was a fairly typical quasi-brittle behavior in which the samples exhibited significant weakening following a peak in the stress-strain curve. It was possible to obtain excellent "final" strength envelopes for these samples, although the initial weakening made it somewhat difficult to develop "initial" failure envelopes with a high degree of confidence.

The weaker two triaxial samples from boring OOBA-2 (Samples 2-A and 2-DB) behaved with a linear strain hardening manner. This behavior has been observed in many weak and ductile rocks. The construction of the failure envelopes follows a procedure developed for PG&E's Scott Dam testing program, in which the linear stress-strain curves are extrapolated and strengths at a single level of strain are derived for two confining pressures. (See the appended Technical Note.)

These two samples were unique in that they were so weak that mechanical breaks occurred in these samples during transport and in sample preparation. These breaks, which were perpendicular to the core axis, were well mated, and the samples were glued back together using cyanoacrylate glue. Due to the thin glue line, the weakness of the bond, and the orientation of the glued fractures, the sample repairs were thought to have little strengthening influence on the samples. During the testing of Sample 2- $\Lambda$ , water appeared to have been squeezed out of the specimen (a behavior not uncommon for porous sandstone), indicating a significant pore pressure response in this soft rock. The hypothesis that porc pressures developed in the sample during the

test was supported by the horizontal failure envelopes for these two samples. The shear strength of the rock appeared to be independent of the confining pressure, a condition reminiscent of an undrained clay. If an undrained test were performed in which pore pressures were measured, one would have expected to find a significant change in pore pressure during the test (most likely an increase, thereby maintaining the effective confining stress).

Of the 7 prioritized direct shear tests, the second one, Sample 2-DA, could not be tested. This sample consisted of an intact rock joint which did not appear to be through-going. An attempt was made to separate the sample into two halves, but the joint was so strong that the sample could not be split along this joint. Despite the strong nature of the intact joint, it was thought worthwhile to mount the sample in the shear box and test it anyway. As the sample was so strong, no string was used to hold the two sample halves together. The first half was potted in the plaster with no ill effects. The sample was then inverted and cast in the other half of the sample holder. After about 10 minutes, the sample was checked and the sample was found to plaster. This behavior indicated that the strength of the sandy, silty, clay rich rock was strongly influenced by the presence of water. The sample with a low water content was quite strong, and could absorb water fairly quickly. Although no test could be performed on this sample, its water is introduced into the joints.

Of the 7 direct shear samples which were tested, Sample 1-18 was unique in that it was a clay seam/rock interface. The positioning of the sample was not ideal in that when the sample was potted, the interface was on the same level as the casting plaster as opposed to being in the middle of the shear box gap. (The poor positioning of the sample was a result of the indistinct expression of the interface on the surface of the core.) Therefore the sample may not have been had it been properly positioned. On the other hand, an advantage of this positioning was that the soft clay was not permitted to squeeze these concerns, the friction angle of this interface was still quite low, and was typical for plastic clays, in this case on the order of 12° for the final shear friction angle.

3



# DATA SHEET Unconfined Compression Test of Rock (ASTM D2938)

Date: 12-17-00 Technician: A. Bro Client: W. Lettis E. Assoc Job: #126-DCPP ISFSI Sample ID: <u>1-83</u> Sample Description: un Ilamitic Sample Depth: 146.10-147.1 Sample Condition: received & teched de d, d<sub>2</sub> I, 12 2,392 2.397 +.0010 +.0005 2393 2,380 +.0003 7.0003 390 2,390 1.0002 0001 393 2.394 .0012 .0005 397 399 2 .0023 - .0011 Avg. diameter : 2,393 Avg. length : 568 Sample area : 4.498 I/d ratio : 2,33 Sample volume( $in^3$ ): 25.042 Q/Q/ASample weight (g) : 964.08 Density : 38,49 cy/in 3 = (1 g/in<sup>3</sup>=3.80951b/ft<sup>3</sup>) 1466pcF Comments: Note the me Failed 201



27069 N. Bloomfield Rd., Nevada City, CA 95959 Tel/Fax (530)470-0583 / email: abro@mindspring.com

Diablo Canyon ISFSI Data Report I, Rev. 1

I-30 of 203



**Boring: OOBA-1**  $\left[ {G_T U} 
ight]$ Geo Sample: 1-8B Test Depth: 146.6-147.1' 27069 N. Bloomfield Rd. Unlimited Nevada City, CA 95959 DESCRIPTION **Client:** William Lettis & Associates, Inc. Fine grained grayish brown dolomitic 1777 Botelho Dr., Suite 262 sandstone, contains no discernable fractures. Walnut Creek, CA 94596 Project: Diablo Canyon Power Plant Strength: 5133 psi ISFSI Density: 146.6 pcf Project Number: 1223-50 **Test Date:** December 17, 2000

111



### DATA SHEET Unconfined Compression Test of Rock (ASTM D2938)

Date: 12-17-00 Technician: A.Bro Client: W. Lettis E. Assoc Job: #126-DCPP - 15F Sample ID: 1-9B Sample Description: Gr 10 so-dato Sample Depth: 149.5-150.0 Sample Condition: received tested de d, d, 1 1, 2.394 390 7 .0023 -,001 2.394 2.386 .0010 - .0004 ,394 2,400 ±.0002 ±.0001 2.399 21 397 +.0009 4.0005 2.400 2.400 +.0017 +,0003 Avg. diameter : 2.396 Avg. length : 5. 5/9 Sample area : 4. 509 I/d ratio : 2,30 Sample volume(in<sup>3</sup>): 24,884Sample weight (g):  $9/8.2\gamma$ Density:  $36.90 c/in^3 = 140.6 pcF$  (1 g/in<sup>3</sup>=3.80951b/ft<sup>3</sup>) Comments: 8B



27069 N. Bloomfield Rd., Nevada City, CA 95959 Tel/Fax (530)470-0583 email: abro@mindspring.com



Boring: OOBA-1<br/>Sample: 1-9B<br/>Depth: 149.5-150.0'Geo<br/>Test<br/>UnlinDESCRIPTION<br/>Fine grained gray to light brown dolomitic<br/>sandstone, contains no discernable fractures.Client: V<br/>V

Strength: 2625 psi Density: 140.6 pcf

 $G_T^U$ 27069 N. Bloomfield Rd. Unlimited Nevada City, CA 95959 William Lettis & Associates, Inc. 1777 Botelho Dr., Suite 262 Walnut Creek, CA 94596 Project: Diablo Canyon Power Plant ISFSI Project Number: 1223-50 **Test Date:** December 17, 2000

Geo CG Test Unlimited

## DATA SHEET Unconfined Compression Test of Rock (ASTM D2938)

Date: <u>12-17-00</u> Technician: <u>A.Bro</u> Client: W. Lettis & Assoc. Job: <u>#126-DCPP-1SFS1</u> Sample ID: 1-10 Sample Description: +a - dolonite una parent jour

Sample Depth:  $12.2 - 12.7^{1}$  Sample Condition:

d, d, I, 1, 2.385 385 +.0013 +.0004 2,387 2,387 +,0006 +,0003 .387 z <u>2.387</u> 2.0001 000 2.387 7,387 6007 .0002 2.388 2.388 -,0014 -,0005 Avg. diameter : 2.387 Avg. length : 5.504 Sample area : 4,475 I/d ratio : 2.31 Sample volume(in<sup>3</sup>): 24.630 Sample weight (g) :  $\frac{894.98}{10^3 = 138.4 \text{ pcf}}$  (1 g/in<sup>3</sup>=3.80951b/ft<sup>3</sup>) Density : <u>36.33 g/in<sup>3</sup> = 138.4 pcf</u> X axial splitting (?) E. Shear (?) Comments:



27069 N. Bloomfield Rd., Nevada City, CA 95959 Tel/Fax (530)470-0583 email: abro@mindspring.com

Diablo Canyon ISFSI Data Report I, Rev. 1

I-34 of 203



 $G_{eo}$   $G_T U$  $T_{est}$   $U_{nlimited}$ 

DATA SHEET Unconfined Compression Test of Rock (ASTM D2938)

Date: 12-17-00 Technician: A.Bro Client: W. Lettis E. Assoc Job: #126-DCPP-ISFSI Sample ID: \_\_\_\_\_\_ Sample Description: Dank a liter ull Sample Condition: received E-tasted day Sample Depth: <u>18.7 - 19.2</u> d, d<sub>2</sub> 1 I2 2349 2.351 +.0005 +.0004 2,350 +,0003 +,0002 2,349 0001 1000 2.350 -.0007 000 7 2.350 -.0006 -. 000 S Avg. length : 5.652 Avg. diameter : 2.349 Sample area : 4,334 I/d ratio : 2.41 Sample volume(in<sup>3</sup>): 2 - 4.494Sample weight (g): 915.75Density: 37.38  $s/10^{3} - 142$ .4pcF (1 g/in<sup>3</sup>=3.8095lb/ft<sup>3</sup>) dutalile Comments: samples cing/brown Fa an shear O axial split O

27069 N. Bloomfield Rd., Nevada City, CA 95959 Tel/Fax (530)470-0583 email: abro@mindspring.com

Diablo Canyon ISFSI Data Report I, Rev. 1

I-36 of 203


 $\left( G_{T}^{U} \right)$ **Boring: OOBA-1** Geo Sample: 1-11 Test 27069 N. Bloomfield Rd. Depth: 18.7-19.2' Unlimited Nevada City, CA 95959 DESCRIPTION Client: William Lettis & Associates, Inc. Fine grained dark grayish brown dolomitic 1777 Botelho Dr., Suite 262 sandstone to dolomite, contains Walnut Creek, CA 94596 no discernable fractures. **Project: Diablo Canyon Power Plant** Strength: 7190 psi ISFSI Density: 142.4 pcf Project Number: 1223-50 December 17, 2000 **Test Date:** 

ł



### DATA SHEET Unconfined Compression Test of Rock (ASTM D2938)

Date: 10-17-00 Technician: A 30 Client: W. Lettis E μ Job: #126-DC Sample ID: 1-12Sample Description: 10-2. tested Sample Depth: 22.6-23. ( Sample Condition: Acceive L d  $d_2$ L I, 2,402 +.0011 2, 402 +.0003 2395 397 +,0007 +.0002 398 359 ±.0001 2.0001 402 398 ,0005 .000 1 4as 2.404 ,0070 Avg. length : <u>52</u> Avg. diameter : 2,400 Sample area : 4, 52, 4I/d ratio : 2,30 Sample volume(in'): 24.98 5 Sample weight (g) : 881.99 Density : 35,30 c 34, 4 pcf (1 g/in<sup>3</sup>=3.80951b/ft<sup>3</sup>) solt Comments: Fale



27069 N. Bloomfield Rd., Nevada City, CA 95959 Tel/Fax (530)470-0583 email: abro@mindspring.com



Boring: OOBA-1 Sample: 1-12 Depth: 22.6-23.1'

#### DESCRIPTION

Very fine grained tan dolomitic sandstone to dolomite, contains no discernable fractures, but appears weaker at one end.

Strength: 4523 psi Density: 134.5 pcf Geo G U Test 27069 N. Bloomfield Rd. Unlimited Nevada City, CA 95959 Client: William Lettis & Associates, Inc. 1777 Botelho Dr., Suite 262 Walnut Creek, CA 94596 Project: Diablo Canyon Power Plant ISFSI Project Number: 1223-50 Test Date: December 17, 2000



DATA SHEET Unconfined Compression Test of Rock (ASTM D2938)

Date: 12-17-00 Technician: <u>A.B.</u> Client: W. Lettis E. Assoc Job: #126-DCPP-15FS1 Sample ID: <u>1-13</u> Sample Description: ta heal Sample Depth: 40.9-41.35 Sample Condition: Secured & tables de d, d2 ١, +,0006 .0002 387 2.387 г 396 394 +.0004 0 2 394 39E 000 1000 395 390 2 Z ٥ 0003 397 393 2. -.0002 0008 *ぃ30*゚?゙ Avg. diameter : 2.393 Avg. length : <u>5.382</u> Sample area : 4,498 l/d ratio : 2.25 Sample volume(in<sup>3</sup>) : 24.206 Sample weight (g) : B19.3 c (1 g/in<sup>3</sup>=3.8095lb/ft<sup>3</sup>) Density : 13,85 - /1-3 8.9 pcFComments: do

27069 N. Bloomfield Rd., Nevada City, CA 95959 Tel/Fax (530)470-0583 email: abro@mindspring.com

I-40 of 203



 $\left[ G_{T}^{U} \right]$ **Boring: OOBA-1** Geo Sample: 1-13 Test 27069 N. Bloomfield Rd. Depth: 40.9-41.35' Unlimited Nevada City, CA 95959 **Client:** DESCRIPTION William Lettis & Associates, Inc. Very fine grained tan dolomitic sandstone 1777 Botelho Dr., Suite 262 with a few healed and partially healed joints. Walnut Creek, CA 94596 Project: Diablo Canyon Power Plant Strength: 2079 psi ISFSI Density: 128.9 pcf Project Number: 1223-50 **Test Date:** December 17, 2000



# DATA SHEET Unconfined Compression Test of Rock (ASTM D2938)

Date: 12-17-00 Technician: A.B.~ Client: W. Lettis E. Assoc Job: #126-DCPP-15FS1 Sample ID: 1 - 14 Sample Description: and. Solo bat 80 R masive with a h.c Sample Condition: received & tasted Sample Depth: <u>49.1-49.6</u> d, d<sub>2</sub> 12 I, 2.408 +.0001 2,407 +.0013 2,408 6403 +.0007 2.405 2,407 . +001 ±.0001 + 2,407 2.405 - .0004 -.0009 2.406 2.403 -.0001 Avg. diameter : 2,406 Avg. length : 5.557 Sample area : 4.547 I/d ratio : 2,31 Sample volume(in3): 25.26.5 Sample weight (g) : 949.18 Density : 37, 57 //. 43, 1pcf (1 g/in3=3.80951b/ft3) Comments: erp  $\odot$ ~ 32 - etal sido Fa Q

27069 N. Bloomfield Rd., Nevada City, CA 95959 Tel/Fax (530)470-0583 email: abro@mindspring.com







# DATA SHEET Unconfined Compression Test of Rock (ASTM D2938)

Date: 12-17-00 Technician: A. Bro Client: W. Lettisz. Assoc Job: #12.6-DCPP Sample ID: 2-Sample Description: \_ no Sample Depth: <u>50.9 - 51.4</u> Sample Condition: received 5 L d, d<sub>2</sub> 12 1, 2,406 2.404 +.0008 0 2.404 t.0004 2.404 403 2,400 2 +.0001 ±.0001 2,404 403 2. .000 S 2.400 2.402 .0010 7 Avg. diameter : 2,403 Avg. length : 5.629 Sample area : 4.535 I/d ratio : 2,34 Sample volume(in<sup>3</sup>): 25,529 Sample weight (g) : 1078.0 (1 g/in<sup>3</sup>=3.8095lb/ft<sup>3</sup>) 160,9 pcf Density : 42,23 %/in= (alan Comments: 204



27069 N. Bloomfield Rd., Nevada City, CA 95959 Tel/Fax (530)470-0583 email: abro@mindspring.com



 $\left[ \boldsymbol{G}_{T}^{U} \right]$ **Boring: OOBA-2** Geo Sample: 2-E Test 27069 N. Bloomfield Rd. Depth: 50.9-51.4' Unlimited Nevada City, CA 95959 **Client:** William Lettis & Associates, Inc. DESCRIPTION Fine to medium grained tan dolomitic 1777 Botelho Dr., Suite 262 sandstone with slight porosity with a Walnut Creek, CA 94596 non-through-going joint about 40 degrees to the core axis. Project: Diablo Canyon Power Plant Strength: 10,921 psi ISFSI Density: 160.9 pcf Project Number: 1223-50 **Test Date:** December 17, 2000

 $G_{eo}$   $G_T U$ Test Unlimited

DATA SHEET Triaxial Compression Test of Rock (ASTM D2664)

Date: 12-17-00 Technician: A. Bro Clientw. Lettis s <u>A 25</u> Job: # 126-DCPP- 15F3 Sample ID: 1-5B 00 Sample Description: Tr with C Sample Depth: 84.0- 84.5' Sample Condition: received E-terted & ď d2 1. ١, 2.287 2,398 +,0017 +,000 1 2,400 404 Ζ +.0007 0 2,394 2,404 1.0001 0001 2.396 2,398 0005 0 2397 2.401 -,0010 Ο Avg. diameter : 2,398 Avg. length : 5.614 Sample area : 4, 516 I/d ratio : 2,34 Sample volume(in): 25, 355 Sample volume in  $f = \frac{2}{897.98}$ Sample weight (g)  $= \frac{897.98}{100}$ Density: 35, 41 g/ir= 13 9pcf (1 g/in<sup>3</sup>=3.80951b/ft<sup>3</sup>) Test Confining Pressures (psi) 40 1000 <u>.</u>\_0 Failed Comments: ~

27069 N. Bloomfield Rd., Nevada City, CA 95959 Tel/Fax (530)470-0583 email: abro@mindspring.com

Diablo Canyon ISFSI Data Report I, Rev. 1

I-46 of 203



r ck (ASTM D2664)	Date: 12-17-00 Technician: A. B.ro	provide the second of the seco	email: abro@mindspring.com
DATA SHEE7 riaxial Compression Test of Ro	Stained to so leter	ple Condition: Accelled Sctift $ \frac{1}{1} + \frac$	200-0/4/0.cc) xD7191 200-004/0-005
$G_{\text{eo}} \underbrace{G_T U}_{\text{Test}}$ T <sub>1</sub>	Client: <u>2. Lettis E. Assoc</u> Job: <u>21.26 - DCPP 15 FS I</u> Sample ID: <u>1 - 15</u> Sample Description: <u>verifie</u> hout be effection: <u>verifie</u>	Sample Depth: $77.5 - 78.0'$ Sam $\frac{d_1}{2.384} - \frac{d_2}{2.385}$ $\frac{2.384}{2.385} - \frac{2.387}{2.385}$ Avg. diameter: $2.387}$ Sample area : $4.475$ Sample volume(in'): $2.5.002$ Sample volume(in'): $2.5.002$ Sample volume(in'): $2.5.002$ Density : $36.84$ $\sqrt{7.5}, \sqrt{60}$ Comments: $5.387$ Comments: $5.387$ $1e^{-16}$	a costre proceedent internant Cuty, CA

)

`)

I-48 of 203

)

٠

.



