August 14, 1996

Mr. T. F. Plunkett President, Nuclear Divesion Florida Power and Light Company P.O. Box 14000 Juno Beach, Florida 33408-0420

SUBJECT: ST. LUCIE UNITS 1 AND 2 - ISSUANCE OF ADMINISTRATIVE AND CONFORMING AMENDMENTS (TAC NOS. M92410 AND M92411)

Dear Mr. Plunkett:

The Commission has issued the enclosed Amendment Nos.146 and 85 to Facility Operating License Nos. DPR-67 and NPF-16 for the St. Lucie Plant, Unit Nos. 1 and 2. These amendments consist of changes to the Technical Specifications in response to your application dated May 17, 1995, and supplemented July 15, 1996.

These amendments improve consistency between the Technical Specifications and the improved Combustion Engineering Standard Technical Specifications (NUREG-1432, dated April 1995) by incorporating changes in text and resolving other inconsistencies identified by the NRC and plant operations staff.

A copy of the Safety Evaluation is also enclosed. The Notice of Issuance will be included in the Commission's biweekly <u>Federal Register</u> notice.

Sincerely,

Original signed by

Leonard A. Wiens, Senior Project Manager Project Directorate II-3 Division of Reactor Projects - I/II Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

nne file om ten **co**f

Docket Nos. 50-335 and 50-389

Enclosures:

- 1. Amendment No. 146 to DPR-67
- 2. Amendment No. 85 to NPF-16
- 3. Safety Evaluation

cc w/enclosures:See next pageDistributionDocket FilePUBLICPDII-3 ReadingS. Varga, 14/E/4OGCG. Hill (4), T-5 C3C. Grimes, 11/F/23ACRSOPAD. Verelli, RIIS. S. KirslisS. Kirslis

DFOI'

DOCUMENT NAME: G:\STLUCIE\SL92410

To receive a copy of this document, indicate in the box: "C" = Copy without attachment/enclosure "E" = Copy with attachment/enclosure "N" = No copy

OFFICE	PDII-3/LA	P PDII-3/PM	SRXB	N HHFB	N OGC D IL-	PDII-3/D A
NAME	BClayton D	LWiens Mur	T Collins DD	mbranote	HOST THE	Fliebdon Date
DATE	07/11/96	07/ 8/96	07/23/96	08/2/96	08/12/96	07/14/96
						·····

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY



Mr. T. F. Plunkett Florida Power and Light Company

cc: Jack Shreve, Public Counsel Office of the Public Counsel c/o The Florida Legislature 111 West Madison Avenue, Room 812 Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1400

Senior Resident Inspector St. Lucie Plant U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission 7585 S. Hwy A1A Jensen Beach, Florida 34957

Joe Myers, Director Division of Emergency Preparedness Department of Community Affairs 2740 Centerview Drive Tallahassee, Florida 32399-2100

J. R. Newman Morgan, Lewis & Bockius 1800 M Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20036

John T. Butler, Esquire Steel, Hector and Davis 4000 Southeast Financial Center Miami, Florida 33131-2398

Mr. Thomas R.L. Kindred County Administrator St. Lucie County 2300 Virginia Avenue Fort Pierce, Florida 34982

Mr. Charles Brinkman, Manager Washington Nuclear Operations ABB Combustion Engineering, Nuclear Power 12300 Twinbrook Parkway, Suite 330 Rockville, Maryland 20852

Mr. Bill Passetti Office of Radiation Control Department of Health and Rehabilitative Services 1317 Winewood Blvd. Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0700 St. Lucie Plant

Regional Administrator, Region II U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission 101 Marietta Street, N.W. Suite 2900 Atlanta, Georgia 30323

H. N. Paduano, Manager Licensing & Special Programs Florida Power and Light Company P.O. Box 14000 Juno Beach, Florida 33408-0420

J. A. Stall, Site Vice President St. Lucie Nuclear Plant P. O. Box 128 Ft. Pierce, Florida 34954-0128

J. Scarola Plant General Manager St. Lucie Nuclear Plant P.O. Box 128 Ft. Pierce, Florida 34954-0128

Mr. Kerry Landis U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission 101 Marietta Street, N.W. Suite 2900 Atlanta, Georgia 30323-0199

E. J. Weinkam Licensing Manager St. Lucie Nuclear Plant P.O. Box 128 Fort Pierce, Florida 34954-0128



UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY

DOCKET NO. 50-335

ST. LUCIE PLANT UNIT NO. 1

AMENDMENT TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE

Amendment No. 146 License No. DPR-67

- 1. The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has found that:
 - A. The application for amendment by Florida Power & Light Company, et al. (the licensee), dated May 17, 1995, and supplemented July 15, 1996, complies with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act) and the Commission's rules and regulations set forth in 10 CFR Chapter I;
 - B. The facility will operate in conformity with the application, the provisions of the Act, and the rules and regulations of the Commission;
 - C. There is reasonable assurance (i) that the activities authorized by this amendment can be conducted without endangering the health and safety of the public, and (ii) that such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations;
 - D. The issuance of this amendment will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public; and
 - E. The issuance of this amendment is in accordance with 10 CFR Part 51 of the Commission's regulations and all applicable requirements have been satisfied.



- 2. Accordingly, Facility Operating License No. DPR-67 is amended by changes to the Technical Specifications as indicated in the attachment to this license amendment, and by amending paragraph 2.C.(2) to read as follows:
 - (2) <u>Technical Specifications</u>

The Technical Specifications contained in Appendices A and B, as revised through Amendment No. 146, are hereby incorporated in the license. The licensee shall operate the facility in accordance with the Technical Specifications.

3. This license amendment is effective as of its date of issuance and shall be implemented within 30 days.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

4

Uni En

Frederick J. Hebdon, Director Project Directorate II-3 Division of Reactor Projects - I/II Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Attachment: Changes to the Technical Specifications

Date of Issuance: August 14, 1996

ATTACHMENT TO LICENSE AMENDMENT NO. 146

TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NO. DPR-67

DOCKET NO. 50-335

Replace the following pages of the Appendix "A" Technical Specifications with the enclosed pages. The revised pages are identified by amendment number and contain vertical lines indicating the area of change.

_

<u>Remove Pages</u>	<u>Insert Pages</u>
1-2	1-2
6-1	6-1
6-2	6-2

DEFINITIONS

CHANNEL FUNCTIONAL TEST

1.6 A CHANNEL FUNCTIONAL TEST shall be the injection of a simulated signal into the channel as close to the primary sensor as practicable to verify OPERABILITY including alarm and/or trip functions.

CONTAINMENT VESSEL INTEGRITY

- 1.7 CONTAINMENT VESSEL INTEGRITY shall exist when:
 - a. All containment vessel penetrations required to be closed during accident conditions are either:
 - 1. Capable of being closed by an OPERABLE containment automatic isolation valve system, or
 - 2. Closed by manual valves, blind flanges, or deactivated automatic valves secured in their closed position except as provided in Table 3.6-2 of Specification 3.6.3.1,
 - b. All containment vessel equipment hatches are closed and sealed,
 - c. Each containment vessel air lock is in compliance with the requirements of Specification 3.6.1.3,
 - d. The containment leakage rates are within the limits of Specification 3.6.1.2, and
 - e. The sealing mechanism associated with each penetration (e.g., welds, bellows or O-rings) is OPERABLE.

CONTROLLED LEAKAGE

1.8 CONTROLLED LEAKAGE shall be the seal water flow supplied from the reactor coolant pump seals.

CORE ALTERATION

1.9 CORE ALTERATION shall be the movement or manipulation of any fuel, sources, reactivity control components, or other components affecting reactivity within the reactor vessel with the vessel head removed and fuel in the vessel. Exceptions to the above include shared (4 fingered) control element assemblies (CEAs) withdrawn into the upper guide structure (UGS) or evolutions performed with the UGS in place such as CEA latching/unlatching or verification of latching/unlatching which do not constitute a CORE ALTERATION. Suspension of CORE ALTERATIONS shall not preclude completion of movement of a component to a safe position.

*

6.0 ADMINISTRA E CONTROLS

6.1 RESPONSIBILITY

- 6.1.1 The Plant General Manager shall be responsible for overall unit operation and shall delegate in writing the succession to this responsibility during his absence.
- 6.1.2 The Shift Supervisor, or during his absence from the control room a designated individual, shall be responsible for the control room command function. A management directive to this effect, signed by the Site Vice President, shall be reissued to all station personnel on an annual basis.

6.2 ORGANIZATION

ONSITE AND OFFSITE ORGANIZATION

- 6.2.1 An onsite and an offsite organization shall be established for unit operation and corporate management. This onsite and offsite organization shall include the positions for activities affecting the safety of the nuclear power plant.
 - a. Lines of authority, responsibility and communication shall be established and defined from the highest management levels through intermediate levels to and including all operating organization positions. Those relationships shall be documented and updated, as appropriate, in the form of organizational charts. These organizational charts will be documented in the Topical Quality Assurance Report and updated in accordance with 10 CFR 50.54(a)(3).
 - b. The President Nuclear Division shall be responsible for overall plant nuclear safety. This individual shall take any measures needed to ensure acceptable performance of the staff in operating, maintaining, and providing technical support in the plant so that continued nuclear safety is assured.
 - c. The Plant General Manager shall be responsible for overall unit safe operation and shall have control over those onsite resources necessary for safe operation and maintenance of the plant.
 - d. Although the individuals who train the operating staff and those who carry out the quality assurance functions may report to the appropriate manager onsite, they shall have sufficient organizational freedom to be independent from operating pressures.
 - e. Although health physics individuals may report to any appropriate manager onsite, for matters relating to radiological health and safety of employees and the public, the Health Physics Supervisor shall have direct access to that onsite individual having responsibility for overall unit management. Health physics personnel shall have the authority to cease any work activity when worker safety is jeopardized or in the event of unnecessary personnel radiation exposures.

1

6.0 ADMINISTRA /E CONTROLS

6.2 ORGANIZATION (continued)

UNIT STAFE

6.2.2 The unit organization shall be subject to the following:

- a. Each on duty shift shall be composed of at least the minimum shift crew composition shown in Table 6.2-1.
- b. At least one licensed Reactor Operator shall be in the control room when fuel is in the reactor. In addition, while the reactor is in MODE 1, 2, 3, or 4, at least one licensed Senior Reactor Operator shall be in the control room.
- c. A health physics technician[#] shall be on site when fuel is in the reactor.
- d. Either a licensed SRO or licensed SRO limited to fuel handling who has no concurrent responsibilities during this operation shall be present during fuel handling and shall directly supervise all CORE ALTERATIONS.

[#] The health physics technician may be less than the minimum requirement for a period of time not to exceed 2 hours, in order to accommodate unexpected absence, provided immediate action is taken to fill the required positions.



UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY

ORLANDO UTILITIES COMMISSION OF

THE CITY OF ORLANDO, FLORIDA

<u>AND</u>

FLORIDA MUNICIPAL POWER AGENCY

DOCKET NO. 50-389

ST. LUCIE PLANT UNIT NO. 2

AMENDMENT TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE

Amendment No. 85 License No. NPF-16

- 1. The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has found that:
 - A. The application for amendment by Florida Power & Light Company, et al. (the licensee), dated May 17, 1995, and supplemented July 15, 1996, complies with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act) and the Commission's rules and regulations set forth in 10 CFR Chapter I;
 - B. The facility will operate in conformity with the application, the provisions of the Act, and the rules and regulations of the Commission;
 - C. There is reasonable assurance (i) that the activities authorized by this amendment can be conducted without endangering the health and safety of the public, and (ii) that such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations;
 - D. The issuance of this amendment will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public; and
 - E. The issuance of this amendment is in accordance with 10 CFR Part 51 of the Commission's regulations and all applicable requirements have been satisfied.

- 2. Accordingly, Facility Operating License No. NPF-16 is amended by changes to the Technical Specifications as indicated in the attachment to this license amendment, and by amending paragraph 2.C.2 to read as follows:
 - 2. <u>Technical Specifications</u>

The Technical Specifications contained in Appendices A and B, as revised through Amendment No. 85, are hereby incorporated in the license. The licensee shall operate the facility in accordance with the Technical Specifications.

3. This license amendment is effective as of its date of issuance and shall be implemented within 30 days.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

-

ton For

Frederick J. Hebdon, Director Project Directorate II-3 Division of Reactor Projects - I/II Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Attachment: Changes to the Technical Specifications

Date of Issuance: August 14, 1996

- 2 -

ATTACHMENT TO LICENSE AMENDMENT NO. 85

TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NO. NPF-16

DOCKET NO. 50-389

Replace the following pages of the Appendix "A" Technical Specifications with the enclosed pages. The revised pages are identified by amendment number and contain vertical lines indicating the area of change.

<u>Remove Pages</u>	<u>Insert Pages</u>
1-2	1-2
6-1	6-1
6-2	6-2

DEFINITIONS

CHANNEL FUNCTIONAL TEST

1.6 A CHANNEL FUNCTIONAL TEST shall be the injection of a simulated signal into the channel as close to the primary sensor as practicable to verify OPERABILITY including alarm and/or trip functions.

CONTAINMENT VESSEL INTEGRITY

- 1.7 CONTAINMENT VESSEL INTEGRITY shall exist when:
 - a. All containment vessel penetrations required to be closed during accident conditions are either:
 - 1. Capable of being closed by an OPERABLE containment automatic isolation valve system, or
 - 2. Closed by manual valves, blind flanges, or deactivated automatic valves secured in their closed positions, except as provided in Table 3.6-2 of Specification 3.6.3.
 - b. All containment vessel equipment hatches are closed and sealed,
 - c. Each containment vessel air lock is in compliance with the requirements of Specification 3.6.1.3,
 - d. The containment leakage rates are within the limits of Specification 3.6.1.2, and
 - e. The sealing mechanism associated with each penetration (e.g., welds, bellows or O-rings) is OPERABLE.

CONTROLLED LEAKAGE

1.8 CONTROLLED LEAKAGE shall be the seal water flow supplied from the reactor coolant pump seals.

CORE ALTERATION

1.9 CORE ALTERATION shall be the movement or manipulation of any fuel, sources, reactivity control components, or other components affecting reactivity within the reactor vessel with the vessel head removed and fuel in the vessel. Exceptions to the above include shared (4 fingered) control element assemblies (CEAs) withdrawn into the upper guide structure (UGS) or evolutions performed with the UGS in place such as CEA latching/unlatching or verification of latching/unlatching which do not constitute a CORE ALTERATION. Suspension of CORE ALTERATIONS shall not preclude completion of movement of a component to a safe position.

ADMINISTRATIVE CONT.

6.1 RESPONSIBILITY

- 6.1.1 The Plant General Manager shall be responsible for overall unit operation and shall delegate in writing the succession to this responsibility during his absence.
- 6.1.2 The Shift Supervisor, or during his absence from the control room, a designated individual, shall be responsible for the control room command function. A management directive to this effect, signed by the Site Vice President, shall be reissued to all station personnel on an annual basis.

6.2 ORGANIZATION

ONSITE AND OFFSITE ORGANIZATION

- 6.2.1 An onsite and an offsite organization shall be established for unit operation and corporate management. This onsite and offsite organization shall include the positions for activities affecting the safety of the nuclear power plant.
 - a. Lines of authority, responsibility and communication shall be established and defined from the highest management levels through intermediate levels to and including all operating organization positions. Those relationships shall be documented and updated, as appropriate, in the form of organizational charts. These organizational charts will be documented in the Topical Quality Assurance Report and updated in accordance with 10 CFR 50.54(a)(3).
 - b. The President Nuclear Division shall be responsible for overall plant nuclear safety. This individual shall take any measures needed to ensure acceptable performance of the staff in operating, maintaining, and providing technical support in the plant so that continued nuclear safety is assured.
 - c. The Plant General Manager shall be responsible for overall unit safe operation and shall have control over those onsite resources necessary for safe operation and maintenance of the plant.
 - d. Although the individuals who train the operating staff and those who carry out the quality assurance functions may report to the appropriate manager onsite, they shall have sufficient organizational freedom to be independent from operating pressures.
 - e. Although health physics individuals may report to any appropriate manager onsite, for matters relating to radiological health and safety of employees and the public, the Health Physics Supervisor shall have direct access to that onsite individual having responsibility for overall unit management. Health physics personnel shall have the authority to cease any work activity when worker safety is jeopardized or in the event of unnecessary personnel radiation exposures.

ADMINISTRATIVE CONT. LS

6.2 ORGANIZATION (Continued)

UNIT STAFF

- 6.2.2 The unit organization shall be subject to the following:
 - a. Each on duty shift shall be composed of at least the minimum shift crew composition shown in Table 6.2-1.
 - b. At least one licensed Reactor Operator shall be in the control room when fuel is in the reactor. In addition, while the reactor is in MODE 1, 2, 3, or 4, at least one licensed Senior Reactor Operator shall be in the control room.
 - c. A health physics technician[#] shall be on site when fuel is in the reactor.
 - d. Either a licensed SRO or licensed SRO limited to fuel handling who has no concurrent responsibilities during this operation shall be present during fuel handling and shall directly supervise all CORE ALTERATIONS.
 - e. DELETED
 - f. Administrative procedures shall be developed and implemented to limit the working hours of unit staff who perform safety-related functions; e.g., senior reactor operators, reactor operators, health physicists, auxiliary operators, and key maintenance personnel. Adequate shift coverage shall be maintained without routine heavy use of overtime. The objective shall be to have operating personnel work a normal 8-hour day, 40-hour week while the plant is operating. However, in the event that unforeseen problems require substantial amounts of overtime to be used, or during extended periods of shutdown for refueling, major maintenance or major plant modification, on a temporary basis the following guidelines shall be followed:

[#] The health physics technician may be less than the minimum requirement for a period of time not to exceed 2 hours, in order to accommodate unexpected absence, provided immediate action is taken to fill the required positions.



UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

SAFETY EVALUATION BY THE OFFICE OF NUCLEAR REACTOR REGULATION

RELATED TO AMENDMENT NOS.146 AND 85

TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NO. DPR-67 AND NO. NPF-16

FLORIDA POWER AND LIGHT COMPANY, ET AL.

ST. LUCIE PLANT, UNIT NOS. 1 AND 2

DOCKET NOS. 50-335 AND 50-389

1.0 INTRODUCTION

By letter dated May 17, 1995, and supplemented by letter dated July 15, 1996, Florida Power and Light Company (FPL), et al., the licensee, submitted a request to amend the St.Lucie Plant, Units 1 and 2, Technical Specifications (TS). The proposed changes are administrative in nature, and are intended to improve the consistency between the TS and the improved Combustion Engineering Standard Technical Specifications (STS). Specifically, the requested amendments would (1) revise the definition of core alteration in TS Section 1.9, (2) replace "President-Nuclear Division" with "Site Vice President" in TS Section 6.1.2 as the individual authorized to sign the annual directive regarding the responsibilities and command duties of the shift supervisor, and (3) revise the wording of TS 6.2.2.d to require that a licensed senior reactor operator (SRO) with no other concurrent responsibilities be present during refueling and directly supervise all core alterations. The July 15, 1996, letter made a minor change to the proposed definition of core alteration which made it more closely match the wording in the STS and did not change the scope of the May 17, 1995, application and initial proposed no significant hazards consideration determination.

2.0 EVALUATION

A. Definition of CORE ALTERATION. The current definition of core alteration is the "...movement or manipulation of any component within the reactor pressure vessel with the vessel head removed and fuel in the vessel. Suspension of CORE ALTERATION shall not preclude completion of movement of a component to a safe conservative position." This definition required an interpretation to clarify that it referred to shuffling fuel or Control Element Assemblies (CEAs) or other equipment that could significantly affect reactivity or raise the possibility of damaging fuel.

The proposed change would adopt the definition of the STS with additional clarification. The STS defines core alteration as "...movement or manipulation of any fuel, sources, or reactivity control components [excluding

ENCLOSURE 3

9608220202 960814 PDR ADOCK 05000335 P PDR PDR control element assemblies (CEAs) withdrawn into the upper guide structure], within the reactor vessel with the vessel head removed and fuel in the vessel. Suspension of CORE ALTERATIONS shall not preclude completion of movement of a component to a safe position."

The proposed definition enlarges on the language of the Combustion Engineering STS by adding the statement that, "...evolutions performed with the upper guide structure (UGS) in place, such as control element assembly latching/unlatching or verification of latching/unlatching, do not constitute a CORE ALTERATION." The latching/unlatching verification is performed with the UGS in place by lifting the control element approximately 2 inches and measuring its weight. This operation can have only a minor effect on the core reactivity. With the UGS in place, the fuel elements cannot be moved and the control element assemblies cannot be shuffled. The UGS also protects the fuel from the possibility of mechanical damage from objects being dropped upon it, and no components can be removed such as to create a direct radiation hazard.

Therefore, based on (1) the low probability for fuel damage, (2) the low potential for direct radiation hazard, and (3) the fact that the latching/unlatching operation could not result in a significant reactivity change, the staff finds the amended definition of CORE ALTERATION acceptable.

B. Management Change. Section 6.1.2 of the current TS requires that the President-Nuclear Division annually issue a management directive that emphasizes the primary management responsibility of the shift supervisor for safe operation of the plant under all conditions on his shift and that clearly establishes his command duties. The proposed amendment assigns this responsibility to the St. Lucie Site Vice President. The St. Lucie Site Vice President is the highest level of site management. The NRC has no requirement that such a directive be issued and, in general, considers the senior site manager an adequate level of management to be responsible for overall plant operation. Therefore, the staff finds this change acceptable.

C. Supervision of CORE ALTERATIONS. Currently, Section 6.2.2.d of the TS allows the Senior Reactor Operator (SRO) assigned to supervise fuel handling to perform these duties from the control room, the refueling deck or the spent fuel pool. This wording did not conform with the requirements of 10 CFR 50.54(m)(2)(iv), which required an SRO or an SRO limited to fuel handling to directly supervise core alterations and not to be assigned to other duties during these activities. The proposed change brings this TS into conformance with the regulations. The revised TS reads:

Either a licensed SRO or licensed SRO limited to fuel handling who has no concurrent responsibilities during this operation shall be present during fuel handling and shall directly supervise all CORE ALTERATIONS.

The revised wording conforms to the language of 10 CFR 50.54(m)(2)(iv) and is therefore acceptable.

3.0 STATE CONSULTATION

Based upon the written notice of the proposed amendments, the Florida State official had no comments.

4.0 ENVIRONMENTAL CONSIDERATION

These amendments change a requirement with respect to installation or use of a facility component located within the restricted area as defined in 10 CFR Part 20. The NRC staff has determined that the amendments involve no significant increase in the amounts, and no significant change in the types, of any effluents that may be released offsite, and that there is no significant increase in individual or cumulative occupational radiation exposure. The Commission has previously issued a proposed finding that the amendments involve no significant hazards consideration and there has been no public comment on such finding (60 FR 32363). Accordingly, these amendments meet the eligibility criteria for categorical exclusion set forth in 10 CFR 51.22(c)(9). Pursuant to 10 CFR 51.22(b) no environmental impact statement or environmental assessment need be prepared in connection with the issuance of these amendments.

5.0 CONCLUSION

The Commission has concluded, based on the considerations discussed above, that: (1) there is reasonable assurance that the health and safety of the public will not be endangered by operation in the proposed manner, (2) such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations, and (3) the issuance of the amendments will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public.

Principal Contributor: S.S. Kirslis

Date: August 14, 1996