

**From:** William Dean , NRR  
**To:** Malur, Sampath  
**Date:** Fri, Feb 16, 2001 8:33 AM  
**Subject:** Re: ET Briefing - SG Action Plan Status

Thanks for the update Sam. Please work with Tony to make sure his action item is accomplished.

>>> Sampath Malur 02/15 9:53 AM >>>

About two weeks ago Doug and I had a meeting with EMCB and Rick Ennis to discuss changes in lead responsibilities and schedule for SG action plan items. It was concluded at that meeting that IIPB will have lead responsibility only for Item 1.7 (Determine need for SG PI), Item 2.4 (Communications between residents and local officials), and Items 2.5 (timely dissemination of technical information to inspectors). Items 2.4 and 2.5 are non-SG related items. For other Items (1.9, 1.11) IIPB will provide support. It was also discussed that the schedule dates should be changed because the current schedule cannot be met.

Rick sent a revision of the action plan last week incorporating items 1.7, 1.9, 1.10, 1.11, and 1.12 into a new item 1.22 with sub groupings. I provided comments to Ted and Rick on some minor errors in the revised schedule.

Status as of 2/15:

Item 1.7: (IIPB) We have decided after discussions in a couple of meetings that an SG PI is not viable. To facilitate EMCB's efforts, I provided a draft input to Stephanie last week. The schedule for completion is 1/31. EMCB has proposed one month delay.

Item 1.9: (EMCB) I provided comments to Louise Lund on the Q&A type guidance for inspectors for overseeing plants with SG tube leakage. It was decided that this document should be recast in the format of a Part 9900 guidance. Due 2/28.

Item 1.10: (EMCB) No IIPB support.

Item 1.11: (EMCB) ISI procedure revision: We have agreed in general terms on the extent of changes. Mel Holmberg and Cheryl Khan are working on it. The schedule for draft release for Regional review is 1/28. It appears that this date will not be met. I have not seen the draft yet.  
The schedule for training issues is to be moved to May/June (after the procedure is issued).

Item 2.4: (IIPB) I do not know the status. I need to talk to Tony.

Item 2.5: (IIPB) Gerry talked to Region I and II about their process for ensuring inspectors get technical info in a timely manner. To get the official version, he is preparing a memo to the regions for your signature requesting them to provide a description of their process.

>>> William Dean 02/15 8:07 AM >>>  
Sam,

Please let Doug and I know where we are on our taskings. Thanks.

>>> Rick Ennis 02/15 7:17 AM >>>  
Ted/Stephanie/Sam,

The ET would like a briefing on the status of the SG Action Plan. I'm going to try to set it up for next Wednesday, 2/21 and would like support from EMCB and IIPB during the briefing.

In order to prepare for the briefing, EMCB and IIPB need to reforecast items that are overdue (and reforecast other items as needed) and we need to make a final decision on whether the action plan needs to be reformatted as we have discussed recently (reference my email dated 2/7/01). We should also

J/147

develop a plan for handling the DPO related items per the ACRS recommendations dated 2/1/01.

**Please let me know if we can meet sometime today to go over these items.**

Thanks,

Rick  
415-1420

**CC:** Coe, Doug