 $G_{eo}$   $G_T U$ Test Unlimited

DATA SHEET Triaxial Compression Test of Rock (ASTM D2664)

Date: 12 -17-00 Technician: ABro Client: W. Lettis & Asso. Job: #126 - DCPP-Sample ID: 1-16 Sample Description: dolomitic sa to +1 Sample Depth: 107,3 - 107,8' Sample Condition: received 1- testal de d d2 1. 1 2,401 2,401 +.0011 1.0001 2,397 2,401 **+,000**4 2.407 2.406 ±.0001 2.0001 2,405 2,404 -.0005 2403 396 z -,0012 -.0001 Avg. diameter : 2,402 Avg. length : 5.497 Sample area : 4.531 I/d ratio : 2,29 Sample volume(in<sup>3</sup>): 24,909 Sample weight (g) : <u>B68,2</u> Density: 34,85 132,8 pet (1 g/in<sup>3</sup>=3.80951b/ft<sup>3</sup>) Test Confining Pressures (psi) 40 Comments:

27069 N. Bloomfield Rd., Nevada City, CA 95959 Tel/Fax (530)470-0583 email: abro@mindspring.com

Diablo Canyon ISFSI Data Report I, Rev. 1

I-50 of 203



Boring: OOBA-1 Sample: 1-16 Depth: 107.3-107.8' Density: 132.8 pcf.

#### DESCRIPTION

Fine grained tan dolomitic sandstone to dolomite, with bedding (?) about 65 degrees to the core axis. One zone of weakness and joint near one end.

Conf. Pres.Strength(psi)(psi)40.34483

Test Date: December 17, 2000



DATA SHEET Triaxial Compression Test of Rock (ASTM D2664)

Date: 12-17-00 Technician: A. Bro Client: W.Lettis E. Assoc Job: #126-DCPP- 15FSI Sample ID: 1-17 Sample Description: Adomitic son lew stig (possibl Zorel Sample Depth: 116.4-11691 Sample Condition: secured Enterted de d, d<sub>2</sub> I<sub>1</sub> 12 2.388 Z 392 +,0001 +,0010 2.388 2399 +.0005 393 2 396 ±,0001 +.0001 2,395 .397 -,0005 2 2,390 2.397 .0011 -.000 Avg. diameter : 2, 3 93 Avg. length : 5.536 Sample area : 4.498 I/d ratio : 2.31 Sample volume(in3): 24.898 Sample weight (g) : B69.8 y Density: 34.93 8/1113=1 1pcf (1 g/in<sup>3</sup>=3.8095lb/ft<sup>3</sup>) Test Confining Pressures (psi) 80 Comments: Failed

27069 N. Bloomfield Rd., Nevada City, CA 95959 Tel/Fax (530)470-0583 email: abro@mindspring.com



4.1





 $G_{eo}$  $T_{est}$  $U_{nlimited}$ 

DATA SHEET Triaxial Compression Test of Rock (ASTM D2664)

Date: 12-17-00 Technician: A. B. ro Client: W. Lettis c. A Job: #126-DCPP Sample ID: 2-A Sample Description: 0 Ľ perp. oacs Isterted monist Sample Depth: <u>37.0 - 37.5</u> Sample Condition: Accent dı d2 L 1, 2,418 393 +.0042 Z  $\circ$ 2.406 2.420 +.0005 +10823 404 390 t.ooss 1,0005 2 2. 2.404 2.409 0020 - 10024 2,413 -.0062 -.0055 2.409 Avg. length : 5, 346 Avg. diameter : 2,407 Sample area : 4,550: 2.22 l/d ratio Sample volume(in<sup>3</sup>): 24.326Sample weight (g) : 830.69 Density: 34.14 stin2= 130.1 pcF (1 g/in<sup>3</sup>=3.8095lb/ft<sup>3</sup>) Test Confining Pressures (psi) 20 40 80 Comments: C oru a a pore ter 10000-20

27069 N. Bloomfield Rd., Nevada City, CA 95959 Tel/Fax (530)470-0583 email: abro@mindspring.com









Diablo Canyon ISFSI Data Report I, Rev. 1

I-57 of 203



DATA SHEET Triaxial Compression Test of Rock (ASTM D2664)

Date: 12-17-00 Technician: <u>A.Bro</u> Client: <u>(). Lettis</u> 2. A Job: <u>H126-DCPP-</u> Assoc Sample ID: 2-B Sample Description: \_\_\_\_\_ graine tan ( ili ith Sample Condition: received & tested moist Sample Depth: 37.5-38 d, d<sub>2</sub> L 414 416 +,0027 t. 00 32 411 +,0017 417 +,0011 414 412 + .0002 ,5002 2. 415 416 2 ०००१ 10004 415 2.409 -.000 7 .0017 Avg. diameter : 2, 4/4 Avg. length : 5.554 Sample area : 4,577 I/d ratio : 2.30 Sample volume(in<sup>3</sup>) : <u>25,420</u> Sample weight (g) : 932.2 Density: 36.67 11 139.7 pcF (1 g/in<sup>3</sup>=3.8095lb/ft<sup>3</sup>) Test Confining Pressures (psi) 10 20 40 Comments: (B)

27069 N. Bloomfield Rd., Nevada City, CA 95959 Tel/Fax (530)470-0583 email: abro@mindspring.com



**Client:** William Lettis & Associates, Inc. 1777 Botelho Dr., Suite 262 Conf. Pres. Strength Walnut Creek, CA 94596 Project: Diablo Canyon Power Plant

ISFSI

Project Number: 1223-50

**Test Date:** December 17, 2000

Diablo Canyon ISFSI Data Report I, Rev. 1

I-59 of 203

dolomitic sandstone.

(psi)

600

600

644

187

270

398

(psi)

10

20

40

10

20

40

peak

initial

final



Diablo Canyon ISFSI Data Report I, Rev. 1

I-60 of 203



DATA SHEET Triaxial Compression Test of Rock (ASTM D2664)

Client: 42. Lottis & Anna	Date: <u>/2-/7-00</u> Technician: <u>A.Bro</u>
Job: $\frac{4126-DCPP-1SFSI}{Sample ID: 2-C}$	
Sample Description: medin grained grang so art.	me fairly fricble
Sample Depth: <u>43.1-43.6</u> Sample Condition: <u>Acceived &amp; ter</u>	ted moist
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
$\frac{2.402}{2.400} > 415 + .0010 + .0010$	
$\frac{2.401}{2.401} = \frac{2.403}{2.400} = \frac{1.0005}{0005}$	
Avg. diameter: $2,409$ Avg. length: $5694$	
Sample area : $4.558$ I/d ratio : $2.36$ Sample volume(in <sup>3</sup> ): 25.953	
Sample weight (g) : $\frac{961.5}{9}$ Density : $\frac{37.05}{9}$ (1 g/in <sup>3</sup> =3 80951b/ft <sup>3</sup> )	
Test Confining Pressures (psi)102.04.0	
Comments: Failed In shears , 0	4 <sup>,</sup>
	duz

27069 N. Bloomfield Rd., Nevada City, CA 95959 Tel/Fax (530)470-0583 email: abro@mindspring.com



Boring: OO	BA-2		
Sample: 2-C	· .	Geo G U Test 27069 N. Bloomfield Rd.	
Depth: 43.1	-43.6'		
Density: 141	.1 pcf.		
DI Medium	grained gray sandstone.	Client: William Lettis & Associates, Inc.	
	Conf. Pres. Strength (psi) (psi)	Walnut Creek, CA 94596	
initial	10 863	Project: Diablo Canvon Power Plant	
	20 887	ISFSI	
	40 898		
final	10 170	Project Number: 1223-50	
	20 242		
	40 385	Test Date: December 17 2000	



I-63 of 203

Geo CG1 Test Unlimited

DATA SHEET Triaxial Compression Test of Rock (ASTM D2664)

Date: 2-17-00 Technician: A. 300 Client: W. Leffis E. Assoc Job: <u>#126 - DCPP -</u> 51 Sample ID: 2-DB Sample Description: Fin 50 with brea perp. to co - and Ż soacy cyo Sample Depth: 46.0-46.5' Sample Condition: Acceive tinted . d, **d**<sub>2</sub> I, Ī, 2.492 413 +.0018 2 +.0038 2,428 390 +.0013 000 5 2.418 ±.0005 400 7 7.0005 2.424 403 Z 0024 100 20 2.420 411 2 ,00+4 - <u>00 55</u> Avg. diameter : 2,4 14 Avg. length : <u>5.2\_24</u> Sample area : 4.577 I/d ratio : 2.16 Sample volume(in): 23,909 Sample weight (g) :  $\underline{B4B}$ .  $\underline{6}$  s Density : 35.49 8/103= 5.2 pcf (1 g/in<sup>3</sup>=3.80951b/ft<sup>3</sup>) 13 Test Confining Pressures (psi) 20 40 80 Comments: - in no discernabl possibly ba

27069 N. Bloomfield Rd., Nevada City, CA 95959 Tel/Fax (530)470-0583 email: abro@mindspring.com







27069 N. Bloomfield Rd., Nevada City, CA 95959 Tel/Fax (707)455-7684 email: abro@mindspring.com





Geo (GTL DATA SHEET Test Direct Shear of Rock (ISRM) Unlimited Client: W. Lettise. Date: 12-14-00 Tester: <u>A</u>. Bro Assoc Job: #126 - DCPP-ESI Sample ID: 1-2 ite (1) SampleDescription: blade τ. beter Sample Depth: 34.2' Sample Condition: <u>le ce</u> tested soched Sketch of Shear Surface Location of LVDTs on top shear box D=2.401 2.403 64 2.403 ్దీ ని 2.402 ି ଚ<sub>ି</sub> ଅ 0<u>-</u>1 ± (1.76 × .6) 10.337-528  $\check{o}$ Sample area : 7.809 in Estimated top box weight: 20 σ" 10 Measured top box weight: 15.6 98.1 196.2 392.4 F. <u>**98.</u></u> F.-W. <u>82</u></u>** 180 376 Joint Profiles Comments:

27069 N. Bloomfield Rd., Nevada City, CA 95959 Tel/Fax (707)455-7684 email: abro@mindspring.com

 $\mathcal{T}$ 



Test Date: December 19, 2000


$G_{e_0} \langle G_T l$ DATA SHEET Test Direct Shear of Rock (ISRM) Unlimited Client: <u>(1)</u>. <u>Lettis</u> <u>E</u>Assoc Job: <u><u>#</u>126 - <u>D</u>CPP-1SF. Sample ID: <u>1-3</u></u> Date: 12-18-00 Tester: <u>A</u>, Bro Doloni SampleDescription: Begg  $\mathcal{D}$ Sample Condition: received duy Sample Depth: 37. tested soaked Sketch of Shear Surface Location of LVDTs on top shear box D = 23942397 ్రీ 🖪 (ð...? Ś, 5. S = 4,606in A = 52 Sample area : 4.606 in Estimated top box weight: 10 20 40 Pt 46.1 92.1 184 91 31. 77 169 26 σ" Measured top box weight: \_/4.8.lls F<sub>n</sub> F<sub>n</sub>-W<sub>b</sub> Joint Profiles Comments:

27069 N. Bloomfield Rd., Nevada City, CA 95959 Tel/Fax (707)455-7684 email: abro@mindspring.com





 $\frac{1}{2}$ 

Geo (GTU DATA SHEET Test Direct Shear of Rock (ISRM) **U**nlimited Client: W.Lettis & Assoc Job: <u>#126-DCPP-13</u> Date: 12-19 Tester: 7 1-4 Sample ID: SampleDescription: me ta Sample Depth: 41.9 Sample Condition: d λ Sketch of Shear Surface Location of LVDTs on top shear box 2.40 D= 2397 ĝ.**3** 2.398 ° d' 77° Ś. A = Trr ĉ, Sample area : 4.63514 Estimated top box weight: pu 40 20 σ, Measured top box weight: 15.4 ll. 92.7 185.4 No F<sub>n</sub> F<sub>n</sub>-W<sub>b</sub> 46 77 170 31 Joint Profiles Comments: / Lecke

27069 N. Bloomfield Rd., Nevada City, CA 95959 Tel/Fax (707)455-7684 email: abro@mindspring.com







27069 N. Bloomfield Rd., Nevada City, CA 95959 Tel/Fax (707)455-7684 email: abro@mindspring.com



Boring: OOBA-1 Sample: 1-6 Depth: 88.8' DESCRIPTION			Geo GTU Test 27069 N. Bloomfield Rd. Unlimited Nevada City, CA 95959		
Moderate thin flakey	ely rough well mail coating in tan dolo Normal Stress (psi)	ed joint with a omitic sandstone. Shear Stress (psi)	Client: William Lettis & Associates, Inc. 1777 Botelho Dr., Suite 262 Walnut Creek, CA 94596		
Initial	20.6 40.1 82.0	24.2 42.4 71.0	Project: Diablo Canyon Power Plant ISFSI		
Final	24.2 47.0 96.2	13.3 23.7 45.0	Job Number: 1223-50		



Boring: OOBA-1 Sample: 1-6 Depth: 88.8' DESCRIPTION Moderately rough well mated joint with a thin flakey coating in tan dolomitic sandstone.			Geo GTU Test 27069 N. Bloomfield Rd. Unlimited Nevada City, CA 95959				
			Client: William Lettis & Associates, In 1777 Botelho Dr., Suite 262 Walnut Creek, CA 94596				
	Shear Intercept (psi)	Friction Angle (degrees)	Project:	Diablo Canyon Power Plant			
Initial Final	10.2 2.8	36.9 23.7	ISFSI Job Number: 1223-50				
		••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	Test Date	e: December 19, 2000			

 $G_{e_0} \langle G_T U$ Test DATA SHEET Direct Shear of Rock (ISRM) Unlimited Client: <u>LJ. Lettis E. Assoc</u> Job: <u>#126 - CDPP - 15</u> Date: 12-19-00 Tester: A, Bro ISFS1 Sample ID: SampleDescription itie Sample Depth: 142 Sample Condition: Acceive teste un Sketch of Shear Surface Location of LVDTs on top shear box D=2.406 2,398 ్రీసి 2.400 2.400 6.2 ဂ်ာ၊ T A=  $\delta_{i2}$ Sample area : 7.887 in<sup>2</sup> Estimated top box weight: 20 40 80 157.7 315.5 631.0  $\sigma_n$ Measured top box weight: F F Fn-W 14.900 142 300 616 Joint Profiles Comments:

27069 N. Bloomfield Rd., Nevada City, CA 95959 Tel/Fax (707)455-7684 email: abro@mindspring.com



Boring: OOBA-1 Sample: 1-7 Depth: 142.0' DESCRIPTION Wavy poorly mated joint with a black			Geo Test Unlimited Geo Test 27069 N. Bloomfield Rd. Nevada City, CA 95959
oxide co (probably c	ating and tan fla lay) in tan dolon Normal Stress (psi)	ikey coating nitic sandstone. Shear Stress (psi)	Client: William Lettis & Associates, Inc. 1777 Botelho Dr., Suite 262 Walnut Creek, CA 94596
Initial	20.5 41.8 83.0	21.8 41.8 76.8	Project: Diablo Canyon Power Plant ISFSI
Final	22.9 46.7 92.8	19.0 37.0 71.0	Job Number: 1223-50



Test Date: December 19, 2000

 $G_{eo} \langle G_T U$ DATA SHEET Test Direct Shear of Rock (ISRM) Unlimited Client: W. Lettis E. Asso Date: 12-18-07 Tester: 4.30 #126-DCPP-IS Job: Sample ID: \_\_\_\_\_8 SampleDescription: + c Earlies & sta Sample Depth: 56.5

nple Depth: 56.5 Sample Condition: received & tested moist







Geo CG7 DATA SHEET Test Direct Shear of Rock (ISRM) Unlimited Client: W. Latter C. Assoc Date: Job: #126 - DCPP Tester: A 300 Sample ID: 2-DA SampleDescription:\_ graig Sample Depth: 46.6 Sample Condition: received & teched) out

Sketch of Shear Surface Location of LVDTs on top shear box .75 3.25 .75 ్రేశ్ర z. ŏ 1.662.132.38 2.36 ĝ, δ2 2 Sample area : Estimated top box weight: 10 20 40  $\sigma_{a}$ per Measured top box weight: F<sub>n</sub> F<sub>a</sub>-W<sub>b</sub> Joint Profiles 01 Comments: 0 Ac

27069 N. Bloomfield Rd., Nevada City, CA 95959 Tel/Fax (707)455-7684 email: abro@mindspring.com

# ATTACHMENT 2

# LABORATORY ROCK TESTING REPORT

# SAMPLES COLLECTED FROM BORINGS 01-A THROUGH 01-I AND 01-CTF-A, ANDERS BRO OF GEOTEST UNLIMITED

MAY 23, 2001

Diablo Canyon ISFSI Data Report I, Rev. 1

I-88 of 203



Test Equipment Design & Fabrication Laboratory & Field Testing Consulting Services

Dr. Anders Bro

May 23, 2001

Jeff Bachhuber William Lettis & Associates, Inc. 1777 Botelho Dr, Suite 262 Walnut Creek, CA 94596

#### Dear Jeff,

Thank you very much for using my rock testing lab for this second set of tests for the Diablo Canyon Power Plant, ISFSI project. This letter report describes the tests performed and summarizes the test results along with observations which might have some bearing on interpreting the rock behavior.

The samples were obtained during two visits to the Diablo Canyon power plant, the first visit on April 20 and a second visit on April 23&24. In addition a few samples were delivered to GTU's facilities by Joseph Sun on May 7. All of the tested samples and the cut off remains were returned in person to the offices of William Lettis & Associates on May 18. The samples were prepared and tested between April 25 and May 17, 2001. A total of 20 unconfined compression tests, 25 modulus tests, 5 conventional triaxial tests, 4 triaxial tests with pore pressure measurements, and 14 direct shear tests were performed.

#### Calibration

During the previous testing program for the Diablo Canyon power plant (November 2000), the transducers were recalibrated in-house and the new calibrations checked against the prior calibrations prior to testing. In the case of the 50 kip Interface load cell (used for the unconfined and triaxial compression tests) and the two 2 kip Lebow load cells (used for the direct shear tests), the linearity and accuracy of the cell readings were compared to the loads as measured by a 20 kip Morehouse proving ring. The calibration of the 200 psi Viatran pressure transducer (used to monitor and control the confining pressure of the triaxial tests) was checked with a high precision, 300 psi Heise pressure gauge. The calibration of the Schaevitz LVDTs with a 0.2 inch stroke were all checked with an electronic Mitutoyo precision micrometer head. Finally the calibration of the shear box) was checked with a 1 inch stroke (used to measure the shear displacement of the shear box) was checked with a mechanical Mitutoyo micrometer head. Of these checks, only one load cell (the one used to measure the normal load in the shear box) resulted in a slight shift from the original calibration. For this 2000 lb load cell, a shift in the gain of about 25 lb (an error of 1.2%) was encountered. Before testing, the gain was adjusted to eliminate this error. Both of the Lebow cells appeared to have a 2 lb hysteresis (ie a 0.1% error) which developed during a loading/unloading cycle. The calibration sheets are appended to this letter report.

#### **Test Procedures**

The tests were all performed following the applicable ASTM procedures (ASTM 2938, ASTM 2664, a modified version of ASTM 4767, and ASTM 5607). The specific procedures used at GTU are appended to this letter.

### **Test Results**

The results of the testing program are summarized below. With the exception of the unconfined compressive strengths, all of the values require some interpretation of the plotted test results. The derived values presented in the summary tables below express one interpretation. Alternative approaches for deriving the rock properties are possible. The plots appended to this letter report should be referred to in evaluating the properties selected for these summary tables. In the case of the unconfined and triaxial test samples, the densities have been calculated from the measured sample dimensions and weights.

Tel/Fax (530)470-0583 email: abro@mindspring.com

Diablo Canyon ISFSI Data Report I, Rev. 1

I-89of 203

Sample	Boring	Description	Density	E	ν	$\sigma_{\mu c}$
Number	(Depth)	· · ·	(pcf)	(x10 <sup>3</sup> psi)		(psi)
1	01-A	Tan well cemented medium grained	161.4	1,520	NR?	2888
	(19.5')	sandstone.			(.08)	
2	01-A	Tan medium grained sandstone	146.6	649	NR	1113
	(24.5')				(.55)	
4	01-D	Tan weak clayey medium grained	142.3	75.8	.15	207
	(25.5')	sandstone.				
6	01-D	Tan fine to medium grained	147.1	630	.30	959
	(48.5')	dolomitic sandstone.				
9	01-G	Tan fine grained dolomitic	138.2	1,670	.18	3702
	(28.8')	sandstone.				
10	01-G	Gray friable clayey medium grained	130.7	15.2	NR	136
	(69.0')	sandstone.			(.03)	
11	01-H	Tan fine grained dolomitic	138.9	1,090	.13	2434
	(11.0')	sandstone.				
12	01-H	Tan fine grained dolomitic	155.1	4,000	.33	10,252
	(52.5')	sandstone.				
14	01-CTF-A	Gray fine to medium grained soft	128.8	3.5	.29	28.9
	(8.8')	sandstone				
15	01-CTF-A	Tan clayey friable medium grained	138.3	119	.17	400
	(13.5')	sandstone.				
18	01-B	Tan fine to medium grained	147.3	2,330	.23	4778
	(26.5')	(dolomitic?) sandstone.				
19	01-B	Tan medium grained sandstone.	132.4	206	.23	452
	(38.0')	······				
22	01-C	Tan fine grained (dolomitic?)	155.0	4,920	.23	4504
	(24.0')	sandstone.				
26	01-E	Tan fine grained (dolomitic?)	129.4	143	.20	437
	(22.0')	sandstone.				
28	01-E	Tan fine grained (dolomitic?)	135.8	850	.15	2958
	(49.0°)	sandstone.				
30	01-F	Light tan medium grained	138.9	1,250	.20	2543
	(57.6')	sandstone.				
38	01-1	Dark gray fine grained dolomitic	144.2	794	.30	1834
	(159.5')	sandstone.				
39A	01-1	Tan fine to medium grained	140.3	NP	NP	505
	(130.47)	sandstone.				
40A	01-1	I an thinly bedded fine grained	142.0	2,140	.17	6373
	(88.4')	dolomitic sandstone.				
42		I an fine grained dolomitic	141.5	794	NR?	3504
	(44.U´)	sandstone.			1 (.10)	

Unconfined Compression Test Result Summary

Abbreviations: NR - The values do not appear to be reasonable. NP - The sample was so weak that the modulus test was not performed.

24

.

Sample	Boring	Description	Density	E	ν	С	0
Number	(Depth)	-	(pcf)	(x10 <sup>3</sup> psi)		(psi)	(deg.)
3	01-A (35.5')	Gray medium grained weak friable sandstone.	145.3	33	NR	100	40
5	01-D (28.0')	Tan medium grained clayey weak sandstone.	144.5	63	NR? (.06)	170	26
13	01-H (57.0')	Gray clayey friable medium grained sandstone.	131.7	8.7	.16	95	22
17	01-CTF-A (53.8')	Gray clayey friable medium grained altered sandstone.	127.1	NP	NP	78	8
32	01-F (117.7')	Gray clayey friable soft fine to medium grained sandstone.	135.8	8.3	.32	90	6

Triaxial Test Result Summary (conventional hard rock test procedure)

Abbreviations:

NR - The values do not appear to be reasonable, or it was not possible to measure a value.

NP - The sample was so weak that the modulus test was not performed.

### Notes:

Sample #27, Boring 01-E (41.2'), was too weak to perform a modulus test and it fell apart on trying to load the sample into the triaxial cell. Therefore there is no entry in this table for the sample.

Sample	Boring	Description	Density	E	ν	C'	6'
Number	(Depth)		(pcf)	(x10 <sup>3</sup> psi)		(psi)	(deg.)
7	01-D	Tan clayey altered medium	NM	NP	NP	17	25
	(55.5')	grained sandstone.				25	25
16	01-CTF-A	Tan clayey friable altered	133.2	60.6	.17	95	22
	(48.8')	medium grained sandstone.					
21	01-C	Gray clayey friable medium	132.5	NP	NP	$26^{2}$	8
	(9.5')	grained sandstone.				41	24
25	01-E	Tan fractured and sheared weak	135.8	NP	NP	223	30
	(7.0')	clayey altered fine grained				12	44
		dolomitic sandstone.					

Triaxial Test Result Summary (weak rock test procedure w/ pore pressure)

Abbreviations:

NP - The sample was so weak that the modulus test was not performed.

NM - The weight was not measured as the sample was too weak.

Notes:

-01

1. The first set of  $c,\phi$  values are at a strain of 3.0% and the second set of values are assessed at 5.3% strain.

2. The first set of  $c,\phi$  values are at a strain of 2.8% and the second set of values are assessed at 4.7% strain.

3. The first set of  $c,\phi$  values are at a strain of 1.7% and the second set of values are assessed at 3.4% strain.

Direct Shear Test Result Summary							
Sample	Boring	Description	S <sub>i</sub>	φ			
Number	(Depth)		(psi)	(deg.)			
20	01-B	Slightly wavy contact between hard dolomite and soft	5.9 (i)	24.5 (i)			
	(48.8')	clayey altered dolomite.	6.3 (f)	21.0 (f)			
23	01-C	Very thin gray clay coated slickensided joint in gray	1.0 (i)	9.7 (i)			
	(41.4')	medium grained sandstone.	3.4 (f)	7.3 (f)			
24	01-C	Wavy lightly bonded joint with a thin tan clay coating	2.8 (i)	4.7 (i)			
	(44.3')	in tan medium grained sandstone.	3.4 (f)	5.5 (f)			
29	01-E	Planar well mated bedding joint in tan fine to medium	4.5 (i)	40.5 (i)			
ł	(51.8')	grained sandstone.	0.6 (f)	41.6 (f)			
31	01-F	Tan sandy soft clay seam (0.5-1.0" thick) in tan clayey	3.9 (i) <sup>1</sup>	0 (i)			
	(117.0')	fine to medium grained sandstone.	4.7 (f)	0 (f)			
33	01-F	Gray clay seam (<0.05" thick) in gray weak clayey	3.5 (i)	12.8 (i)			
	(118.3')	medium grained sandstone.	7.3 (f)	9.4 (f)			
34	01-CTF-A	Contact between tan sandy clay and tan clayey fine to	5.8 (i)	29.3 (i)			
	(32.6')	medium grained sandstone.					
35	01-H	Dark gray clay filled irregular joint (0.1-0.4" thick) in	2.1 (i)	1.7 (i)			
	(94.5')	gray clayey medium grained sandstone.	5.1 (f)	3.8 (f)			
36	01-I	Planar bedding joint in tan thinly bedded very fine	17.8 (i)	35.1 (i)			
	(174.0')	grained dolomitic sandstone.	5.4 (f)	31.1 (f)			
37	01-I	Bedding plane joint in tan fine grained dolomitic	1.1 (i)	18.3(i)			
	(168.5')	sandstone, with a thin lamination and clay coating.	2.4 (f)	17.8 (f)			
39B	01-I	Tan clay seam $(0.1-0.2)$ " thick) in tan fine grained	2.8 (i)	9.4 (i)			
	(130.4')	dolomitic sandstone.	3.3 (f)	8.3 (f)			
40B	01-I	Planar bedding joint in tan fine grained dolomitic	9.9 (i)	34.7 (i)			
	(88.8')	sandstone.	5.0 (f)	29.1 (f)			
41A	01-I	Tan clay seam about <sup>3</sup> / <sub>4</sub> inch thick in tan fine grained	4.4 (i)	19.8 (i)			
	(45.6')	sandstone.	4.3 (f)	15.3 (f)			
41B	01-I	Planar bedding joint in tan fine grained dolomitic	6.7 (i)	44.0 (i)			
	(46.1')	sandstone.	1.6 (f)	36.4 (f)			

#### . .

Abbreviations:

i - Initial strength parameters.

f - Final strength parameters.

Notes:

1. - The sample strength actually decreased slightly with increasing normal stress.

Unconfined Compression Test Results The strengths of these samples spanned a large range, from 28.9 psi to 10,252 psi. This range truly represents the large variation of sample competencies found in these samples, from weak plastic clayey altered sandstone to quite competent fine grained dolomitic sandstone. The sample strengths can somewhat arbitrarily be broken down into sub-categories as follows:

Number of Samples	Range of Strength (psi)	Moduli (x10 <sup>3</sup> psi)	Poisson's Ratios
3	28.9-207	3.5, 15.2, 75.8	NR(.03), .15, .29
4	400-505	NP, 119, 143, 206	NP, .17, .20, .23
3	959-1834	630, 649, 794	.29, .30, .30
6	2434-3702	794, 850, 1090, 1250, 1520, 1670	NR(.08), NR(.10), .13, .15, .18, .20
2	4504-4778	2330, 4920	.23, .23
1	6373	2140	.17
1	10,252	4000	.33

It should be noted that this distribution of strengths may be skewed toward the high end due to sampling bias. Much of the core observed in the core boxes was quite fractured and clayey and often was highly disturbed. It was often difficult, if not impossible, to obtain representative samples of these weaker materials. On the other hand there were also quite a few lengths of competent core obtained from the drilling program which were overlooked for testing as they were not thought to be critical for slope stability design.

#### Conventional Triaxial Test Results

The samples selected for the conventional triaxial tests generally consisted of weaker rock. These samples were weak enough to exhibit quite plastic behavior. The range of moduli were well within the range of the range of those measured in the weakest grouping of the unconfined compression test results, indicating that the unconfined strengths were likely quite low. These soft samples generally exhibited strain hardening behavior. Except for Sample 5 which failed at a fairly low strain of about 1.5%, the other samples reached their peak strengths between about 3-6%. It may be that the strengths measured at these large strains are not appropriate for design as any structure supported on such a mass might not be able to sustain such large strains. In light of these considerations, the strength values reported in the summary table may need to be reduced.

# Triaxial Test Results (weak rock procedure with pore pressure measurements)

These samples were generally the weakest of all of the samples. As such, great care was required to load the samples into the triaxial cell. Sample disturbance which would have been incurred by performing the modulus tests on these samples was thought to be so great that modulus testing was only performed on one of the four samples. It is interesting to note that the samples which was strong enough to allow modulus testing was also the only sample which exhibited quite standard stress-strain behavior with peak strengths being attained between 1.9 and 2.8% strain, with little strain hardening behavior.

The strain hardening behavior made it difficult to establish any definitive strength for the samples, as no peak strengths were achieved. For these samples, a strain based failure analysis was performed. This analysis was developed for another PG&E project, Scott Dam, and a technical note detailing the approach is appended to this letter report.

