

OCT 0 1 1984

Docket Nos. 50-259/260/296

Mr. Hugh G. Parris
Manager of Power
Tennessee Valley Authority
500A Chestnut Street, Tower II
Chattanooga, Tennessee 37401

Dear Mr. Parris:

On August 17, 1984, we issued Amendment No. 77, to Facility Operating License No. DPR-68 in response to your application of September 21, 1981 (TVA BFNP TS 167). There were errors on page 22 of that amendment. A corrected page 22 is enclosed. The effect of this correction is to restore Amendment 70 changes which were inadvertently not carried-over to Amendment 77.

Sincerely,

Original signed by:

Richard J. Clark, Project Manager
Operating Reactors Branch #2
Division of Licensing

Enclosures:
As stated

cc w/enclosures:
See next page

DISTRIBUTION

Docket File	TBarnhart (4)
NRC PDR	WJones
Local PDR	DBrinkman
ORB#2 Rdg	ACRS (10)
DEisenhut	OPA, CMiles
SNorris	RDiggs
DClark	WLong
OELD	Gray File
LHarmon	Extra - 5
EJordan	JNGrace
JPartlow	

DL:ORB#2
SNorris:ajs
10/1/84

W. Long
DL:ORB#2
WLong
10/1/84

DL:ORB#2
RClark
10/01/84

[Signature]
DL:ORB#2
DVassallo
10/1/84

Mr. Hugh G. Parris
Tennessee Valley Authority
Browns Ferry Nuclear Plant, Units 1, 2 and 3

cc:

H. S. Sanger, Jr., Esquire
General Counsel
Tennessee Valley Authority
400 Commerce Avenue
E 11B 330
Knoxville, Tennessee 37902

Mr. Ron Rogers
Tennessee Valley Authority
400 Chestnut Street, Tower II
Chattanooga, Tennessee 37401

Mr. Charles R. Christopher
Chairman, Limestone County Commission
Post Office Box 188
Athens, Alabama 35611

Ira L. Meyers, M.D.
State Health Officer
State Department of Public Health
State Office Building
Montgomery, Alabama 36130

Mr. H. N. Culver
249A HBD
400 Commerce Avenue
Tennessee Valley Authority
Knoxville, Tennessee 37902

James P. O'Reilly
Regional Administrator
Region II Office
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
101 Marietta Street, Suite 3100
Atlanta, Georgia 30303

U. S. Environmental Protection
Agency
Region IV Office
Regional Radiation Representative
345 Courtland Street, N. W.
Atlanta, Georgia 30308

Resident Inspector
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Route 2, Box 311
Athens, Alabama 35611

Mr. Donald L. Williams, Jr.
Tennessee Valley Authority
400 West Summit Hill Drive, W10B85
Knoxville, Tennessee 37902

George Jones, Manager, BFNP
Tennessee Valley Authority
Post Office Box 2000
Decatur, Alabama 35602

Mr. Oliver Havens
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Reactor Training Center
Osborne Office Center, Suite 200
Chattanooga, Tennessee 37411

James A. Coffey
Site Director, BFNP
Tennessee Valley Authority
Post Office Box 2000
Decatur, Alabama 35602

a given point at constant recirculation flow rate, and thus to protect against the condition of a MCPR less than 1.07. This rod block trip setting, which is automatically varied with recirculation loop flow rate, prevents an increase in the reactor power level to excess values due to control rod withdrawal. The flow variable trip setting provides substantial margin from fuel damage, assuming a steady-state operation at the trip setting, over the entire recirculation flow range. The margin to the Safety Limit increases as the flow decreases for the specified trip setting versus flow relationship; therefore, the worst case MCPR which could occur during the steady-state operation is at 108% of rated thermal power because of the APRM rod block trip setting. The actual power distribution in the core is established by specified control rod sequences and is monitored continuously by the in-core LPRM system.

C. Reactor Water Low Level Scram and Isolation
(Except Main Steamlines)

The set point for the low level scram is above the bottom of the separator skirt. This level has been used in transient analyses dealing with coolant inventory decrease. The results reported in FSAR subsection 14.5 show that scram and isolation of all process lines (except main steam) at this level adequately protects the fuel and the pressure barrier, because MCPR is greater than 1.07 in all cases, and system pressure does not reach the safety valve settings. The scram setting is approximately 31 inches below the normal operating range and is thus adequate to avoid spurious scrams.

D. Turbine Stop Valve Closure Scram

The turbine stop valve closure trip anticipates the pressure- neutron flux and heat flux increases that would result from closure of the stop valves. With a trip setting of 10% of valve closure from full open, the resultant increase in heat flux is such that adequate thermal margins are maintained even during the worst case transient that assumes the turbine bypass valves remain closed. (Reference 2).

E. Turbine Control Valve Fast Closure or Turbine Trip Scram

Turbine control valve fast closure or turbine trip scram anticipates the pressure, neutron flux, and heat flux increase that could result from control valve fast closure due to load rejection or control valve closure due to turbine trip; each without bypass valve capability. The reactor protection system initiates a scram in less than 30 milliseconds after the start of control valve fast closure due to load rejection or control valve closure due to turbine trip. This scram is achieved by rapidly reducing hydraulic control