

April 5, 1978

DISTRIBUTION:

NRC PDR *7 sent 4/5/78*
L PDR

Docket File

Docket No. 50-335

| | |
|------------------|------------|
| ORB#4 Reading | DRoss |
| VStello | TABernathy |
| KRGoller | JBuchanan |
| RIngram | gray file |
| PERickson | extra (4) |
| OELD | |
| OI&E(5) | |
| BJones(4) | |
| BScharf(15) | |
| JMcGough | |
| BHarless | |
| DEisenhut | |
| ACRS(16) | |
| OPA, Clare Miles | |

Florida Power and Light Company
Advanced Systems & Technology
ATTN: Mr. Robert E. Uhrig
Vice President
P. O. Box 529100
Miami, Florida 33152

Gentlemen:

The Commission has issued the enclosed Amendment No. 23 to Facility Operating License No. DPR-67 for St. Lucie Plant, Unit No. 1. This amendment consists of changes to the Technical Specifications in response to your request dated November 1, 1977, as revised by letter dated February 22, 1978.

This amendment deletes the Technical Specification requirements for: (1) an Annual Operating Report, as you proposed, and (2) a respiratory protection program, as discussed with and agreed to by your staff. As noted in the NRC staff's Safety Evaluation on this amendment, we have concluded that all needed information will be provided without an annual operating report and the requirements for a respiratory protection program are now governed by the Code of Federal Regulations under 10 CFR 20.103.

Copies of the Safety Evaluation and Notice of Issuance are also enclosed.

Sincerely,

Robert W. Reid, Chief
Operating Reactors Branch #4
Division of Operating Reactors

Enclosures and cc:
See next page

Const. 1
BD

per program notes 12/1/77

| | | | | | | |
|-----------|------------------|---------------------|--------------------------------|-------------|--|--|
| OFFICE ➤ | ORB#4:DOR | ORB4:DOR <i>PER</i> | OELD <i>12/1/77</i> | C-ORB#4:DOR | | |
| SURNAME ➤ | RIngram <i>M</i> | PERickson:dn | | RWReid | | |
| DATE ➤ | 4/4/78 | 4/5/78 | 4/ 178 | 4/3/78 | | |

Florida Power and Light
Company

- 2 -

Enclosures:

1. Amendment No. 23 to DPR-67
2. Safety Evaluation
3. Notice of Issuance

cc w/enclosures:
See next page

Florida Power & Light Company

cc w/enclosures:

Robert Lowenstein, Esquire
Lowenstein, Newman, Reis & Axelrad
1025 Connecticut Avenue, N. W.
Washington, D. C. 20036

Norman A. Coll, Esquire
McCarthy, Steel, Hector & Davis
14th Floor, First National Bank Building
Miami, Florida 33131

Indian River Junior College Library
3209 Virginia Avenue
Ft. Pierce, Florida 33450

Mr. Hamilton Oven, Jr., Administrator
Florida Department of Environmental Reg.
Power Plant Siting Section
Montgomery Building
2562 Executive Center Circle
Tallahassee, Florida 32301

Mr. Weldon B. Lewis
County Administrator
St. Lucie County
Post Office Box 700
Ft. Pierce, Florida 33450

Chief, Energy Systems Analyses
Branch (AW-459)
Office of Radiation Programs
U. S. Environmental Protection Agency
Room 645, East Tower
401 M Street, S. W.
Washington, D. C. 20460

U. S. Environmental Protection Agency
Region IV Office
ATTN: EIS COORDINATOR
345 Courtland Street, N. E.
Atlanta, Georgia 30308

cc w/enclosures & incoming dtd:

Bureau of Intergovernmental
Relations
660 Apalachee Parkway
Tallahassee, Florida 32304



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY

DOCKET NO. 50-335

ST. LUCIE PLANT, UNIT NO. 1

AMENDMENT TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE

Amendment No. 23
License No. DPR-67

1. The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has found that:
 - A. The application for amendment by Florida Power and Light Company (the licensee) dated November 1, 1977, as revised by letter dated February 22, 1978, complies with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission's rules and regulations set forth in 10 CFR Chapter I;
 - B. The facility will operate in conformity with the application, the provisions of the Act, and the rules and regulations of the Commission;
 - C. There is reasonable assurance (i) that the activities authorized by this amendment can be conducted without endangering the health and safety of the public, and (ii) that such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations;
 - D. The issuance of this amendment will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public; and
 - E. The issuance of this amendment is in accordance with 10 CFR Part 51 of the Commission's regulations and all applicable requirements have been satisfied.


