

December 12, 1986

Dockets Nos. 50-259/260/296

Manager, Office of Nuclear Power  
Tennessee Valley Authority  
6N 38A Lookout Place  
1101 Market Street  
Chattanooga, Tennessee 37402-2801

Dear Sir:

SUBJECT: BROWNS FERRY NUCLEAR PLANT UNITS 1, 2, and 3

Re: Error in Amendments Nos. 129, 124, and 100

By letter dated August 19, 1986, we transmitted amendments Nos. 129, 124, and 100 respectively for the Browns Ferry Nuclear Plant, Units 1, 2, and 3. The Safety Evaluation enclosed referred to section 4.6.4.2 being removed from the Technical Specifications. Section 4.6.4.2 was not requested to be removed, nor was it removed. The Safety Evaluation has been corrected and the corrected version is enclosed.

In addition, Amendments 129, 124, and 100 inadvertently removed information from pages 185, 185, and 198 for Units 1, 2, and 3 respectively. Item 6.H in amendment 129, p. 185, item 4.6.H in amendment 124, p. 185 and item 4.6.H in amendment 100, p. 196 refers to BF SI 4.6.H. These pages should each read "BF SI 4.6.H-1 and -2" as was approved by Amendments 128, 123, and 99 issued on March 31, 1986. Corrected pages are enclosed.

Sincerely,

Copy of enclosed by

Marshall Grotenhuis, Project Manager  
BWR Project Directorate #2  
Division of BWR Licensing

Enclosure:  
As stated

cc w/enclosure:  
See next page

DISTRIBUTION

Docket File MGrotenhuis  
NRC PDR GGears  
Local PDR OGC - Bethesda  
PD#2 Reading LHarmon  
RBernero EJordan  
SNorris JPartlow

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY  
DBL:PD#2 DBL:PD#2  
SNORRIS MGrotenhuis:cb  
12/10/86 12/10/86

LFMB  
PD#2 Plant File  
HDenton  
HThompson, IE  
JTaylor, IE  
BHayes, OI  
TBarnhard (12)  
WJones  
EButcher  
NThompson  
ACRS (10)  
OPA

DBL:PD#2  
GGears  
12/11/86

NGrace, RII  
LSpessard, DI  
KBarr, RII  
SRConnelly, OIA  
GZech, RII  
BJYoungblood  
JHolonich  
CStahle  
TKenyon MJCampagnone  
WLong W.E. Campbell, OIA  
DMuller RWessman  
TAlexion AIgnatonis, RII

DBL:PD#2  
DMuller  
12/11/86

8612170095 861212  
PDR ADOCK 05000259  
P PDR

Manager of Nuclear Power  
Tennessee Valley Authority

Browns Ferry Nuclear Plant  
Units 1, 2, and 3

cc:

General Counsel  
Tennessee Valley Authority  
400 Commerce Avenue  
E 11B 330  
Knoxville, Tennessee 37902

Resident Inspector  
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Route 2, Box 311  
Athens, Alabama 35611

R. W. Cantrell  
Acting Director, Nuclear Engineering  
Tennessee Valley Authority  
400 West Summit Hill Drive, W12 A12  
Knoxville, Tennessee 37902

R. L. Gridley  
Tennessee Valley Authority  
5N 157B Lookout Place  
Chattanooga, Tennessee 37402-2801

M. J. May  
Tennessee Valley Authority  
Browns Ferry Nuclear Plant  
Post Office Box 2000  
Decatur, Alabama 35602

H. P. Pomrehn  
Tennessee Valley Authority  
Browns Ferry Nuclear Plant  
Post Office Box 2000  
Decatur, Alabama 35602

Chairman, Limestone County Commission  
Post Office Box 188  
Athens, Alabama 35611

Ira L. Meyers, M.D.  
State Health Officer  
State Department of Public Health  
State Office Building  
Montgomery, Alabama 36130

Regional Administrator, Region II  
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
101 Marietta Street, Suite 2900  
Atlanta, Georgia 30303

Mr. Steven Roessler  
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Reactor Training Center  
Osborne Office Center, Suite 200  
Chattanooga, Tennessee 37411



UNITED STATES  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

SAFETY EVALUATION BY THE OFFICE OF NUCLEAR REACTOR REGULATION

SUPPORTING AMENDMENT NO. 129 TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NO. DPR-33

AMENDMENT NO. 124 TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NO. DPR-52

AMENDMENT NO. 100 TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NO. DPR-68

TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY

BROWNS FERRY NUCLEAR PLANT, UNITS 1, 2 AND 3

DOCKET NOS. 50-259, 50-260 AND 50-296

1.0 INTRODUCTION

By letter dated February 12, 1986 (TVA BFNP TS-217), the Tennessee Valley Authority (the licensee or TVA) requested amendments to Facility Operating License Nos. DPR-33, DPR-52 and DPR-68 for the Browns Ferry Nuclear Plant, Units 1, 2 and 3. The proposed amendments would change the Technical Specifications to clarify the limiting conditions for operation regarding seismic restraints, supports and snubbers.

