Form TI-1 Case Resolution

| Case Status | Open | _X Closed | | | | | | |
|---|------|-----------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| RCODE:6988 | | | | | | | | |
| Region:1 | | | | | | | | |
| OLD - Complete Name, Address, Contact, Phone Number: Boyle-Midway South Avenue & Hale Street Cranford, NJ 07016 | | | | | | | | |
| NEW - Complete Name, Address, Contact, Phone Number: American Home Products Five Giralda Farms Madison, NJ 07940 | | | | | | | | |
| Agreement State Licensee? (Double Check) | Yes | _X_ No | | | | | | |
| Moved to an Agreement State? | Yes | _X_ No | | | | | | |
| Describe Follow-up: (Telephone, Internet, Chamber of Commerce, Other) Cranford Chamber of Commerce (908-272-6114) According to the Chamber of Commerce, Boyle-Midway produced aerosol cans for commercial products at the site. The building was purchased about a year and a half ago, gutted, and converted to a combination office and warehouse facility. From 1974 and perhaps prior, Boyle-Midway was a subsidiary of American Home Products. During the early eighties, American Home Products did business as Boyle-Midway. American Home Products sold Boyle-Midway to Reckitt Coleman (later Benckiser through merger with Benckiser) in 1990, but a number of Boyle-Midway products (e.g., Black Flag products) and facilities, including the facility referenced in this file, did not go to Reckitt Benckiser. According to the database, the Fil-Tec gauges were installed in 1973 and 1981, so were likely removed in normal process renovation some years ago. | | | | | | | | |
| Describe Communication with Registration Vendor: Michelle Alvarado at Industrial Dynamics (310-257-2202) Vendor could locate no records concerning the gauges in question. | | | | | | | | |
| Vendor Follow-up Action: | | | | | | | | |
| Final Action Taken: | | | | | | | | |
| Cause of Bad Address/Lesson Learned: | | | | | | | | |

Form TI-2: Device Information

| RCODE:_ | 6988 | | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------|------------------|-------------------|----------------|--------------|-----------|--------------------|----------|
| ID | Model Number | Serial Number | Isotope | Activity (mCi) | Sold Date | Vendor | Status (A or N) | Comments |
| 1 | FT-12 | | ²⁴¹ Am | 100 | 19733 | 1586-70GL | N | |
| 2 | FT-12 | | ²⁴¹ Am | 100 | 19813 | 1586-70GL | N | |
| 3 | FT-12 | | ²⁴¹ Am | 100 | 19813 | 1586-70GL | N | |
| For additional GLD's received by the licensee identified during the inspection: | | | | | | | | |
| ID | Model Number | Serial Number | Isotope | Activity | Sold Date | Vendor | How obtained | Comments |
| | | | | | | | | |

Status Codes: A - Source accounted for N - Source not accounted for

Form TI-3: General Licensee Inspection Documentation

| RCODE:6988 | | | | |
|--|------|--|--|--|
| General Licensee Information: | | | | |
| Check Box if Current Complete Name, Mailing Address, Contact, and Phone Number is Same a Provided by ORNL. If not, include correct information below: | as | | | |
| | | | | |
| Results of inspection: (check the appropriate boxes) | | | | |
| The general licensee of record is located at the address of record and | | | | |
| all GLD are accounted for | | | | |
| not all GLD are accounted for | | | | |
| The general licensee of record is <u>not</u> located at the address of record, however GLD are being under new ownership at the address of record and | used | | | |
| all GLD are accounted for | | | | |
| not all GLD are accounted for | | | | |
| The general licensee of record is $\underline{\text{not}}$ located at the address of record, however they are using 0 at another location and | ЗLD | | | |
| all GLD are accounted for | | | | |
| not all GLD are accounted for; | | | | |
| Neither the general licensee of record nor the facility operated by the general licensee are located at the address of record and the site has been abandoned or is being used for an alternate purpose. | ed | | | |
| Other: (explain) | | | | |
| Gauge Information: | | | | |
| For each gauge for which status is unaccounted for (see last column of Form TI-2), provide any conclusions about location of the gauge: | , | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

We have no information on the gauges or on the decommissioning of the site that would allow us draw conclusions concerning the fate of the gauges. Given that the gauges were distributed in 1973 and 1981, it is likely they were taken out of service long ago. Further effort is not justified.

Inspector:

Keith Brown, Health Physicist Nuclear Materials Safety Branch 2

Approved By: John D. Kinneman, Chief Nuclear Materials Safety Branch 2