The samples generally exhibited significant pore pressure increases during the tests. These pressures increased quite rapidly during the initial loading phase of each stage, and once the constant slope, strain hardening phase was entered, the pore pressure remained quite constant, although they did appear to decline slowly as the samples continued to strain harden.

The first strength measured for Sample 16 occurred unexpectedly soon, and as a result, the sample weakened slightly before the sample could be unloaded in preparation for the next stage. Therefore the two strengths in the subsequent stages are likely less than what one might measure on an undisturbed sample. Consequently, the later two Mohr circles for this sample may be a bit too small to represent the undisturbed strength of this sample. The true cohesion may be a little smaller, and the true friction angle may be a little bit higher than reported in the summary table.

The analysis of the Sample 21 test result presented a dilemma in that the last stage was characterized by a quite nonlinear hardening curve. This behavior is quite unusual and made it difficult to chose an appropriate linearization to represent the hardening during this stage. One choice has been made and the circles drawn accordingly. The change in c and  $\phi$ , from one strain to another is quite extreme and is likely a consequence of this choice. One has a great deal of freedom in analyzing this test result, and this result may wish to be revised.

## Direct Shear Test Results

These test results appear to fall into three categories. The clean bedding plane joints, Samples 29, 36, 40B, and 41B, all had quite high friction angles in the range of 34.7- 44.0° for initial values and 29.1-41.6° for final values. A group of four samples, Sample 20, 34, 37, and 41A, generally contained a fair amount of clay and had friction angles ranging from 18.3-29.3° for initial values and 15.3-21.0° for final values. The third category of samples had very low friction angles ranging from 0-2.8° for initial values to 0-9.4° for final values. All of these weakest joints were also coated with clay. The very low friction angles (three of the samples had friction angles less than 5.5°) were likely a result of the clay coatings being sheared in an undrained condition.

The most interesting test was that of Sample 31. This thick clay filled joint actually exhibited a "negative" friction angle. As the normal load was increased, the shear strength actually decreased. This decrease was not a manifestation of strain softening, as the test was repeated and the behavior was duplicated. Instead it is likely that the initial normal load was high enough to take the clay filling into a near plastic state. Under these lower normal loads, the clay could sustain some shear load. At higher normal loads, the clay likely became plastic and even a relatively small shear load was sufficient to result in plastic shear flow. It should be noted that following the test the clay in this joint was heavily deformed and for the most part had squeezed out of the joint.

The stress-displacement curve for Sample 34 is quite unusual. This irregular shape is not a real indication of the sample shear displacements, but is rather a manifestation of extreme shear box rotation and the positioning of the shear displacement transducer. The shear and normal stresses however are unaffected by the box rotations and they can still be used to derive the initial failure envelope.

len Bro

I-94of 203



Int. J. Rock Mech. Min. Sci. & Geomech. Abstr. Vol. 00, No. 0, pp. 000-000, 1996 Copyright © 1996 Elsevier Science Ltd Printed in Great Britain. All rights reserved 0148-9062(96)00043-5

# Technical Note

# Analysis of Multistage Triaxial Test Results for a Strain-Hardening Rock

A. BRO†

#### INTRODUCTION

Some weak rocks appear to behave quite differently from conventional rocks in that failure is characterized by a significant amount of strain hardening. This behavior creates a problem if the results are to be interpreted using a conventional peak strength approach. An analysis is presented here which incorporates strain in the failure envelope. The final result of the data reduction is a relation between cohesion and strain, and friction angle and strain.

#### WEAK ROCK BEHAVIOR IN MULTISTAGE TRIAXIAL COMPRESSION

The procedure for performing a multistage test is to apply the first confining pressure, and then to steadily increase the axial load until the sample deforms plastically. The confining pressure is then increased and axial loading is resumed until the sample fails a second time. This procedure is repeated for as many stages as desired.

A typical stress-strain curve (Fig. 1) starts with a steep recoverable loading period (Section A). At some point, nonrecoverable deformations begin (Kinkpoint B) and the loading curve continues at a decreased, but fairly linear slope (Section C). The increase in the confining pressure occurs at Point D, after which the next loading cycle begins.

The initial slopes of the recoverable loading sections (e.g. Section A) appear to be fairly constant from one confining pressure to the next. On the other hand, the slopes of the post-kink stress-strain curves (e.g. Section C) appear to increase as the confining pressure increases. In addition, the post-kink slope appears to be fairly linear for the range of strains involved in these tests (<5%). However, if a sample is taken to very large strain (10-20%) the slope tends to decrease and ultimately becomes horizontal.

In light of the strain dependent strength and the large strains required to reach ultimate strength, conventional data reduction techniques may be unsuitable for these test results. Instead, a method of reducing the data is proposed which accounts for the strain hardening. With this analysis in hand, an engineer has a rational approach for determining the material strength.

#### DEVELOPING A STRAIN HARDENING FAILURE ENVELOPE

The steep sections of the stress-strain curve are treated as elastic, and the post-kink sections (e.g. Section C) represent strain hardening failure. To develop a failure envelope for a strain hardening material, one needs to evaluate the material strength when subjected to two different confining pressures, but at the same strain. This requirement is not physically possible. Instead, a small extrapolation is used to arrive at the desired information. In numerous tests, the post-kink slope continues in a nearly linear manner for a large range of strains. Thus, it is not unreasonable to make a small extrapolation of Section C outward to a strain greater than the kink-point of the next test stage (e.g. to Point E). A vertical line can then be drawn which intersects the second stage results (Point F) and the first stage results (Point G). These two points represent the strength of the material at this one strain, but at two different confining pressures. Thus, one can develop a pair of Mohr circles (the solid circles in Fig. 2) and develop a C and  $\phi$  for this one strain. One repeats the process, extending the stress-strain curve for the second stage to past the third stage kink-point strain, and develops another pair of Mohr circles (the dashed circles in Fig. 2) and a failure envelope which is representative of this larger strain. Finally, the resulting cohesions and friction angles are plotted as a function of strain (Fig. 3)...

The accuracy of this analysis can be checked by recovering the original stress-strain curve from the C and  $\phi$  vs strain plot. Two back calculated strengths have been plotted in Fig. 1 as heavy crosses. These strengths are obtained by: (1) determining the cohesion and friction angle for the desired strain; (2) calculating the effective axial stress at failure using the equation:

$$\sigma_1 = 2C \tan\left(45 + \frac{\phi}{2}\right) + \sigma_3 \tan^2\left(45 + \frac{\phi}{2}\right)$$

tGeo Test Unlimited, 800 Peralta Avenue, San Leandro, CA 94577, Diablo Carryon ISFSI I-95of 203 Data Report I, Rev. 1





Fig. 1. Typical triaxial test result-axial stress, cell pressure and sample water pressure vs axial strain.

in which the confining pressure is the effective confining pressure; (3) adding the sample water pressure to arrive at the axial strengths as plotted in Fig. 1.

So, is the analysis any good? The two back calculated bold points appear to be somewhat representative of the sample strengths at two different confining pressures. But there seems to be a problem when the strength of the sample subjected to the highest confining pressure is projected backward to smaller strains (the small crosses in Fig. 1). This curve has a slight upward concavity, which is contrary to the expected behavior. One would expect the slope of the stress-strain to decrease with increasing strain. Despite these small inconsistencies, the results are quite reasonable considering that the analysis is based on only four points extracted from the stress-strain curve. The deviations from the measured strengths are likely due to the linear approximation of the strain hardening process. In fact, these post-kink slopes gradually decrease with strain. It should be possible to more accurately account for these nonlinearities using a four stage test. A second order relation could then be developed between the cohesion and strain, and the friction angle and strain using the strengths measured at three different strains. This refinement would increase the accuracy of the analysis, but make it more complicated and unwieldy. (Also, such refinements might not be warranted in light of the highly variable nature of these weak rocks.)



RMMS-MS 242

Postscript

1





#### POSSIBLE EXPLANATION FOR THE SAMPLE BEHAVIOR

Whereas homogeneous rocks tend to result in either elastic-plastic or elastic-brittle behavior, these strain hardening rocks consist of a highly heterogeneous mixture of weak and strong components. The weak rock could be thought of as a hard skeleton (comprised of relatively hard rock chips, layers and rounded aggregate) surrounded by a weak clayey matrix. As the sample begins to be loaded, the stiffer skeleton sustains the majority of the load, and the sample behaves in an elastic manner. As the load increases further, the contacts between the skeleton members exceed their shear strength and tend to slide, resulting in the start of plastic deformation. As sliding develops on the contacts, the skeleton is constrained by the weak matrix. The weak matrix starts to become stressed and the contacts come to a new state of equilibrium. As the sample is loaded further, the skeletal contacts are remobilized. As a larger proportion of the weak matrix constrains the contacts. the strengths of the skeletal contacts increase, thus resulting in a strain hardening behavior. After a large amount of strain, all of the matrix is mobilized to a fully plastic state, and can no longer constrain the skeleton. Subsequently, the sample becomes perfectly plastic, or possibly weakens as it starts to break apart.

One of the implications of this hypothetical mechanism of deformation is that it may be difficult to develop a model material with strain hardening behavior which would be suitable for performing parametric laboratory studies. If this model of weak rock is valid, the structure of the strong skeleton may prove to be just as important as the relative proportion of the weak and strong components. The difficulty may come in trying to replicate this skeletal structure.

#### USING A STRAIN HARDENING ENVELOPE FOR THE ANALYSIS OF STRUCTURES

Conventional limit analyses are generally based on the ultimate strength of the rock. For materials such as those discussed here, such an approach would be inappropriate. A structure would likely fail before the foundation materials could reach the large strains which accompany their ultimate strength. Thus, some strength less than the ultimate strength would be more suitable for design. The question is what should that strength be? Another, possibly more tractable approach is to ask the question of how much strain can be accommodated by the structure, and consequently the maximum strain which the ground can be allowed to sustain. Once this assessment is made, the rock strength parameters can be determined. One advantage of this approach is that the analysis could be confirmed directly with field measurements of displacement (as opposed to inferred stress measurements) as the structure is being constructed and after it is in-service.

Acknowledgements—I would like to thank Richard E. Goodman, as well as Bob McManus and those in his group at Pacific Gas & Electric for their friendly help and discussions during the testing program when some of these ideas were developed.

Accepted for publication 14 July 1996

I-97of 203

This page was purposely left blank.

I-98of 203



0

Date: 12 - 15 - 00Technician: <u>A</u>, <u>To</u> Temperature: <u>22°C</u>

# LOAD CELL CALIBRATION SHEET (in-system calibration)

 Load Cell Mfg: Lebow
 Capacity: 2000 (lb) Model #: 2169
 Serial #: 4657 (NORALL

 Signal Conditioner Mfg: Daytronics
 Model #: 9187A
 Serial #: \_\_\_\_\_
 Serial #: 4657 (NORALL

 Data Acquisition System Mfg: Computer Boards, Inc.
 Model #: 9187A
 Serial #: \_\_\_\_\_\_
 Serial #: 4657 (NORALL

 Input Range: Bipolar SV
 Software Calibration Factor: 400 (lb/V)
 Software Calibration Factor: 400 (lb/V)
 Serial #: 1493 lb

 Reference Proving Ring Mfg: Morehouse
 Range: 20,000 lb
 Series #: 5100
 Serial #: 892

 Reference Calibration Date: August 3, 1995
 Reference "ASTM Uncertainty" (2.4xStd. Dev.): 7.17lb

Proving Ring Deflection:  $D = -0.1184 + 0.01786L + 1.451x10^{-8}L^2$ Proving Ring Temp. Correction:  $D_{23} = D_1 - 0.00027(T - 23)D_1$ 

Deflection (measured/corrected) (divisions)	(lb)	(lb)
(measured/corrected) (divisions)	(lb)	(lb)
(divisions)	(lb)	(lb)
0	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	
	0	0
3.6	208	+5
7.2	400	-1
10.6	600	+2
14.1	796	+6
17.5	986	+15
21.1	1187	+ 14
24.6	1382	+19
28.1	1578	+21
31,6	1773	+26
34.7	1946	+28
31.6	1773	+26
28.2	1583	+ 28
24.7	1388	+ 10
21.1	1187	+ 11
17.4	980	+10
14.1	796	+ 2.
10.6	600	+2
7.(	404	- 5
3.6	208	- 9
	34.7     31.6     28.2     24.7     21.1     17.4     10.6     7.6     3.6	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

10

27069 N. Bloomfield Rd., Nevada City, CA 95959 Tel/Fax (530)470-0583 email: abro@mindspring.com



Date: 12-15-00Technician: <u>A.Bro</u> Temperature: <u>22°</u>

## LOAD CELL CALIBRATION SHEET (in-system calibration)

Load Cell Mfg: <u>Lebow</u> Capacity: <u>2</u>, <u>2000</u> (lb) Model #: <u>3169</u> Serial #: <u>4661</u> (<u>SHEAZ</u>) Signal Conditioner Mfg: <u>Daytronics</u> Model #: <u>9187A</u> Serial #: <u>—</u> Data Acquisition System Mfg: <u>Computer Boards, Inc.</u> Model #: <u>CIO-DAS 1600</u> Serial #: <u>none</u> Input Range: <u>Bipolar 5V</u> Software Calibration Factor: <u>4000</u> (lb/V) Shunt Calibration Resistor: <u>—</u> (ohms) Equivalent Load: <u>1473</u> (lb) Reference Proving Ring Mfg: <u>Morehouse</u> Range: <u>20,000 lb</u> Series #: <u>\$100</u> Serial #: <u>892</u> Reference Calibration Date: <u>August 3, 1995</u> Reference "ASTM Uncertainty" (2.4xStd. Dev.): <u>7,17lb</u>

Proving Ring Deflection:  $D = -0.1184 + 0.01786L + 1.451x10^{-8}L^2$ Proving Ring Temp. Correction:  $D_{23} = D_t - 0.00027(T-23)D_t$ 

Load Cell	Proving Ring	Proving Ring	Proving Ring Load	Error
Readings	Reading	Deflection		
±.50	I.I	(measured/corrected)		
(lb)	(divisions)	(divisions)	(lb)	<u>(lb)</u>
0	6.8	0	0	<u> </u>
203	10.4	3.6	208	- 5
401	. 14.0	7.2	410	- 9
608	17.6	10.8	611	- 3
802	21	14,2	801	+1
999	24.7	17,9	997	+ 2
1197	28,1	21.3	1198	- 1
1407	31.9	25,1	14 60	- 3
1600	35.4	28.6	1606	- 6
1800	39.0	32.2	1807	-7
1980	42.2	35.4	1985	- 5
1800	39.0	32,2	1807	-7
1592	35,4	28,6	1606	- 8
1394	31.8	25.0	1404	-10
1199	28.2	21.4	1203	- 4
989	24.5	17,7	997	- g
795	21.0	14,2	801	-6
597	2, 71	10.7	605	- 8
399	14,0	7.2	410	-11
190	10.2	3,4	197	- 7
-0	6.8	0	0	

Comments:

nio

27069 N. Bloomfield Rd., Nevada City, CA 95959 Tel/Fax (530)470-0583 email: abro@mindspring.com

Diablo Canyon ISFSI Data Report I, Rev. 1 I-100of 203



Date: 12-15-00 Technician: A.Bro Temperature: 24°C

## LOAD CELL CALIBRATION SHEET (in-system calibration)

Load Cell Mfg: Interface Capacity: 10,000 (lb) Model #: 1210A0-10KSerial #: 68854 Signal Conditioner Mfg: Daytronics Model #: 9187A Serial #: \_\_\_\_ Data Acquisition System Mfg: Computer Boards, Inc. Model #: CIO-DAS 1600 Serial #: none Input Range: Bipolar SV Software Calibration Factor: 2000 (lb/V) Shunt Calibration Resistor: \_\_\_\_\_ (ohms) Equivalent Load: 3572 \_(lb) Reference Proving Ring Mfg: Morehouse Range: 20.000 lb Series #: S100 Serial #: 892. Reference Calibration Date: August 3, 1995 Reference "ASTM Uncertainty" (2.4xStd. Dev.): 7.17lb

Proving Ring Deflection:  $D = -0.1184 + 0.01786L + 1.451x10^{-8}L^2$ Proving Ring Temp. Correction:  $D_{23} = D_1 - 0.00027(T - 23)D_1$  (correction = .04.'. not used)

