DISTRIBUTION:
Docket File

PRC PDR LPDR

LPDR IB#3 File VNerses

NWright JLee

June 15, 1983

DOCKET NO(S). 50-389

NRC FORM 318 (10/80) NRCM 0240

Dr. Robert E. Uhrig, Vice President Advanced Systems and Technology Florida Power & Light Company P. O. Box 14,000 Juno Beach, Florida 33408

SUBJECT: FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY - ST. LUCIE PLANT, UNIT 2

Notice of Receipt of Application.
Draft/Final Environmental Statement, dated
Notice of Availability of Draft/Final Environmental Statement, dated
Safety Evaluation Report, or Supplement No, dated
Notice of Hearing on Application for Construction Permit.
Notice of Consideration of Issuance of Facility Operating License.
Application and Safety Analysis Report, Volume
Amendment No to Application/SAR dated
Construction Permit No. CPPR, Amendment No, dated
Facility Operating License No, Amendment No, dated
Order Extending Construction Completion Date, dated
X Other (Specify) Monthly Notice - Amendments to Operating Licenses Involving
No Significant Hazards Considerations, dtd 6/7/83
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation
Enclosures: As stated
CC: See next page
8307180446 830615 PDR ADOCK 05000267 P PDR
OFFICE DI: AB#3. DI: IB#3.
SURNAME I JIM Wenses

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

cc w/enclosure;
Dr. Robert E. Uhrig, Vice President
Advanced Systems and Technology
Florida Power & Light Company
P. O. Box 14000
Juno Beach, Florida 33408

Harold F. Reis, Esq. Lowenstein, Newman, Reis, Axelrad & Toll 1025 Connecticut Avenue, N.W. Washington, D. C. 20036

Norman A. Coll, Esq. Steel Hector & Davis 1400 Southeast First National Bank Building Miami, Flordia 33131

Mr. Martin H. Hodder 1131 N. E. 86th Street Miami, Flordia 33138

Resident Inspector
St. Lucie Nuclear Power Station
c/o U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
7900 South AlA
Jensen Beach, Flordia 33457

Regional Adminstrator - Region II U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission 101 Marietta Street Suite 3100 Atlanta, Georgia 30303

Sureau of Intergovernmental Relations 660 Apalachee Parkway Fallahassee, Florida 32304

Administrator
Department of Environmental Regulation
Power Plant Siting Section
State of Florida
2600 Blair Stone Road
Tallahassee, Florida 32301

Chairman Florida Public Service Commission 700 South Adams Street Tallahassee, Florida 32304

County Administrator St. Lucie County 2300 Virginia Avenue - Room 104 Ft. Pierce, Florida 33450 Mr. Ulray Clark, Administrator
Radiological Health Services
Department of Health and Rehabilitative
Services
1323 Winewood Boulevard
Tallahassee, Florida 32301
(w/incoming & enclosure)

UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION MONTHLY NOTICE AMENDMENTS TO OPERATING LICENSES INVOLVING

NO SIGNIFICANT HAZARDS CONSIDERATIONS

I. Background

Pursuant to Public Law (P.L.) 97-415, the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) is publishing its regular monthly notice. P.L. 97-415 revised section 189 of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), to require the Commission to publish notice of any amendments issued, or proposed to be issued, under a new provision of section 189 of the Act. This provision grants the Commission the authority to issue and make immediately effective any amendment to an operating license upon a determination by the Commission that such amendment involves no significant hazards consideration, notwithstanding the pendency before the Commission of a request for a hearing from any person.

This monthly notice includes all amendments issued, or proposed to be issued, since the effective date of the Commission's rules implementing P.L. 97-415 (May 6, 1983), through May 23, 1983.

NOTICE OF CONSIDERATION OF ISSUANCE OF AMENDMENT TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE AND PROPOSED NO SIGNIFICANT HAZARDS CONSIDERATION DETERMINATION AND OPPORTUNITY FOR HEARING

The Commission has made a proposed determination that the following amendment requests involve no significant hazards consideration. Under the Commission's regulations in 10 CFR 50.92, this means that operation of the facility in accordance with the proposed amendments would not (1) involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated; or (2) create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated; or (3) involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety. The basis for this proposed determination for each amendment request is shown below.

