December 11, 2001

LICENSEE: Duke Energy Corporation

FACILITIES: McGuire, Units 1 and 2, and Catawba, Units 1 and 2

SUBJECT: TELECOMMUNICATION WITH DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION TO DISCUSS

INFORMATION IN THEIR LICENSE RENEWAL APPLICATION ON THE FIRE

PROTECTION PROGRAM

On November 8, 2001, after the NRC (the staff) reviewed information provided in Appendix B of the license renewal application (LRA), a conference call was conducted between the staff and Duke Energy Corporation (the applicant) to clarify information presented in the application pertaining to Section B.3.12, the Fire Protection Program.

The questions asked by the staff, as well as the responses provided by the applicant, are as follows:

1. The application states in Sections B.3.12.1, Fire Barrier Inspections, and B.3.12.2, Mechanical Fire Protection Components, that no preventive actions are taken to prevent aging effects or to mitigate aging degradation. Provide your justification for this course of action in light of the fact that operating experience at Catawba/ McGuire indicates that degradation and wall thinning in piping has been observed to the extent that sections of the piping were replaced due to leakage.

The applicant indicated that the Fire Protection Program is credited as a condition monitoring program, such that preventive actions are not applicable. The applicant referred the staff to the Standard Review Plan for License Renewal (SRP-LR), page A.1-3, which states that condition monitoring programs do not rely on preventive actions and this information need not be provided. The staff is satisfied with this response and has no additional questions on this issue.

2. The application states in Section B.3.12.2, "Mechanical Fire Protection Component Tests and Inspections-Monitoring and Trending", of the LRA that a sample of sprinklers are either inspected or replaced after 50 years of operation. Describe the basis for the sampling process. Also, provide the rationale for either inspection or replacement of only some of the sprinklers after 50 years of operation.

The applicant indicated that the Underwriters Laboratories (UL) listing for the sprinklers is 50 years, and National Fire Protection Association (NFPA) Code 20, Section 2-3.1.1, specifies the sprinkler sampling methodology. The code dictates a sample size (of not fewer than four or one percent) requires diverse systems and environments to be represented. The staff will review the applicable section of NFPA-20, but may request additional information to (1) provide the applicant an opportunity to submit this information in their written response, and (2) to understand the rationale for either inspection or replacement of only some of the sprinklers after 50 years.

3. With regard to the monitoring and trending activities, fouling of hose station valves and sprinklers are managed by flow tests and flushes which are governed by Selected

Licensee Commitment (SLC) 16.9.1(a)(iii) at Catawba and Testing Requirement (TR) 16.9.1.3 at McGuire. What are the differences between these two requirements?

The applicant indicated that the tests were the same, although the test is required by a SLC at Catawba and by a TR at McGuire. The tests involve a high-velocity flush to remove debris from hose station valves and sprinklers and a main header flow test, which is compared to hydraulic calculations. The applicant suggested that the staff review both requirements to verify that there are no substantive differences. The staff will review and compare SLC 16.9.1(a)(iii) and TR 16.9.1.3 and will determine if additional information is needed to complete its review of this item.

4. With regard to the monitoring and trending activities, the integrity of the sprinkler branch lines is assured by sprinkler system flow tests which are governed by Selected Licensee Commitment TR 16.9-2(a)(iv)(1) at Catawba. This test is not governed by Selected Licensee Commitment at McGuire, but is performed to satisfy a specific plant procedure. Specify the governing requirements for this test at McGuire and how these requirements differ from those at Catawba, and why.

The applicant and staff agreed that a request for additional information would be issued to provide the applicant an opportunity to submit an official response.

5. With regard to the monitoring and trending activities, explain the basis for the sample disassembly inspection program for managing the fouling of sprinkler branch lines. Specifically, explain how the sample of branch lines is selected (basis for selection) and how the number of branch lines to be sampled is determined (basis for sample size).

The applicant and staff agreed that a request for additional information would be issued to provide the applicant an opportunity to submit an official response.

6. The acceptance criteria for visual inspection of sprinklers do not contain any requirements for restraining excessive displacement at damaged or malfunctioning pipe hangers. Such requirements seem to be particularly significant for those piping runs where operating experience indicates that fouling has been detected and sections of piping have been replaced due to pinhole leaks. Indicate whether or not requirements exist to limit excessive displacement of sprinkler piping due to degraded hangers. If they do exist, state those requirements.

The applicant indicated that the acceptance criteria discussion in the LRA provided more detail with respect to "missing pipe hangers" than is credited for aging management. The applicant referred the staff to Section 2.4.3 of the LRA on page 2.4-11, where component supports (hangers) are addressed. The staff reviewed this section of the LRA as well as Table 3.5-3 on page 3.5-21, which addresses pipe support (first item in the table). The staff noted that notes 2, 7 and 11 apply for pipe supports, and that note 11 covers supports for piping used to mitigate regulated events (e.g. fire). As such, the staff is satisfied with this response and has no additional questions on this item.

A draft of this telecommunication summary was provided to the applicant to allow them the opportunity to comment prior to the summary being issued.

