

From: Claudia Seelig
To: Constance Schum; Glenda Jackson
Date: 5/30/01 9:47AM
Subject: NMSS CONCURRENCE IN FEE RULE

We concur (including the revised pp. 30-32), but want you to consider the following minor comment. I will hand carry to Glenda the actual concurrence page signed by Margaret (Acting NMSS Director).

The top of page 11 discusses 420 RITS codes - and we agree that the statement is factually correct. However, stating that 125 of 420 RITS codes are used for Part 170 billing adds nothing of substance in response. This could be read to mask any actual percentage of total hours billed across the 420 codes. For example, is the bulk of the time reported in the 125 codes or the remaining codes? Bottom line is it may cause more confusion - and this level of detail does not appear to add value to the response.