The Commission is seeking public comments on this proposed determination. Any comments received within 30 days after the date of publication of this notice will be considered in making any final determination. The Commission will not normally make a final determination unless it receives a request for a hearing.

Comments should be addressed to the Secretary of the Commission, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555, Attn: Docketing and Service Branch.

a hearing with respect to issuance of the amendment to the subject facility operating license and any person whose interest may be affected by this proceeding and who wishes to participate as a party in the proceeding must file a written petition for leave to intervene. Requests for a hearing and petitions for leave to intervene shall be filed in accordance with the Commission's "Rules of Practice for Domestic Licensing Proceedings" in 10 CFR Part 2. If a request for a hearing or petition for leave to intervene is filed by the above date, the Commission or an Atomic Safety and Licensing Board, designated by the Commission or by the Chairman of the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel, will rule on the request and/or petition and the Secretary or the designated Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Licensing Board appropriate order.

As required by 10 CFR §2.714, a petition for leave to intervene shall set forth with particularity the interest of the petitioner in the proceeding, and how that interest may be affected by the results of the proceeding. The petition should specifically explain the reasons why intervention should be permitted with particular reference to the following factors: (1) the nature of the petitioner's right under the Act to be made a party to the proceeding; (2) the nature and extent of the petitioner's property, financial, or other interest in the proceeding; and (3) the possible effect of any order which may be entered in the proceeding on the petitioner's interest. The petition should also identify the specific aspect(s) of the subject matter of the proceeding as to which petitioner wishes to intervene. Any person who has

filed a petition for leave to intervene or who has been admitted as a party may amend the petition without requesting leave of the Board up to fifteen (15) days prior to the first prehearing conference scheduled in the proceeding, but such an amended petition must satisfy the specificity requirements described above.

Not later than fifteen (15) days prior to the first prehearing conference scheduled in the proceeding, a petitioner shall-file a supplement to the petition to intervene which must include a list of the contentions which are sought to be litigated in the matter, and the bases for each contention set forth with reasonable specificity. Contentions shall be limited to matters within the scope of the amendment under consideration. A petitioner who fails to file such a supplement which satisfies these requirements with respect to at least one contention will not be permitted to participate as a party.

Those permitted to intervene become parties to the proceeding, subject to any limitations in the order granting leave to intervene, and have the opportunity to participate fully in the conduct of the hearing, including the opportunity to present evidence and cross-examine witnesses.

If a hearing is requested, the Commission will make a final determination on the issue of no significant hazards consideration. The final determination will serve to decide when the hearing is held.

If the final determination is that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration, the Commission may issue the amendment and make it immediately effective, notwithstanding the request for a hearing. Any hearing held would take place after issuance of the amendment.

If the final determination is that the amendment involves a significant hazards consideration, any hearing held would take place before the issuance of any amendment.

Normally, the Commission will not issue the amendment until the expiration of the 30-day notice period. However, should circumstances change during the notice period such that failure to act in a timely way would result, for example, in derating or shutdown of the facility, the Commission may issue the license amendment before the expiration of the 30-day notice period, provided that its final determination is that the amendment involves no significant hazards consideration. The final determination will consider all public and State comments received before action is taken. Should the Commission take this action, it will publish a notice of issuance and provide for opportunity for a hearing after issuance. The Commission expects that the need to take this action will occur very infrequently.

A request for a hearing or a petition for leave to intervene must be filed with the Secretary of the Commission, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555, Attention: Docketing and Service Branch, or may be

delivered to the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street, N.W. Washington, D.C., by the above date. Where petitions are filed during the last ten (10) days of the notice period, it is requested that the petitioner promptly so inform the Commission by a toll-free telephone call to Western Union at (800) 325-6000 (in Missouri (800) 342-6700). The Western Union operator should be given Datagram Identification Number 3737 and the following message addressed to (Branch Chief): petitioner's name and telephone number; date petition was mailed; plant name; and publication date and page number of this FEDERAL REGISTER notice. A copy of the petition should also be sent to the Executive Legal Director, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555, and to the attorney for the licensee.

