October 23, 1989

Docket No. 50-389

<u>_</u>___

Mr. J. H. Goldberg Executive Vice President Nuclear Energy Department Florida Power and Light Company P.O. Box 14000 Juno Beach, Florida 33408-0420

Dear Mr. Goldberg:

SUBJECT: ST. LUCIE UNIT 2 - ISSUANCE OF AMENDMENT (TAC NO. 69864)

The Commission has issued the enclosed Amendment No. 4^2 to Facility Operating License No. NPF-16 for the St. Lucie Plant, Unit No. 2. This amendment consists of changes to the Technical Specifications in response to your application dated October 24, 1988.

This amendment provides for expansion of the Departure from Nucleate Boiling (DNB) and Linear Heat Rate (LHR) related Axial Shape Index (ASI) limits for the Limiting Conditions for Operations (LCO), and the LHR-related Limiting Safety System Setpoints (LSSSs).

A copy of the Safety Evaluation is also enclosed. The Notice of Issuance will be included in the Commission's biweekly Federal Register notice.

Sincerely,

Original signed by

Jan A. Norris, Senior Project Manager Project Directorate II-2 Division of Reactor Projects - I/II Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Enclosures:

l ler را/89

- 1. Amendment No. 42 to NPF-16
- 2. Safety Evaluation

cc w/enclosures: See next page



ck statt by

CP-1

3910300225 891023 DR ADUCK 05000389 PDR

Mr. J. H. Goldberg Florida Power & Light Company

cc: Mr. Jack Shreve Office of the Public Counsel Room 4, Holland Building Tallahassee, Florida 32304

Senior Resident Inspector St. Lucie Plant U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission 7585 S. Hwy AlA Jensen Beach, Florida 33457

State Planning & Development Clearinghouse Office of Planning & Budget Executive Office of the Governor The Capitol Building Tallahassee, Florida 32301

Harold F. Reis, Esq. Newman & Holtzinger 1615 L Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20036

John T. Butler, Esq. Steel, Hector and Davis 4000 Southeast Financial Center Miami, Florida 33131-2398

Administrator Department of Environmental Regulation Power Plant Siting Section State of Florida 2600 Elair Stone Road Tallahassee, Florida 32301

Mr. Weldon B. Lewis, County Administrator St. Lucie County 23CC Virginia Avenue, Room 104 Fort Pierce, Florida 33450

Mr. Charles E. Brinkman, Manager Washington Nuclear Operations Combustion Engineering, Inc. 12300 Twinbrook Parkway, Suite 330 Rockville, Maryland 20852 St. Lucie Plant

Mr. Cacob Daniel Nash Office of Radiation Control Department of Health and Rehabilitative Services 1317 Winewood Blvd. Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0700

Regional Administrator, Region II U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission 101 Marietta Street N.W., Suite 2900 Atlanta, Georgia 30323

Mr. Campbell Rich 4626 S.E. Pilot Avenue Stuart, Florida 34997 DATED: October 23, 1989

AMENDMENT NO. 42 TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NO. NPF-16 - ST. LUCIE, UNIT 2

Docket File NRC & Local PDRs PDII-2 Reading S. Varga, 14/E/4 G. Lainas, 14/H/3 H. Berkow D. Miller J. Norris S. Hoffman OGC-WF D. Hagan, 3302 MNBB E. Jordan, 3302 MNBB E. Jordan, 3302 MNBB B. Grimes, 9/A/2 T. Meek (4), P1-137 Wanda Jones, P-130A J. Calvo, 11/F/23 M. Chatteron 8/E/23 ACRS (10) GPA/PA OC/LFMB M. Sinkule, R-II cc: Plant Service list



UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY

CRLANDO UTILITIES COMMISSION OF

THE CITY OF ORLANDO, FLORIDA

AND

FLORIDA MUNICIPAL POWER AGENCY

DOCKET NO. 50-389

ST. LUCIE PLANT UNIT NO. 2

AMENDMENT TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE

Amendment No. 42 License No. NPF-16

- 1. The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has found that:
 - A. The application for amendment by Florida Power & Light Company, et al. (the licensee), dated October 24, 1988, complies with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission's rules and regulations set forth in 10 CFR Chapter I;
 - B. The facility will operate in conformity with the application, the provisions of the Act, and the rules and regulations of the Commission;
 - C. There is reasonable assurance (i) that the activities authorized by this amendment can be conducted without endangering the health and safety of the public, and (ii) that such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations;
 - D. The issuance of this amendment will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public; and
 - E. The issuance of this amendment is in accordance with 10 CFR Part 51 of the Commission's regulations and all applicable requirements have been satisfied.



- Accordingly, Facility Operating License No. NPF-16 is amended by changes to the Technical Specifications as indicated in the attachment to this license amendment, and by amending paragraph 2.C.2 to read as follows:
 - 2. <u>Technical Specifications</u>

The Technical Specifications contained in Appendices A and B, as revised through Amendment No. 42, are hereby incorporated in the license. The licensee shall operate the facility in accordance with the Technical Specifications.

3. This license amendment is effective as of the date of its issuance.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATCRY COMMISSION

HE Edisin In

Ferbert N. Berkow, Director Project Directorate II-2 Division of Reactor Projects - I/II Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Attachment: Changes to the Technical Specifications

Date of Issuance: October 23, 1989



FRACTION OF RATED THERMAL POWER

Figure 2.2-1 Local power density - High trip setpoint Part 1 (Fraction of RATED THERMAL POWER versus QR₂)

, • •`



FIGURE 2.2-2

LOCAL POWER DENSITY-HIGH TRIP SETPOINT PART 2 (QR_2 versus Y_1)

, '. .



