VERMONT YANKEE NUCLEAR POWER CORPORATION



Subject:

ł,

۶.

P.O. Box 157, Governor Hunt Road Vernon, Vermont 05354-0157 (802) 257-7711

> October 18, 2001 BVY 01-77

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission ATTN: Document Control Desk Washington, DC 20555

> Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Station License No. DPR-28 (Docket No. 50-271) Reportable Occurrence No. LER 2001-004, Rev. 0

As defined by 10CFR50.73, we are reporting the attached Reportable Occurrence as LER 2001-004, Rev. 0.

Sincerely,

VERMONT YANKEE NUCLEAR POWER CORPORATION

Kevin H. Bronson Plant Manager

cc: USNRC Region I Administrator USNRC Resident Inspector - VYNPS USNRC Project Manager - VYNPS Vermont Department of Public Service



NRC FORM 366 (7-2001) U.S NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) (See reverse for required number of digits/characters for each block)							SION	APPROVED BY OMB NO. 3150-0104 EXPIRES 7-31-2004 Estimated burden per response to comply with this mandatory information collection request: 50 hours. Reported lessons learned are incorporated into the licensing process and fed back to industry. Send comments regarding burden estimate to the Records Management Branch (T-6 E6), U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, or by internet e-mail to bis1@nrc.gov, and to the Desk Officer, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, NEOB-I0202 (3150-0104) Office of Management and Budget, Washington, DC 20503. If a means used to impose information collection does not display a currently valid OMB control number, the NRC may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, the information collection. 3. PAGE								
1. FACILITY NAME VERMONT YANKEE NUCLEAR POWER STATION (VY)								2. DOCKET NUMBER 05000271							1 of 3	
4. TITLE Exce		ore Therm	ial P	ower L	imit Due to Fe	edw	ater Flo	ow Nozzi	e Foi	ulir	ng					
5. EVEN			6. '	LER NU	MBER		7. REPORT D			TE 8. OTHER			_			
мо	DAY	YEAR	۲ ۱	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER		REV NO	мо	DA	Y	YEAR	FACILITY N/A	ACILITY NAME /A			OCKET NUMBER 05000 -
08	21	2001		2001			00	10	19		2001	FACILITY NAME N/A			OCKET NUMBER 05000 -	
9. OPER				11. TH	IS REPORT IS S	SUBM	ITTED P	URSUANT	тот	ΉE	REQUIREME	ENTS OF 1	0 CF	R §: (C	heck a	II that apply)
MOD		N		20.220				20.2203(a)(3)(ii)			50.73(a)(ii)(B			50.73(a)(2)(ix)(A)		
	POWER		\square	20.22	203(d)		20.220	03(a)(4)			50.73(a)(2)(ii	2)(iii)		50.73(a)(2)(x)		x)
LE	EVEL	100	F	20.2203(a)(1)		靣	50.36(c)(1)(i)(A)				50.73(a)(2)(i			73.71(a)(4)		
	*****			20.2203(a)(2)(i) 20.2203(a)(2)(ii)		50.36		6(c)(1)(ii)(A)			50.73(a)(2)(v)(A)			73.71(a)(5)		
			后			同	50.36(c)(2)				50.73(a)(2)(v			1	OTHER Specify in Abstract below or	
				20.22	03(a)(2)(iii)		50.36((c)(3)(ii)		50.73(a)(2)(Form 366A
				20.22	03(a)(2)(iv)			(a)(2)(i)(A)			50.73(a)(2)(\					
			Δ	20.22	:03(a)(2)(v)	\square	50.73((a)(2)(i)(B)			50.73(a)(2)(v	vii)				
			后	20.22	.03(a)(2)(vi)	后	50.73((a)(2)(i)(C)			50.73(a)(2)(v	viii)(A)				and the second
			Ē	20.22	:03(a)(3)(i)			(a)(2)(ii)(A)			50.73(a)(2)(v	viii)(B)		taan ba newarren ere		
na sala ana kito na a di si ata in			<u></u>		12. /	LICEN	ISEE CO	ONTACT FO								
NAME Kevin B	NAMETELEPHONE NUMBER (Include Area Code)Kevin Bronson, Plant Manager(802)257-7711															
13. COMPLETE ONE LINE FOR EACH COMPONENT FAILURE DESCRIBED IN THIS REPORT																
CAUSE		SYSTEM	COMPONENT			REP	ORTABLE		CAUSE		SYSTEM COMPON			IENT MANU FACTUR		REPORTABLE TO EPIX
N/A					<u> </u>	N/A			-1				1			
14. SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT EXPECT						ECTE		WL						NTH	DAY	YEAR
YES (If yes, complete EXPECTED SUBMISSION DA							\square	NO		SUBMISS DATE						