Nontimely filings of petitions for leave to intervene, amended petitions, supplemental petitions and/or requests for hearing will not be entertained absent a determination by the Commission, the presiding officer or the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board designated to rule on the petition and/or request, that the petitioner has made a substantial showing of good cause for the granting of a late petition and/or request. That determination will be based upon a balancing of the factors specified in 10 CFR 2.714(a)(1)(i)-(v) and 2.714(d).

For further details with respect to this action, see the application for amendment which is available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street, N.W., Washington, D. C., and at the local public document room for the particular facility involved.

Public Service Company of Colorado, Docket No. 50-267, Fort St. Vrain

Nuclear Generating Station, Platteville, Colorado

Date of amendment request: April 7, 1983

Description of amendment request: The amendment would incorporate provisions on minimum shift crew size, guidelines for working hours, qualifications of technical advisors and revise the membership of the onsite safety review committee. These proposed changes were made in response to NRC requests and revise the Administrative Controls provisions to achieve consistency with present NRC staff positions on these subjects.

Basis for proposed no significant hazards consideration determination: The Commission has provided guidance concerning the application of these standards by providing certain examples (48 FR 14870). The examples of actions involving no significant hazards include actions which are purely administrative changes to the Technical Specifications, are changes to make the licensee conform to changes in the regulations, or additional limitations or restrictions. The changes proposed in the application are encompassed by these examples as follows: 1) format and editorial changes are purely administrative changes, 2) incorporation of provisions on a minimum shift crew size are additional limitations and are in accordance with the guidance provided in NUREG-0737, "Clarification of TMI Action Plan Requirements", Item I.A.1.3,

3) incorporation of guidelines on working hours and qualifications of technical advisors are additional limitations providing conformance to staff positions, and 4) revisions to the onsite review committee membership incorporate more restrictive qualifications necessary for committee membership. Since the application for amendment to the Administrative Controls Technical Specifications involves changes to incorporate present NRC regulations and staff positions, the staff proposes to determine that the application does not involve a significant nazards consideration.

<u>Local Public Document Room location</u>: Greeley Public Library, City Complex Building, Greeley, Colorado.

Attorney for the Licensee: Bryant O'Donnell, Public Service Company of Colorado, P. O. Box 840, Denver, Colorado 80201.

NRC Branch Chief: G. L. Madsen

<u>Public Service Company of Colorado, Docket No. 50-267, Fort St. Vrain</u>

<u>Nuclear Generating Station, Platteville, Colorado</u>

Date of amendment request: April 19, 1983

Description of amendment request: The amendment would revise those Technical Specifications dealing with the actions to be taken when instrumentation is inoperable and specifying acceptable moisture monitoring instrumentation during less than 5% power operation. The proposed changes were submitted in response to licensee commitments for clarification that required plant shutdowns cannot be delayed when instruments are inoperable and to clarify the requirements for allowable moisture monitoring instrumentation at low power by allowing the use of an additional analytical monitor or the protective system dewpoint monitors.

for proposed no significant hazards consideration determination: The sion has provided guidance concerning the application of these standards viding certain examples (48 FR 14870). The examples of actions involving mifficant hazards include actions which involve a change that constitutes ditional limitation, restriction or control not presently included in the ical Specifications. The changes included in this application add limitato clarify the intent of the specification. The change to the allowable were monitoring instrumentation during low power operation clarifies the rement but allows more flexibility through the use of comparable instru-

Since the proposed changes clarify existing requirements, the staff oses to determine that the application does not involve a significant odes consideration.

Public Document Room location: Greeley Public Library, City Complex

ding, Greeley, Colorado.

rney for Licensee: Bryant O'Donnell, Public Service Company of Colorado, Box 840, Denver, Colorado 80201.