2. Accordingly, the license is amended by changes to the Technical Specifications as indicated in the attachment to this license amendment and paragraph 2.C.(2) of Facility Operating License No. DPR-67 is hereby amended to read as follows:

(2) Technical Specifications

The Technical Specifications contained in Appendices A and B, as revised through Amendment No. 23, are hereby incorporated in the license. The licensee shall operate the facility in accordance with the Technical Specifications.

3. This license amendment is effective as of the date of its issuance.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION



Robert W. Reid, Chief
Operating Reactors Branch #4
Division of Operating Reactors

Attachment:
Changes to the Technical
Specifications

Date of Issuance: April 5, 1978

ATTACHMENT TO LICENSE AMENDMENT NO. 23

FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NO. DPR-67

DOCKET NO. 50-335

Replace the following pages of the Appendix "A" Technical Specifications with the enclosed pages. The revised pages are identified by Amendment number and contain vertical lines indicating the area of change. The corresponding overleaf pages are also provided to maintain document completeness.

Pages

XV
1-1
6-14
6-15
6-16
6-17
6-18
6-19
6-20
6-21 (deleted)
6-22 (deleted)

INDEX

ADMINISTRATIVE CONTROLS

| <u>SECTION</u> | <u>PAGE</u> |
|---|-------------|
| 6.6 <u>REPORTABLE OCCURRENCE ACTION</u> | 6-12 |
| 6.7 <u>SAFETY LIMIT VIOLATION</u> | 6-13 |
| 6.8 <u>PROCEDURES</u> | 6-13 |
| 6.9 <u>REPORTING REQUIREMENTS</u> | |
| 6.9.1 <u>ROUTINE REPORTS AND REPORTABLE OCCURRENCES</u> | 6-14 |
| 6.9.2 <u>SPECIAL REPORTS</u> | 6-18 |
| 6.10 <u>RECORD RETENTION</u> | 6-19 |
| 6.11 <u>RADIATION PROTECTION PROGRAM</u> | 6-20 |
| 6.12 <u>HIGH RADIATION AREA</u> | 6-20 |

1.0 DEFINITIONS

DEFINED TERMS

1.1 The DEFINED TERMS of this section appear in capitalized type and are applicable throughout these Technical Specifications.

THERMAL POWER

1.2 THERMAL POWER shall be the total reactor core heat transfer rate to the reactor coolant.

RATED THERMAL POWER

1.3 RATED THERMAL POWER shall be a total reactor core heat transfer rate to the reactor coolant of 2560 MWt.

OPERATIONAL MODE

1.4 An OPERATIONAL MODE shall correspond to any one inclusive combination of core reactivity condition, power level and average reactor coolant temperature specified in Table 1.1.

ACTION

1.5 ACTION shall be those additional requirements specified as corollary statements to each principle specification and shall be part of the specifications.

OPERABLE - OPERABILITY

1.6 A system, subsystem, train, component or device shall be OPERABLE or have OPERABILITY when it is capable of performing its specified function(s). Implicit in this definition shall be the assumption that all necessary attendant instrumentation, controls, electric power, cooling or seal water, lubrication or other auxiliary equipment that are required for the system, subsystem, train, component or device to perform its function(s) are also capable of performing their related support function(s).

