January 22, 2002

MEMORANDUM TO: Cynthia A. Carpenter, Program Director

Policy and Rulemaking Program

Division of Regulatory Improvement Programs, NRR

FROM: David T. Diec, Reactor Engineer/RA/

Policy and Rulemaking Section A

Division of Regulatory Improvement Programs, NRR

SUBJECT: SUMMARY OF PUBLIC MEETING WITH STAKEHOLDERS,

INCLUDING NUCLEAR ENERGY INSTITUTE TO DISCUSS 10 CFR 50.69, "RISK-INFORMED TREATMENT OF STRUCTURES, SYSTEMS,

AND COMPONENTS," DRAFT RULE LANGUAGE

On January 9, 2002, NRC staff held a public meeting with stakeholders, including the Nuclear Energy Institute (NEI), to discuss the following topics.

- Staff's intent of 10 CFR Part 50.69, "Risk-Informed of Structures, Systems, and Components," draft rule language (as published for comment on November 29, 2001)
- Stakeholder comments on the draft rule language (list of comment letters received to-date is provided in Attachment 1).
- Possible areas in the rule for clarifications and revisions.

A list of meeting attendees is attached (Attachment 2).

The staff stated that the purpose of the meeting was to understand the stakeholder comments, discuss issues concerning the objectives of the draft rule language, and collect other information to aid the staff in its preparation of the proposed rule. The staff stated that its goal is to have the revised draft rule re-posted for information in February 2002. The staff also plans to provide its comments on the NEI guidance document on the categorization process (NEI-00-04) in February 2002. The staff indicated that it does not plan to endorse guidance associated with treatment of risk-informed safety class 3 (RISC-3) structures, systems, and components (SSCs), which are safety-related with low safety significance. The staff approach is to include program requirements in 10 CFR 50.69 to maintain the design basis function of RISC-3 SSCs (with discussion in the statement of considerations about what is to be performed by the licensee) but not be involved in the details of how the licensee achieves functionality of the SSC.

The meeting participants discussed the draft rule language and the staff provided clarifications about the intent of certain provisions of the rule language. Some stakeholders commented that the additional wording contained in some of the subsections was confusing in that it introduced differences in meaning about terms and processes already in use. NEI also provided the staff with proposed alternate language for Section 50.69(d)(2), requirements for RISC-3 structures, systems, and components (Attachment 3).

The staff agreed to consider clarifications for a number of areas associated with wording about the design control, procurement, maintenance, and corrective action processes to respond to comments from the stakeholders.

A number of stakeholders also stated that additional special treatment requirements should be included within the scope of 10 CFR 50.69. NEI made the arguments for the inclusions of 10 CFR 50.55a (codes and standards), Appendix A to Part 100 (equipment seismic qualification testing), and 10 CFR 54 (requirements for renewal of operating licenses for nuclear power plants).

NEI representatives questioned the need for a license amendment to implement 10 CFR 50.69. NEI argued that the approval process should be more like that for quality assurance program revisions or a letter of approval. They noted that if each individual plant implementation required an amendment, it would reduce any efficiencies gained by conducting a rulemaking and not represent a significant improvement when compared to processing additional exemptions. Finally, NEI reiterated that commitment management should be sufficient to handle possible changes regarding the implementation of 10 CFR 50.69 rather than establishing new change control mechanisms (such as the proposal in the draft rule language for a "reduced effectiveness" threshold for categorization process changes).

The staff agreed to consider all stakeholders comments as it prepares the proposed rulemaking package.

#### Attachments:

- 1. List of comment letters (with ADAMS accession numbers)
- 2. List of attendees
- 3. NEI proposed alternate rule language for Part 50.69(d)

The staff agreed to consider clarifications for a number of areas associated with wording about the design control, procurement, maintenance, and corrective action processes to respond to comments from the stakeholders.

A number of stakeholders also stated that additional special treatment requirements should be included within the scope of 10 CFR 50.69. NEI made the arguments for the inclusions of 10 CFR 50.55a (codes and standards), Appendix A to Part 100 (equipment seismic qualification testing), and 10 CFR 54 (requirements for renewal of operating licenses for nuclear power plants).

NEI representatives questioned the need for a license amendment to implement 10 CFR 50.69. NEI argued that the approval process should be more like that for quality assurance program revisions or a letter of approval. They noted that if each individual plant implementation required an amendment, it would reduce any efficiencies gained by conducting a rulemaking and not represent a significant improvement when compared to processing additional exemptions. Finally, NEI reiterated that commitment management should be sufficient to handle possible changes regarding the implementation of 10 CFR 50.69 rather than establishing new change control mechanisms (such as the proposal in the draft rule language for a "reduced effectiveness" threshold for categorization process changes).

The staff agreed to consider all stakeholders comments as it prepares the proposed rulemaking package.

