Form TI-1 Case Resolution

| Case Status | Open | _X Closed | | | | |
|--|--------------------------|-----------|--|--|--|--|
| RCODE:1072, 7529, & 7558 | RCODE:1072, 7529, & 7558 | | | | | |
| Region:1 | | | | | | |
| OLD - Complete Name, Address, Contact, Phone Number: Champale , Inc. 1024 Lamberton Street Trenton, NJ 08611 and Champale , Inc. 180 Hewitt Avenue Trenton, NJ 08611 | | | | | | |
| NEW - Complete Name, Address, Contact, Phone Number: None | | | | | | |
| Agreement State Licensee? (Double Check) | Yes | _X_ No | | | | |
| Moved to an Agreement State? | _X_ No | | | | | |
| Describe Follow-up: (Telephone, Internet, Chamber of Commerce, Other) Michelle Christina, Brownfields Coordinator, City of Trenton (609-989-3603). Bill Chandler, Winzinger, Inc. (609-267-8600, x31) (demolition contractor). When Champale closed the Trenton plant on Lamberton Street, it left all equipment behind. The site was taken over by taken over by Rumac Packaging Services (who had the contract to bottle Snapple in the area) which operated there for a brief time before being abruptly shut down for failure to pay taxes. The site became a CIRCLA site and was eventually transferred to the City of Trenton Brownfields program, who oversaw removal of all equipment and demolition of the buildings. Rumac removed none of its equipment from the site, and it is almost certain that two gauges were present on the site when the equipment was cleaned out prior to the building demolition in 1997. Neither the City of Trenton nor the demolition contractor could identify the contractor who removed the equipment from the buildings. | | | | | | |
| Describe Communication with Registration Vendor: Michelle Alvarado, Industrial Dynamics (310-257-2202) Seven gauges (including Serial No. 1095 identified in the Hewitt Ave. file) were installed at the Champale plant on Lamberton Ave. None were installed on Hewitt Ave. (although some could have been shipped there). Five of those were transferred to other owners by Rumac Packaging Services via the vendor. No records exist of the other two being returned. | | | | | | |
| Vendor Follow-up Action: | | | | | | |
| Final Action Taken: | | | | | | |
| Cause of Bad Address/Lesson Learned: | | | | | | |

Form TI-2: Device Information

| RCODE:1072, 7529, & 7558 | | | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------|------------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------|-----------|--------------------|----------|
| ID | Model Number | Serial Number | Isotope | Activity (mCi) | Sold Date | Vendor | Status (A or N) | Comments |
| 1 | CI-2 | 1095 | ²⁴¹ Am | 100 | ? | 1586-70GL | А | |
| 2 | FT-12 | | ²⁴¹ Am | 100 | ? | 1586-70GL | А | |
| 3 | FT-12 | | ²⁴¹ Am | 100 | ? | 1586-70GL | А | |
| 4 | FT-12 | | ²⁴¹ Am | 100 | ? | 1586-70GL | А | |
| 5 | FT-12 | | ²⁴¹ Am | 100 | ? | 1586-70GL | А | |
| 6 | FT-12 | | ²⁴¹ Am | 100 | ? | 1586-70GL | Ν | |
| 7 | FT-12 | | ²⁴¹ Am | 100 | ? | 1586-70GL | Ν | |
| For additional GLD's received by the licensee identified during the inspection: | | | | | | | | |
| ID | Model Number | Serial Number | Isotope | Activity | Sold Date | Vendor | How obtained | Comments |
| | | | | | | | | |

Status Codes: A - Source accounted for N - Source not accounted for

Form TI-3: General Licensee Inspection Documentation

| RCOL | DE:1072, 7529, & 7558 | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| General Licensee Information: | | | | | | | |
| | Check Box if Current Complete Name, Mailing Address, Contact, and Phone Number is Same as Provided by ORNL. If not, include correct information below: | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Resul | ts of inspection: (check the appropriate boxes) | | | | | | |
| | The general licensee of record is located at the address of record and | | | | | | |
| | all GLD are accounted for | | | | | | |
| | not all GLD are accounted for | | | | | | |
| | The general licensee of record is <u>not</u> located at the address of record, however GLD are being used under new ownership at the address of record and | | | | | | |
| | all GLD are accounted for | | | | | | |
| | not all GLD are accounted for | | | | | | |
| | The general licensee of record is <u>not</u> located at the address of record, however they are using GLD at another location and | | | | | | |
| | all GLD are accounted for | | | | | | |
| | not all GLD are accounted for; | | | | | | |
| х | Neither the general licensee of record nor the facility operated by the general licensee are located at the address of record and the site has been abandoned or is being used for an alternate purpose. | | | | | | |
| | Other: (explain) | | | | | | |
| Gaug | e Information: | | | | | | |
| ID | For each gauge for which status is unaccounted for (see last column of Form TI-2), provide any conclusions about location of the gauge: | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

Five gauges are accounted for two are unaccounted for. The two were removed by a used equipment dealer prior to 1997. We have no way to identify the dealer. Further effort is not justified.

- Inspector: Keith Brown, Health Physicist Nuclear Materials Safety Branch 2
- Approved By: John D. Kinneman, Chief Nuclear Materials Safety Branch 2