REPORTABLE OCCURRENCE

1.7 A REPORTABLE OCCURRENCE shall be any of those conditions specified in Specifications 6.9.1.8 and 6.9.1.9.

DEFINITIONS

CONTAINMENT VESSEL INTEGRITY

1.8 CONTAINMENT VESSEL INTEGRITY shall exist when:

1.8.1 All containment vessel penetrations required to be closed during accident conditions are either:

- a. Capable of being closed by an OPERABLE containment automatic isolation valve system, or
- b. Closed by manual valves, blind flanges, or deactivated automatic valves secured in their closed position except as provided in Table 3.6-2 of Specification 3.6.3.1,

1.8.2 All containment vessel equipment hatches are closed and sealed,

1.8.3 Each containment vessel airlock is OPERABLE pursuant to Specification 3.6.1.3, and

1.8.4 The containment leakage rates are within the limits of Specification 3.6.1.2.

CHANNEL CALIBRATION

1.9 A CHANNEL CALIBRATION shall be the adjustment, as necessary, of the channel output such that it responds with the necessary range and accuracy to known values of the parameter which the channel monitors. The CHANNEL CALIBRATION shall encompass the entire channel including the sensor and alarm and/or trip functions, and shall include the CHANNEL FUNCTIONAL TEST. The CHANNEL CALIBRATION may be performed by any series of sequential, overlapping or total channel steps such that the entire channel is calibrated.

CHANNEL CHECK

1.10 A CHANNEL CHECK shall be the qualitative assessment of channel behavior during operation by observation. This determination shall include, where possible, comparison of the channel indication and/or status with other indications and/or status derived from independent instrument channels measuring the same parameter.

ADMINISTRATIVE CONTROLS

6.7 SAFETY LIMIT VIOLATION

6.7.1 The following actions shall be taken in the event a Safety Limit is violated:

- a. The facility shall be placed in at least HOT STANDBY within one hour.
- b. The Safety Limit violation shall be reported to the Commission, the Vice President of Power Resources and to the CNRB within 24 hours.
- c. A Safety Limit Violation Report shall be prepared. The report shall be reviewed by the FRG. This report shall describe (1) applicable circumstances preceding the violation, (2) effects of the violation upon facility components, systems or structures, and (3) corrective action taken to prevent recurrence.
- d. The Safety Limit Violation Report shall be submitted to the Commission, the CNRB and the Director of Power Resources within 10 days of the violation.

6.8 PROCEDURES

6.8.1 Written procedures shall be established, implemented and maintained covering the activities referenced below:

- a. The applicable procedures recommended in Appendix "A" of Regulatory Guide 1.33, November, 1972.
- b. Refueling operations.
- c. Surveillance and test activities of safety related equipment.
- d. Security Plan implementation.
- e. Emergency Plan implementation.

6.8.2 Each procedure and administrative policy of 6.8.1 above, and changes thereto, shall be reviewed by the FRG and approved by the Plant Manager prior to implementation and reviewed periodically as set forth in administrative procedures.

ADMINISTRATIVE CONTROLS

6.8.3 Temporary changes to procedures of 6.8.1 above may be made provided:

- a. The intent of the original procedure is not altered.
- b. The change is approved by two members of the plant management staff, at least one of whom holds a Senior Reactor Operator's License on the unit affected.
- c. The change is documented, reviewed by the FRG and approved by the Plant Manager within 14 days of implementation.

6.9 REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

ROUTINE REPORTS AND REPORTABLE OCCURRENCES

6.9.1 In addition to the application reporting requirements of Title 10, Code of Federal Regulations, the following reports shall be submitted to the Director of the Regional Office of Inspection and Enforcement unless otherwise noted.

STARTUP REPORT

6.9.1.1 A summary report of plant startup and power escalation testing shall be submitted following (1) receipt of an operating license, (2) amendment to the license involving a planned increase in power level, (3) installation of fuel that has a different design or has been manufactured by a different fuel supplier, and (4) modifications that may have significantly altered the nuclear, thermal, or hydraulic performance of the plant.

6.9.1.2 The startup report shall address each of the tests identified in the FSAR and shall include a description of the measured values of the operating conditions or characteristics obtained during the test program and a comparison of these values with design predictions and specifications. Any corrective actions that were required to obtain satisfactory operation shall also be described. Any additional specific details required in license conditions based on other commitments shall be included in this report.