16. ABSTRACT (Limit to 1400 spaces, i.e., approximately 15 single-spaced typewritten lines)

During the period of August 17-21, 2001 Core Thermal Power (CTP) was exceeded by 3.5 Megawatts Thermal (MWTh). This was discovered on August 21, 2001 while performing a calibration of the feedwater nozzles after the control rod pattern exchange down power on August 16-17, 2001 to 65%, using the Crossflow Ultrasonic Flow Meter. Nozzles FE-6-11A&B were found to be out of calibration, indicating 0.22% lower than actual flow. This caused the calorimetric calculation to indicate 0.22% lower than actual. Reactor power as adjusted by plant operators was higher than the licensed power level of 1593 and calculated power of 1592.56 by 3.5 MWTh. Following this discovery, reactor power was decreased by 4 MWTh. New calibration numbers for the nozzles were calculated which allowed for the return to 100% CTP. Before the down power, the nozzles were reading within calibration. The root cause for this measured flow discrepancy was due to changes to fouling on the feed water nozzles during the down power to 65% CTP. A contributing cause is the first application of noble metal chemistry during RFO-22. Prior history indicates that nozzle fouling was stable for any percentage down power that did not take the unit off-line. The maximum power level achieved during this event (100.22%) was fully bounded by plant analysis. Therefore, this event has no effect on public health and safety.

NRC FORM 366A		U.S. NU	CLEAR REGUL	ATORY COMMISION
(7-2001) LICE	NSEE EVENT R	EPORT (LER)		
FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET (2)	LER NUMBER	(6)	PAGE (3)
VERMONT YANKEE NUCLEAR POWER STATION (VY)		YEAR SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER	
	05000271	2001 004 ·	00	2 OF 3
NARRATIVE (If more space is required, use addit	ional copies of NRC I	Form 366A) (17)		
DESCRIPTION: On 08/21/01, with the reactor at 100% pow 08/17-08/21/01, Core Thermal Power (CTi by 3.5 Megawatts Thermal (MWth). This c Yankee to unknowingly exceed its licensea reportable under 10CFR50.73(a)(2)(i)(B) a Specifications. Although the maximum pow interpreted to include both the License and A calibration of the feedwater nozzles was after the control rod pattern exchange dow 11A&B were found out of calibration, indic to the Process Plant Computer (PPC) CTF 0.22% lower than actual. Therefore, reacto power level of 1593 MWth and calculated	P) as calculated b alculation (used to d limit of 1593 MV as a condition pro- wer level is stated d the Technical Sp performed on 08 /n power of 08/16 ating a 0.22% low P calculation, which or power as adjus	by the process computer to determine reactor power with by a maximum of 3.3 hibited by Vermont Yanl in the Operating Licens becifications by the indu /21/01 using the Crossfi -08/17/01 to 65%. The for yer than actual flow. This is caused the calorimetic ted by plant operators, w	, was non-co ver), allowed 5 5 MWth. This cee's Technic se, this has ty stry. low Ultrasonic reedwater noz s signal is use ric calculation	nservatively low Vermont event is cal pically been c Flow Meter, zzles, FE-6- ed as an input to indicate
Following the discovery of the error in the decreased by 4 MWth at 11:50 AM. New of provided within OP2001 by System Engine the return to 100% CTP by 12:33 PM.	alibration numbe	rs for the nozzles were of	calculated by	the guidance
BACKGROUND: Corrosion product fouling of the feedwater since the 1970's. The fouling preferentially additional constriction has the effect of ind that is lower than indicated. Vermont Yankee installed a Crossflow Ultr allowing online calibration of the installed to 22 operation, indicated that the correction exchanges. Past practice has been to perform results to input into the reactor heat balance	occurs on the ne licating higher that rasonic Flow Meter flow meter. Evalua- is valid for all rou form the calibratic	ecked (narrowed) down n actual feedwater flow er in May 1999 to manag ations performed during tine power maneuvers,	part of the flo and hence a ge the fouling Crossflow te such as conti	w nozzle. The calculated CTP effects by sting and Cycle ol rod pattern
Prior to Crossflow, the cycle based transm at Alden labs in 1970.	nitter calibration w	as based on flow data c	btained from	nozzle testing
CAUSE OF THE EVENT: The cause of this event is mainly attributed down power to 65% CTP. Contributing fac RFO22 (May 2001) which could have alter operational history indicates that nozzle for unit off line.	tors include the fi red the oxide laye	rst application of noble r inside the feedwater n	metal chemis ozzles. In ad	try during dition, previous

