

Printed on Recycled Paper

Tennessee Valley Authority Browns Ferry Nuclear Plant Extended Power Uprate

TVA/NRC Meeting Nuclear Reactor Regulation - Rockville MD December 5, 2001



Agenda



1

- Introduction/Meeting Objectives
- Background
- Project Overview
- Power Uprate Major Modifications
- Power Uprate Approach
- Proposed Submittals
- Proposed Submittal Schedule
- Associated Topics
- Summary and Open Discussion



- Introduction
- Meeting Objectives
 - Formally Notify NRC of Plans for Extended Power Uprate
 - Define Required Submittals
 - Describe Major Modifications Necessary for EPU Implementation
 - Discuss Submittal Content and Depth of Information
 - Obtain NRC Concurrence of TVA's Proposed Schedule
 - Gain NRC Insights From Previous Extended Power Uprates



3

- TVA Obtained License Amendments for 5% Power Uprate in September of 1998 for Units 2 and 3
 - Implemented on Unit 3 in October of 1998
 - Implemented on Unit 2 in March of 1999
- TVA Board of Directors Approved Extended Power Uprate Project for Browns Ferry Units 2 and 3 in March of 2001
 - Needed to Help Meet the Growing Demand for Power by TVA Customers
 - Important for Continued Cost Effective Operation of BFN

Background (Cont.)



- TVA has Made a Major Commitment to Safely Implement Extended Power Uprate
 - Dedicated Engineering Team
 - Integrated Schedule Developed
 - Analyses in Progress
 - Factor in "Lessons Learned" From Previous Power
 Uprate Efforts

Project Overview





Ed Hartwig



- Preliminary List of Major Modifications
 - Replace Current High Pressure Turbine Steam Path
 - Modify Feed Pump Turbines
 - Modify Condensate System
 - Modify Demineralizers
 - Replace Condensate Pump Motors

Ed Hartwig



- Power Uprate Will Consist of a 20 Percent Increase in Core Thermal Power From the Original Licensed Core Thermal Power
 - Original Thermal Power 3293 MWt
 - Current Thermal Power 3458 MWt (5 Percent Uprate)
 - Proposed Thermal Power 3952 MWt (Extended Power Uprate)
 - Increased Thermal Power With More Uniform (Flatter) Core
 Power Distribution
 - Corresponding Increase in Feedwater/Steam Flow
 - No Increase in Operating Pressure
 - No Increase in Maximum Core Flow



- BFN Initial Plant for Full Implementation of GE's Constant Pressure Power Uprate Licensing Topical Report (CLTR)
 - CLTR Currently Under NRC Review
 - Analysis Based on No Increase in Operating Pressure
 - Reduction in Analysis and Information Submitted to NRC
 From Previous Power Uprate Submittals



- Previous Extended Power Uprates Were Submitted Using GE's Boiling Water Reactor Extended Power Uprate Licensing Topical Report (ELTR 1 & ELTR 2)
 - Developed by GE During Initial Extended Power Uprate
 Efforts at Hatch and Monticello
 - Used for Duane Arnold, Quad Cities, Dresden, Clinton, and Brunswick
 - Clinton and Brunswick Used CLTR Approach for Four Areas of Analysis



- Proposed CLTR Approach of Generic Evaluations
 - A Significant Reduction in Licensing Information Submitted As Compared to Previous ELTR Based Approach.
 - Approximately One Half of the Evaluations Required Under CLTR Approach Are Generic.
 - The Number of Transients Analyzed for the CLTR is Reduced From the ELTR Approach.

٠	Transients Listed in the BFN UFSAR	27
•	Transients Addressed in Recent ELTR SER	16
•	Transients Evaluated in Reload Analysis	6
Jackie Wright		



- Proposed CLTR Approach of Generic Evaluations (Cont.)
 - The Disposition of Generic Areas Will Reiterate the Applicable Portion of the CLTR Generic Basis and BFN Specific Conformation.
 - The Disposition of Plant Specific Areas of Analysis Will Have the Same Level of Detail As Current EPU Submittals.



- Extended Power Uprate
 - All Required Information According to the Constant Pressure Power Uprate Licensing Topical Report
 - Will Not Include Other Licensing Actions
- Maximum Extended Load Line Limit Analysis Plus (MELLLA+)
 - Will be Based on GE's Licensing Topical Report
 - Increases the Maximum Licensed Operating Boundary
 - Recover Essential Operating Flexibility by Restoring an Acceptable Operating Flow Range at EPU Power Level
- Alternative Source Term
 - Consistent With Reg. Guide 1.183
 - Incorporate Lessons Learned From Previous Utility Efforts

Proposed Submittal Schedule



Licensing Action	Submittal Date

March 2001

MELLLA+ LTR¹ December 2001

Alternative Source Term May 2002

Extended Power Uprate July 2002

MELLLA+ BFN January 2003

Needed NRC Approval Date

March 2002

December 2002

April 2003

April 2003

October 2003

1 Submittal by General Electric

Tim Abney

CLTR¹

13



- Control Room Habitability
 - Control Room Inleakage Tested in 1992 (3117 CFM)
 Percent Power Uprate and CREVs Issue
 - 1998 Power Uprate NRC Safety Evaluation
 - 1999 Safety Evaluation Radiological Dose Calculations
 Associated With Power Uprate
 - Confirmed Control Room Doses are Within General Design Criteria-19 Limits
 - Increased MSIV leakage
 - 2000 NRC Safety Evaluation
 - NRC Previously Approved BFN's Control Room Habitability Issues

Associated Topics (Cont.)



- TVA Instrument and Control Calculation Setpoint Methodology
 Will Be Used for EPU
 - Consistent With Instrument Society of America (ISA) 67.04
 - TVA Methodology Reviewed and Accepted As Part of :
 - Units 2 and 3 Recovery
 - BFN 5 Percent Uprate
 - BFN 24 Month Fuel Cycle



- CLTR Reg. Guides
 - TVA Submittal Will be Consistent With the Current BFN Licensing Basis
 - CLTR References a Number of Reg. Guides, Some of
 Which are Not Applicable to BFN Licensing Basis
 - TVA Will Not Commit to Reg. Guides Referenced in the CLTR That are Not Part of the BFN Licensing Basis
 - Justification Will be Provided



- Schedule Is Important for Meeting Projected Load Demand
- Uprate Submittal Will Follow Generic Approach
- Extended Power Uprate Schedule Is Achievable
- Open Discussion