Load Cell	Proving Ring	Proving Ring	Proving Ring Load	Error
Readings	Reading	Deflection	6 6	
±zlb	±~1	(measured/corrected)		
(lb)	(divisions)	(divisions)	(lb)	(lb)
0	6.8	0	0	0
1010	24.8	18	1014	- 4
2000	42.7	35.9	2013	- 13
3012	60.7	53.9	3017	- 5
4026	79.0	72.2	4036	-10
5025	97.0	90.2	5036	-11
6028	114.9	(08.1	6030	-2
7008	132.6	125.8	7010	-2
8030	151.0	144.2	8028	+2
9017	168.9	162.1	9017	0
7931	149,1	142,3	7923	+8
6992	132.2	125.4	6988	+ 4
5997	114.2	107.4	5991	+6
5008	96.5		5009	- 1
4012	78.5	71.7	4008	+4
2968	59.9	53,1	2972	- 4
2000	42.6	35.8	2008	- B
997	24,6	17.8	1002	- 5
<u>D</u>	6.9	•1	6	-6

Comments:

ter 2 lood cycles OK

27069 N. Bloomfield Rd., Nevada City, CA 95959 Tel/Fax (530)470-0583 email: abro@mindspring.com

Diablo Canyon ISFSI Data Report I, Rev. 1

I-101 of 203



Date: 12/15/60Technician:  $\Delta$ . 3/60Temperature: 75F = 24°c

### LOAD CELL CALIBRATION SHEET (in-system calibration)

 Load Cell Mfg: 
 <a href="https://www.cellingeright">https://www.cellingeright</a>
 Capacity: <a href="https://www.cellingeright">50000</a> (lb) Model #: <a href="https://www.cellingeright">1221A0</a> Serial #: <a href="https://www.cellingeright">69767</a>

 Signal Conditioner Mfg: <a href="https://www.cellingeright">Data Acquisition System Mfg: Computer Boards. Inc.</a> Model #: <a href="https://www.cellingeright">https://www.cellingeright</a> Model #: <a href="https://www.cellingeright">1221A0</a> Serial #: <a href="https://www.cellingeright">serial #: <a href="https://www.cellingeright">69767</a>

 Signal Conditioner Mfg: <a href="https://www.cellingeright">Daytronics</a> Model #: <a href="https://www.cellingeright">1221A0</a> Serial #: <a href="https://www.cellingeright">serial #: <a href="https://www.cellingeright">cellingeright</a>

 Data Acquisition System Mfg: <a href="https://www.cellingeright">computer Boards. Inc.</a> Model #: <a href="https://www.cellingeright">CIO-DAS 1600</a> Serial #: <a href="https://www.none">none</a>

 Input Range: <a href="https://www.cellingeright">Biolar 5V</a>
 Software Calibration Factor: <a href="https://www.cellingeright">/www.cellingeright</a>
 Software Calibration Factor: <a href="https://www.cellingeright">/www.cellingeright</a>

 Shunt Calibration Resistor:
 (ohms)
 Equivalent Load: <a href="https://www.cellingeright">//www.cellingeright</a>

 Reference Proving Ring Mfg: <a href="https://www.cellingeright">Morehouse</a>
 Range: <a href="https://www.cellingeright">20,000 lb</a>
 Serial #: <a href="https://www.cellingeright">802</a>

 Reference Calibration Date: <a href="https://www.cellingeright">August 3,

Proving Ring Deflection:  $D = -0.1184 + 0.01786L + 1.451x10^{-8}L^2$ Proving Ring Temp. Correction:  $D_{23} = D_1 - 0.00027(T - 23)D_1$ 

Load Cell	Proving Ring	Proving Ring	Proving Ring Load	Error
Readings	Reading	Deflection		
± 1016		(measured/corrected)		
(lb)	(divisions)	(divisions)	(lb)	(lb)
0	7.3	0	0	0
2000	43	35.7	2002	- 2
4030	79.5	72,2	4036	-6
1000	114.7	107,4	5991	+9
8000	150.6	143.3	7978	+22
10.020	187.4	180.1	10009	+11
12,050	224.4	217,1	12044	+ 6
14,020	260-2	2.52.9	14007	+13
16.010	296.8	289.5	10007	+ 3
18,030	333.7	326.4	18018	+12
19,040	352.5	345.2	19040	0
17,930	332.1	324.8	17931	-1
16,010	296.8	2,89,5	16 007	+ 3
14,000	2 59.8	252.5	13985	+15
12,000	223,2	215.9	11978	+22
9990	186.7	179.4	9970	+20
8020	151.1	143.8	8006	+14
5930	113.3	106.0	5913	+ 17
4030	79.3	72.0	4025	+5
2010	43at	35,8	2008	+2
0	7,1	- •1	- 6	+6

Comments:

recal 1

27069 N. Bloomfield Rd., Nevada City, CA 95959 Tel/Fax (530)470-0583 email: abro@mindspring.com



Date: 2-16-00Technician: 4.370Temperature:  $21^{\circ}$ 

# PRESSURE TRANSDUCER CALIBRATION SHEET (in-system calibration)

 Transducer Mfg: Victran
 Capacity: 200 (psi)
 Model #: 104
 Serial #: 21|544

 Signal Conditioner Mfg: Daytronics
 Model #: 9187A
 Serial #: \_\_\_\_\_
 Serial #: 21|544

 Data Acquisition System Mfg: Computer Boards, Inc.
 Model #: 010-DAS 1600
 Serial #: none

 Input Range: Bipolar SV
 Software Calibration Factor: 40 (psi/V)
 (psi/V)

 Shunt Calibration Resistor: \_\_\_\_\_\_ (ohms)
 Equivalent Load: \_\_\_\_\_\_ (psi)
 (psi)

 Reference Gauge Mfg: Helle
 Range: 300 psi
 Model #: 12
 Serial #: C-56764

Transducer	Reference	Error
Reading	Reading	
±.2	±.2°	
(psi)	(psi)	(psi)
0	0.5	
20.0	20.0	
40,1	40.0	
67.0	67.0	
79.7	79.5	
104	104.0	
121.9	121.9	
142.3	142.3	
160.9	160.8	
180.2	180,4	
160.5	160.5	
141.0	141.0	
120.0	120.0	
100,5	100.5	
79.6	79.5	
61.1	61.0	
40.5	40.5	
19.9	19.9	
0	0,5	

#### Comments:

27069 N. Bloomfield Rd., Nevada City, CA 95959 Tel/Fax (530)470-0583 email: abro@mindspring.com

Diablo Canyon ISFSI Data Report I, Rev. 1

I-103of 203



Date: <u>5/4/</u> Technician: <u>4.7</u> Temperature: <u>1/</u> 01

# PRESSURE TRANSDUCER CALIBRATION SHEET (in-system calibration)

Transducer Mfg: Viatran	Capacity: 500 (psi)	Model #: 104	Serial # 211543
Signal Conditioner Mfg: Daytronics	Model #: 9187A Serial #:		
Data Acquisition System Mfg: Comp	iter Boards, Inc. Model #:	CIO-DAS 1600 Seria	# none
Input Range: Bipolar 5V Software	Calibration Factor: 100	(psi/V)	
Shunt Calibration Resistor:	(ohms) Equivalent Loa	d: — (nsi)	
Reference Gauge Mfg: Herse	Range: 300	Model #: 12"	Serial #: C- 56764
Reference Calibration Date: 6-19.	.91	<u></u>	

	Transducer	Reference	Error
	Reading	Reading	LIIOI
elect.	$+ 2 \rho S_{1}$	Reading	
<i>woise</i>	(psi)	(psi)	(nsi)
	0	0	0
	290.1	290	+.1
	252.2	252	4.2
	203.3	203	+,3
	151.4	151	+.4
	101.0	100.7	+.3
	50,3	50.7	Ð
		0	
-			
ļ			
L			
_			
L			

Comments:

27069 N. Bloomfield Rd., Nevada City, CA 95959 Tel/Fax (530)470-0583 email: abro@mindspring.com



Date: 12 - 16 - 05Technician:  $4 \cdot \Box \sim$ Temperature:  $19^{\circ}$ 

# LVDT CALIBRATATION SHEET (in-system calibration)

 LVDT Mfg:
 Schaeui+z Model #: pc A-220-100 Serial #: 744 g

 Signal Conditioner Mfg: Daytronics
 Model #: 9130
 Serial #: \_\_\_\_\_

 Data Acquisition System Mfg: Computer Boards, Inc.
 Model #: CIO-DAS 1600

 Serial#: none
 Input Range: Bipolar 5V
 Software Calibration Factor: \_\_\_\_\_ (in/V)

 Micrometer: Mitutoyo
 Model #: 350-711-10
 Serial #: 109105

 Precision: 0.00005 inches
 Calibration Date: none

		water and the second	
Micrometer	LVDT	Linearized	Error
Readings	Readings	Reading	
(in.)	(Volts)	(in)	(in)
6	- 5,000		
. 02	- 3.958		
.04	-2.926		
,06	- 1, 893		
.08	- · 855		
.10	+.186		
.12	+1.224		
.14	2,259		
016	3,291		
./8	4,329		
0192	4,958		
.18	4,326		
.16	3,290		
.14	2.256		
.12	1.223		
.10	+ 184		
.08	857		
.06	-1,896		
.04	-2.929		
.02	-3,957		
0	-5,000		

Comments

27069 N. Bloomfield Rd., Nevada City, CA 95959 Tel/Fax (530)470-058 email: abro@mindspring.com



Date:  $12 - 16 - \sigma \sigma$ Technician:  $A \cdot B - \sigma$ Temperature:  $18^{\circ}c$ 

# LVDT CALIBRATATION SHEET (in-system calibration)

Micrometer	LVDT	Linearized	Error
Readings	Readings	Reading	
(in.)	(Volts)	(in)	(in)
0	- 4.993		
.02	-4.042		
.04	-3.060		
106	-2.050		
.08	-1.023		
,10000	014		
.12	+ 1.030		
.14	+ 2,045		······································
-16	3.049		
-1B	4.039		
19900	4,969		
- 18	4,037		
.16	3.045		
.14	2.043		
.12	1,028		
,10	+.014		
.08	-1.023		
.06	-2.052		
.04	- 3.060		
.02	-4,041		
0	-4,991		

Comments ad

27069 N. Bloomfield Rd., Nevada City, CA 95959 Tel/Fax (530)470-058 email: abro@mindspring.com



ć,

Date: /2-16-00Technician: <u>A. 13ro</u> Temperature: <u>/8<sup>°</sup>C</u>

# LVDT CALIBRATATION SHEET (in-system calibration)

 LVDT Mfg:
 Science
 Model #: 7cA-220-100
 Serial #: 7471

 Signal Conditioner Mfg: Daytronics
 Model #: 9130
 Serial #: \_\_\_\_\_

 Data Acquisition System Mfg: Computer Boards, Inc.
 Model #: CIO-DAS 1600

 Serial#: none
 Input Range: Bipolar 5V
 Software Calibration Factor: \_\_\_\_\_(in/V)

 Micrometer: Mitutoyo
 Model #: 350-711-10
 Serial #: 109105

 Precision: 0.00005 inches
 Calibration Date: none

Micrometer	LVDT	Linearized	Error
Readings	Readings	Reading	
(in.)	(Volts)	(in)	(in)
0	- 4. 996		
.02	-3,984		
.04	-2.980		
,06	-1.992		
.08	- 959		
.10000	+.05B		
.12	+1.067		
.14	2,071		
.16	3-078		
.18	4.084		
197	4.958		
.18	4,082		
.16	3.075		
.14	2.671		
.12	1.064		
.16	+.057		
.08	- ,960		
.06	-1.973		
,04	-2.981		
.02	-3.984		
0	-4,995		

Comments

-----

27069 N. Bloomfield Rd., Nevada City, CA 95959 Tel/Fax (530)470-058 email: abro@mindspring.com

Diablo Canyon ISFSI Data Report I, Rev. 1

.


Date: /2-16-00Technician: <u>A.B.</u> Temperature: <u>18°</u>

## LVDT CALIBRATATION SHEET (in-system calibration)

LVDT Mfg:SchaeuitzModel #: PcA-220-100Serial #: 2443Signal Conditioner Mfg: DaytronicsModel #: 9130Serial #: \_\_\_\_\_Data Acquisition System Mfg: Computer Boards, Inc.Model #: CIO-DAS 1600Serial#: noneInput Range: Bipolar 5VSoftware Calibration Factor: \_\_\_\_\_ (in/V)Micrometer: MitutoyoModel #: 350-711-10Serial #: 109105Precision: 0.00005 inchesCalibration Date: none

Micrometer	LVDT	Linearized	Error
Readings	Readings	Reading	
(in.)	(Volts)	(in)	(in)
0	-4.997		
.02	-3,978		
.04	-2.978		
,06	-1,978		
.08	-0.976		
.10000	+ . 033		
.12	1.035		
.14	2.038		
.16	3.040		
,18	4.043		
. 195	4.801		
.18	4:039		
.16	3.036		
.14	2.037		
012	1.034		
.10			
<u> </u>	-1.008		
206	-2.013		
,04	- 2.010		
.02	-4.010		
.0006 S	- 4,991	<u>^</u>	

Comments bood relat

27069 N. Bloomfield Rd., Nevada City, CA 95959 Tel/Fax (530)470-058 email: abro@mindspring.com

Diablo Canyon ISFSI Data Report I, Rev. 1



Date: 12-16-00Technician: <u>A.B.00</u> Temperature: <u> $17^{\circ}$ </u>

## LVDT CALIBRATATION SHEET (in-system calibration)

LVDT Mfg: $\leq chaesity$ Model #:  $\underline{PcA-220-10}$ Serial #:  $\underline{7421}$ Signal Conditioner Mfg: DaytronicsModel #: 9130Serial #:  $\underline{--}$ Data Acquisition System Mfg: Computer Boards, Inc.Model #: CIO-DAS 1600Serial#: noneInput Range: Bipolar 5VSoftware Calibration Factor: 1 (in/V)Micrometer: MitutoyoModel #: 350-711-10Serial #: 109105Precision: 0.00005 inchesCalibration Date: none

Micrometer	LVDT	Linearized	Error
Readings	Readings	Reading	
(in.)	(Volts)	(in)	(in)
0	- 4.999		
.02000	-3.992		
.04	-2,994		
.06	-1,994		
.08	985		
.10000	+.025		
.12	1,033		
,14	2,039		
,16	3.038		
.18	4,037		
,19900	4.998		
.18	4035		
.16	3.035		
.14	2,035		
.12	1.030		
.10	. 027		
.08	987		
.06	-1,994		
.04	-2.993		
.02	-3.987		
0	- 4,990		

Comments

27069 N. Bloomfield Rd., Nevada City, CA 95959 Tel/Fax (530)470-058 email: abro@mindspring.com

Diablo Canyon ISFSI Data Report I, Rev. 1



Date: 12 - 15 - 00Technician:  $A \cdot 15 - 00$ Temperature:  $2 \cdot 2^{\circ} c$ 

۹ij,

## LVDT CALIBRATATION SHEET (in-system calibration)

LVDT Mfg: <u>Scheevite</u> Model #: <u>LBD 375-74-</u> Serial #: <u>IOOD</u> Free Signal Conditioner Mfg: Daytronics Model #: 9130 <sup>100-1</sup> Serial #: <u>IOOD</u> Sinches Calibration Date: none

Micrometer	LVDT	Linearized	Error
Readings	Readings	Reading	
(in.)	(Volts)	(in)	(in)
0	4.988		
.02	3,992		
104	2.992		
,06	1,984		
.08	0.984		
.10	+.002		
12	-,927		
.14	-1.987		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
.16	-2.994		
.18	-3.994		
.20	- 4,983		
.18	- 3. 994		
.16	-2,991		
.14	-1.985		
.12	986		
.16	-,004		
-08	+.884		
.66	+1.982		
604	z.989		
.62	3.991		
0	4,985	_	······································

Comments

27069 N. Bloomfield Rd., Nevada City, CA 95959 Tel/Fax (530)470-058 email: abro@mindspring.com



Date: 12-15-00 Technician: <u>A.Bro</u> Temperature: <u>22°C</u>

## LVDT CALIBRATATION SHEET (in-system calibration)

 LVDT Mfg: TransTek
 Model #: 303-000
 Serial #: L-23

 Signal Conditioner Mfg: Daytronics
 Model #: 9130
 Serial #: \_\_\_\_\_\_

 Data Acquisition System Mfg: Computer Boards, Inc.
 Model #: CIO-DAS 1600

 Serial#: none
 Input Range: Bipolar 5V
 Software Calibration Factor: \_\_\_\_\_\_ (in/V)