6.9.1.3 Startup reports shall be submitted within (1) 90 days following completion of the startup test program, (2) 90 days following resumption or commencement of commercial power operation, or (3) 9 months following initial criticality, whichever is earliest. If the Startup Report does not cover all three events (i.e., initial criticality, completion of startup test program, and resumption or commencement of commercial

ADMINISTRATIVE CONTROLS

power operation), supplementary reports shall be submitted at least every three months until all three events have been completed.

ANNUAL REPORT^{1/}

6.9.1.4 Annual reports covering the activities of the unit as described below for the previous calendar year shall be submitted prior to March 1 of each year. The initial report shall be submitted prior to March 1 of the year following initial criticality.

6.9.1.5 Reports required on an annual basis shall include:

- a. A tabulation on an annual basis of the number of station, utility and other personnel (including contractors) receiving exposures greater than 100 mrem/yr and their associated man rem exposure according to work and job functions,^{2/} e.g., reactor operations and surveillance, inservice inspection, routine maintenance, special maintenance (describe maintenance), waste processing, and refueling. The dose assignment to various duty functions may be estimates based on pocket dosimeter, TLD, or film badge requirements. Small exposures totalling less than 20% of the individual total dose need not be accounted for. In the aggregate, at least 80% of the total whole body dose received from external sources shall be assigned to specific major work functions.
- b. The complete results of steam generator tube inservice inspections performed during the report period (reference Specification 4.4.5.5.b).

^{1/} A single submittal may be made for a multiple unit station. The submittal should combine those sections that are common to all units at the station.

^{2/} This tabulation supplements the requirements of §20.407 of 10 CFR Part 20..

ADMINISTRATIVE CONTROLS

MONTHLY OPERATING REPORT

6.9.1.6 Routine reports of operating statistics and shutdown experience shall be submitted on a monthly basis to the Director, Office of Management and Program Analysis, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555, with a copy to the Regional Office, no later than the 15th of each month following the calendar month covered by the report.

REPORTABLE OCCURRENCES

6.9.1.7 The REPORTABLE OCCURRENCE of Specifications 6.9.1.8 and 6.9.1.9 below, including corrective actions and measures to prevent recurrence, shall be reported to the NRC. Supplemental reports may be required to fully describe final resolution of occurrence. In case of corrected or supplemental reports, a licensee event report shall be completed and reference shall be made to the original report date.

PROMPT NOTIFICATION WITH WRITTEN FOLLOWUP

6.9.1.8 The types of events listed below shall be reported within 24 hours by telephone and confirmed by telegraph, mailgram, or facsimile transmission to the Director of the Regional Office, or his designate no later than the first working day following the event, with a written followup report within 14 days. The written followup report shall include, as a minimum, a completed copy of a licensee event report form. Information provided on the licensee event report form shall be supplemented, as needed, by additional narrative material to provide complete explanation of the circumstances surrounding the event.

- a. Failure of the reactor protection system or other systems subject to limiting safety system settings to initiate the required protective function by the time a monitored parameter reaches the setpoint specified as the limiting safety system setting in the technical specifications or failure to complete the required protective function.
- b. Operation of the unit or affected systems when any parameter or operation subject to a limiting condition for operation is less conservative than the least conservative aspect of the limiting condition for operation established in the technical specifications.
- c. Abnormal degradation discovered in fuel cladding, reactor coolant pressure boundary, or primary containment.

