

## Form TI-1 Case Resolution

Case Status	<input type="checkbox"/> Open	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Closed
RCODE: <u>642471</u>		
Region: <u>1</u>		
OLD - Complete Name, Address, Contact, Phone Number: Pennex Products Eastern Avenue & Pennex Drive Verona, PA 15147		
NEW - Complete Name, Address, Contact, Phone Number: Rexall Sundown		
Agreement State Licensee? (Double Check)	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Moved to an Agreement State?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
<p>Describe Follow-up: (Telephone, Internet, Chamber of Commerce, Other) Rick Evans, Rexall Sundown EHS 561-241-9400, x2526 (or 561-999-2526 for direct access). Harry G. Austin, III, Austin's Company, P.O. Box 827, 115 Downieville Road, Mars, Pennsylvania 16046-0827 (724-625-1535).</p> <p>Rexall Sundown acquired Pennex Products, makers of numerous store brand over-the-counter pharmaceuticals, in 1993 to move into that market. It was largely unsuccessful and, on August 31, 1995, decided to cease operations at Pennex. The Verona facility was closed on October 31 or November 1, 1995.</p> <p>Rexall Sundown reported that the gauge was purchased at auction by a bleach manufacturer (Austin's Company) who had purchased it for possible use on a future line. The buyer said he was not aware that it contained a source at the time of purchase. Rexall Sundown is working with the buyer to either reclaim the gauge or to have the gauge properly licensed to the buyer (who, because of the associated fees, would like compensation). The serial number of the gauge is 110113 and of the source is 1505.</p>		
Describe Communication with Registration Vendor: Michelle Alvarado at Industrial Dynamics (310-257-2202) ( <a href="mailto:alvarado@filtec.com">alvarado@filtec.com</a> ). Prior to discovery of the sale of the gauge, the vendor reported that the source had not been returned. Later, the Industrial Dynamics representative confirmed that the source was returned on March 13, 2001.		
Vendor Follow-up Action:		
Final Action Taken:		
Cause of Bad Address/Lesson Learned:		

## Form TI-2: Device Information

RCODE: <u>642471</u>								
ID	Model Number	Serial Number	Isotope	Activity (mCi)	Sold Date	Vendor	Status (A or N)	Comments
1	FT-50B	1505	<sup>241</sup> Am	100	19952	1586-70GL	A	
For additional GLD's received by the licensee identified during the inspection:								
ID	Model Number	Serial Number	Isotope	Activity	Sold Date	Vendor	How obtained	Comments

Status Codes:

A - Source accounted for

N - Source not accounted for

## Form TI-3: General Licensee Inspection Documentation

RCODE: <u>642471</u>	
General Licensee Information:	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Check Box if Current Complete Name, Mailing Address, Contact, and Phone Number is Same as Provided by ORNL. If not, include correct information below:
<input type="checkbox"/>	
Results of inspection: (check the appropriate boxes)	
<input type="checkbox"/>	The general licensee of record is located at the address of record and
<input type="checkbox"/>	all GLD are accounted for
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>not</u> all GLD are accounted for
<input type="checkbox"/>	The general licensee of record is <u>not</u> located at the address of record, however GLD are being used under new ownership at the address of record and
<input type="checkbox"/>	all GLD are accounted for
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>not</u> all GLD are accounted for
<input type="checkbox"/>	The general licensee of record is <u>not</u> located at the address of record, however they are using GLD at another location and
<input type="checkbox"/>	all GLD are accounted for
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>not</u> all GLD are accounted for;
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Neither the general licensee of record nor the facility operated by the general licensee are located at the address of record and the site has been abandoned or is being used for an alternate purpose.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Other: (explain)
Gauge Information:	
ID	For each gauge for which status is unaccounted for (see last column of Form TI-2), provide any conclusions about location of the gauge:

The gauge was improperly transferred, but because of this inspection, the parties involved have worked with the vendor to have the source from the gauge returned or relicensed. The source for the gauge was returned to the vendor on March 13, 2001.

Inspector: Keith Brown, Health Physicist  
Nuclear Materials Safety Branch 2

Approved By: John D. Kinneman, Chief  
Nuclear Materials Safety Branch 2