Indian Point 2 (IP2) Steam Generator (SG) Tube Failure Lessons-Learned Task Group (TAC No. MA9163)

Task Group Notes - Discussion with Robert Perch on 8/11/00

Task Group Attendees: Rick Ennis

Background

Bob is the primary contact for the overall development of the NRR centralized Work Planning Center (WPC). The focus of this discussion was to look at the potential future impact of the WPC process on the licensing review process currently described in Office Letter No. 803. Specifically, the Task Group wanted to determine if the WPC could impact how a reviewer would be assigned for a license amendment review.

Results

Bob and I reviewed the WPC flow charts for a license amendment. The basic process currently described in OL No. 803 will remain unchanged. The following steps relate to how a reviewer will be assigned.

- 1) Application for amendments from the licensees will still be routed initially to the Project Manager (PM).
- 2) The technical complexity, applicable precedent, and risk significance of the proposed changes will still be used to determine if the review will be done by NRR technical staff or by the PM. This decision is still up to the PM.
- 3) If the technical staff is going to perform the review, the Section Chief in the applicable branch will still assign the reviewer.

TID

C:\Indian Point 2\rperch discussion.wpd