ADMINISTRATIVE CONTROLS

- d. Reactivity anomalies involving disagreement with the predicted value of reactivity balance under steady state conditions during power operation greater than or equal to $1\% \Delta k/k$; a calculated reactivity balance indicating a SHUTDOWN MARGIN less conservative than specified in the technical specifications; short-term reactivity increases that correspond to a reactor period of less than 5 seconds or, if subcritical, an unplanned reactivity insertion of more than $0.5\% \Delta k/k$; or occurrence of any unplanned criticality.
- e. Failure or malfunction of one or more components which prevents or could prevent, by itself, the fulfillment of the functional requirements of system(s) used to cope with accidents analyzed in the SAR.
- f. Personnel error or procedural inadequacy which prevents or could prevent, by itself, the fulfillment of the functional requirements of systems required to cope with accidents analyzed in the SAR.
- g. Conditions arising from natural or man-made events that, as a direct result of the event require unit shutdown, operation of safety systems, or other protective measures required by technical specifications.
- h. Errors discovered in the transient or accident analyses or in the methods used for such analyses as described in the safety analysis report or in the bases for the technical specifications that have or could have permitted reactor operation in a manner less conservative than assumed in the analyses.
- i. Performance of structures, systems, or components that requires remedial action or corrective measures to prevent operation in a manner less conservative than assumed in the accident analyses in the safety analysis report or technical specifications bases; or discovery during unit life of conditions not specifically considered in the safety analysis report or technical specifications that require remedial action or corrective measures to prevent the existence or development of an unsafe condition.

THIRTY DAY WRITTEN REPORTS

6.9.1.9 The types of events listed below shall be the subject of written reports to the Director of the Regional Office within thirty days of

ADMINISTRATIVE CONTROLS

occurrence of the event. The written report shall include, as a minimum, a completed copy of a licensee event report form. Information provided on the licensee event report form shall be supplemented, as needed, by additional narrative material to provide complete explanation of the circumstances surrounding the event.

- a. Reactor protection system or engineered safety feature instrument settings which are found to be less conservative than those established by the technical specifications but which do not prevent the fulfillment of the functional requirements of affected systems.
- b. Conditions leading to operation in a degraded mode permitted by a limiting condition for operation or plant shutdown required by a limiting condition for operation.
- c. Observed inadequacies in the implementation of administrative or procedural controls which threaten to cause reduction of degree of redundancy provided in reactor protection systems or engineered safety feature systems.
- d. Abnormal degradation of systems other than those specified in 6.9.1.8.c above designed to contain radioactive material resulting from the fission process.

SPECIAL REPORTS

6.9.2 Special reports shall be submitted to the Director of the Office of Inspection and Enforcement Regional Office within the time period specified for each report. These reports shall be submitted covering the activities identified below pursuant to the requirements of the applicable reference specification:

- a. Inoperable Seismic Monitoring Instrumentation, Specification 3.3.3.3.
- b. Inoperable Meteorological Monitoring Instrumentation, Specification 3.3.3.4.
- c. Inservice Inspection Program Reviews, Specifications 4.4.10.1 and 4.4.10.2.
- d. ECCS Actuation, Specifications 3.5.2 and 3.5.3.
- e. Sealed Source leakage in excess of limits, Specification 4.7.9.1.3.
- f. Seismic event analysis, Specification 4.3.3.3.2.
- g. Beach survey results, Specification 4.7.6.1.1

ADMINISTRATIVE CONTROLS

- h. Core Barrel Movement, Specifications 3.4.11 and 4.4.11.

6.10 RECORD RETENTION

6.10.1 The following records shall be retained for at least five years:

- a. Records and logs of facility operation covering time interval at each power level.
- b. Records and logs of principal maintenance activities, inspections, repair and replacement of principal items of equipment related to nuclear safety.
- c. All REPORTABLE OCCURRENCES submitted to the Commission.
- d. Records of surveillance activities, inspections and calibrations required by these Technical Specifications.
- e. Records of reactor tests and experiments.
- f. Records of changes made to Operating Procedures.
- g. Records of radioactive shipments.
- h. Records of sealed source leak tests and results.
- i. Records of annual physical inventory of all sealed source material of record.

6.10.2 The following records shall be retained for the duration of the Facility Operating License:

- a. Records and drawing changes reflecting facility design modifications made to systems and equipment described in the Final Safety Analysis Report.
- b. Records of new and irradiated fuel inventory, fuel transfers and assembly burnup histories.
- c. Records of facility radiation and contamination surveys.
- d. Records of radiation exposure for all individuals entering radiation control areas.