Figure 3.2-1 Allowable peak linear heat rate vs burnup

.



PERIPHERAL AXIAL SHAPE INDEX

(NOT APPLICABLE BELOW 40% POWER)

FIGURE 3.2-2

AXIAL SHAPE INDEX VS. FRACTION OF MAXIMUM ALLOWABLE POWER LEVEL PER SPECIFICATION 4.2.1.3

ST. LUCIE - UNIT 2

Amendment No. 42

.

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

•

.

•

•

i



(NOT APPLICABLE BELOW 40% POWER)

FIGURE 3.2-4

AXIAL SHAPE INDEX OPERATING LIMITS WITH FOUR REACTOR COOLANT PUMPS OPERATING

1

3/4 2-12

ATTACHMENT TO LICENSE AMENDMENT NO. 42

TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NO. NPF-16

DOCKET NO. 50-389

Replace the following pages of the Appendix "A" Technical Specifications with the enclosed pages. The revised pages are identified by amendment number and contain vertical lines indicating the areas of change. The corresponding overleaf pages are also provided to maintain document completeness.

| Remove Pages | Insert Pages |
|----------------|--------------|
| 2-8 3/4 2-4 | 2-8 |
| 3/4 2-12 | 3/4 2-4 |



UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

SAFETY EVALUATION BY THE OFFICE OF NUCLEAR REACTOR REGULATION RELATED TO AMENDMENT NO. 42

TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NO. NPF-16

FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY, ET AL.

ST. LUCIE PLANT, UNIT NO. 2

DOCKET NO. 50-389

INTRODUCTION

By letter dated October 24, 1988 Florida Power and Light (FPL) requested a change to the St. Lucie Unit 2 Technical Specifications on Local Power Density High Trip Setpoint. In order to achieve greater operational flexibility at lower powers FPL requested expansion of the Departure from Nucleate Boiling (DNB) and Linear Heat Rate (LHR) related Axial Shape Index (ASI) limits and LHR-related Limiting Safety System Setpoints (LSSSs). The proposed changes modify the Technical Specifications concerning the Local Power Density (LPD) LSSS (Figure 2.2-2), maximum allowed power level versus peripheral ASI for the excore detector monitoring system (Figure 3.2-2) and the DNB Limiting Conditions for Operation (LCO) fraction of rated thermal power versus peripheral ASI for four reactor coolant pump operation (Figure 3.2-4).

FPL proposed expansion of the LPD LSSS ASI limits for power levels below 50% from ±0.4 to ±0.6, expansion of the ASI limits of the LHR LCO for powers below 60% (but above 40%) and expansion of the ASI limits of the DNB LCO for powers below 65% (but above 40%) from ± 0.3 to ± 0.5 . For Figure 2.2-2, it is also proposed to increase the ASI limits slightly above 0.8 of rated power and to raise the apex of the curve from 1.2 to 1.3.

EVALUATION

8910300235 891023

PDR

ADOCK 05000389

PNU

Since the ASI limit changes are for powers below 65%, the previously licensed analyses for various Design Basis Events (DBEs) initiated at or above 65% power are not affected by the proposed changes. The DBEs are not typically analyzed at intermediate power levels because the consequence of these events, when initiated from intermediate power, are bounded by either the full power or zero power results. Thus to justify the proposed changes to the Technical Specification LCO and LSSS ASI limits, FPL reevaluated only those zero power events which might be adversely affected, namely: boron dilution, control element assembly (CEA) withdrawal, excess load, steam line break (SLB) and CEA ejection.

The low power boron dilution event has no explicit dependence on ASI. The scram reactivity insertion rate will be affected but this is a second order effect and the impact will be negligibly small. Thus the boron dilution event analysis on record is still applicable.

The only impact on the CEA withorawal and excess load events will be from the change in scram reactivity insertion rate because of the larger ASIs. In the negative ASI regime, the scram reactivity improves as the ASI gets more negative. In the positive ASI range, the scram reactivity rate is reduced but the effect is offset by conservatisms in the analysis of record.

The zero power SLB and CEA ejection events were already analyzed with ASI limits more conservative than the proposed limits.

To justify the LPD LSSS limit (Figure 2.2-2) change, FPL did an analysis which showed that the closest approach to the actual calculated LSSS limits occurs at 66% power at an ASI of +.48, with 12% margin. This margin is acceptable for operation and is consistent with the safety analysis of record.

Based on the above considerations, we conclude that the proposed Technical Specification changes are acceptable.

ENVIRONMENTAL CONSIDERATION

This amendment involves a change in the installation or use of a facility component located within the restricted area as defined in 10 CFR Part 20. The staff has determined that the amendment involves no significant increase in the amounts, and no significant change in the types, of any effluents that may be released offsite, and that there is no significant increase in individual or cumulative occupational radiation exposure. The Commission has previously published a proposed finding that the amendment involves no significant hazards consideration and there has been no public comment on such finding. Accordingly, the amendment meets the eligibility criteria for categorical exclusion set forth in 10 CFR $\S51.22(c)(9)$. Pursuant to 10 CFR \$1.22(b), no environmental impact statement or environmental assessment need be prepared in connection with the issuance of the amendment.

CONCLUSION

i e Na tr

> We have concluded, based on the considerations discussed above, that (1) there is reasonable assurance that the health and safety of the public will not be endangered by operation in the proposed manner, and (2) such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations, and the issuance of the amendment will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public.

Date: October 23, 1989

Principal Contributor: M. Chatterton