2.0 EVALUATION

The proposed amendments clarify the requirements for seismic restraints, supports, and snubbers by adopting the requirements of the Standard Technical Specifications. This would permit the plant, during all modes of operation, to replace or restore inoperable seismic restraints, supports, and snubbers within a 72-hour period of time after they were discovered. It also requires an engineering analysis to show that the supported component(s) has not been damaged by the inoperable snubber(s). Since this is a provision in the Standard Technical Specifications, the addition of this requirement is acceptable.

8612170102 861212  
PDR ADDCK 05000259  
P PDR

As noted above, the revised Technical Specifications would permit a unit to startup with an inoperable seismic restraint, support or scrubber (SRSS), which is consistent with the BWR Standard Technical Specifications (NUREG-0123). At a glance, this might seem to be at variance with the long standing compliance-based policy that any plant repairs should be completed before a plant starts up, even though some period of time might be allowed to fix the item if it becomes non-functional during operation. (For SRSSs, this period of time is 72 hours). If a SRSS is inoperable, it technically renders the system it is protecting inoperable. The Browns Ferry Technical Specifications (TS) contain specific restrictions on what systems must be operable prior to startup. For example, Section 3.5.A.1 of the TS on the core spray system (CSS) states: "The CSS shall be operable prior to startup from a cold condition." If a SRSS on the CSS were inoperable, the unit could not startup until the SRSS was repaired. As TVA stated in the justification for the proposed change to the TS in the submittal of February 12, 1986, "instances of starting the reactor prior to completing a SRSS repair would rarely occur" because of the present restrictions in the TS on what systems (vs specific components of these systems) must be operable prior to startup. The proposed revisions to the TS is not inconsistent with having plants ready for sustained operation before startup from a shutdown condition and is acceptable.

### 3.0 ENVIRONMENTAL CONSIDERATIONS

The amendments change a requirement with respect to installation or use of a facility component located within the restricted area as defined in 10 CFR Part 20. The staff has determined that the amendments involve no significant increase in the amounts, and no significant change in the types, of any effluents that may be released offsite, and that there should be no significant increase in individual or cumulative occupational radiation exposure. The Commission has previously issued a proposed finding that the amendments involve no significant hazards consideration and there has been no public comment on such finding. Accordingly, the amendments meet the eligibility criteria for categorical exclusion set forth in 10 CFR §51.22(c)(9). Pursuant to 10 CFR §51.22(b), no environmental impact statement or environmental assessment need be prepared in connection with the issuance of the amendments.

### 4.0 CONCLUSION

We have concluded, based on the considerations discussed above, that: (1) there is reasonable assurance that the health and safety of the public will not be endangered by operation in the proposed manner, and (2) such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations, and the issuance of the amendments will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public.

Principal Contributor: H. Shaw, R. Clark

Dated: August 19, 1986

LIMITING CONDITIONS FOR OPERATION

SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

3.6 PRIMARY SYSTEM BOUNDARY

H. Seismic Restraints, Supports, and Snubbers

During all modes of operation, all seismic restraints, snubbers, and supports shall be operable except as noted in 3.6.H.1. All safety-related snubbers are listed in Surveillance Instruction BF SI 4.6.H.

1. With one or more seismic restraint, support, or snubber inoperable on a system that is required to be operable in the current plant condition, within 72 hours replace or restore the inoperable seismic restraint(s), support(s), or snubber(s) to operable status and perform an engineering evaluation on the attached component or declare the attached system inoperable and follow the appropriate Limiting Condition statement for that system.

4.6 PRIMARY SYSTEM BOUNDARY

H. Seismic Restraints, Supports, and Snubbers

The surveillance requirements of paragraph 4.6.C are the only requirements that apply to any seismic restraint or support other than snubbers.