ADMINISTRATIVE CONTROLS

- e. Records of gaseous and liquid radioactive material released to the environs.
- f. Records of transient or operational cycles for those facility components identified in Table 5.9-1
- g. Records of training and qualification for current members of the plant staff.
- h. Records of in-service inspections performed pursuant to these Technical Specifications.
- i. Records of Quality Assurance activities required by the QA Manual.
- j. Records of reviews performed for changes made to procedures or equipment or reviews of tests and experiments pursuant to 10 CFR 50.59.
- k. Records of meetings of the FRG and the CNRB.

6.11 RADIATION PROTECTION PROGRAM

Procedures for personnel radiation protection shall be prepared consistent with the requirements of 10 CFR Part 20 and shall be approved, maintained and adhered to for all operations involving personnel radiation exposure.

6.12 HIGH RADIATION AREA

6.12.1 In lieu of the "control device" or "alarm signal" required by paragraph 20.203(c)(2) of 10 CFR 20:

- a. A High Radiation Area in which the intensity of radiation is greater than 100 mrem/hr but less than 1000 mrem/hr shall be barricaded and conspicuously posted as a High Radiation Area and entrance thereto shall be controlled by issuance of a Radiation Work Permit and any individual or group of individuals permitted to enter such areas shall be provided with a radiation monitoring device which continuously indicates the radiation dose rate in the area.
- b. A High Radiation Area in which the intensity of radiation is greater than 1000 mrem/hr shall be subject to the provisions of 6.12.1.a above, and in addition locked doors shall be provided to prevent unauthorized entry into such areas and the keys shall be maintained under the administrative control of the Shift Supervisor on duty.



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

SAFETY EVALUATION BY THE OFFICE OF NUCLEAR REACTOR REGULATION
SUPPORTING AMENDMENT NO. 23 TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NO. DPR-67
FLORIDA POWER AND LIGHT COMPANY
ST. LUCIE PLANT, UNIT NO. 1
DOCKET NO. 50-335

Introduction

Regulatory Guide 1.16, "Reporting of Operating Information - Appendix A Technical Specifications", is the basis for reporting requirements found in Technical Specifications today. When these Technical Specifications were issued we requested that licensees use the formats in the guide for the Licensee Event Report (LER) and Monthly Operating Report. In some cases licensees' use of these formats was required by a reference to Regulatory Guide 1.16 in the Technical Specifications. After two years of experience with the reporting requirements identified in this guide we reviewed the scope of information licensees are required to submit in the LER, Annual Operating Report, Monthly Operating Report and Startup Report.

Based on our review of LER's, we developed a modified format for the LER to make this document more useful for evaluation purposes. By letters sent in July and August 1977, we informed licensees of the new LER format and requested that they use it. For those licensees who reference Regulatory Guide 1.16 in their Technical Specifications, we also requested that they propose a change which would replace this reference with appropriate words from the guide and which would delete mandatory use of the reporting forms contained in the guide.

From our review of all licensee reports, we determined that much of the information found in the Annual Operating Report either is addressed in the LER's or Monthly Operating Reports, which are submitted in a more timely manner, or could be included in these reports with only a slight augmentation of the information already supplied. Therefore we concluded that the Annual Operating Report could be deleted as a Technical Specification requirement if certain additional information were provided in the Monthly Operating Reports. As a result, we sent letters during September 1977 to licensees informing them that a revised and improved format for Monthly Operating Reports

was available and requested that they use it. For those licensees with the Technical Specification reference to Regulatory Guide 1.16, the change deleting this reference, discussed above, would be necessary. In addition, licensees were informed that if they agreed to use the revised format, they should submit a change request to delete the requirement for an Annual Operating Report except that occupational exposure data must still be submitted.

By letter dated November 1, 1977, as revised by letter dated February 22, 1978, Florida Power and Light Company (the licensee) proposed an amendment to the St. Lucie Facility Operating License, DPR-67, that would modify the Technical Specifications to delete the requirement for an Annual Operating Report.