Branch Chief: G. L. Madsen

rida Power & Light Company, Docket No. 50-389, St. Lucie Unit 2,

 \star

Lucie County, Florida

e of amendment request: April 20, 1983

cription of amendment request: Revise the Technical Specification (4.4.3.3.b) require that the pressurizer heaters be manually connected to the emergency wer source and that the Safety Injection Actuation System be reset to permit eration of the heaters.

Basis for proposed no significant hazards consideration determination: The existing Technical Specification requires pressurizer heaters to be reconnected to their respective buses normally from the control room. In order to be able to do this, the operator must reset the Engineered Safety Feature Actuation System test signal. The Technical Specifications do not mention "resetting"; the licensee believes it should. The staff concurs that this administrative change to clarify the Technical Specification is justified.

Local Public Document Room location: Indian River Community College Library, 3209 Virginia Avenue, Ft. Pierce, Florida 33450.

Attorney for Licensee: Harold F. Reis, Esq., Lowenstein, Newman, Reis, Axelrad & Toll, 1025 Connecticut Avenue, N.W., Washington, D. C. 20036.

NRC Branch Chief: George W. Knighton.

Baltimore Gas and Electric Company, Docket Nos. 50-317 and 50-318, Calvert
Cliffs Nuclear Power Plant Unit Nos. 1 and 2, Lusby (Calvert County), Maryland
Date of amendment request: April 27, 1983.

Description of amendment request: The April 27, 1983 application requests the
following changes to the Unit 1 and Unit 2 Technical Specifications: (1) Revision to the on-site organization, (2) Revised surveillance for sealed sources,
and (3) Deletion of a snubber from the surveillance list (Unit 1 only).

Basis for proposed no significant hazards consideration determination: The
Commission has provided guidance concerning the application of three standards
for conclusions regarding "no significant hazards considerations" by providing
examples (48 FR 14870). These examples are not applicable to the issues addressed
in the April 27 application. Our basis for concluding that the standards are met
with respect to the no significant hazards considerations determination is

presented below.

Organizational changes will occur at Calvert Cliffs Nuclear Power Plant affecting Section 6.0 of the Technical Specifications in two areas, namely Figure 6.2.2 - Organization Chart, and change the title of two members of the Plant Operations and Safety Review Committee membership as noted in Section 6.5.1.2. These changes are administrative in nature in that they do not affect the technical or administrative competence of the onsite organization at Calvert Cliffs.

A proposed change to the Technical Specifications would provide a wording clarification to the surveillance requirements for sealed sources. The Combustion Engineering Standard Technical Specifications in NUREG-0212 were revised on November 5, 1980 to reflect this new wording. The wording in the current Technical Specifications could lead to misinterpretation of Surveillance Requirement 4.7.9.1.2.c as requiring a test for sealed source leakage each time a reactor startup occurs. This is clearly not the intent, since these sources are located in the reactor vessel and would require removal of the reactor vessel head and the detectors for testing. This is not a requirement of the current CE Standard Technical Specifications and was never intended to be a requirement for Calvert Cliffs. With the revised wording, it is clear that this test is not required if the detectors have been previously subjected to core flux. Thus, it is proposed to determine that this change involves no significant hazards consideration because the change is merely to clarify the intent and avoid misinterpretation of the surveillance requirement and does not reduce or change the intended surveillance.

In addition, the proposed change corrects an inadvertent deletion of the words "or installation in the core", making the wording consistent with the Standard Technical Specifications.

A proposed change to the Unit 1 Technical Specifications would delete snubber 1-38-4 from the list of snubbers requiring routine surveillance. This snubber was removed under an earlier modification which installed the Reactor Coolant System head vent and Pressurizer vent piping. Reclassification of the system upstream of and including the main solenoid valves as Seismic Class 1 allowed removal of the snubber, since it was no longer required.

Since this change is being made to correct the Technical Specifications to reflect current system configuration, it will not result in any significant effect on any analyzed accident or postulated accident in the Updated Final Safety Analysis Report. Additionally, since the snubber is no longer needed or installed, no reduction in the bases for any Technical Specifications would result from this change.

<u>Local Public Document Room location</u>: Calvert County Library, Prince Frederick, Maryland.

Attorney for licensee: George F. Trowbridge, Esq., Shaw, Pittman, Potts and Trowbridge, 1800 M Street, N. W., Washington, D. C. 20036.

NRC Branch Chief: Robert A. Clark.

PREVIOUSLY PUBLISHED NOTICES OF CONSIDERATION OF ISSUANCE OF AMENDMENT TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE AND PROPOSED NO SIGNIFICANT HAZARDS CONSIDERATION DETERMINATION AND OPPORTUNITY FOR HEARING

The following notices were previously published as separate individual notices. The notice content was the same as above. They were published as individual notices because time did not allow the Commission to wait for this regular monthly notice. They are repeated here because the monthly notice lists all amendments proposed to be issued involving no significant hazards consideration.

For details, see the individual notice in the Federal Register on the day and page cited. This notice does not extend the notice period of the

The Toledo Edison Company and The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company,

Docket No. 50-346, Davis-Besse Nuclear Power Station, Unit No. 1, Ottawa

County, Ohio

Date of amendment request: May 2, 1983

Brief description of amendment: This amendment would extend the surveillance test due date from May 17, 1983 to September 17, 1983 for the steam generator outlet steam pressure channels in the Remote Shutdown Instrumentation and Post Accident Monitoring Instrumentation.

Date of publication of individual notice in Federal Register: May 16, 1983 48 FR 22036.

Expiration date of individual notice: June 15, 1983.

Local Public Document Room location: University of Toledo Library, Documents Department, 2801 Bancroft Avenue, Toledo, Ohio.

Georgia Power Company, Oglethorpe Power Corporation, Municipal Electric

Authority of Georgia, City of Dalton, Georgia, Docket No. 50-366, Edwin I.

Hatch Nuclear Plant, Unit No. 2, Appling County, Georgia.

Date of amendment request: February 23, 1983, as supplemented April 19, 1983 and March 30, 1983.

Brief description of amendment: The amendment would modify the Technical Specifications to provide additional and revised trip setpoints that reflect design modifications to reduce containment loads from plant transients. The Technical Specification changes would (1) lower the opening and closing set-

points for subsequent actuation of selected safety relief valves, and (2) lower the main steam isolation valve water level trip setpoint. It would also modify the Technical Specifications to reflect changes to the core design for the third fuel reload of Unit No. 2.

Date of publication of individual notice in Federal Register: May 18, 1983

Expiration date of individual notice: June 17, 1983.

Omaha Public Power District, Docket No. 50-285, Fort Calhoun Station, Unit 1, Washington County, Nebraska

Date of amendment request: March 7, 1983

Brief description of amendment: The Reactor Coolant System Heatup and Cooldown Curves must be revised to make them more restrictive in order to maintain the current margins of safety. The curves will be valid to 7.0 effective full power years.

Date of publication of individual notice in Federal Register: May 18, 1983 48 FR 22390.

Expiration date of individual notice: June 17, 1983.

Local Public Document Room location: W. Dale Clark Library, 215 South 15th Street, Omaha, Nebraska.

Carolina Power & Light Company, Docket No. 50-325, Brunswick Steam Electric Plant, Unit 1, Brunswick County, North Carolina

Date of amendment request: May 2, 1983.

Brief description of amendment: The amendment would revise the technical specifications to incorporate appropriate limiting conditions for operation of the facility during the fourth fuel cycle.

<u>Date of publication of individual notice in Federal Register</u>: May 19, 1983 (48 FR 22658).

Expiration date of individual notice: June 12, 1983.

<u>Local Public Document Room location</u>: Southport-Brunswick County Library, 109 W. Moore Street, Southport, North Carolina 28461.

Dated at Bethesda, Maryland, this 7th day of June, 1983.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Robert A. Clark, Chief

Operating Reactors Branch No. 3

Division of Licensing