 Micrometer: Mitutoyo Micrometer Head
 Precision: 0.0001 inches
 Calibration Date: none

Micrometer	LVDT	Linearized	Error
Readings	Readings	Reading	
(in.)	(Volts)	(in)	(in)
1.0000	-4,962		
-9000	-3.974		
.8	-2,988		
.7	-1,993		
-6	-0.985		
.5	+0.029		
. 4	1.040		
.3	2.046		
.2	3.044		
<u> </u>	4.0 34		
.005	4,975		
	4.037		
,2	3,047		
,3	2.051		
.4	1.045		
.5	+0,034		
.6	-0.981		
.7	-1,989		
.8	-2,984		
, 9	-3,974		
1,0000	-4.965		

Comments

\_\_\_\_\_

27069 N. Bloomfield Rd., Nevada City, CA 95959 Tel/Fax (530)470-058 email: abro@mindspring.com

÷.,

Geo (Gy Test Unlimited

Date: <u>4/29/01</u> Technician: Z Client: W. Lettis E. As Job: #131-DCPP Sample ID: <u>H / -</u> R 01 Sample Description: 12 with nu 1) head Sample Depth: <u>19.5</u> Sample Condition: received E testel wist 14 d,  $d_2$ 4 12 2.373 377 +.0013 +,0007 2,372 377 +.0006 7 375 10001 2371 0002 2.370 376 2. 7.0010 369 2 279 2 + 0017 7.0002 Avg. diameter : 2,374 Avg. length : 5.2.34 Sample area : 4.47.6l/d ratio : 2,21 Sample volume(in<sup>3</sup>) : 23 Sample weight (g) : 981 (1 g/in<sup>3</sup>=3.8095lb/ft<sup>3</sup>) Density: 42,378 (in3 = HpeF 2: 2.000 Gouge L eler y Comments: pasion splittin چا ک whe bed

27069 N. Bloomfield Rd., Nevada City, CA 95959 Tel/Fax (530)470-0583 email: abro@mindspring.com

Diablo Canyon ISFSI Data Report I, Rev. 1

I-112of 203



Date: 5/12 & 13/0( Technician: A, Client: W. Leftis & Assoc. #131- DCPP Job: ISF Sample ID: <u># 2</u>  $\mathcal{B}_{\ell}$ Sample Description: Sample Depth: 24.5 Sample Condition: Accence I a tested d, d, I, 2.379 2.37 +, 100 Z +.0020 2.378 370 +. 0<del>00</del>6 7 ~~~~ 2.375 68 .0001 1,000 374 2 2005 ann I .374 2 2 з 74 .0008 0003 Avg. diameter : 2,373 Avg. length : 5.36 Sample area : 4, 47I/d ratio : 2,26 Sample volume(in<sup>3</sup>): 23.728 Sample weight (g) : <u>913</u> 6.6pcF Density : 38.49 8/ (1 g/in<sup>3</sup>=3.8095lb/ft<sup>3</sup>) 2.000 so je le Comments: 0 Er. \*3×Er crus 0



27069 N. Bloomfield Rd., Nevada City, CA 95959 Tel/Fax (530)470-0583 email: abro@mindspring.com

Diablo Canyon ISFSI Data Report I, Rev. 1



Date Technician: Client: W. Lettis E Job: #131-DCPP, Sample ID: <u># 4</u> 0 Sample Description: Sample Depth: 25.5 Sample Condition: Acceive E-texted v d  $d_2$ 1, 2,247 7.22 +.0019 +.002 2.252 238 0012 +.0007 248 2 242 1.0001 ±.0002 2,251 2, 249 0006 Javo 2.250 248 0012 ,0004 2 Avg. length : 5.44 Avg. diameter : 2, 2 46 Sample area : 3.962 I/d ratio 2. 42 : Sample volume(in<sup>3</sup>) : 21, 577 Sample weight (g) : 804 .2 Density: 37.36 x/in= 147.3 pcf (1 g/in<sup>3</sup>=3.8095lb/ft<sup>3</sup>) 、大人 2 .000 Commen axu



27069 N. Bloomfield Rd., Nevada City, CA 95959 Tel/Fax (530)470-0583 email: abro@mindspring.com

Diablo Canyon ISFSI Data Report I, Rev. 1



Client: <u>W. Lettis E. Assoc.</u> Technician: <u>A. Bro</u>
Sample Description: Tan Fine to redime granned blomitic control with one arial fracture and and diegond joint ~ 32° to
Sample Depth: <u>48.5</u> Sample Condition: <u>Neceivel 2 tested moist</u>
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
Avg. diameter : 2.3.5.3 Avg. length : 5.3.5.2 Sample area : $\frac{4}{3.48}$ I/d ratio : Sample volume(in <sup>3</sup> ) : 2.3.2.7.3 i <sup>-3</sup> Sample weight (g) : $\frac{3.96}{4.44}$ (1 g/in <sup>3</sup> =3.8095lb/ft <sup>3</sup> )
Comments: Failed by shear on excisting joints

27069 N. Bloomfield Rd., Nevada City, CA 95959 Tel/Fax (530)470-0583 email: abro@mindspring.com

I-115of 203



Date: 4 Technician: / Client: W. Lettis ε Job: #131- DCPP Sample ID: #9 Bo Sample Description: two axid Sample Condition: received a tested moist Sample Depth: 28.8 d, d<sub>2</sub> **I**, 12 395 2.39 4 +.0009 0 2, 396 2.398 0004 6 Ζ. 190 393 +.000 1 2 1001 393 2.398 0002 1 2.400 2.401 كححو 0 Avg. diameter : 2,396 Avg. length : 5.050 Sample area : 4.509 I/d ratio : 2.11 Sample volume(in<sup>3</sup>) : 22.7 Sample weight (g) : <u>B26.9</u> Density : 36.27 2 8.2 pcF (1 g/in<sup>3</sup>=3.8095lb/ft<sup>3</sup>) 13 <x s):2.000 in Gange Lei od whe Comments



Petrolen - smell : note slightly darhered vertical joint ...? petroken ?

27069 N. Bloomfield Rd., Nevada City, CA 95959 Tel/Fax (530)470-0583 email: abro@mindspring.com

Diablo Canyon ISFSI Data Report I, Rev. 1

 $G_{e_0} \langle G_7$ Test Unlimited

Date: 5/12 2-1 Technician: Client: <u>L. Lettis E. F</u> Job: <u><u>H</u>(31-DCPP)</u> ISFS Sample ID: # 10 T 01-0 Sample Description: Sample Condition: secured a texted mois Sample Depth: <u>69.0</u> d d2 I, i, 464 450 ٥ 4.000 438 448 2 7.0010 2,440 450 ±.000S 1.0005 2,445 .436 -.0010 2. - ,0025 2.439 2,440 0 Avg. diameter : 2,446 Avg. length : <u>5.465</u> Sample area : 4.699 I/d ratio : 2.23 Sample volume(in<sup>3</sup>) : 2 Sample weight (g) : 8 Density : 34. 32 8/19= 30.7pcF (1 g/in<sup>3</sup>=3.8095lb/ft<sup>3</sup>) pl: 2000 gauge le Comments: U



27069 N. Bloomfield Rd., Nevada City, CA 95959 Tel/Fax (530)470-0583 email: abro@mindspring.com

Diablo Canyon ISFSI Data Report I, Rev. 1

I-117of 203



Date: 5/13 / 0 ( Technician: 4, 3 ro Client: W. Lettis c. Job: #131- DC P Bor 00 Sample Description: Sample Depth: <u>//.0</u> Sample Condition: received & tested - out d,  $d_2$ 300 2,304 +,000 000 8 2.320 316 <del>200</del> J tan 2.324 522 2.361 2. 364 2,378 2.368 Avg. diameter : \_2 З Avg. length : 5,310 Sample area : 4.2.86 I/d ratio : 2.27 Sample volume(in3): 22 Sample weight (g) : 82 Density : 36. 45 %/in3 8.9 p.F (1 g/in<sup>3</sup>=3.8095lb/ft<sup>3</sup>) gange length 2,000 in Comments: Fá



27069 N. Bloomfield Rd., Nevada City, CA 95959 Tel/Fax (530)470-0583 email: abro@mindspring.com

Diablo Canyon ISFSI Data Report I, Rev. 1

Geo (G7 Test Unlimited

Date: 4/29/01 Technician: Client: U. Lettis S. Assoc Job: #131-DCPP Sample ID: #12 -Bari Sample Description: Ido-itic tome or dalanite Tor e sith visible Sample Depth: 52.5'Sample Condition: received & tested moist d,  $d_2$ 1, 2.388 395 +.0005 +.0004 394 394 0002 +.0002 394 385 2 2. ooni ±. 000 2 387 -, 000 2 2 388 0002 84 כ > 388 ~.000 S 205 Avg. diameter : 2,390 Avg. length :\_ 5.307 Sample area : <u>4.486</u> l/d ratio : 2.2 2 Sample volume(in<sup>3</sup>) : 23 Sample weight (g) : <u>969</u> Density: 40.70 clin 5.1pcF (1 g/in<sup>3</sup>=3.8095lb/ft<sup>3</sup>) -): 2.000 in Gamel Ň rultiple steers brittle failure Comments:



27069 N. Bloomfield Rd., Nevada City, CA 95959 Tel/Fax (530)470-0583 email: abro@mindspring.com

Diablo Canyon ISFSI Data Report I, Rev. 1

Geo (G DATA SHEET Test Unconfined Compression Test of Rock (ASTM D2938) Unlimited Date: 4/29/01 Technician: A Client: W. Left's E. Job: #131- DCPP Sample ID: # 14 Sample Description: with partia CCO Sample Condition: received & tested in Sample Depth: 8.8 -oist glatt d<sub>1</sub>  $d_2$ 1, 2.507 +,0158 4,0540 2.488 484 +.0060 +,0020 497 2 468 2. .0005 000 2.470 'o'. . 475 7,0020 +. 0050 2.464 468 +,00 40 2, +.0075 Avg. diameter : 2,480 Avg. length : 5-6/2 Sample area : 4.831 I/d ratio : 2,26 Sample volume(in<sup>3</sup>): 27,109Sample weight (g): 916.7512.8.8ecF (1 g/in<sup>3</sup>=3.8095lb/ft<sup>3</sup>) Density : 33,82 8/in Gange Lenth (" 1-1; 2,000 in. .od~ Comments: U 1 ... 1-J-e ~ @ 6--SHCa @~124 JEA cet

. .

Geo (Gy Test **U**nlimited

DATA SHEET Unconfined Compression Test of Rock (ASTM D2938)

Date:  $\frac{5/13/01}{1000}$ Technician: A. Client: L). Leffis #131-Job: DCPP Sample ID: <u>#15</u> TE-A -Sample Description: Sample Depth: 13.5 Sample Condition: Access E tested d,  $\mathbf{d}_2$  $\mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{I}}$ l, 371 2,368 +.0027 +.0019 2.369 2.369 +.0012 2 joint? tioni 279 380 2.0002 ±.0003 2.3 84 2 0012 380 20003 391 2, 386 ,0025 2 ioo1n Avg. diameter : 2,378 Avg. length : 5.583 Sample area : <u>4,441</u> : 2.35 l/d ratio Sample volume(in<sup>3</sup>) : <u>24.796</u> Sample weight (g) :\_ =<u>138.3 pc</u>F (1 g/in<sup>3</sup>=3.8095lb/ft<sup>3</sup>) Density : 36.31 8/in 2.000" le. al; Comments: spe to seah



 $G_{e_0} \langle G_T$ Test Unlimited

DATA SHEET Unconfined Compression Test of Rock (ASTM D2938)

Date: <u>4/29/01</u> Technician: <u>A</u>. <u>B</u>ro Client: W. Lettis E Job: #131-DCPP Sample ID: #18-(dolonitic? Sample Description: sandstone Sample Depth: 26.5 Sample Condition: received & testel - oist d, d<sub>2</sub> ١, 12 2,380 2.379 +.0010 Ó 2.380 +.000 4 2,377 0 2.380 2.377 ±.0001 <u>± .000 2</u> 2.379 2.377 .0003 ٥ 2.380 2376 0008 0 Avg. diameter : 2,379 Avg. length : 5.346 Sample area : 4,445l/d ratio : 2.25 Sample volume(in<sup>3</sup>): 23,763 Sample weight (g) : 918.9Density: 38.67 8/11=1 7.3 pcF (1 g/in<sup>3</sup>=3.8095lb/ft<sup>3</sup>) GaugeLe hi): 2,000 in Comments: Fa



Geo GI Test Unlimited

DATA SHEET Unconfined Compression Test of Rock (ASTM D2938)

Date: 5/13/0 ( Technician: 4. 3 ro Client: W. Lettis 2 #131- DCPF Job: 2=2 Sample ID: #19 Bor OI-R Sample Description: To Non Ω tet 1 Sample Depth: 38.0 Sample Condition: Acceived  $d_2$ d 12 +.0025 363 356 +.0025 +.0012 364 2 354 <u>t.0012</u> 356 \$ .0003 36 4 ±.0003 -. 0014 364 -,0017 .374 -10019 7027 Avg. diameter : 2, 3 62 Avg. length : 5.427 Sample area : 4,382 l/d ratio : 2.30 Sample volume(in<sup>3</sup>): 23.780Sample weight (g): 824.3 % Density: 34.75 4/in = 1 4pcF (1 g/in<sup>3</sup>=3.8095lb/ft<sup>3</sup>) gauge le 2,000 معا Comments: Fr



Geo Gy Test Unlimited

DATA SHEET Unconfined Compression Test of Rock (ASTM D2938)

Date: 4 Technician: 1 Client: W. Leffis & Assoc #131- DCPP/1SFS Job: Sample ID: # 2.2\_ Sample Description: Ta partia with ater ca Sample Condition: received & testel moist Sample Depth: 24,0 d  $\mathbf{d}_{2}$ I, 1 380 .37 +,0004 2 +.0012 2.378 372 +,0007 +.000Z 377 378 2. 2 ,0001 ±.000 2.374 2.378 0001 -. 000 7 2 . 0006 377 000 Avg. diameter : 2,377 Avg. length : 5.51 Sample area : 4,438l/d ratio : 2,3 Sample volume(in<sup>3</sup>) : 2.4.4 Sample weight (g) :\_ 94 Density : 40, 70 stin 5.0 pcF (1 g/in<sup>3</sup>=3.8095lb/ft<sup>3</sup>) odulis); 2.000 in GaugeLe Comments:



 $G_{e_0} \langle G_7 \rangle$ Test Unlimited

DATA SHEET Unconfined Compression Test of Rock (ASTM D2938)

Date: 5/13/01 Technician: <u>A</u>, B ~ Client: W. Lettis e Job: # 131- DC SFSI Sample ID: # 26 101-E Sample Description: To with 0 1 a . 1 Sample Depth: 22.0 Sample Condition: Lece t. d,  $d_2$ 12 1, 94 +,0015 3 37 +-0019 4 391 0009 2 +.0005 386 + ,0003 10002 0002 797 2 376 2 395 2 0008 Avg. diameter : 2.387Sample area : 4,475Avg. length : 5.353 l/d ratio : 2,24 Sample volume(in<sup>3</sup>) : 23. 9<u>55</u> Sample weight (g) :  $\frac{3}{3.8}$  Density :  $\frac{33}{97} \frac{97}{\sqrt{10^3}} = 12.9$ (1 g/in3=3.8095lb/ft3) 4pcF gau -eye healed \* dear thear Comments: al

Diablo Canyon ISFSI Data Report I, Rev. 1 27069 N. Bloomfield Rd., Nevada City, CA 95959 I-126of 203 Tel/Fax (530)470-0583 email: abro@mindspring.com



÷., •

DATA SHEET Unconfined Compression Test of Rock (ASTM D2938)

Geo < Test

 $G_T U$ 

Unlimited

 $G_{eo} \langle G_T$ Test Unlimited

DATA SHEET Unconfined Compression Test of Rock (ASTM D2938)

Date: <u>5/13/01</u> Technician: <u>A B -</u> Client: <u>L1. Let</u> Job: #131-つとす Sample ID: #30 Sample Description NO 01-0 Sample Depth: 57.6 Sample Condition: d d 12 2.39 0 2.407 +:0009 2,407 2 0 407 0 0002 000 407 0004 Z 405 Z 0 2. 2 40 1 40. 0007 0 Avg. diameter : 2,405Sample area : 4,543Avg. length : 5.430 I/d ratio : 2,26 Sample volume(in<sup>3</sup>): 24,667 Sample weight (g) : <u>899.4</u> <u>pc</u>F (1 g/in<sup>3</sup>=3.8095lb/ft<sup>3</sup>) Density :36.46 ça uge Comments:



 $G_{e_0} \langle G_T l$ Test Unlimited

DATA SHEET Unconfined Compression Test of Rock (ASTM D2938)

Date: <u>5/13/01</u> Technician: \_\_\_\_\_A.B Bro Client: W. Letti's L. Assoc Job: #131-DCPP/ISFS1 Sample ID: <u>#38</u> Boring: 01-] Sample Description: with heali iner Sample Condition: received E-tested moist Sample Depth: 159.5  $d_2$ d I, 2.403 +.002 2.404 +.0009 2,403 000 +.0012 2.405 2.403 2,401 ±,0002 190 Ж 397 2 2.40Z CIOD J 700 ] 2.403 2,402 0006 a27 Avg. length : <u>5.436</u> l/d ratio : <u>2.26</u> Avg. diameter : 2.403 Sample area : 4.535 Sample volume(in<sup>3</sup>): 24,653 Sample weight (g):  $\frac{733.59}{133.59}$ Density:  $\frac{37.869}{133.59}$ (1 g/in<sup>3</sup>=3.8095lb/ft<sup>3</sup>) gauge light 2.000 ~; Comments: <u>M</u> miles carbon 1.1. 900 (uc sitia Failed 2 tial o



27069 N. Bloomfield Rd., Nevada City, CA 95959 Tel/Fax (530)470-0583 email: abro@mindspring.com Diablo Canyon ISFSI I-128of 203 Data Report I, Rev. 1

 $G_{e_0} \langle G_T$ Test Unlimited

DATA SHEET Unconfined Compression Test of Rock (ASTM D2938)

Date: 5/ Technician: A Client: W. Lettis #131- DCPP Job: Sample ID: # 39A - 2 Sample Description: Sample Condition: received E-tested Sample Depth: / 30, 4 d d<sub>2</sub> L 2, 408 2.407 +,0008 +200412.404 2,407 7 2.403 406 2 020 405 404 2 2, 0015 0002 z 403 401 0045 -.0004 Avg. diameter : 2.405 Avg. length : 5.362 Sample area : 4.543 l/d ratio : 2,23 Sample volume(in<sup>3</sup>): 24,358Sample weight (g): 897.7Density: 36.83 c/in3= 140,3 pcf (1 g/in<sup>3</sup>=3.8095lb/ft<sup>3</sup>) Comments: K. Fa

existi y adial joint

 $G_{\underline{e_0}} \leq G_T$ Test Unlimited

DATA SHEET Unconfined Compression Test of Rock (ASTM D2938)

Date: 5/13/01 Technician: <u>A, T3</u> Client: <u>C. Lettis E. Asc</u> Job: <u>#131-DCPP/ISF</u> Sample ID: # 40A- T 01 Sample Description: To Sample Depth: 88.4 textel. Sample Condition: Jecuiv  $\mathbf{d}_1$ d2 I, Į. 2.406 2.402 +,0010 +.000 2.403 2.404 mos +.000 1 2,406 2,407 =.0002 0002 2.404 2.404 0002 1000 2,405 2.404 0006 Avg. length : 5,178 Avg. diameter : 2,405 Sample area : 4.543 l/d ratio :2,15 Sample volume(in<sup>3</sup>): 23,522 Sample weight (g)  $\frac{874.5}{100}$ Density:  $\frac{37.24}{100} \frac{9/10^3}{100} = 142.0 \text{ pcF}$ (1 g/in<sup>3</sup>=3.80951b/ft<sup>3</sup>) gauge le -th: 2.000 Comments: moderate in Alsonabor ord. >> Failed 2 tt とん



 $G_{e_0} \langle G_T$ Test Unlimited

DATA SHEET Unconfined Compression Test of Rock (ASTM D2938)

Date: 5/13 /01 Technician: A.B Client: W/LeHis #131-Job: Sample ID: # () dolomitic Sample Description: C Sample Depth: 44.0' Sample Condition: received & toutal moist d, d, l, ١, 2408 410 Ó +,0013 2. 2.399 0 .408 +,0008 2,406 405 5.0001 ±,0002 2 2.402 408 ک .0007 0 2.404 406 2 ·0012 0 Avg. length : 5.404 Avg. diameter : 2.406 : 2,25 Sample area : 4, 547 l/d ratio Sample volume(in<sup>3</sup>): 24.570 Sample weight (g) : 9/2, 4Density : 37.14 8/ pc F (1 g/in<sup>3</sup>=3.8095lb/ft<sup>3</sup>) gauge leigh: 2.000 Comments: s tro



 $G_{e_0} \langle G_T U$ DATA SHEET Test Triaxial Compression Test of Rock (ASTM D2664) Unlimited Date: 4/29 £ 5/4/01 Technician: A, Bro Client: W. Lettis Assoc Job: #131-DCPP/1SFS Friable Sample ID: #3 - Doring:01 , soul Sample Description: me, possible Sample Condition: received & tested moist Sample Depth: 35.5' d, d2 I. +.0042 2.414 -,6010 2,427 port al 2.408 2.429 +.0012 +.0010 2.399 2.401 ±.0005 ±.0005 2.398 -.0010 2,387 -.0010 2.403 2.396 -.0019 ,0025 Avg. diameter : 2.406 Avg. length : <u>5089</u> Sample area : 4,547l/d ratio : 2.11 Sample volume(in3) : 2 3.133 Sample weight (g) :  $\frac{882.5}{2}$ Density :  $\frac{38.15}{3}$   $\frac{39.15}{5}$   $\frac{39.15}{5}$  (1 g/in<sup>3</sup>=3.8095lb/ft<sup>3</sup>) Test Confining Pressures (psi) 98,25 131 65.5 a milled for to =0 447 B 299.00 frieble natione of = and for a for the set E bot not is Comments: end souchersa to his S. expel dry contracted 5+10 ation to lame of 5th sta load freatside of Failed by sheer along particley apon fracture the same is price wet after Techy is the pore weter was for ced to the outer suffice

 $G_{\underline{e_0}} \langle G_T U$ Test Unlimited

DATA SHEET Triaxial Compression Test of Rock (ASTM D2664)

Date:  $\frac{4/29 \times 5/4}{10}$  (Technician:  $\frac{A}{3}$ Client: W. Lettis Assoc. Job: <u>#131-DCPP/15FS1</u> Sample ID: #5 - Baring 101-D Sample Description: Sample Depth: 28.0 Sample Condition: received & tested moist d,  $d_2$ 2,372 2.344 001 2.329 2.344 0007 2.345 330 0002 . 333 2.344 000 7 2.307 2.341 0014 Avg. diameter : 2,335 Avg. length : 5.39 3 Sample area : 4.282 I/d ratio :2,31 Sample volume(in<sup>3</sup>): 23.094Sample weight (g): 876.2Density: 37,94 8/1=144.5 pcf (1 g/in<sup>3</sup>=3.8095lb/ft<sup>3</sup>) Test Confining Pressures (psi) 87 116 \$96 248 372 lb Fayfur Jaso - slight sample areas : Ea, Comments: v 250, red to cate سعره Failed -ہ عد eshanical break

which developed a

the small

27069 N. Bloomfield Rd., Nevada City, CA 95959 Tel/Fax (530)470-0583 email: abro@mindspring.com Diablo Canyon ISFSI I-133of 203 Data Report I, Rev. 1

Test Unlimited

DATA SHEET Triaxial Compression Test of Rock (ASTM D2664)

Date:  $\frac{4/2.9}{1.5} = \frac{5/4}{01}$ Technician: <u>A. B. ro</u> Client: W. Lettis 2. #131-DCPP Job: Sample ID: # 13 -Sample Description: \_ Sample Condition: received E. tested Sample Depth: 57.0' moist d, d, l, 447 2,47 2. +.0022 +.0011 450 +.0001 2.467 +,0018 2 2 473 \$.0005 . 434 ±.000 S -.0019 2.453 470 -.0008 -.0025 472 ч 0026 Avg. length : 5.503 Avg. diameter : 2,455 Sample area : 4,734 l/d ratio : 2.24 Sample volume(in<sup>3</sup>) : 26 Sample weight (g) : <u>900</u> 1.7 pcF (1 g/in<sup>3</sup>=3.8095lb/ft<sup>3</sup>) Density : 34,58 Vlin3 possibly noise on all -uely ? Test Confining Pressures (psi) 130.5 174 822 וו ע Comments: M F-E NO discernable in likely it is a barrell une

Geo CG Test Unlimited

्र

DATA SHEET Triaxial Compression Test of Rock (ASTM D2664)

Date: Technician: A Client: W. Lettis & Assoc Job: #131- DCPP/ISFS Sample ID: #17- Boring: 01-CTF altered Sample Description: Cra sand Sample Depth: 538' Sample Condition: received & tested moist d, d, 1 ١., 2.413 2.420 0+50 +.0040 2,397 2.410 +,0015 0070 2. 406 2.403 ±.0010 m 10 2.386 2.390 ~.0050 0020 2,395 2.392 -10100 0050 Avg. length : 5.46 3 Avg. diameter : 2,402 Sample area : 4.531l/d ratio : 2,2 Sample volume(in<sup>3</sup>): 24.755 Sample weight (g) : 826.0 Density: 33 37 g/in3= 127.1 pcF (1 g/in3=3.8095lb/ft3) Test Confining Pressures (psi) 125.7 167.6 828 570 380 NO Comments: MODE 100 a sta 0 at of small ~ I tead pour San Screlli row sheer

Geo G<sub>T</sub>U Test Unlimited

DATA SHEET Triaxial Compression Test of Rock (ASTM D2664)

	Date: 5/4/01
Client: <u>W. Letti's E. Assoc</u> , Job: <u>#131 - DCPP/15F51</u> Sample ID: <u>#27 - Boring:01-F</u> Sample Description: <u>Tan Fine gained</u> , selt frictble c <u>dolonitic sculture</u> , <u>Containfruenco</u> <u>confiel with Inductore on both end</u> .	langen alteral
Sample Depth: <u>41.2</u> Sample Condition: <u>received</u> <u>e</u> , test	Imoist
$\frac{d_{1}}{2.320} + \frac{d_{2}}{2.370} + \frac{1}{2.37} + \frac{1}{2.350} + \frac{1}{2.231} + \frac{1}{2.350} + \frac{1}{2.231} + \frac{1}{2.350} + \frac{1}{2.235} + \frac{1}{2.350} + \frac{1}{2.235} + \frac{1}{2.350} + \frac{1}{2.$	
Test Confining Pressures (psi) 71.2 106.8 142.4 309 463 618 Comments: <u>Sayle some what "chewid up" Entre</u> MODULUES TEST SAMPLE full a fort on lovading the	ft NO triangal call
NO Test	

Geo CGT Test Unlimited

DATA SHEET Triaxial Compression Test of Rock (ASTM D2664)

Date:  $\frac{4/29}{A} \le \frac{5/4}{61}$ Technician: A.Bro Client: W. Lettis E ssoc #131- DCPP/ISFSI Job: Sample ID: #32-73 0 150 1. Sample Description: \_\_\_\_\_ salit Sample Depth: 117,7 Sample Condition: received entested moist d,  $d_2$ 1, ł, 2,457 2.550 +.0033 +.0042 2,446 2.528 +.0012 +,0023 2.483 2.552 ±.0005 £.0005 2.470 2.548 -.0023 0007 2.508 2.510 -*, 5*040 0000 Avg. diameter : 2.505 Avg. length : 5.43 Sample area : 4,928 l/d ratio : Z., Sample volume(in<sup>3</sup>) : <u>26,796</u> Sample volume (m,  $\frac{1}{255.2}$  sample weight (g) :  $\frac{955.2}{10}$  s. Bpcf (1 g/in<sup>1</sup>=3.8095lb/ft<sup>3</sup>) Test Confining Pressures (psi) 147.7 221.6 295.4 1092 1456 728 Comments: <u>nee</u> fre trian reat LVDT rear 0 st 50 nare

I Inlimite	- 					UJ
Ummite	u	D	ATA SHEET			
Tri	axial Comp	ression Test	of Rock w/ P	ore Pressure	Measure	ment
(A proc	edure deve	loped at GTU	J following th	e guidelines	of ASTN	A D4767)
					Date: Technician	3/15/01 A1300
ient: <u> </u>	Lettise A	tssoc.			reennedan.	
b: $\frac{\#/3}{1}$	- DCPP/	ISFS /				
mple D. <u>4</u>	tion: <u>Tan</u>	- clayer a	local no	edium g	lai-e	Isalton
withpr	existing	jont ~	· 57° tol	e coug?	<i>l</i>	
		<u> </u>				
la Dantha	EF r'	Samala Cand	ition:	A ata ta	1	
mpie Depin:	33.5	Sample Cond	nion: <u>leccur</u>	a care	mori	<b>Z</b>
	$d_2$			l <sub>2</sub>		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
2.30	6 2.35	7-1 F	$\overline{}$			
	4	Z [				y
			$\rightarrow$	<u> </u>		
Avg. diam	eter: $2.31^2$	L F Avg. len	eth : 5.384			
Sample are	a : 4,205	I/d ratio	: 2.33			
Sample vo Sample we	lume(in ):	- tooweck	to take off	plastic	പി	
Density :			$(1 \text{ g/in}^3 = 3.80)$	95lb/ft³)	with	measured
Г	Initial Cell	Final Cell	Inititial Eff.	Final Eff.		
	Pressure (psi)	Pressure (psi)	Confining	Confining	$1 - \frac{\Delta \sigma_c}{\Delta \sigma}$	
	σ <sub>ei</sub>	σ <sub>ef</sub>	rressure (psi) σ'	$\sigma'_{ef}$		.5
Ļ					<u>B</u>	10.6
	54.1	64.7	17:1	14.6	.75	• 9/0.6 - •03
Ľ						
Ļ			······································			
		II		I		l
L						
L		A VO C	<u>ina c</u>			·····
Comments:	5-7-7	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				
Comments:	week >	in more	lulind .			