Evaluation

Annual Operating Report

The licensee has proposed to delete all but one of the four specified items in the Annual Operating Report. The report which tabulates occupational exposure on an annual basis is needed and therefore, the requirement to submit this information has been retained. We have determined that the failed fuel examination information does not need to be supplied routinely by licensees because this type of historical data can be obtained in a compiled form from fuel vendors when needed. The information concerning forced reductions in power and outages will be supplied in the revised Monthly Operating Reports and the narrative summary of operating experience will be provided on a monthly basis in the Monthly Operating Report rather than annually. We have concluded that all needed information will be provided and deletion of the Annual Operating Report is acceptable.

Respiratory Protection Program

On November 29, 1976, the Commission published in the Federal Register, an amended Section 20.103 of 10 CFR 20, which became effective on December 29, 1976. One effect of this revision is that in order to receive credit for limiting the inhalation of airborne radioactive material, respiratory protection equipment must be used as stipulated in Regulatory Guide 8.15. Another requirement of the amended regulation is that licensees authorized to make allowance for use of respiratory protection equipment prior to December 29, 1976, must have brought the use of this respiratory protective equipment into conformance with Regulatory Guide 8.15 by December 29, 1977.

The present Technical Specifications for St. Lucie provides for revocation of the respiratory protection Technical Specifications when Section 20.103 of 10 CFR 20 becomes effective. We have, therefore, following discussion with and agreement by the licensee's staff, deleted the respiratory protection Technical Specifications.

Environmental Consideration

We have determined that this amendment does not authorize a change in effluent types or total amounts nor an increase in power level and will not result in any significant environmental impact. Having made this determination, we have further concluded that the amendment involves an action which is insignificant from the standpoint of environmental impact, and pursuant to 10 CFR §51.5(d)(4) that an environmental impact statement, or negative declaration and environmental impact appraisal need not be prepared in connection with the issuance of this amendment.

Conclusion

We have concluded, based on the considerations discussed above, that: (1) because the amendment does not involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of accidents previously considered and does not involve a significant decrease in a safety margin, the amendment does not involve a significant hazards consideration, (2) there is reasonable assurance that the health and safety of the public will not be endangered by operation in the proposed manner, and (3) such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations and the issuance of this amendment will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public.

Dated: April 5, 1978

UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSIONDOCKET NO. 50-335FLORIDA POWER AND LIGHT COMPANYNOTICE OF ISSUANCE OF AMENDMENT TO FACILITY
OPERATING LICENSE

The U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has issued Amendment No. 23 to Facility Operating License No. DPR-67 issued to Florida Power and Light Company (the licensee), which revised Technical Specifications for operation of St. Lucie Plant, Unit No. 1 (the facility), located in St. Lucie County, Florida. The amendment is effective as of its date of issuance.

This amendment deletes the requirement for: (1) an Annual Operating Report and (2) a respiratory protection program.

The application for the amendment complies with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission's rules and regulations. The Commission has made appropriate findings as required by the Act and the Commission's rules and regulations in 10 CFR Chapter I, which are set forth in the license amendment. Prior public notice of this amendment was not required since the amendment does not involve a significant hazards consideration.

The Commission has determined that the issuance of this amendment will not result in any significant environmental impact and that pursuant to 10 CFR §51.5(d)(4) an environmental impact statement or

- 2 -

negative declaration and environmental impact appraisal need not be prepared in connection with the issuance of this amendment.

For further details with respect to this action, see (1) the application for amendment dated November 1, 1977, as revised by letter dated February 22, 1978, (2) Amendment No. 23 to License No. DPR-67, and (3) the Commission's related Safety Evaluation. All of these items are available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street, N.W., Washington, D. C. and at the Indian River Junior College Library, 3209 Virginia Avenue, Ft. Pierce, Florida. A copy of items (2) and (3) may be obtained upon request addressed to the U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D. C. 20555, Attention: Director, Division of Operating Reactors.

Dated at Bethesda, Maryland, this 5th day of April 1978.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION



Robert W. Reid, Chief
Operating Reactors Branch #4
Division of Operating Reactors