January 3, 1991

Docket Nos. 50-259, 50-260 and 50-296

> Mr. Oliver D. Kingsley, Jr. Senior Vice President, Nuclear Power Tennessee Valley Authority 6N 38A Lookout Place 1101 Market Street Chattanooga, Tennessee 37402-2801

Dear Mr. Kingsley:

SUBJECT: ISSUANCE OF AMENDMENTS (TAC NOS. 76898, 76899, 76900) (TS 286)

The Commission has issued the enclosed Amendment Nos.178, 184, and 149to Facility Operating Licenses Nos. DPR-33, DPR-52 and DPR-68 for the Browns Ferry Nuclear Plant (BFN), Units 1, 2 and 3, respectively. These amendments are in response to your application dated June 4, 1990, as supplemented October 24, 1990.

The amendments revise the Technical Specifications (TS) to (1) change the Reactor Protection System circuit protection trip level setpoints for Unit 2, (2) add surveillance requirement 4.1.B.2 to Units 1 and 3 TS with the new setpoints, (3) add surveillance requirement 4.1.B.1 with the new setpoints to Unit 3 TS, and (4) add limiting conditions for operation 3.1.B.1 and 3.1.B.2 to Unit 3 TSs.

A copy of the Safety Evaluation is also enclosed. Notice of Issuance will be included in the Commission's bi-weekly Federal Register notice.

Sincerely,

Original signed by Frederick J. Hebdon Thierry M. Ross, Project Manager Project Directorate II-4 Division of Reactor Projects - I/II Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Enclosures:

- 1. Amendment No. 178 to License No. DPR-33
- 2. Amendment No. 184 to License No. DPR-52
- 3. Amendment No. 149 to License No. DPR-68
- 4. Safety Evaluation

cc w/enclosures: See next page

V.

| v     | :PDII-4/LA                    | PDII-4/PE*                      | PDII-4/PM*     | OGC*       | PDIJ-4/DD              | PDII-4/D | •       |
|-------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------|------------|------------------------|----------|---------|
| NAME  | MKrebs <b>M</b> K             | :BMozafari:as                   | TRoss          |            | PO <sup>R</sup> SBlack | FHebdon  | :       |
| DATE  | 1/3/91                        | :12/20/90                       | 12/20/90       | : 12/26/90 | :1/3/91                | :1/3/91  |         |
| OFFIC | IAL RECORD CO<br>nt Name: TS2 | )PY<br>286                      |                |            |                        |          | Icol    |
|       | 910110<br>PDR 0<br>P          | 60034 91010<br>ADOCK 05000<br>P | 3<br>259<br>DR |            |                        |          | droi II |

AMENDMENT NO. 178 FOR BROWNS FERRY UNIT 1 - DOCKET NO. 50-259, AMENDMENT NO. 184 FOR BROWNS FERRY UNIT 2 - DOCKET NO. 50-260, and AMENDMENT NO. 149 FOR BROWNS FERRY UNIT 3 - DOCKET NO. 50-296 DATED: January 3, 1991

**DISTRIBUTION:** 

Docket File NRC PDR Local PDR Plant Reading File S. Varga G. Lainas F. Hebdon S. Black M. Krebs T. Ross D. Moran B. Mozafari OGC D. Hagan E. Jordan G. Hill (12) Wanda Jones J. Calvo H. Garg ACRS(10) GPA/PA OC/LFMB (N. Ervin, NRR, for Security Plan Amendments) Others as required

cc: Plant Service List

#### Mr. Oliver D. Kingsley, Jr.

cc: Mr. Marvin Runyon, Chairman Tennessee Valley Authority ET 12A 7A 400 West Summit Hill Drive Knoxville, Tennessee 37902

Mr. Edward G. Wallace Manager, Nuclear Licensing and Regulatory Affairs Tennessee Valley Authority 5N 157B Lookout Place Chattanooga, Tennessee 37402-2801