Each safety-related snubber shall be demonstrated OPERABLE BY performance of the following augmented inservice inspection program and the requirements of Specification 3.6.H/4.6.H. These snubbers are listed in Surveillance Instructions BF SI 4.6.H-1 and -2.

1. Inspection Groups

The snubbers may be categorized into two major groups based on whether the snubbers are accessible or inaccessible during reactor operation. These major groups may be further subdivided into groups based on design, environment, or other features which may be expected to affect the operability of the snubbers within the group. Each group may be inspected independently in accordance with 4.6.H.2 through 4.6.H.9.

2. Visual Inspection, Schedule, and Lot Size

The first inservice visual inspection of snubbers not previously included in these technical specifications and whose visual inspection has not been performed and documented previously, shall be performed within six months for accessible snubbers and before resuming power after the first refueling outage

8612170111 861212  
PDR ADOCK 05000259  
P PDR

**LIMITING CONDITIONS FOR OPERATION****SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS****3.6 PRIMARY SYSTEM BOUNDARY****H. Seismic Restraints, Supports, and Snubbers**

During all modes of operation, all seismic restraints, snubbers, and supports shall be operable except as noted in 3.6.H.1. All safety-related snubbers are listed in Surveillance Instruction BF SI 4.6.H.

1. With one or more seismic restraint, support, or snubber inoperable on a system that is required to be operable in the current plant condition, within 72 hours replace or restore the inoperable seismic restraint(s), support(s), or snubber(s) to operable status and perform an engineering evaluation on the attached component or declare the attached system inoperable and follow the appropriate Limiting Condition statement for that system.

**4.6 PRIMARY SYSTEM BOUNDARY****H. Seismic Restraints, Supports, and Snubbers**

The surveillance requirements of paragraph 4.6.G are the only requirements that apply to any seismic restraint or support other than snubbers.

Each safety-related snubber shall be demonstrated OPERABLE BY performance of the following augmented inservice inspection program and the requirements of Specification 3.6.H/4.6.H. These snubbers are listed in Surveillance Instructions BF SI 4.6.H-1 and -2.

**1. Inspection Groups**

The snubbers may be categorized into two major groups based on whether the snubbers are accessible or inaccessible during reactor operation. These major groups may be further subdivided into groups based on design, environment, or other features which may be expected to affect the operability of the snubbers within the group. Each group may be inspected independently in accordance with 4.6.H.2 through 4.6.H.9.

**2. Visual Inspection, Schedule, and Lot Size**

The first inservice visual inspection of snubbers not previously included in these technical specifications and whose visual inspection has not been performed and documented previously, shall be performed within six months for accessible snubbers and before resuming power after the first refueling outage

**LIMITING CONDITIONS FOR OPERATION**

**SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS**

**3.6 PRIMARY SYSTEM BOUNDARY**

**H. Seismic Restraints, Supports, and Snubbers**

During all modes of operation, all seismic restraints, snubbers, and supports shall be operable except as noted in 3.6.H.1. All safety-related snubbers are listed in Surveillance Instruction BF SI 4.6.H.

1. With one or more seismic restraint, support, or snubber inoperable on a system that is required to be operable in the current plant condition, within 72 hours replace or restore the inoperable seismic restraint(s), support(s), or snubber(s) to operable status and perform an engineering evaluation on the attached component or declare the attached system inoperable and follow the appropriate Limiting Condition statement for that system.

**4.6 PRIMARY SYSTEM BOUNDARY**

**H. Seismic Restraints, Supports, and Snubbers**

The surveillance requirements of paragraph 4.6.G are the only requirements that apply to any seismic restraint or support other than snubbers.

Each safety-related snubber shall be demonstrated OPERABLE BY performance of the following augmented inservice inspection program and the requirements of Specification 3.6.H/4.6.H. These snubbers are listed in Surveillance Instructions BF SI 4.6.H-1 and -2.

**1. Inspection Groups**

The snubbers may be categorized into two major groups based on whether the snubbers are accessible or inaccessible during reactor operation. These major groups may be further subdivided into groups based on design, environment, or other features which may be expected to affect the operability of the snubbers within the group. Each group may be inspected independently in accordance with 4.6.H.2 through 4.6.H.9.

**2. Visual Inspection, Schedule, and Lot Size**

The first inservice visual inspection of snubbers not previously included in these technical specifications and whose visual inspection has not been performed and documented previously, shall be performed within six months for accessible snubbers and before resuming power after the first refueling outage