

January 9, 2002

MEMORANDUM TO: Biweekly Notice Coordinator

FROM: Marvin M. Mendonca, Senior Project Manager */RA by*  
Non-Power Reactors Section ***Alexander Adams, Jr.***  
***Acting for/***  
Operating Reactor Improvements  
Division of Regulatory Improvement Programs  
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

SUBJECT: REQUEST FOR PUBLICATION IN BIWEEKLY FR NOTICE -  
NOTICE OF CONSIDERATION OF ISSUANCE OF  
AMENDMENT TO FACILITY LICENSE, PROPOSED NO  
SIGNIFICANT HAZARDS CONSIDERATION DETERMINATION,  
AND OPPORTUNITY FOR A HEARING (TAC NO. MA8190)

National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA), Docket No. 50-30, Plum Brook  
Reactor Facility (PBRF), Sandusky, Ohio

Date of amendment request: December 20, 1999, as supplemented on March 26,  
November 19, and December 20, 2001.

Description of amendment request: The proposed amendment would allow  
decommissioning of the Plum Brook Test Reactor Facility.

Basis for proposed no significant hazards consideration determination: As required by  
10 CFR 50.91(a), the licensee has provided its analysis of the issue of no significant  
hazards consideration, which is presented below:

1. Does the proposed approval of the PBRF Decommissioning Plan involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated?

All nuclear fuel has been removed from the PBRF site. Radioactive inventories at the PBRF are very small compared to those in operating reactors (both power and non-power) and in various kinds of fuel cycle facilities subject to NRC regulation. Analyses indicate that decommissioning activities would not involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated in the current Final Hazards Summary for the NASA Plum Brook Reactor Facility.



SUMMARY

NASA considers that the approval of the Decommissioning Plan does not involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated.

2. Does the proposed approval of the PBRF Decommissioning Plan create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated?

The current Final Hazards Summary for the NASA Plum Brook Reactor Facility evaluated those cause-and-effect accidents related to external events and loss/failure of reactor support systems that would result in the dispersal of fission products and radioactive materials to the environment. Due to the combined absence of fuel at the PBRF site and the non-operational condition of reactor support systems, NASA has determined that decommissioning activities, as described in the Decommissioning Plan, will not create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated.

SUMMARY

NASA considers that the approval of the Decommissioning Plan does not create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated.

3. Do the proposed changes involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety?

Current Technical Specifications adequately restrain the scope and nature of decommissioning activities to loose equipment removal and preparations for dismantlement. Approval of the proposed Decommissioning Plan provides for additional controls prior to commencement of dismantlement activities, thereby achieving a greater margin of safety.

SUMMARY

NASA considers that the approval of the Decommissioning Plan does not involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety.

Based on the above evaluations, NASA concludes that the activities associated with the above described changes present no significant hazards consideration under the standards set forth in 10 CFR 50.92(c) and, accordingly, a finding by the NRC of no significant hazards consideration is justified.

The NRC staff has reviewed the licensee's analysis and, based on this review, it appears that the three standards of 10 CFR 50.92(c) are satisfied. Therefore, the NRC staff proposes to determine that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration.

Attorney for the Licensee: J. William Sikora, Esquire, 21000 Brookpark Road, Mail Stop 500-118, Cleveland, OH 44135

NRC Section Chief: Patrick M. Madden

The NRC staff has reviewed the licensee's analysis and, based on this review, it appears that the three standards of 10 CFR 50.92(c) are satisfied. Therefore, the NRC staff proposes to determine that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration.

Attorney for the Licensee: J. William Sikora, Esquire, 21000 Brookpark Road, Mail Stop 500-118, Cleveland, OH 44135

NRC Section Chief: Patrick M. Madden

**DISTRIBUTION:**

PUBLIC RORP r/f MMendonca EHylton PMadden  
LCox, Sholly Coordinator (Orig. + 1 copy, O8-E1)

ADAMS ACCESSION NO: ML020040346

TEMPLATE #: NRR106

OFFICE	RORP:PM		RORP:LA		RORP:SC	
NAME	MMendonca:rdr		EHylton		PMadden	
DATE	01/ 08 /2002		01/ 07 /2002		01/ 08 /2002	

**C = COVER**

**E = COVER & ENCLOSURE  
OFFICIAL RECORD COPY**

**N = NO COPY**