Mr. John B. Waters, Director Tennessee Valley Authority ET 12A 9A 400 West Summit Hill Drive Knoxville, Tennessee 37902

Mr. W. F. Willis Chief Operating Officer ET 12B 16B 400 West Summit Hill Drive Knoxville, Tennessee 37902

General Counsel Tennessee Valley Authority 400 West Summit Hill Drive ET 11B 33H Knoxville, Tennessee 37902

Mr. Dwight Nunn Vice President, Nuclear Projects Tennessee Valley Authority 6N 38A Lookout Place 1101 Market Street Chattanooga, Tennessee 37402-2801

Dr. Mark O. Medford Vice President, Nuclear Assurance, Licensing and Fuels Tennessee Valley Authority 6N 38A Lookout Place Chattanooga, Tennessee 37402-2801 Mr. O. J. Zeringue, Site Director Browns Ferry Nuclear Plant Tennessee Valley Authority P. O. Box 2000 Decatur, Alabama 35602

Mr. P. Carier, Site Licensing Manager Browns Ferry Nuclear Plant Tennessee Valley Authority P. O. Box 2000 Decatur, Alabama 35602

Mr. L. W. Myers, Plant Manager Browns Ferry Nuclear Plant Tennessee Valley Authority P. O. Box 2000 Decatur, Alabama 35602

Chairman, Limestone County Commission P. O. Box 188 Athens, Alabama 35611

Claude Earl Fox, M.D. State Health Officer State Department of Public Health State Office Building Montgomery, Alabama 36130

Regional Administrator, Region II U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission 101 Marietta Street, N.W. Atlanta, Georgia 30323

Mr. Charles Patterson Senior Resident Inspector Browns Ferry Nuclear Plant U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Route 12, Box 637 Athens, Alabama 35611

Tennessee Valley Authority Rockville Office 11921 Rockville Pike Suite 402 Rockville, Maryland 20852



UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

# TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY

# DOCKET NO. 50-259

# BROWNS FERRY NUCLEAR PLANT, UNIT 1

### AMENDMENT TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE

Amendment No. 178 License No. DPR-33

- 1. The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has found that:
  - A. The application for amendment by Tennessee Valley Authority (the licensee) dated June 4, 1990 as supplemented October 24, 1990, complies with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission's rules and regulations set forth in 10 CFR Chapter I;
  - B. The facility will operate in conformity with the application, the provisions of the Act, and the rules and regulations of the Commission:
  - C. There is reasonable assurance (i) that the activities authorized by this amendment can be conducted without endangering the health and safety of the public, and (ii) that such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations;
  - D. The issuance of this amendment will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public; and
  - E. The issuance of this amendment is in accordance with 10 CFR Part 51 of the Commission's regulations and all applicable requirements have been satisfied.

9101160045 910103 PDR ADOCK 05000259 PDR PDR

- Accordingly, the license is amended by changes to the Technical Specifications as indicated in the attachment to this license amendment and paragraph 2.C.(2) of Facility Operating License No. DPR-33 is hereby amended to read as follows:
  - (2) Technical Specifications

The Technical Specifications contained in Appendices A and B, as revised through Amendment No. 178, are hereby incorporated in the license. The licensee shall operate the facility in accordance with the Technical Specifications.

3. This license amendment is effective as of its date of issuance and shall be implemented within 30 days from the date of issuance.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Frederick J. Hebdon, Director Project Directorate II-4 Division of Reactor Projects - I/II Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Attachment: Changes to the Technical Specifications

Date of Issuance: January 3, 1991

- 2 -

# ATTACHMENT TO LICENSE AMENDMENT NO. 178

# FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NO. DPR-33

# DOCKET NO. 50-259

Revise the Appendix A Technical Specifications by removing the pages identified below and inserting the enclosed pages. The revised pages are identified by the captioned amendment number and contain marginal lines indicating the area of change.