/RA/

Rani L. Franovich, Project Manager License Renewal Project Directorate Division of Regulatory Improvement Programs Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket Nos. 50-369, 50-370, 50-413, and 50-414

Attachment: As stated

cc w/attachment: See next page

A draft of this telecommunication summary was provided to the applicant to allow them the opportunity to comment prior to the summary being issued.

/RA/

Rani L. Franovich, Project Manager License Renewal Project Directorate Division of Regulatory Improvement Programs Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket Nos. 50-369, 50-370, 50-413, and 50-414

Attachment: As stated

cc w/attachment: See next page

DISTRIBUTION: See next page

Document Name: C:\Program Files\Adobe\Acrobat 4.0\PDF Output\Conference Call Summary

Nov~.wpd

OFFICE	LA:DRIP	ME:RLSB:DRIP	BC:RLSB:DRIP
NAME	E Hylton	R Franovich	C Grimes
DATE	12/10/01	12/10/01	12/11/01

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

DISTRIBUTION:

HARD COPY

RLSB RF

E. Hylton

E-MAIL:

PUBLIC

- J. Johnson
- W. Borchardt
- D. Matthews
- C. Carpenter
- C. Grimes
- B. Zalcman
- J. Strosnider (RidsNrrDe)
- F. Eltawila
- G. Bagchi
- K. Manoly
- W. Bateman
- J. Calvo
- C. Holden
- P. Shemanski
- S. Rosenberg
- G. Holahan
- B. Boger
- D. Thatcher
- G. Galletti
- B. Thomas
- J. Moore
- R. Weisman
- M. Mayfield
- A. Murphy
- W. McDowell
- S. Droggitis
- N. Dudley
- **RLSB Staff**

- R. Martin
- C. Patel
- C. Julian (RII)
- R. Haag (RII)
- A. Fernandez (OGC)
- J. Wilson
- M. Khanna
- R. Elliott
- J. Rajan

McGuire & Catawba Nuclear Stations. Units 1 and 2

Mr. Gary Gilbert Regulatory Compliance Manager Duke Energy Corporation 4800 Concord Road York, South Carolina 29745

Ms. Lisa F. Vaughn Duke Energy Corporation 422 South Church Street Charlotte, North Carolina 28201-1006

Anne Cottington, Esquire Winston and Strawn 1400 L Street, NW Washington, DC 20005

North Carolina Municipal Power Agency Number 1 1427 Meadowwood Boulevard P. O. Box 29513 Raleigh, North Carolina 27626

County Manager of York County York County Courthouse York, South Carolina 29745

Piedmont Municipal Power Agency 121 Village Drive Greer, South Carolina 29651

Ms. Karen E. Long Assistant Attorney General North Carolina Department of Justice P. O. Box 629 Raleigh, North Carolina 27602

Ms. Elaine Wathen, Lead REP Planner Division of Emergency Management 116 West Jones Street Raleigh, North Carolina 27603-1335

Mr. Robert L. Gill, Jr.
Duke Energy Corporation
Mail Stop EC-12R
P. O. Box 1006
Charlotte, North Carolina 28201-1006

Mr. Alan Nelson Nuclear Energy Institute 1776 I Street, N.W., Suite 400 Washington, DC 20006-3708 North Carolina Electric Membership Corporation P. O. Box 27306 Raleigh, North Carolina 27611

Senior Resident Inspector U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission 4830 Concord Road York, South Carolina 29745

Mr. Virgil R. Autry, Director Dept of Health and Envir Control 2600 Bull Street Columbia, South Carolina 29201-1708

Mr. C. Jeffrey Thomas
Manager - Nuclear Regulatory Licensing
Duke Energy Corporation
526 South Church Street
Charlotte, North Carolina 28201-1006

Mr. L. A. Keller
Duke Energy Corporation
526 South Church Street
Charlotte, North Carolina 28201-1006

Saluda River Electric P. O. Box 929 Laurens, South Carolina 29360

Mr. Peter R. Harden, IV VP-Customer Relations and Sales Westinghouse Electric Company 5929 Carnegie Blvd. Suite 500 Charlotte, North Carolina 28209

Mr. T. Richard Puryear Owners Group (NCEMC) Duke Energy Corporation 4800 Concord Road York, South Carolina 29745

Mr. Richard M. Fry, Director North Carolina Dept of Env, Health, and Natural Resources 3825 Barrett Drive Raleigh, North Carolina 27609-7721

County Manager of
Mecklenburg County
720 East Fourth Street
Charlotte, North Carolina 28202

Michael T. Cash Regulatory Compliance Manager Duke Energy Corporation McGuire Nuclear Site 12700 Hagers Ferry Road Huntersville, North Carolina 28078

Senior Resident Inspector U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission 12700 Hagers Ferry Road Huntersville, North Carolina 28078

Dr. John M. Barry Mecklenburg County Department of Environmental Protection 700 N. Tryon Street Charlotte, North Carolina 28202

Mr. Gregory D. Robison Duke Energy Corporation Mail Stop EC-12R 526 S. Church Street Charlotte, NC 28201-1006

TELECOMMUNICATION PARTICIPANTS November 8, 2001

Staff Participants Rani Franovich Jai Rajan

Duke Energy Corporation Participants

Bob Gill

Rounette Nader

Doug Brandes