

February 09, 1996

Mr. J. P. O'Hanlon
Senior Vice President - Nuclear
Virginia Electric and Power Company
5000 Dominion Blvd.
Glen Allen, Virginia 23060

SUBJECT: NORTH ANNA UNITS 1 AND 2 - ISSUANCE OF ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT AND FINDING OF NO SIGNIFICANT IMPACT RELATED TO REVISIONS TO APPENDIX B OF THE FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE - (TAC NOS. M92989 AND M92990)

Dear Mr. O'Hanlon:

Enclosed is a copy of the Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact related to your application for amendments dated November 29, 1994. The proposed amendments would revise Appendix B to the North Anna Units 1 and 2 Facility Operating Licenses to reflect current obligations to the Commonwealth of Virginia, revise portions of the transmission corridor rights-of-way erosion control program for clarification and to be consistent with the state regulations, eliminate inconsistencies, and delete obsolete material.

The assessment is being forwarded to the Office of the Federal Register for publication.

Sincerely,

(Original Signed By D. Matthews)

Leon B. Engle, Project Manager
Project Directorate II-1
Division of Reactor Projects - I/II
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket Nos. 50-338
and 50-339

Enclosure: Environmental Assessment

cc w/encl: See next page

FILENAME - G:\NA92989.EA *Previously Concurred

Distribution
~~Docket File~~
PUBLIC
PDII-1 RF
S. Varga
J. Zwolinski
ACRS
D. Verrelli, RTT

OFFICE	LA:PDII-1	PM:PDII-1	D:PDLR*	OGC*	D:PDII-1
NAME	Dunnington ^{ED}	LEngle ^{LE}	SNewberry		DMatthews
DATE	2/6/96	02/06/96	01/03/96	01/22/96	2/9/96
COPY	(Yes/No)	(Yes/No) ^{PSB}	Yes/No	Yes/No	Yes (No)

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

9602160063 960209
PDR ADOCK 05000338
P PDR

KRC FILE CENTER COPY

DF01

Mr. J. P. O'Hanlon
Virginia Electric & Power Company

North Anna Power Station
Units 1 and 2

cc:

Mr. William C. Porter, Jr.
County Administrator
Louisa County
P.O. Box 160
Louisa, Virginia 23093

Regional Administrator, Region II
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
101 Marietta Street, N.W.,
Suite 2900
Atlanta, Georgia 30323

Michael W. Maupin, Esquire
Hunton and Williams
Riverfront Plaza, East Tower
951 E. Byrd Street
Richmond, Virginia 23219

Mr. J. A. Stall, Manager
North Anna Power Station
P. O. Box 402
Mineral, Virginia 23117

Dr. W. T. Lough
Virginia State Corporation
Commission
Division of Energy Regulation
P. O. Box 1197
Richmond, Virginia 23209

Mr. Al Belisle
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
101 Marietta Street N. W. Suite 2900
Atlanta, Georgia 30323-0199

Old Dominion Electric Cooperative
4201 Dominion Blvd.
Glen Allen, Virginia 23060

Roy Denmark (5 copies)
Environmental Review Coordinator
841 Chestnut Street
Philadelphia, PA 19107

Mr. M. L. Bowling, Manager
Nuclear Licensing & Operations
Support
Virginia Electric and Power Company
Innsbrook Technical Center
5000 Dominion Blvd.
Glen Allen, Virginia 23060

Office of the Attorney General
Commonwealth of Virginia
900 East Main Street
Richmond, Virginia 23219

Senior Resident Inspector
North Anna Power Station
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Route 2, Box 78
Mineral, Virginia 23117

Robert B. Strobe, M.D., M.P.H.
State Health Commissioner
Office of the Commissioner
Virginia Department of Health
P.O. Box 2448
Richmond, Virginia 23218

UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSIONVIRGINIA ELECTRIC AND POWER COMPANYOLD DOMINION ELECTRIC COOPERATIVEDOCKET NOS. 50-338 AND 50-339NORTH ANNA POWER STATION, UNITS 1 AND 2ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT AND FINDING OFNO SIGNIFICANT IMPACT

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) is considering issuance of an amendment to Facility Operating License Nos. 50-338 and 50-339, issued to Virginia Electric and Power Company et al., (the licensee), for operation of the North Anna Power Station, Units 1 and 2, located in Louisa County.

ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENTIdentification of the Proposed Action:

The proposed action would change references to the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) to reflect the correct permit title, Virginia Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (VPDES), eliminate references to vegetation and aquatic biota studies that were previously completed, correct a reference to 10 CFR 51.60(b)(2), replace the existing reporting requirements for unusual or important environmental events with the reporting requirements currently identified in 10 CFR 50.72(b)(2)(vi), replace the reference to the current Environmental Protection Plan (EPP) audit program with a reference to the Audit Program established in accordance with 10 CFR 50, Appendix B, revise the two year records retention requirement for erosion control inspection field logs to five years, change the reference to the State

Water Control Board which is now the Department of Environmental Quality, identify the licensee's obligation to comply with Virginia regulations concerning erosion and sediment control within the transmission corridor rights-of-way to eliminate redundancy with previous EPP commitments, and recognize the Virginia Soil and Water Conservation Board as the regulatory authority concerning erosion within the transmission corridor rights-of-way.

The proposed action is in accordance with the licensee's application for amendment dated November 29, 1994.

The Need for the Proposed Action:

The proposed action is needed to update each EPP to reflect current requirements, eliminate inconsistencies, and identify the proper regulatory agencies for certain environmental issues.

Environmental Impacts of the Proposed Action:

The Commission has completed its evaluation of the proposed action and concludes that the amendment will correct inconsistencies, identify current requirements, and identify the proper regulatory agencies within the North Anna Units 1 and 2 EPPs. The majority of the changes are administrative in nature and only serve to update or clarify the information currently contained in the EPPs. The change to increase the annual inspection interval for the transmission line corridor rights-of-way from once every 12 months to once every 3 to 5 years is being made to ensure uniformity with the licensee's other transmission corridor rights-of-way. In addition, the change is being made to identify current requirements imposed by the Virginia Soil and Water Conservation Board, which is responsible for reviewing and approving utility erosion and sediment control specifications.

The change will not increase the probability or consequences of

accidents, no changes are being made in the types of any effluents that may be released offsite, and there is no significant increase in the allowable individual or cumulative occupational radiation exposure. Accordingly, the Commission concludes that there are no significant radiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

With regard to potential nonradiological impacts, the proposed action does involve features located outside the restricted area as defined in 10 CFR Part 20. It does not affect nonradiological plant effluents. There is the possibility of a potential environmental impact associated with the change to increase the annual inspection interval for the transmission corridor rights-of-way. There is the potential for erosion to undermine the bases of a transmission tower if left unchecked. However, the licensee has noted that the erosion identified to date has not been severe. In addition, severe erosion occurs over a period of time and would allow the licensee to take action to prevent any environmental impact. Accordingly, the Commission concludes that there are no significant nonradiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

Alternatives to the Proposed Action:

Since the Commission has concluded there is no measurable environmental impact associated with the proposed action, any alternatives with equal or greater environmental impact need not be evaluated. As an alternative to the proposed action, the staff considered denial of the proposed action. Denial of the application would result in no change in current environmental impacts. The environmental impacts of the proposed action are of a very low likelihood and therefore insignificant.

Alternative Use of Resources:

This action does not involve the use of any resources not previously considered in the Final Environmental Statement for the North Anna Power Station, Units 1 and 2.

Agencies and Persons Consulted:

In accordance with its stated policy, on December 20, 1995, the staff consulted with the Virginia State official, L. Foldese, of the Virginia Department of Health, regarding the environmental impact of the proposed action. The State official had no comments.

FINDING OF NO SIGNIFICANT IMPACT

Based upon the environmental assessment, the Commission concludes that the proposed action will not have a significant effect on the quality of the human environment. Accordingly, the Commission has determined not to prepare an environmental impact statement for the proposed action.

For further details with respect to the proposed action, see the licensee's letter dated November 29, 1994, which is available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, The Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC, and at the local public document room located at the Alderman Library, Special Collections Department, University of Virginia, Charlottesville, Virginia 22903-2498.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 9th day of February 1996.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION



David B. Matthews, Director
Project Directorate II-1
Division of Reactor Projects - I/II
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation