

November 6, 1991

Docket Nos. 50-335
and 50-389

Mr. J. H. Goldberg
Executive Vice President
Florida Power and Light Company
P.O. Box 14000
Juno Beach, Florida 33408-0420

DISTRIBUTION

Docket File
NRC & Local PDRs
PD22 Rdg
S. Varga
G. Lainas
H. Berkow
D. Miller
J. Norris
OGC-WF
D. Hagan, MNBB3302
G. Hill (8), P-137
Wanda Jones, MNBB 7103

C. Grimes 11F23
ACRS (10)
GPA/PA
OC/LFMB
M. Sinkule, RII

Dear Mr. Goldberg:

SUBJECT: ST. LUCIE UNITS 1 AND 2 - CORRECTION TO AMENDMENT NOS. 110 AND 51
(TAC NOS. 81379 AND 81380)

On October 22, 1991, the Commission issued Amendment Nos. 110 and 51 to Facility Operating License Nos. DPR-67 and NPF-16 for St. Lucie Units 1 and 2. The amendments made line-item improvements to the Technical Specifications in accordance with Generic Letter 90-09, "Alternative Requirements for Snubber Visual Inspection Intervals and Corrective Actions."

Due to an administrative error, incorrect amendment numbers were put on the Technical Specification pages. Enclosed are the corrected pages, including the corresponding overleaf pages.

Sincerely,

/s/
Jan A. Norris, Sr. Project Manager
Project Directorate II-2
Division of Reactor Projects - I/II
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Enclosure:
As stated

cc w/enclosure:
See next page

OFC	: LA: PD22	: PM: PD22	: D: PD22	:	:	:
NAME	: D. Miller	: J. Norris	: H. Berkow	:	:	:
DATE	: 11/5/91	: 11/6/91	: 11/6/91	:	:	:

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY
Document Name: CORRECTION TO AMENDS 110 & 51

Handwritten signature/initials

Mr. J. H. Goldberg
Florida Power & Light Company

St. Lucie Plant

cc:

Jack Shreve, Public Counsel
Office of the Public Counsel
c/o The Florida Legislature
111 West Madison Avenue, Room 812
Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1400

Mr. Jacob Daniel Nash
Office of Radiation Control
Department of Health and
Rehabilitative Services
1317 Winewood Blvd.
Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0700

Senior Resident Inspector
St. Lucie Plant
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
7585 S. Hwy A1A
Jensen Beach, Florida 33457

Regional Administrator, Region II
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
101 Marietta Street N.W., Suite 2900
Atlanta, Georgia 30323

Mr. Robert G. Nave, Director
Emergency Management
Department of Community Affairs
2740 Centerview Drive
Tallahassee, Florida 32399-2100

Mr. R. E. Grazio
Director, Nuclear Licensing
Florida Power and Light Company
P.O. Box 14000
Juno Beach, Florida 33408-0420

Harold F. Reis, Esq.
Newman & Holtzinger
1615 L Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20036

John T. Butler, Esq.
Steel, Hector and Davis
4000 Southeast Financial Center
Miami, Florida 33131-2398

Administrator
Department of Environmental Regulation
Power Plant Siting Section
State of Florida
2600 Blair Stone Road
Tallahassee, Florida 32301

Mr. James V. Chisholm, County
Administrator
St. Lucie County
2300 Virginia Avenue
Fort Pierce, Florida 34982

Mr. Charles B. Brinkman, Manager
Washington Nuclear Operations
ABB Combustion Engineering, Nuclear Power
12300 Twinbrook Parkway, Suite 330
Rockville, Maryland 20852

PLANT SYSTEMS

3/4 7.10 SNUBBERS

LIMITING CONDITION FOR OPERATION

3.7.10 All safety related snubbers shall be OPERABLE.

APPLICABILITY: MODES 1, 2, 3 and 4. (MODES 5 and 6 for snubbers located on systems required OPERABLE in those MODES).

ACTION:

With one or more safety related snubbers inoperable, within 72 hours replace or restore the inoperable snubber(s) to OPERABLE status or declare the supported system inoperable and follow the appropriate ACTION statement for that system.

SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

4.7.10 Each snubber shall be demonstrated OPERABLE by performance of the following augmented inservice inspection program.

a. Inspection Types

As used in this specification, "type of snubber" shall mean snubbers of the same design and manufacturer, irrespective of capacity.

b. Visual Inspections

Snubbers are categorized as inaccessible or accessible during reactor operation. Each of these categories (inaccessible or accessible) may be inspected independently according to the schedule determined by Table 4.7-3. The visual inspection interval for each category of snubber shall be determined based upon the criteria provided in Table 4.7-3 and the first inspection interval determined using this criteria shall be based upon the previous inspection interval as established by the requirements in effect before Amendment

PLANT SYSTEMS

SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS (Continued)

c. Visual Inspection Acceptance Criteria

Visual inspections shall verify that (1) the snubber has no visible indications of damage or impaired OPERABILITY, (2) attachments to the foundation or supporting structure are functional, and (3) fasteners for the attachment of the snubber to the component and to the snubber anchorage are functional. Snubbers which appear inoperable as a result of visual inspections shall be classified as unacceptable and may be reclassified acceptable for the purpose of establishing the next visual inspection interval, provided that (i) the cause of the rejection is clearly established and remedied for that particular snubber and for other snubbers irrespective of type that may be generically susceptible; and (ii) the affected snubber is functionally tested in the as-found condition and determined OPERABLE per specifications 4.7.10.e and 4.7.10.f, as applicable. All snubbers found connected to an inoperable common hydraulic fluid reservoir shall be counted as unacceptable for determining the next inspection interval. A review and evaluation shall be performed and documented to justify continued operation with an unacceptable snubber. If continued operation cannot be justified, the snubber shall be declared inoperable and the ACTION requirements shall be met.

TABLE 4.7-3
SNUBBER VISUAL INSPECTION INTERVAL

Population or Category (Notes 1 and 2)	NUMBER OF UNACCEPTABLE SNUBBERS		
	Column A Extend Interval (Notes 3 and 6)	Column B Repeat Interval (Notes 4 and 6)	Column C Reduce Interval (Notes 5 and 6)
1	0	0	1
80	0	0	2
100	0	1	4
150	0	3	8
200	2	5	13
300	5	12	25
400	8	18	36
500	12	24	48
750	20	40	78
1000 or greater	29	56	109

PLANT SYSTEMS

SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS (Continued)

- Note 1: The next visual inspection interval for a snubber population or category size shall be determined based upon the previous inspection interval and the number of unacceptable snubbers found during that interval. Snubbers may be categorized, based upon their accessibility during power operation, as accessible or inaccessible. These categories may be examined separately or jointly. However, the licensee must make and document that decision before any inspection and shall use that decision as the basis upon which to determine the next inspection interval for that category.
- Note 2: Interpolation between population or category sizes and the number of unacceptable snubbers is permissible. Use next lower integer for the value of the limit for Columns A, B, or C if that integer includes a fractional value of unacceptable snubbers as determined by interpolation.
- Note 3: If the number of unacceptable snubbers is equal to or less than the number in Column A, the next inspection interval may be twice the previous interval but not greater than 48 months.
- Note 4: If the number of unacceptable snubbers is equal to or less than the number in Column B but greater than the number in Column A, the next inspection interval shall be the same as the previous interval.
- Note 5: If the number of unacceptable snubbers is equal to or greater than the number in Column C, the next inspection interval shall be two-thirds of the previous interval. However, if the number of unacceptable snubbers is less than the number in Column C but greater than the number in Column B, the next interval shall be reduced proportionally by interpolation, that is, the previous interval shall be reduced by a factor that is one-third of the ratio of the difference between the number of unacceptable snubbers found during the previous interval and the number in Column B to the difference in the numbers in Columns B and C.
- Note 6: The provisions of Specification 4.0.2 are applicable for all inspection intervals up to and including 48 months.

PLANT SYSTEMS

SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS (Continued)

d. Functional Tests

At least once per 18 months during shutdown, a representative sample (10% of the safety related snubbers) shall be functionally tested either in place or in a bench test. For each snubber that does not meet the functional test acceptance criteria of Specification 4.7.10.e or 4.7.10.f, an additional 10% of that type of snubber shall be functionally tested. Functional test shall continue until no additional snubbers are found inoperable or all safety related snubbers have been tested.

The representative sample selected for functional testing shall include the various configurations, operating environments and the range of size and capacity of snubbers.

Snubbers identified as "Especially Difficult to Remove" or in "High Exposure Zones During Shutdown" shall also be included in the representative sample.* Safety related hydraulic snubber listings and safety related mechanical snubber listings may be used jointly or separately as the basis for the sampling plan.

In addition to the regular sample, snubbers which failed the previous functional test shall be retested during the next test period. If a spare snubber has been installed in place of a failed snubber, then both the failed snubber (if it is repaired and installed in another position) and the spare snubber shall be retested. Test results of these snubbers shall not result in additional functional testing due to failure.

*Permanent or other exemptions from the functional testing for individual snubbers in these categories may be granted by the Commission only if justifiable basis for exemption is presented and/or snubber life destructive testing was performed to qualify snubber operability for all design conditions at either the completion of their fabrication or at a subsequent date.

PLANT SYSTEMS

SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS (Continued)

If any snubber selected for functional testing either fails to lockup or fails to move, i.e., frozen in place, the cause will be evaluated and if caused by manufacturer or design deficiency, all snubbers of the same design subject to the same defect shall be functionally tested. This testing requirement shall be independent of the requirements stated above for snubbers not meeting the functional test acceptance criteria.

e. Hydraulic Snubbers Functional Test Acceptance Criteria

The hydraulic snubber functional test shall verify that:

1. Activation (restraining action) is achieved within the specified range of velocity or acceleration in both tension and compression.
2. Snubber bleed, or release rate, where required, is within the specified range in compression or tension.

f. Mechanical Snubbers Functional Test Acceptance Criteria

The mechanical snubber functional test shall verify that:

1. The force that initiates free movement of the snubber rod in either tension or compression is less than the specified maximum drag force.
2. Activation (restraining action) is achieved in both tension and compression.

g. Snubber Service Life Monitoring

A record of the service life of each snubber, the date at which the designated service life commences and the installation and maintenance records on which the designed service life is based shall be maintained as required by Specification 6.10.2.1.

Concurrent with the first inservice visual inspection and at least once per 18 months thereafter, the installation and maintenance records for each safety related snubber shall be reviewed to verify that the indicated service life has not been exceeded or will not be exceeded by more than 10% prior to the next scheduled snubber service life review. If the indicated service life will be exceeded by more than 10% prior to the next scheduled snubber service life review, the snubber service life shall be reevaluated or the snubber shall be replaced or reconditioned so as to extend its service life beyond the date of the next scheduled service life review. The results of the reevaluation may be used to justify a change to the service life of the snubber. This reevaluation, replacement or reconditioning shall be indicated in the records.

TABLE 3.7-2a

DELETED

INDEX

LIST OF TABLES (Continued)

<u>TABLE</u>	<u>PAGE</u>
3.7-1 MAXIMUM ALLOWABLE LINEAR POWER LEVEL-HIGH TRIP SETPOINT WITH INOPERABLE STEAM LINE SAFETY VALVES DURING OPERATION WITH BOTH STEAM GENERATORS.....	3/4 7-2
4.7-0 STEAM LINE SAFETY VALVES PER LOOP.....	3/4 7-3
4.7-1 SECONDARY COOLANT SYSTEM SPECIFIC ACTIVITY SAMPLE AND ANALYSIS PROGRAM.....	3/4 7-8
4.7-2 SNUBBER VISUAL INSPECTION INTERVAL.....	3/4 7-22
3.7-3a SAFETY-RELATED HYDRAULIC SNUBBERS.....	3/4 7-26
3.7-3b SAFETY-RELATED MECHANICAL SNUBBERS.....	3/4 7-27
3.7-4 FIRE HOSE STATIONS.....	3/4 7-36
3.7-5 YARD FIRE HYDRANTS AND ASSOCIATED HYDRANT HOSE HOUSES	3/4 7-38
4.8-1 DIESEL GENERATOR TEST SCHEDULE.....	3/4 8-8
4.8-2 BATTERY SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENT.....	3/4 8-12
3.8-1 MOTOR-OPERATED VALVES THERMAL OVERLOAD PROTECTION BYPASS DEVICES.....	3/4 8-18
4.11-1 RADIOACTIVE LIQUID WASTE SAMPLING AND ANALYSIS PROGRAM.....	3/4 11-2
4.11-2 RADIOACTIVE GASEOUS WASTE SAMPLING AND ANALYSIS PROGRAM.....	3/4 11-8
3.12-1 RADIOLOGICAL ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING PROGRAM.....	3/4 12-3
3.12-2 REPORTING LEVELS FOR RADIOACTIVITY CONCENTRATIONS IN ENVIRONMENTAL SAMPLES.....	3/4 12-7
4.12-1 DETECTION CAPABILITIES FOR ENVIRONMENTAL SAMPLE ANALYSIS.....	3/4 12-8
B 3/4.2-1 DELETED.....	B 3/4 2-3
B 3/4.4-1 REACTOR VESSEL TOUGHNESS.....	B 3/4 4-9
5.7-1 COMPONENT CYCLIC OR TRANSIENT LIMITS.....	5-5
6.2-1 MINIMUM SHIFT CREW COMPOSITION - TWO UNITS WITH TWO SEPARATE CONTROL ROOMS.....	6-5

PLANT SYSTEMS

3/4 7.9 SNUBBERS

LIMITING CONDITION FOR OPERATION

3.7.9 All safety-related snubbers shall be OPERABLE.

APPLICABILITY: MODES 1, 2, 3 and 4. MODES 5 and 6 for snubbers located on systems required OPERABLE in those MODES.

ACTION:

With one or more safety related snubbers inoperable, within 72 hours replace or restore the inoperable snubber(s) to OPERABLE status and perform an engineering evaluation per Specification 4.7.9f. on the supported component or declare the supported system inoperable and follow the appropriate ACTION statement for that system.

SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

4.7.9 Each snubber shall be demonstrated OPERABLE by performance of the following augmented inservice inspection program.

a. Inspection Types

As used in this specification, "type of snubber" shall mean snubbers of the same design and manufacturer, irrespective of capacity.

b. Visual Inspections

Snubbers are categorized as inaccessible or accessible during reactor operation. Each of these categories (inaccessible or accessible) may be inspected independently according to the schedule determined by Table 4.7-2. The visual inspection interval for each category of snubber shall be determined based upon the criteria provided in Table 4.7-2 and the first inspection interval determined using this criteria shall be based upon the previous inspection interval as established by the requirements in effect before
Amendment

PLANT SYSTEMS

SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS (Continued)

=====

c. Visual Inspection Acceptance Criteria

Visual inspections shall verify that (1) the snubber has no visible indications of damage or impaired OPERABILITY, (2) attachments to the foundation or supporting structure are functional, and (3) fasteners for the attachment of the snubber to the component and to the snubber anchorage are functional. Snubbers which appear inoperable as a result of visual inspections shall be classified as unacceptable and may be reclassified acceptable for the purpose of establishing the next visual inspection interval, provided that (i) the cause of the rejection is clearly established and remedied for that particular snubber and for other snubbers irrespective of type that may be generically susceptible; and (ii) the affected snubber is functionally tested in the as-found condition and determined OPERABLE per specification 4.7.10.e. All snubbers found connected to an inoperable common hydraulic fluid reservoir shall be counted as unacceptable for determining the next inspection interval. A review and evaluation shall be performed and documented to justify continued operation with an unacceptable snubber. If continued operation cannot be justified, the snubber shall be declared inoperable and the ACTION requirements shall be met.

TABLE 4.7-2
SNUBBER VISUAL INSPECTION INTERVAL

Population or Category (Notes 1 and 2)	NUMBER OF UNACCEPTABLE SNUBBERS		
	Column A Extend Interval (Notes 3 and 6)	Column B Repeat Interval (Notes 4 and 6)	Column C Reduce Interval (Notes 5 and 6)
1	0	0	1
80	0	0	2
100	0	1	4
150	0	3	8
200	2	5	13
300	5	12	25
400	8	18	36
500	12	24	48
750	20	40	78
1000 or greater	29	56	109

PLANT SYSTEMS

SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS (Continued)

- Note 1: The next visual inspection interval for a snubber population or category size shall be determined based upon the previous inspection interval and the number of unacceptable snubbers found during that interval. Snubbers may be categorized, based upon their accessibility during power operation, as accessible or inaccessible. These categories may be examined separately or jointly. However, the licensee must make and document that decision before any inspection and shall use that decision as the basis upon which to determine the next inspection interval for that category.
- Note 2: Interpolation between population or category sizes and the number of unacceptable snubbers is permissible. Use next lower integer for the value of the limit for Columns A, B, or C if that integer includes a fractional value of unacceptable snubbers as determined by interpolation.
- Note 3: If the number of unacceptable snubbers is equal to or less than the number in Column A, the next inspection interval may be twice the previous interval but not greater than 48 months.
- Note 4: If the number of unacceptable snubbers is equal to or less than the number in Column B but greater than the number in Column A, the next inspection interval shall be the same as the previous interval.
- Note 5: If the number of unacceptable snubbers is equal to or greater than the number in Column C, the next inspection interval shall be two-thirds of the previous interval. However, if the number of unacceptable snubbers is less than the number in Column C but greater than the number in Column B, the next interval shall be reduced proportionally by interpolation, that is, the previous interval shall be reduced by a factor that is one-third of the ratio of the difference between the number of unacceptable snubbers found during the previous interval and the number in Column B to the difference in the numbers in Columns B and C.
- Note 6: The provisions of Specification 4.0.2 are applicable for all inspection intervals up to and including 48 months.

PLANT SYSTEMS

SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS (Continued)

d. Functional Tests

During the first refueling shutdown and at least once per 18 months thereafter during shutdown, a representative sample of either:

(1) At least 10% of the total of each type of safety related snubber in use in the plant shall be functionally tested either in place or in a bench test. For each snubber of a type that does not meet the functional test acceptance criteria of Specification 4.7.9e, an additional 10% of that type of snubber shall be functionally tested until no more failures are found or until all snubbers of that type have been functionally tested or (2) A representative sample of each type of snubber shall be functionally tested in accordance with Figure 4.7-1. "C" is the total number of snubbers of a type found not meeting the acceptance requirements of Specification 4.7.9e. The cumulative number of snubbers of a type tested is denoted by "N". At the end of each day's testing, the new values of "N" and "C" (previous day's total plus current day's increments) shall be plotted on Figure 4.7-1. If at any time the point plotted falls in the "Reject" region, all snubbers of that type design shall be functionally tested. If at any time the point plotted falls in the "Accept" region, testing of that type of snubber shall be terminated. When the point plotted lies in the "Continue Testing" region, additional snubbers of that type shall be tested until the point falls in the "Accept" region or the "Reject" region, or all the snubbers of that type have been tested.

The representative sample selected for functional testing shall include the various configurations, operating environments, and the range of size and capacity of snubbers of each type. The representative sample should be weighted to include more snubbers from severe service areas such as near heavy equipment. Snubbers placed in the same location as snubbers which failed the previous functional test shall be included in the next test lot if the failure analysis shows that failure was due to location.

e. Functional Test Acceptance Criteria

The snubber functional test shall verify that:

1. Activation (restraining action) is achieved within the specified range in both tension and compression.
2. Snubber bleed, or release rate where required, is present in both tension and compression, within the specified range.
3. Where required, the force required to initiate or maintain motion of the snubber is within the specified range in both directions of travel.

PLANT SYSTEMS

SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS (Continued)

Functional Test Acceptance Criteria (Continued)

4. For snubbers specifically required not to displace under continuous load, the ability of the snubber to withstand load without displacement.
5. Fasteners for attachment of the snubber to the component and to the snubber anchorage are secure.

Testing methods may be used to measure parameters indirectly or parameters other than those specified if those results can be correlated to the specified parameters through established methods.

f. Functional Test Failure Analysis

An engineering evaluation shall be made of each failure to meet the functional test acceptance criteria to determine the cause of the failure. The results of this evaluation shall be used, if applicable, in selecting snubbers to be tested in an effort to determine the OPERABILITY of other snubbers irrespective of type which may be subject to the same failure mode.

For the snubbers found inoperable, an engineering evaluation shall be performed on the components to which the inoperable snubbers are attached. The purpose of this engineering evaluation shall be to determine if the components were adversely affected by the inoperability of the snubbers in order to ensure that the component remains capable of meeting the designed service.

If any snubber selected for functional testing either fails to lockup or fails to move, i.e., frozen in place, the cause will be evaluated and if caused by manufacturer or design deficiency all snubbers of the same type subject to the same defect shall be functionally tested. This testing requirement shall be independent of the requirements stated in Specification 4.7.9.e for snubbers not meeting the functional test acceptance criteria.

g. Functional Testing of Repaired and Replaced Snubbers

Snubbers which fail the visual inspection or the functional test acceptance criteria shall be repaired or replaced. Replacement snubbers and snubbers which have repairs which might affect the functional test result shall be tested to meet the functional test criteria before installation in the unit. These snubbers shall have met the acceptance criteria subsequent to their most recent service, and the functional test must have been performed within 12 months before being installed in the unit.

PLANT SYSTEMS

SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS (Continued)

h. Snubber Seal Replacement Program

The seal service life of hydraulic snubbers shall be monitored to ensure that the seals do not fail between surveillance inspections. The maximum expected service life for the various seals, seal materials, and applications shall be estimated based on engineering information and the seals shall be replaced so that the maximum expected service life does not expire during a period when the snubber is required to be OPERABLE. The seal replacements shall be documented and the documentation shall be retained in accordance with Specification 6.10.2.

i. Exemption From Visual Inspection or Functional Tests

Permanent or other exemptions from the surveillance program for individual snubbers may be granted by the Commission if a justifiable basis for exemption is presented and, if applicable, snubber life destructive testing was performed to qualify the snubber for the applicable design conditions at either the completion of their fabrication or at a subsequent date.