DATA SHEET Triaxial Compression Test of Rock w/ Pore Pressure Measurement (A procedure developed at GTU following the guidelines of ASTM D4767) Date: 5/13&16/01 Technician: A .53 ro Client: W. Lettis & Assoc. Job: #131- DCPP/15F51 Sample ID: 416 Boring: 01-C altered redi Sample Description: 10 Sample Condition: received 2- tested - out Sample Depth: 48.8' d,  $d_2$ Ŀ <u>370</u> 2. 361 +.0025 +.0024 2.368 .359 +.0011 7.0008 367 358 ±.0005 + . ours 352 2.353 10005 4.0030 353 2.346 2 -.0012 .0005 Avg. length : 5.293 Avg. diameter : 2, 360 Sample area : 4,374: 2,24 l/d ratio Sample volume(in<sup>3</sup>):  $\underline{23, 152}$ Sample weight (g):  $\underline{809, 85}$ 88 Density: 34.98 x/im  $(1 \text{ g/in}^3 = 3.8095 \text{ lb/ft}^3)$ 3.2 pcf gauge len -yh o in. 2. Initial Cell **Final Cell** Inititial Eff. Final Eff. Δσ Pressure (psi) Pressure (psi) Confining Confining 1 -- $\Delta \sigma$ Pressure (psi) Pressure (psi)  $\sigma'_{cf}$  $\sigma_{ci}$  $\sigma_{cf}$  $\sigma'_{ci}$ B 50.0 .93 .07 10.0 61.1 10.8 70.0 .98 80.1 10,2 10.0 =.02 Comments: 5 use backpress = 60 pr 50 100 Di 110 160 pri t part peckon wenta <u>م+ د' `</u>

27069 N. Bloomfield Rd., Nevada City, CA 95959 Tel/Fax (530)470-0583 email: abro@mindspring.com Diablo Canyon ISFSI I-139of 203 Data Report I, Rev. 1

$\sim$	
Test	
Unlimited	

. Geogra

•		D	ATA SHEET				
Tr	iaxial Comr	pression Test	of Rock w/ P	ore Pressure	Measure	ment	
( )	a dura dava	land at CTI	I fallowing th		of ASTN	(D4767)	
(A pro	cedure deve	loped at OT	J tonowing u	le guidennes	OI AS IN	5/1/ (-)	
					Date:_	<u>S/16/01</u>	
Client: ()	1 a++ ( a a b	1			rechnician		
$lob: \pm 1$	11- DOP	115ES1					
Sample ID: $\pm$	12.1 Bor	ine Ol-C					
Sample Descri	iption: Gra	, clanus	highle .	veck me	fim =	a Stone	
with 1	intial pl	iter col	4				
		•	·				
		······································	<u> </u>				
Coursels Double	051	Served a Court	latana d	A of the	<u> </u>		
Sample Depth	7.2	Sample Cond	ition: <u>recew</u>	ed a up	ed mon	<u></u>	
					$\langle$		later
		r	1 1	1 1			cal
		2	<u> </u>	12 /		V	0-
1 79	7 2. 77					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
2.27	5 2.2		-/				
2.21	9 2,23	35		/			. 1
2.314	1 2.29	15					Such
Avg diar	meter · 2 7 QC	2 Δια Ια	noth : 5 287				ling the -
Sample a	rea : $4.1/2$	/d ratio	2.36				consed
Sample v	$rolume(in^3): 22$	.169	·			ð	uning .
Sample w	veight (g) : 77	71.1 C		_	6	56	iciting
Density :	34.78 4/1	n3= 132.5	F (1 g/in <sup>3</sup> =3.80) وE	95lb/ft <sup>3</sup> )	1		Q
		<u> </u>	7 1.1.1 h m co				
	Initial Cell	Final Cell	Inititial Eff.	Final Eff.	$\Delta \sigma_c$		
	Pressure (psi)	Pressure (psi)	Contining Pressure (psi)	Contining Processor (noi)	$1 - \frac{1}{\Lambda \sigma}$		
		a	σ'	Tressure (psr)			
	U <sub>ci</sub>	0 <sub>cf</sub>	O <sub>ci</sub>	O cf	в		
	49.9	67.6	IN O	10.6	.97	= • • • • • •	
						10.1	
Comments:	V;= 20	<u>, 40, 80</u>	psi				
	120 6 15	1 -	- 1 0				
100	Wear to	evaluale	modulus	£			
	= (0 0	0 12 0	une .				
¥3	eset LDD	Talton	st stere	E just la	Ine 3-	str	
		- p	<u> </u>	0	<u> </u>	3	

27069 N. Bloomfield Rd., Nevada City, CA 95959 Diablo Canyon ISFSI Data Report I, Rev. 1 Tel/Fax (530)470-0583 email: abro@mindspring.com



DATA SHEET Triaxial Compression Test of Rock w/ Pore Pressure Measurement (A procedure developed at GTU following the guidelines of ASTM D4767) Date: 5/17/01 Technician: A Br Client: W. Lettis e. Assoc SESI Job: # 131-DCPP Sample ID: #25 01-P E Sample Description: a Sample Condition: received E. Tasted Sample Depth: 7.0 -o-c d d<sub>2</sub> I2 195 453 456 370 459 9 2, 72 2,420 483 2 Avg. length : 5,983 I/d ratio : 2,54 Avg. diameter : 2,358 Sample area : 4,367 Sample volume(in<sup>3</sup>): 26. Sample weight (g): 93127 31,10 Density: 3564 stin 35.8pcF (1 g/in<sup>3</sup>=3.8095lb/ft<sup>3</sup>) Initial Cell Final Cell Inititial Eff. Final Eff. Δσ Confining Pressure (psi) Pressure (psi) Confining 1  $\Delta \sigma$ Pressure (psi) Pressure (psi)  $\sigma_{ci}$  $\sigma_{\mathsf{cf}}$ σ'...  $\sigma'_{cf}$ В 70.0 .<u>6</u>.04 .96 9.9 R4.7 10.5 1 Comments: 10 20 Y O No Mo ゎ mege

sesultis prace at flows 1 6

Geo CG7 DATA SHEET Test Direct Shear of Rock (ISRM) Unlimited Date: 5/7/01 Tester: A.R-Client: W. LeHis E Asso ISFS Job: #131- DCPP Sample ID: # 20 B SampleDescription: clte slich Sample Depth: 48,9 Sample Condition: received 2. tested moist Sketch of Shear Surface Location of LVDTs on top shear box D= 2,360 2,373 2.365 As A sind. A . 8-3 6.2 L 2 40 စ်ဂ 4.397 sin 40 = 6,840 A= Sample area : 6.840 in 11.82 Estimated top box weight: 15.5.6 Measured top box weight: 14.616 110 150 75 NA - sheared on soft slickensided surface Joint Profiles on a diaple cracy 50 150 Comments: 64

27069 N. Bloomfield Rd., Nevada City, CA 95959 Tel/Fax (707)455-7684 email: abro@mindspring.com Diablo Canyon ISFSI I-142of 203 Data Report I, Rev. 1

 $G_{e_0} \langle G_T$ DATA SHEET Test Direct Shear of Rock (ISRM) Unlimited Client: W. Lettis Date: 8 Slicke-side Job: #121 - DCPP Sample ID: #23 SampleDescription: De gra Sample Depth: 41.4 Sample Condition: received & tested -oist Sketch of Shear Surface Location of LVDTs on top shear box D=2,397 2.391 2.401 స్టిల 2,496 x=46 Mr2 Sind = 6.802 A ~ Sample area : 6.80212 20 40 60 pm 136 272 408 lb 121 257 39.3 lb Estimated top box weight: 15.  $\sigma_n$ Measured top box weight: 14.9 F<sub>n</sub> F<sub>n</sub>-W<sub>b</sub> sean cla Joint Profiles Comments:

. .

27069 N. Bloomfield Rd., Nevada City, CA 95959 Tel/Fax (707)455-7684 email: abro@mindspring.com Diablo Canyon ISFSI I-143of 203 Data Report I, Rev. 1


27069 N. Bloomfield Rd., Nevada City, CA 95959 Tel/Fax (707)455-7684 email: abro@mindspring.comDiablo Canyon ISFSII-144of 203Data Report I, Rev. 1

Geo ( G DATA SHEET Test Direct Shear of Rock (ISRM) Unlimited Date: <u>5/B</u> Tester: A Client: 1. Leffisz #131 - DCPP Job: Sample ID: <u>#29-</u> SampleDescription: tested Sample Condition: received 2. morst Sample Depth: 51.8 Sketch of Shear Surface Location of LVDTs on top shear box D=2.397 2.397 ర్దీని ૾ૢૺૼૼૼૼૺૺ 2=84 <u>Ś</u>-i A= 4.537 Sample area : 4.537 in2 Estimated top box weight: 15 U 15 30 σ'n Measured top box weight: 14.8 26  $F_n$  $F_n - W_b$ 17.1 Joint Profiles Comments: moist

27069 N. Bloomfield Rd., Nevada City, CA 95959Tel/Fax (707)455-7684 email: abro@mindspring.comDiablo Canyon ISFSII-145of 203Data Report I, Rev. 11

 $G_{e_0} \langle G_T l$ DATA SHEET Test Direct Shear of Rock (ISRM) Unlimited Date: 5/8 Tester: Client: W. Lettis E. Asson # 131- DCPP/ISES Job: Sample ID: #31-13 SampleDescription: Sample Depth: 117.0 Sample Condition: received & tested moist Sketch of Shear Surface Location of LVDTs on top shear box D = 2.46( ( O 5. A= : 4.723 Ô<sub>12</sub> Sample area : 4.723 in Estimated top box weight: 15ll 60 120 240 pit 283 567 1134 lb 268 552 1119 lb  $\sigma_n$ Measured top box weight: -14.9F, F.-W. 40 80 160 NA - the separation surface is at the shear senfore - the shear surface "stuck together" too well Lots of slicks within the clay mass. Sayle actualed fiderways - see photos 3 Joint Profiles Comments: soft slight st \* 0 crase, Æ 27069 N. Bloomfield Rd., Nevada City, CA 95959 Tel/Fax (707)455-7684 email: abro@mindspring.com

DATA SHEET Test Direct Shear of Rock (ISRM) Unlimited Date: <u>5/</u> Tester: Client: W. Lett's LASSOC. Job: #131-DCPP/ISFS1 Sample ID: #33 - 130 SampleDescription:\_ Sample Condition: received E tea Sample Depth: 1/8,3 210 Sketch of Shear Surface Location of LVDTs on top shear box Anjwier: A : 2.20 30 2.37 1,58 **0**0'' 2.36  $A = \frac{1}{2} (1 \times (1.58 + 2.14) + 1 \times (2.16 + 2.34) + 1 (2.34 + 2.40) + 1$ 2(2 Ô,z Sample area : 7,703 in Estimated top box weight: 15 Ub Measured top box weight: 14.906 120 240 pt 924 1849 ll 909 1834 ll 250 500  $\sigma_n$ F<sub>n</sub> F<sub>n</sub>-W<sub>b</sub> 12.5 0 NA - pulled apart theop chend up "rock", not along a discute place. Joint Profiles due to clay - - that w/ hover Ju Comments: and 1m 500

27069 N. Bloomfield Rd., Nevada City, CA 95959 Tel/Fax (707)455-7684 email: abro@mindspring.com Diablo Canyon ISFSI I-147of 203 Data Report I, Rev. 1

Geo (GTL DATA SHEET Test Direct Shear of Rock (ISRM) Unlimited Date: 5/ Client: W. Leffis & 50C Job: #131- DCPP ISFS ) Sample ID: #34 - Bor Co SampleDescription: testel Sample Condition: received e. Sample Depth: \_\_\_\_\_ moist Sketch of Shear Surface Location of LVDTs on top shear box D = 2.3992.383 ູ່ດຳ 2.387 °. 2.390 x=67 င်ဂ A = Tro 4.874 δ2 Sample area : 4, B74 15.lb Estimated top box weight: \_\_\_\_ 30 60 p 146 292 σ, to Measured top box weight: 14.6 F. F.-W⊾ The did not appear to sheer t n clo The sa ঠ  $\delta$ Joint Profiles × 10 all Comments: 00 +0 ÷tested ¥. S -10 ho C 40

 $G_{e_0} \langle G_T$ DATA SHEET Test Direct Shear of Rock (ISRM) Unlimited Client: W. Lettis LASSOC Date: \_ # 131- DCPP Job: Sample ID: <u>#35-</u> C SampleDescription: 100 me Sample Condition: received 1 <u>ai</u>it Sample Depth: 94.5 tes \* Sketch of Shear Surface Location of LVDTs on top shear box D = Z.428 2,436 ర్టీ 2.432 8.2 *δ*.: 2.432 a ≈ 86 A : = 4.657 Sample area :  $4.657 in^2$ Estimated top box weight: \_\_\_\_\_\_ σ 50 Measured top box weight: 14.6 464 F, F.-W. 200 26 100 15% clay day langer NA-معزك axis Joint Profiles st<u>3sta</u> Comments:

27069 N. Bloomfield Rd., Nevada City, CA 95959 Tel/Fax (707)455-7684 email: abro@mindspring.comDiablo Canyon ISFSII-149of 203Data Report I, Rev. 1

 $G_{e_0} \langle G_T \rangle$ DATA SHEET Test Direct Shear of Rock (ISRM) Unlimited Date: 5/10/01 Tester: A, B ~ Client: W. Leffis Job: #131-DLPP Sample ID: # 36 Port SampleDescription: Pl Sample Condition: received & tested ~ Sample Depth: 174 Sketch of Shear Surface Location of LVDTs on top shear box D=2.400 2.398 2.391 2.391 2.391 4.647 Sample area : <u>4.647</u> 15 ll Estimated top box weight: \_\_\_\_ <u>87</u> 74 σ, 15.0Lb Measured top box weight: F<sub>n</sub> F<sub>n</sub>-W<sub>b</sub> 38 Joint Profiles also there Comments:

I-150of 203

Geo CGT DATA SHEET Test Direct Shear of Rock (ISRM) Unlimited Date: <u>5-10-01</u> Tester: <u>A.B.</u> Client: W. Letts E ے ہ کی ک<sup>ر</sup> Job: <u>#131- DCPP/13FS1</u> Sample ID: <u>#37- Boring: C</u> SampleDescription: Red Sample Condition: received & tested moist Sample Depth: 168.5 Sketch of Shear Surface Location of LVDTs on top shear box D=1.405 n 140  $A = \frac{\pi}{5}$ (40×1.94) = 4 -.388 Sample area : 4,286 Estimated top box weight: 1516 <u>BY 16B 336 pm 360 720 1440 25</u>  $\sigma_n$ Measured top box weight: \_\_\_\_/4.8.L Fn 1425 N Fn-Wb 345 705 taz 100 200 400 50 NA - consists of ships of work and smeared chang Joint Profiles Comments: 1 200 10 for

÷\*•, Geo (G7 DATA SHEET Test Direct Shear of Rock (ISRM) Unlimited Date: 5/10/01 Tester: A, Bro Client: W. Lettis 3500 Job: #131-DCPP Sample ID: <u>**#** 397</u>3 SampleDescription: ta <u>yo</u> Sample Depth: 130,4 Sample Condition: received & tasted mois Sketch of Shear Surface Location of LVDTs on top shear box D=2410 2,413 2.425 2. = 82' A = Tri = 4,629 Sample area : 4.629 Estimated top box weight: 15.16 65 130 260  $\sigma_n$ Measured top box weight: 14,8 F. 301 602 F<sub>n</sub>-W<sub>b</sub> 286 587  $\mathcal{U}$ 50 100 Lasa 2.00 AG Joint Profiles Comments: allon odo sli pio 1.000 on th S Δ

Diablo Canyon ISFSI Data Report I, Rev. 1

 $G_{e_0} \langle G_T$ DATA SHEET Test Direct Shear of Rock (ISRM) Unlimited Date: <u>5//0</u> Client: W. Lettis Job: #131-Tester: 7 DCP R Sample ID: #401 SampleDescription Solon Sample Depth: \$8.8 Sample Condition: received E teste Sketch of Shear Surface Location of LVDTs on top shear box D= 2,404 2.408 2.405 2,406 = 740 4.7.20 `{\⊑ Sample area : <u>4.730</u> Estimated top box weight: 15 lb 88 176 pm 44 σ, Measured top box weight: 15.0 M 832 lb F, F<sub>n</sub>-W<sub>b</sub> 817 W 401 Joint Profiles مجر Comments: molerate had to carbo

Geo (GTL DATA SHEET Test Direct Shear of Rock (ISRM) Unlimited Date: <u>5/11/01</u> Tester: <u>A</u>, Bro Client: W. Lettis E. #131- DCPP/ ISES! Job: Sample ID: # 41 A -70 SampleDescription: Ta graine Sample Depth: 4 5.6 tested n Sample Condition: <u>Acceived E</u> Sketch of Shear Surface Location of LVDTs on top shear box D=2,388 2,385 2.365 2,383 x =73 4,664 A = • Sample area : 4.6.64 1516 14.41 Estimated top box weight: \_ 45 90 22  $\sigma_n$ Measured top box weight: 210 420 Fn 103 F<sub>n</sub>-W<sub>b</sub> 88 195 150 lb 100 lb slicker 100 50 50 m openF Z clay side Tew Comments: ca

Diablo Canyon ISFSI Data Report I, Rev. 1

Geo < G DATA SHEET Test Direct Shear of Rock (ISRM) Unlimited Date: <u>5/10</u> Tester: <u>A</u> Client: W. Left's #131- DCPP Job: Sample ID: <u># 413</u> SampleDescription: Sample Depth: 46.1 Sample Condition: decenved & tested more Sketch of Shear Surface Location of LVDTs on top shear box D=2407 2,408 2.407 76° 2.40 A = 4,690 Sample area : 4,690 <u>46 92</u> 216 431 201 416  $\sigma_n$ F,  $F_n-W_b$ Joint Profiles Comments: nod Q~.19= tations

Diablo Canyon ISFSI Data Report I, Rev. 1







I-158 of 203



I-159 of 203







I-162 of 203



I-163 of 203





I-165 of 203





.

I-167 of 203



I-168 of 203



I-169 of 203



.

I-170 of 203



I-171 of 203





I-173 of 203





I-175 of 203



**DESCRIPTION** Gray medium grained altered clayey friable sandstone.

 
 Conf. Pres.
 Strength (psi)

 87
 472

 130
 574

 174
 664



Project: Diablo Canyon Power Plant ISFSI

Project Number: 1223-60

Test Date: May 4, 2001



I-177 of 203





I-179 of 203


I-180 of 203



I-181 of 203





I-183 of 203





I-185 of 203







I-188 of 203



I-189 of 203





I-191 of 203



I-192 of 203



I-193 of 203



I-194 of 203









I-198 of 203





I-200 of 203



I-201 of 203



.



I-203 of 203