. .

| REMOVE    | INSERT    |
|-----------|-----------|
| 3.1/4.1-1 | 3.1/4.1-1 |
| 3.1/4.1-2 | 3.1/4.1-2 |

• •

# 3.1/4.1 REACTOR PROTECTION SYSTEM

# LIMITING CONDITIONS FOR OPERATION

# 3.1 Reactor Protection System

#### **Applicability**

Applies to the instrumentation and associated devices which initiate a reactor scram.

#### <u>Objective</u>

To assure the OPERABILITY of the reactor protection system.

#### **Specification**

- A. When there is fuel in the vessel, the setpoints, minimum number of trip systems, and minimum number of instrument channels that must be OPERABLE for each MODE of OPERATION shall be as given in Table 3.1.A.
- B. Two RPS power monitoring channels for each inservice RPS MG set or alternate source shall be OPERABLE.
  - With one RPS electric power monitoring channel for inservice RPS MG set or alternate power supply inoperable, restore the inoperable channel to OPERABLE status within 72 hours or remove the associated RPS MG set or alternate power supply from service.

# SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

# 4.1 <u>Reactor Protection System</u>

### **Applicability**

Applies to the surveillance of the instrumentation and associated devices which initiate reactor scram.

### **Objective**

To specify the type and frequency of surveillance to be applied to the protection instrumentation.

### **Specification**

- A. Instrumentation systems shall be functionally tested and calibrated as indicated in Tables 4.1.A and 4.1.B, respectively.
- B. The RPS power monitoring system instrumentation shall be determined OPERABLE:
  - 1. At least once per 6 months by performance of channel functional tests.

3.1/4.1-1 Amendment 178

### 3.1/4.1 REACTOR PROTECTION SYSTEM

#### LIMITING CONDITIONS FOR OPERATION

# 3.1 <u>Reactor Protection System</u>

- 3.1.B. (Cont'd)
  - 2. With both RPS electric power monitoring channels for an inservice RPS MG set or alternate power supply inoperable, restore at least one to OPERABLE status within 30 minutes or remove the associated RPS MG set or alternate power supply from service.

#### SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

#### 4.1 <u>Reactor Protection System</u>

- 4.1.B. (Cont'd)
  - 2. At least once per 18 months by demonstrating the OPERA-BILITY of overvoltage, undervoltage and underfrequency protective instrumentation by simulated automatic logic actuation and verification of the circuit protector trip level setting as follows.
    - (a) overvoltage ≤ 132.0 VAC
    - (b) undervoltage > 108.5 VAC
    - (c) underfrequency ≥ 56.0 Hz



#### UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

# TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY

### DOCKET NO. 50-260

### BROWNS FERRY NUCLEAR PLANT, UNIT 2

#### AMENDMENT TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE

Amendment No. 184 License No. DPR-52

- 1. The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has found that:
  - A. The application for amendment by Tennessee Valley Authority (the licensee) dated June 4, 1990 as supplemented October 24, 1990, complies with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission's rules and regulations set forth in 10 CFR Chapter I;
  - B. The facility will operate in conformity with the application, the provisions of the Act, and the rules and regulations of the Commission;
  - C. There is reasonable assurance (i) that the activities authorized by this amendment can be conducted without endangering the health and safety of the public, and (ii) that such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations;
  - D. The issuance of this amendment will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public; and
  - E. The issuance of this amendment is in accordance with 10 CFR Part 51 of the Commission's regulations and all applicable requirements have been satisfied.

- Accordingly, the license is amended by changes to the Technical Specifications as indicated in the attachment to this license amendment and paragraph 2.C.(2) of Facility Operating License No. DPR-52 is hereby amended to read as follows:
  - (2) Technical Specifications

The Technical Specifications contained in Appendices A and B, as revised through Amendment No. 184, are hereby incorporated in the license. The licensee shall operate the facility in accordance with the Technical Specifications.