NRC FORM 366 (7-2001)

N	RC FORM 366A	<u>.</u>				U.S. NU	CLEAR REGUL	ATORY COMMISION		
	-2001)		LICE			LER)				
	F	ACILITY NAME	. (1)	DOCKET (2)			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	PAGE (3)		
VERMONT YANKEE NUCLEAR				YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER				
POWER STATION (VY)				05000271	2001 ·	004 -	00	3 OF 3		
	NARRATIVE	(If more space	is required, use addii	tional copies of NRC	Form 366A) ((17)				
	ANALYSIS	OF THE EVE	INT:							
	based upon down power indications a indicate cha characterist	Prior to the control rod pattern exchange down power, the feedwater nozzles were reading within calibration, based upon a review of the data performed by System Engineering. Power ascension from the 08/16-08/17/01 down power and previous down power maneuvers relied on the calculated CTP to be accurate. Alternate power indications are not as precise an indicator of CTP. Additionally, interviews with plant and peer plant personnel indicate changes in chemistry, noble metals, zinc, oxygen and hydrogen can alter feedwater-fouling characteristics, thereby affecting flow nozzle measurements.								
	A review of the Monthly Core Performance Log indicates the highest thermal limits achieved during this event were 0.883 for MFLCPR, 0.960 for MFLPD and 0.890 for MAPRAT. For small power changes, a change in power will cause a similar percentage change in thermal limits. A 0.22% rated error in the calculation of core thermal power could not have caused thermal limits to exceed 1.000. The 100.22% power level achieved during this event was fully bounded by plant analyses (Fuel Reload and Transient Analyses). The Fuel Reload Analysis assumes a CTP level in excess of 102% for all FSAR accident analysis and feedwater flow uncertainty of 1.8% for Transient Analysis. The plant's safety significant protection systems were unaffected and the limiting condition achieved during this event was fully bounded by plant analyses and accident analyses.									
	CORRECT	IVE ACTION	S:							
	 The operating crew reduced reactor power by 4 MWth and the nozzle calibration was implemented (08/21/01). 									
	Daily calibration checks of feedwater nozzles with Crossflow were implemented for two weeks following the event to ensure that no other issues or equipment were contributing factors to this event.									
	3. The Crossflow Calibration check frequency was increased to weekly.									
	4. Interim Guidance for power ascension was provided to operators.									
	 Procedures and interim guidance will be revised to address improved flow determination capability resulting from Crossflow Calibration and the impact of chemical addition upon plant systems. 									
	ADDITION	AL INFORM	ATION:							
	In the past	ten years, si	milar events have	been reported as	s follows:					
	LER No.	Date	Title							
	97-12	10/02/97	Excess Core T	hermal Power						
	94-12	10/24/94	Operated Abov	e License Limit fo	or Core Th	ermal Power				