#### Attachments:

- 1. List of comment letters (with ADAMS accession numbers)
- 2. List of attendees
- 3. NEI proposed alternate rule language for Part 50.69(d)

## \*see previous concurrence

## Accession#ML020230095 NRC-001

G:\RGEB\dtd\Option2 mtg summary\January 09, 2002 meeting.minutes rev1.wpd

OFFICE	*DRIP:RGEB	*DRIP:RGEB	DRIP:RGEB
NAME	DDiec:nyc	TReed	SWest
DATE	01/14/02	01/15/02	01/22/02

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

# COMMENTS RECEIVED ON DRAFT RULE LANGUAGE FOR 50.69 1/2/02

	Commenter	Date	ADAMS Accession number
1.	John Fuoto	12/20	ML013580017
2.	TVA	12/21	ML013580025
3.	NEI	12/13	ML013580029
4.	ASME	12/20	ML013580040
5.	Craig Sellers	12/26	ML020040096
6.	Nuclear Utility Group on Equipment Qualification	12/28	ML020040100

# NRC/NEI Discussion on RIP50 Option 2 Draft Rule Language January 9, 2002 List of Attendees

Name	Affiliation	E-mail	Phone
David Diec	NRC/NRR	dtd@nrc.gov	301-415-2834
Tim Reed	NRC/NRR	tar@nrc.gov	301-415-1462
Rick Hill	GE	richard.hill@ge.com	465-925-5388
Nancy Chapman	SERCH/BECHTEL	ngchapma@bechtel.com	301-228-6025
Robin Graybeal	CONSULTANT	graybealm@asme.org	919-462-3103
Patricia Campbell	WINSTON & STRAWN	pcampbel@winston.com	202-371-5828
Steve West	NRC/NRR	ksw@nrc.gov	301-415-1220
John Fair	NRC/NRR	jrf@nrc.gov	301-415-2759
David Terao	NRC/NRR	dxr@nrc.gov	301-415-3317
Goutam Bagchi	NRC/NRR	gxb1@nrc.gov	301-415-3305
Ron Young	NRC/NRR	rmy@nrc.gov	301-415-2852
Peter Balmain	NRC/NRR	pab1@nrc.gov	301-415-3697
Cynthia Carpenter	NRC/NRR	cac@nrc.gov	301-415-1282
Mike Knapik	McGRAW-HILL	mike_knapik@platts.com	202-383-2167
Dan O'neal	NRC/NRR	dmo@nrc.gov	301-415-3706
Peter Kang	NRC/NRR	psk@nrc.gov	301-415-2779
Dave Blanchard	APPLIED RELIABILITY ENG.	dblanchard@ar-eng.com	408-374-0678
Paul Bessette	MORGANLEWIS	pbessette@morganlewis.com	202-467-7796
Ken Balkey	WESTINGHOUSE	balkeykr@westinghouse.com	412-374-4633
Thomas Morgan	SCIENTECH, INC	tmorgan@schientech.com	301-258-2420
Parviz Moieni	SCE	moienip@	949-368-9349
Ellen Anderson	NEI	epa@nei.org	202-739-8117
Thomas Hook	DOMINION VA POWER	tom_hook@dom.com	804-273-2327
Erasmia Lois	NRR/RES	exl1@nrc.gov	301-415-6560
David Fischer	NRC/NRR/DE	def@nrc.gov	301-415-2728

Frank Gillespie	NRC/NRR	fpg@nrc.gov	301-415-1267
Gary Holahan	NRC/NRR	gmh@nrc.gov	301-415-2884
Mohammed Shuaibi	NRC/NRR	mas4@nrc.gov	301-415-2859
Gareth Parry	NRC/NRR	gwp@nrc.gov	301-415-1464
Mike Cheok	NRC/NRR	mcc2@nrc.gov	301-415-8380
Bill Borchardt	NRC/NRR	rwb1@nrc.gov	301-415-1284
Bruce Boger	NRC/NRR	bab2@nrc.gov	301-415-1004
David Matthews	NRC/NRR	dbm@nrc.gov	301-415-1199
Gene Imbro	NRC/NRR	exi@nrc.gov	301-415-3288
Glenn Kelly	NRC/NRR	gbk@nrc.gov	301-415-1075
Michael Markley	NRC/NRR	mtm@nrc.gov	301-415-6885
Kamal Manoly	NRC/NRR	kam@nrc.gov	301-415-2765
Samuel Lee	NRC/NRR	ssl@nrc.gov	301-415-1061
Eileen McKenna	NRC/NRR	emm@nrc.gov	301-415-2189
Gerald Sowers	APS	gsowers@apsc.com	623-393-5647
Glen Schinzel	STPNOC	geschinzel@stpnoc.com	361-972-7854
Craig Sellers	ITS CORP	cos@itsc.com	410-394-1504
Jack Strosnider	NCR/NRR/DE	jrs2@nrc.gov	301-415-3298
Bill Burchill	EXELON NUCLEAR	williamburchill@exeloncorp.com	630-657-3816
John M. Winebrenner	DOMINION VA POWER	john-winebrenner@dom.com	804-273-2822
Adrian Heymer	NEI	aph@nei.org	202-739-8094
Tony Pietrangelo	NEI	arp@nei.org	202-739-8081
Bill Bradley	NEI	rpb@nei.org	202-739-8083
Maurice Dingler	WCNOC	madingler@wcnoc.com	620-364-4167
Deann Raleigh	LIS, SCIENTECH	draleigh@scientech.com	301-258-2551

DISTRIBUTION: Option2 Mtg Summary 1/9/02 rev1.wpd

ADAMS-PUBLIC

OGC ACRS

<u>Email</u>

SCollins/JJohnson

**BSheron** 

WBorchardt

**DMatthews** 

CACarpenter

SWest

EMcKenna

TReed

DDiec

BBoger

JStrosnider

GHolahan

OWFN Reception

OPA

**PMNS**