3. This license amendment is effective as of its date of issuance and shall be implemented within 30 days from the date of issuance.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Frederick J. Hebdon, Director Project Directorate II-4 Division of Reactor Projects - I/II Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Attachment: Changes to the Technical Specifications

Date of Issuance: January 3. 1991

# ATTACHMENT TO LICENSE AMENDMENT NO. 184

# FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NO. DPR-52

# DOCKET NO. 50-260

Revise the Appendix A Technical Specifications by removing the pages identified below and inserting the enclosed pages. The revised pages are identified by the captioned amendment number and contain marginal lines indicating the area of change.

.

. .

| REMOVE    | INSERT    |
|-----------|-----------|
| 3.1/4.1-1 | 3.1/4.1-1 |
| 3.1/4.1-2 | 3.1/4.1-2 |

• •

# 3.1/4.1 REACTOR PROTECTION SYSTEM

# LIMITING CONDITIONS FOR OPERATION

# 3.1 Reactor Protection System

### **Applicability**

Applies to the instrumentation and associated devices which initiate a reactor scram.

#### **Objective**

To assure the OPERABILITY of the reactor protection system.

#### Specification

- A. When there is fuel in the vessel, the setpoints, minimum number of trip systems, and minimum number of instrument channels that must be OPERABLE for MODE OF OPERATION shall be as given in Table 3.1.A.
- B. Two RPS power monitoring channels for each inservice RPS MG set or alternate source shall be OPERABLE.
  - With one RPS electric power monitoring channel for inservice RPS MG set or alternate power supply inoperable, restore the inoperable channel to OPERABLE status within 72 hours or remove the associated RPS MG set or alternate power supply from service.

# SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

4.1 Reactor Protection System

### **Applicability**

Applies to the surveillance of the instrumentation and associated devices which initiate reactor scram.

#### <u>Objective</u>

To specify the type and frequency of surveillance to be applied to the protection instrumentation.

#### **Specification**

- A. Instrumentation systems shall be functionally tested and calibrated as indicated in Tables 4.1.A and 4.1.B, respectively.
- B. The RPS power monitoring system instrumentation shall be determined OPERABLE:
  - At least once per 6 months by performance of channel functional tests.

### LIMITING CONDITIONS FOR OPERATION

# 3.1 Reactor Protection System

- 3.1.B. (Cont'd)
  - 2. With both RPS electric power monitoring channels for an inservice RPS MG set or alternate power supply inoperable, restore at least one to OPERABLE status within 30 minutes or remove the associated RPS MG set or alternate power supply from service.

#### SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

### 4.1 <u>Reactor Protection System</u>

- 4.1.B. (Cont'd)
  - 2. At least once per 18 months by demonstrating the OPERA-BILITY of overvoltage, undervoltage and underfrequency protective instrumentation by simulated automatic logic actuation and verification of the circuit protector trip level setting as follows.
    - (a) overvoltage <u>≤</u> 132.0 VAC
    - (b) undervoltage > 108.5 VAC
    - (c) underfrequency ≥ 56.0 Hz



#### UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

# TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY

### DOCKET NO. 50-296

### BROWNS FERRY NUCLEAR PLANT, UNIT 3

#### AMENDMENT TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE

Amendment No. 149 License No. DPR-68

- 1. The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has found that:
  - A. The application for amendment by Tennessee Valley Authority (the licensee) dated June 4, 1990 as supplemented October 24, 1990, complies with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission's rules and regulations set forth in 10 CFR Chapter I;
  - B. The facility will operate in conformity with the application, the provisions of the Act, and the rules and regulations of the Commission;
  - C. There is reasonable assurance (i) that the activities authorized by this amendment can be conducted without endangering the health and safety of the public, and (ii) that such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations;
  - D. The issuance of this amendment will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public; and
  - E. The issuance of this amendment is in accordance with 10 CFR Part 51 of the Commission's regulations and all applicable requirements have been satisfied.

- Accordingly, the license is amended by changes to the Technical Specifications as indicated in the attachment to this license amendment and paragraph 2.C.(2) of Facility Operating License No. DPR-68 is hereby amended to read as follows:
  - (2) Technical Specifications

The Technical Specifications contained in Appendices A and B, as revised through Amendment No. 149, are hereby incorporated in the license. The licensee shall operate the facility in accordance with the Technical Specifications.

3. This license amendment is effective as of its date of issuance and shall be implemented within 30 days from the date of issuance.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Twedenit J. Nebel

Frederick J. Hebdon, Director Project Directorate II-4 Division of Reactor Projects - I/II Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Attachment: Changes to the Technical Specifications

Date of Issuance: January 3, 1991

- 2 -

# ATTACHMENT TO LICENSE AMENDMENT NO. 149

# FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NO. DPR-68

# DOCKET NO. 50-296

Revise the Appendix A Technical Specifications by removing the pages identified below and inserting the enclosed pages. The revised pages are identified by the captioned amendment number and contain marginal lines indicating the area of change.

| REMOVE    | INSERT     |
|-----------|------------|
| 3.1/4.1-1 | 3.1/4.1-1  |
| -         | 3.1/4.1-1a |

• •

#### 3.1/4.1 REACTOR PROTECTIL SYSTEM

#### LIMITING CONDITIONS FOR OPERATION

#### 3.1 <u>Reactor Protection System</u>

### <u>Applicability</u>

Applies to the instrumentation and associated devices which initiate a reactor scram.

#### **Objective**

To assure the OPERABILITY of the reactor protection system.

#### **Specification**

- A. When there is fuel in the vessel, the setpoints, minimum number of trip systems, and minimum number of instrument channels that must be OPERABLE for each MODE OF OPERATION shall be as given in Table 3.1.A.
- B. Two RPS power monitoring channels for each inservice RPS MG set or alternate source shall be OPERABLE.
  - With one RPS electric power monitoring channel for inservice RPS MG set or alternate power supply inoperable, restore the inoperable channel to OPERABLE status within 72 hours or remove the associated RPS MG set or alternate power supply from service.

#### SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

4.1 <u>Reactor Protection System</u>

#### **Applicability**

Applies to the surveillance of the instrumentation and associated devices which initiate reactor scram.

#### <u>Objective</u>

To specify the type and frequency of surveillance to be applied to the protection instrumentation.

#### **Specification**

- A. Instrumentation systems shall be functionally tested and calibrated as indicated in Tables 4.1.A and 4.1.B, respectively.
- B. The RPS power monitoring system instrumentation shall be determined OPERABLE:
  - 1. At least once per 6 months by performance of channel functional tests.

BFN Unit 3

# 3.1/4.1 REACTOR PROTECTION SYSTEM

### LIMITING CONDITIONS FOR OPERATION

- 3.1 <u>Reactor Protection System</u>
- 3.1.B. (Cont'd)
  - 2. With both RPS electric power monitoring channels for an inservice RPS MG set or alternate power supply inoperable, restore at least one to OPERABLE status within 30 minutes or remove the associated RPS MG set or alternate power supply from service.

#### SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

### 4.1 Reactor Protection System

- 4.1.B. (Cont'd)
  - 2. At least once per 18 months by demonstrating the OPERA-BILITY of overvoltage, undervoltage and underfrequency protective instrumentation by simulated automatic logic actuation and verification of the circuit protector trip level setting as follows.
    - (a) overvoltage ≤ 132.0 VAC
    - (b) undervoltage ≥ 108.5 VAC
    - (c) underfrequency ≥ 56.0 Hz

#### UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

### ETICLOSURE 4

# SAFETY EVALUATION BY THE OFFICE OF NUCLEAR REACTOR REGULATION

# SUPPORTING AMENDMENT NO. 178 TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NO. DPR-33

### AMENDMENT NO. 184 TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NO. DPR-52

# AMENDMENT NO. 149 TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NO. DPR-68

### TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY

### BROWNS FERRY NUCLEAR PLANT, UNITS 1, 2 AND 3

#### DOCKET NOS. 50-259, 50-260 AND 50-296

#### 1.0 INTRODUCTION

NUCLEAR REGULAD

By letter dated August 7, 1978, the NRC advised the Tennessee Valley Authority (TVA or the licensee) of deficiencies regarding the Reactor Protection System (RPS) Power Monitoring System (PMS) identified at Hatch Nuclear Plant, Unit 2, and the potential for similar deficiencies at other Boiling Water Reactors (BWRs). The NRC requested that TVA evaluate the design of the Browns Ferry Nuclear Power Plant (BFN) RPS and promptly commence surveillance of the RPS power supply as described in the letter. By letter dated December 13, 1978, the licensee responded that the proposed RPS modifications were not necessary and that additional Technical Specification (TS) changes were not planned. The licensee's letter also advised the NRC that the surveillance requirement imposed by the August 7, 1978, letter would be discontinued after January 1, 1979. The NRC's letter of September 24, 1980, advised TVA that based on the NRC's evaluation, BFN could experience the same adverse conditions found at Hatch, Unit 2, and modifications should be implemented at BFN with specified TS.

By letter dated July 1, 1981, TVA provided the general outline of the design approach for the requested modifications. Proposed TS limits were submitted as part of the Unit 1 reload. However, the reload submittals from TVA did not provide sufficient information to substantiate design conformance to General Design Criteria (GDC) 2. GDC 21 and IEEE 279-1971. Also, the proposed trip setpoints of the protective relays were not based on analysis and test verification. By letter dated October 12, 1983, the NRC transmitted these concerns to TVA, and the subsequent response dated August 9, 1984, resolved some issues. A request for additional information was sent to TVA on October 31, 1984, to which TVA responded by letter dated March 1, 1985. The NRC's Safety Evaluation (SE) on these modifications was issued on July 27, 1985. According to the SE, the NRC accepted the modifications and required that the licensee submit the revised TS after completion of the testing of design modifications, and also include the test verified relay setpoint and time delays in the TS. By letter dated December 22, 1988, TVA submitted this information to the NRC. The NRC reviewed the information and approved the TS amendment for BFN Unit 2. However, the plant experienced spurious trips due to transient conditions.

9101160050 910103 PDR ADUCK 05000259 PDR PDR Consequently in a June 4, 1990 letter, the licensee requested approval of the proposed amendment for the new setpoints for RPS circuit protection devices. The proposed amendment would (1) change the RPS circuit protection trip level setpoints for Unit 2, (2) add surveillance requirements 4.1.B.2 to the Units 1 and 3 TS with the new setpoints, (3) add surveillance requirement 4.1.B.1, which contains the new setpoints to Unit 3, and (4) add limiting conditions for operation 3.1.B.1 and 3.1.B.2 to the Unit 3 TSs.

The October 24, 1990 letter provided clarifying information that did not change the action described, or the initial determination of no significant hazards consideration as previously published, in the FEDERAL REGISTER. In addition, a grammatical change to TS 3.1.B, although not mentioned in the initial FEDERAL REGISTER notice, similarly did not alter the action or affect the initial determination.

#### 2.0 EVALUATION

The previous values for the RPS circuit protection devices were as follows:

|                                 | Allowable TS Limit | Trip Setpoint |
|---------------------------------|--------------------|---------------|
| Overvoltage                     | 126.5              | 124.5         |
| Undervoltage (Motor Generator   |                    |               |
| (MG) Set)                       | 113.4              | 115.0         |
| Undervoltage (Alternate Supply) | 111.8              | 115.0         |
| Underfrequency                  | 57.0               | 58.0          |

The new values proposed in the June 4, 1990, submittal for the RPS circuit protection devices are as follows:

|                | Allowable TS Limit | Trip Setpoint |
|----------------|--------------------|---------------|
| Overvoltage    | 132.0              | 129.09        |
| Undervoltage   | 108.5              | 110.46        |
| Underfrequency | 56.0               | 57.0          |

The annunciator setpoint for overvoltage is set at 124.5 volts, and for undervoltage it is set at 115 volts. There is no annunciator for the underfrequency condition.

The NRC expressed a concern with the amendment request. The concern related to the new setpoints that may require some equipment to operate beyond their design ratings. The NRC made a request for additional information on September 27, 1990. TVA provided the additional information on October 24, 1990. The NRC reviewed the information and, during a November 15, 1990 conference call, asked TVA to provide more information related to all the spurious trips associated with the PMS and a list of all the RPS components together with their design ratings and calculated values of the parameters based on the new trip setpoints. During a meeting on November 27, 1990, TVA presented this information to the NRC staff. The current setpoint and alarm for undervoltage and overvoltage condition would not allow the operator enough time to take corrective action to prevent a spurious trip. According to TVA, the only components with environmental qualification (EQ) requirements are ASCO solenoid valves, but since these valves do not operate beyond their design ratings, their qualification would not be affected. TVA has also received letters from two manufacturers stating that the equipment can operate without degradation at the calculated values, which are beyond their design ratings. The calculated values do not exceed the design ratings by more than  $\pm$  3 Volts and should not affect equipment performance.

For underfrequency all the components operate within their design ratings except for two components for which the allowable underfrequency exceeds the rated frequency by 1 cycle per second. However, since these components (MG sets) contain a large flywheel, the equipment should not see a significant frequency variation, except when there is a power source switchover for an MG set due to a bus fault. Based on the above evaluation, the NRC concludes that the new setpoints will not adversely impact the equipment and will improve plant reliability.

The new setpoints are reflected in revisions to surveillance requirement 4.1.B.2 for Units 1 and 2. For consistency with the other two units, the Unit 3 TS were revised to include surveillance requirements 4.1.B.1 and 4.1.B.2, and the associated LCOS 3.1.B.1 and 3.1.B.2, with the appropriate setpoints and adequate surveillance intervals to ensure plant safety and improve plant reliability. These changes are thus acceptable. In addition, an editorial change to correct the grammar of LCO 3.1.B for Units 1 and 2, although reflected in the proposed TS pages, but not discussed in the licensee submittal, is also acceptable.

# 3.0 ENVIRONMENTAL CONSIDERATION

The amendments involve changes to requirements with respect to installation or use of a facility component located within the restricted area as defined in 10 CFR Part 20 and changes to the surveillance requirements. The staff has determined that the amendments involve no significant increase in the amounts, and no significant change in the types, of any effluents that may be released offsite, and that there is no significant increase in individual or cumulative occupational radiation exposure. The Commission has previously issued a proposed finding that these amendments involve no significant hazards consideration and there has been no public comment on such finding. Accordingly, the amendments meet the eligibility criteria for categorical exclusion set forth in 10 CFR 51.22(c)(9). Pursuant to 10 CFR 51.22(b), no environmental impact statement nor environmental assessment need be prepared in connection with the issuance of these amendments.

#### 4.0 CONCLUSION

The Commission made a proposed determination that the amendments involve no significant hazards consideration, which was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER (55 FR 30314) on July 25, 1990, and consulted with the State of Alabama. No public comments were received and the State of Alabama did not have any comments. The State of Alabama was also informed of the staff's final no significant hazards consideration determination and the intent to issue a license amendment.

The staff has concluded, based on the considerations discussed above, that: (1) there is reasonable assurance that the health and safety of the public will not be endangered by operation in the proposed manner, (2) such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations, and (3) the issuance of the amendments will not be inimical to the common defense and security nor to the health and safety of the public.

Principal Contributor: H. Garg

Dated: January 3, 1991